700772579

Assignment

1 Function Approximation by lland Given dotaset,

$$(x,y) = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,2), (4,5)\}$$

 $\hat{y} = \theta, x + \theta_0$

1. Model 1: 0=(1,0)

y Porediction (4) Residuals (y-4) Square Residuals

 δ 4

2. Model 2. 0 2 (0.5/1)

The soil of cosatt

X Y Porediction (y) Residuals (y-y) Squoru Paris

1 1 1.5 -0.5

2 2 2 0

3 2 2.5 -0.5

4 5 3 1 4.6

$$M \cdot S \cdot E = \frac{4:5}{H} = \frac{1.125}{H}$$

3. Conclusion

Model 02 (1,0) has 0 lower msf(o.s)

Compared to model 02 (0.5,1) with

m.s.f. 1.125 So, model i fits the data

Activity-2: Random bruesing practical cost function $J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 8(\theta_1 - 0.3)^2 + 4(\theta_2 - 0.7)^2$

This is a quadratic cost bunction with a minimum at 01:0.8, 02:0.7

Try Green: 0, (0.1, 0.2)

7, 8(0.1-0.3)2 +4(0.2-0.7)2, 0.32+1, 1.32

Toy Gruess: 02 (0.5,0.9)

To 8 (0.5-0.3)2+4 (0.9-0.7)2

2 0.32 + 0.16

> 0.48

2. which is better

- has a lower cost.
 - Roundom bruessing can sometimes land near the minimum, but its inabbicient & greliable.
- 8. Why it random questing inebbicients
 - of the west bunetion
 - No quarantee of improvement
 - to move intelligently towards the minimum.

Torit = 103 1 1 1

1200-197

3) Activity 3 - First Grandient Descont iteration

batalet1

(2,y) = {(1,3), (2,2), (8,6), (4,8)}

We're bitting a linear model,

Start with:

3 0 60 , [0,0]

3 look leagning starte d 20.01

Step 1 1 predictions at 00)

Step 2: Residuals (y-y)

Step 3: Compete En and Ex97

E x. 91 2 18 + 2.4 + 8.6 + 4.5 ...

Step 4: Gradiend

V 1: [-2 \Sm, -2 \Sm]

= [-9, -24.5]

Sty 5: Update O(1) O(1=0(0)-d Jj: [0,0]-001[-9,-245] =[0.09,0.245]

Step 6: Compute Cost Before and After
Before Lo = [0,0]]:

7, 1 En2, 1 (9+16+36+25)= 86; 21.5

Alto (0: [0.09,0.245]):

predictions;

: y=0.09+0.245x

: Fog x , [1,2,3,4] - y~ [0.935,058,0.525,1.07]

Residuals 1

y-y=[2.665, 8.42, 5.175, 3.93]

Squared residuals = [7.1, 11.7, 26.8, 15.4]

> 61

J= 61 = 15.25

Resultt

-> Cost doropped from 21.5 => 15.25 in one step

-> Gronadient descent is Working - its moving toward minimum

Activide 1 : Compose Rondom Guessing is Gonodient Dosant

balacet 1 (91,4) = {(1,2), (0,2), (3,4), (1,6)}

Random Greens: 0, 6,9,0.5)

{ , 0.64 +0.2 -> [0.7,1.2,1.7,2.2]

Rusiduals: [13,010,23,3.8]

Copenied ruiduals: [1.67, 0.64, 8.79, 14.44]

M(E = 21.96 = 8.49

Random Grecess 9: 6: (0.9,0.)

20:1x+0.9-> [1.0,1.1,1.2,1.8]

Residuals: [1.0, 0.7, 2.8, 4.7]

Equand residuals: [1.0, 0.81, 7.84, 22.09]

m(f, 31.74, 7.94

Grandient Descent (02 [0,0], x, 0.01)

Initial predictions: [0,0,0,0]

Reiduals: [2,2,4,6]

En. 14, 5 xn, 42

Gradient: [-7, -2]

610, [607, 0.51] . the state of the s News productions: [0.58, 0.49, 07, 091] Residuale: [1.72,151,88, 5.09] Squared ouridual = [2.76, 2.28, 10.87, 26.7]

M(6 = 42.03 = 10.51 Composition

- is Pandom Guess I had the Lower Eguron (6.49) ms.E
- Considered Descent stood stough but improved with each step
- F. Fundom guering can occasionally land news the minimum, but its unsuliable
 - a broadient besent is systematic. it quarantees imporovenent over time
 - is with more iterations, Granadient Descent Dutperform any sandom ques

while queen to the processors of specification of the first

and I now that I to putter all a short

male and at deat had

Activity 5 - Recognizing under bitting and Overbitting

1. This is Underbitting

2. Why does this thepen?

Contemptiting Occurs when the model is too simple to continue the underlying patterns in the data. It bails to bean from the training data, which leads to:

-) High training enouse; The model doesn't even bit the dada it was trained on.

it performs poorly on new data too.

3. Two possible fixes;

- Incorease model complenity

-> Improve boature Engineering

Activity6 - Composing Models

1. Model A is Overbitting: It memorizes the training data but bails to generalize

Model 8 is Under bitting: It doesn't leasen enough become the toraining data and perform poorly overall.

2. Bias - VoorionGe Toudeabli

Model P Low bias High Variance
Model B High bious how Variance

- sourtiting: Low bias, high vooriance: model is too Sensitive to toraining dolla.
- Underbibling: High bias, how variounce; model it too sigid
- 8. Recommendations de improve each models
 - -s model A (overlitting) +
 - i) Add regulorization (40n Lz)
 - ii) Reduce model complexity
 - iii) Use Loross Validation la lune hyperameters
 - in) bollect more toroning daila
 - -> Model B (under bitting):
 - 1) Use a more Exponessive model
 - il Improve beateou selection on branchonnation
 - iii) Reduce Regulovization
 - iv) Prain longer on optimize better.