

Multi-pitch estimation based on mathematical models

A pitch estimation framework and harmonic overtone sieve algorithm

Luc de Jonckheere

April 1, 2021

Abstract

1 Introduction

Automatic Music Transcription (AMT) is a field of study which tries to convert acoustic recordings into some form of (digital) music notation [11]. This task can be divided into two subproblems, pitch estimation and onset detection [12]. Instead of trying to convert a song into music notation, this paper will focus on translating a guitar signal into a set of played notes in real-time. This problem is very similar to AMT, as both require pitch estimation and onset/offset detection, however, in our case we also have to take latency into account.

Single pitch estimation can be accurately performed using simple mathematical models [10]. Multi pitch estimation is much harder as harmonic overtones are difficult to differentiate from the fundamental notes [15]. Because of this, most recent methods use machine learning or artificial intelligence. These methods are not well suited for real-time transcription. Also, no actual (mathematical) understanding of the problem is gained. Because of this, this paper will focus on mathematically solving this problem. Even if the methods researched in this paper might not outperform ML/AI solutions by themselves, they could be used to filter the input data for the ML/AI approaches. This might in turn improve the results of the AI/ML methods significantly.

Pitch estimation can also be divided in a few subproblems. If a paper focusses on one of these subproblems, it also has to solve the other subproblems in order to test the system. Most papers do not publish their source code, which makes building upon other's work difficult, as often only the subproblem of interest is described. It also limits the research of the interaction between different solutions for the different subproblems. Because of this, we provide a framework in which can be built upon, as the im-

plementation of a subproblem can easily be interchanged with another. The code can be found on "github.com/lucmans/dechord".

In short, the goal of this paper is developing a program which can translate a guitar signal to a set of observed notes in real-time. We also provide an algorithm which can estimate a set of played notes using the set of observed notes. The code should be publically available and easily extensible so others can optimize a subproblem without having to solve the other subproblems.

Do note that this paper is a preliminary paper for a possible master thesis. The focus is on building a prototype which can perform monophonic AMT and presenting a first draft of a polyphonic version. Using this information, we will estimate if the thesis project is feasible.

2 Related work

Spectral peak picking based AMT methods are often deemed infeasible for real-time AMT [16], due to the relatively low resolution in the low frequencies when using the Fourier transform [3]. This problem cannot be alleviated by zero-padding the Fourier transform input, as it does not add any information, it merely increases the resolution by interpolation [8][2]. However, using quadratic interpolation, we can very accurately interpolate peaks within Fourier frequency bins [9]. Also, other transforms such as the constant Q transform [4] which do provide more resolution in lower frequencies are getting more popular, which will allow us to differentiate two notes on a very small interval.

Another problem is choosing the real-time constraint for processing the input. Some papers claim 140 ms is sufficient [7]. This is however ridiculously long, as it would be more than a note difference when playing eight notes in 120 BPM. Also, as there is already a large latency due to how audio drivers

work [13]. In practice, musicians often tweak their audio driver settings in order to gain 5 to 10 ms in latency, which makes the difference between being able to play faster pieces or not.

A big problem in polyphonic pitch estimation is the occurrence of overtones [15]. As these overtones also have a periodic nature in the frequency domain, they can be detected using another Fourier transform [14]. This does however have a big impact on the latency, as performing a Fourier transform is computationally expensive.

When limiting ourselves to transcribing a guitar, we can use physical limitations of the instrument to our advantage [6]. However, this forces the guitarist to use the guitar in a specific way. For instance, these methods fail when the strings are tuned to different notes. Also, this inherently limits the proposed system to guitar transcription, where Fourier based methods are normally instrument invariant.

3 Preliminaries

Note: Explain knowledge required for the methods described in the next section. For instance, latency we can control (speed of code and Fourier window size) and what we can't (audio driver settings). Fourier works on frames, which is a buffer filled with samples.

4 Pitch estimation

Automatic music transcription can be separated into two main problems; onset detection and pitch estimation [12]. In this paper, we will focus on pitch estimation. Because we always output the set of played notes for the last analysed frame, we do not require onset information. However, this information can improve the results of pitch estimation. For example, when a onset is detected, we can discard all samples from the transient.

Pitch detection can be broken down into a few sub-problems. First, a frequency domain representation of the signal is obtained (e.g. Fourier transform). Then, significant peaks have to be selected from the frequency domain. Based on the found peaks, we have to determine what notes were actually played on the instrument.

4.1 Transform to frequency domain

To estimate the frequency components of a frame, transforms are used. Most commonly, the Fourier transform is used as it is the most researched transform and much is known about it [12]. Its main down-

side is that the frequency bins are constant in size, where the distance between notes increases exponentially. Because of this, low notes are hard to discern in the frequency domain where high notes have more resolution than needed. Because of this, other transforms such as the constant Q transform (CQT) are getting more popular.

When using the Fourier transform, window functions have to be applied to the frames to prevent spectral leakage. Furthermore, the actual peak locations can be accurately interpolated using (Lagrangian) quadratic interpolation [9]. These concepts are further described in Section 5.1.

4.2 Peak picking

Peaks in the frequency domain correspond to predominant frequencies in the analysed frame. However, not every peak is of interest. Some peaks are generated by noise, especially in frequency ranges where there is no signal. These peaks can be filtered by requiring a minimal signal level to be considered a peak. Also, due to spectral leakage, peaks can be observed which are not in the original signal. Even though these peaks are minimized by using window functions, they still have to be taken into consideration. In polyphonic signals, harmonic overtones may slightly interfere (TODO: Maybe make preliminary section about overtone dissonance), which will also lead to inconsistent peaks.

On order to determine if a peak is significant enough, we calculate a Gaussian average envelope [5]. For every point in a frame, the Gaussian average is a weighted average of all points in the frame. The weight is determined by the distance from the current point. The distance in number of bins goes through a Gaussian function to determine the actual weight. Here, higher values for σ make points close by weight more compared to distant points. How this envelope is precisely calculated and used for peak picking is described in Section 5.

4.3 Note sets

Given the spectral peaks from the previous step, we can try to calculate what notes they represent. Unfortunately, the found peaks do not only contain fundamental frequencies, but also harmonic overtones. This is only a small problem in monophonic transcription, as there is only one fundamental frequency and its overtones. However, in the polyphonic case, it is difficult to determine which peaks are fundamentals and by extension know how many notes are played at the same time.

To determine if notes are fundamentals or overtones, we use a "harmonic overtone sieve". Here, we look if any higher peak can be explained by the currently considered peak. The more other peaks a peak can explain, the more likely it is to be a fundamental. Moreover, if we can't explain some peaks based on the lowest peak, we know there was a second note in the source signal.

5 Implementation

The program developed for this paper is written in C++ (11) and compiled with g++ (10.2.0). It uses SDL (2.0.14) for audio input/output and spectrum visualisation. FFTW (3.3.9) is used for efficient Fourier transforms.

There are many parameters which change the behaviour of the overall system. For this paper, we choose some parameters which seemed perform well, however, these parameters can likely be optimized. All parameters can be found in `config.h` along with comments explaining them.

By default, the program assumes an A_4 of 440 Hz. This can also be edited in `config.h`. Only 12 tone equal temperament (12-TET) tuning is currently supported. It could easily be changed to n-TET tuning, but non TET tuning is not easily supported.

5.1 Transform to frequency domain

Our program uses the Fourier transform to obtain the frequency domain representation. We choose this transform as FFTW provides a very fast implementation. This speed is necessary for the real-time constraints on our system.

The resolution of the Fourier transform is dependent on the number of samples in a frame. We sample the guitar signal at 192 kHz, which is the maximum sample rate for most audio interfaces. To allow guitarists to play mildly fast, we have to limit our frame length. If the frame size is too large, multiple separate notes may be included in one transform, which would make it seem like the notes were played at the same time. Moreover, frame sizes which are a power of two can be transformed more efficiently, which further restricts frame size choice. We chose a frame size of 16584 samples, which at 192 kHz is 0.085 seconds (11.72 frames per second). This gives us a Fourier bin size of 11.72 Hz. At first glance, such a large bin size seems like a big problem, as the smallest interval that can be played on a guitar ($G\#_2$ - A_2) is about 6 Hz. However, the overtones of these notes will have more Hz between them. Also, as discussed in Section 8,

we might be able to increase the frame size without reducing our frame rate too much.

It is possible to determine the actual peak location within a Fourier bin using quadratic interpolation [17]. The lobes in the frequency domain form parabolas in a dB (logarithmic) scale, which allows quadratic interpolation to be very accurate. This interpolation is performed during the peak picking step, so only peaks that matter are interpolated.

Before applying a transform, a window function is often applied to minimize the artefacts produced by the transform. In this paper, we mainly use the Blackman-Nuttall window, but the following windows are also provided: Hamming window, Hann window, Blackman window, Nuttall window, Blackman-Harris window, Flat top window. Other window functions can easily be added.

5.2 Peak picking

Three different peak picking algorithms have been implemented. The first picks every local maximum (every i where `data[i - 1] < data[i] && data[i] > data[i + 1]`). The other two algorithms pick peaks based on a Gaussian average envelope. One algorithm picks all peaks which are above this average and the other only picks the highest peak for each spectral lobe above this average. Using this envelope, we effectively filter small local maxima close to large local maxima.

The Gaussian average envelope is calculated by taking the weighted average of a configurable number of samples in a Fourier window. The weights are determined by a Gaussian function ($e^{-\pi(\frac{x}{\sigma})^2}$) where the mean is the point in the envelope which is calculated. The value of sigma can be changed in `config.h`. Higher values for σ makes points around the mean have a higher weighting. We choose a value of 1.2, but this value could be optimized for better results.

Even though this envelope works well for parts of the spectrum with peaks, it will still find peaks in noisy areas without peaks. This can be prevented in two ways. The first is setting a threshold which a peak has to exceed. This will result in less sustain, but works well. Secondly, we know that peaks outside the frequency range of a guitar cannot be a fundamental frequency. We can discard peaks lower than the lowest note, but peaks higher than the highest note still provide us information as will be described in Section 5.3

5.3 Note sets

Using all the found peaks, we can determine which peaks are overtones and which are fundamentals. First, we determine which notes the frequencies represent and calculate the error on these notes. The error is calculated as the number of cents from the closest harmonic note. The errors are different for every overtone (the series of errors is constant for every harmonic overtone series), as overtones are dissonant compared to equal temperament tuning. See table 1 for an example.

n	f_{overtone}	closest note	f_{note}	error
0	261.626	C_4	261.626	0
1	523.251	C_5	523.251	0
2	784.877	G_5	783.991	1.955
3	1046.502	C_6	1046.502	0
4	1308.128	E_6	1318.510	-13.686
5	1569.753	G_6	1567.982	1.955
6	1831.379	$A_6^\#$	1864.655	-31.174

Table 1: Example of overtone series and the errors compared to the closest note

If only harmonic notes were played on a guitar, we could filter all notes with an error higher than an arbitrary threshold. However, as most guitars are not perfectly intonated and strings detune by pressing them down on a fret, this information has to be used with care. Correctly using this information is out of the scope of this paper.

Creating a monophonic algorithm is relatively easy given the frequencies of the peaks. For instance, we can iterate over all found peaks and check if every higher peak can be a overtone of the considered peak. After counting the number of overtones for every peak, we can pick the peak with the most overtones.

Due to small errors, the overtones are not exactly integer multiples of the fundamental. As the distance between notes increases with frequency, we cannot set a constant threshold of error in Hz. Instead, we calculate the error in cents and choose a threshold for this error (configurable in `config.h`). This also allows for equal error tolerance above and below the note, which percentage based methods would not.

Polyphonic note detection can use a algorithm similar to the monophonic algorithm. As the number of played notes is unknown in the polyphonic case, we have to determine if a note is a fundamental or overtone. We cannot just set a threshold for the number of overtones a fundamental should have, because the number of detected overtones is very inconsistent. To solve this, we present a harmonic overtone sieve algorithm. Instead of directly looking for fundamentals, we try to sieve away all harmonics until we are left

with fundamentals. We start at the lowest peak and mark all its possible overtones. If there are still unmarked peaks left, they are likely from another note. We can repeat this process until no more peaks can be sieved.

After this, we can remove all peaks which are outside of the frequency range of a guitar. The minimum and maximum can be changed in `config.h` to accommodate for different tunings of guitars with extra strings.

6 Experiments

We will perform a few experiments to test our system. The used audio equipment has a large effect on the results and in turn effects what parameters work best for the system. During development, an electric guitar with active humbucker pickups was used. To convert the analog signal to a sampled digital signal, a Behringer UMC404HD was used. This audio interface has a dynamic range of 100 dB. Like most audio interfaces, this is much less than the dynamic range of a sample (144 dB for 24 bit integer). We opted not to amplify the signal in software, as it will distort it. Also, if amplification is not done carefully, the signal will peak, which means that peaks will be cut off.

Often used datasets for evaluating pitch estimation focus on different goals than this paper. For instance, they focus on transcribing multiple instruments at once or having instrument invariance. They also do not focus on real-time transcription, which makes the problem much harder. However, in order to present some comparative measure, we will use the Mirex dataset for "Multiple Fundamental Frequency Estimation & Tracking" [1].

Experiments show basis is there, but results not consistent enough...

7 Conclusions

adfs

8 Future work

How to make results more consistent (onset detection, transient filtering). How to make the project more real-time (threading rolling transforms). Also deliberately detuning strings for better results.

This algorithm has some problems in its current form. Solving these is outside of the scope of this paper and will be the topic of the follow-up thesis, but we will address the problems here. The first big

problem is transients. They will appear as many random peaks in the frequency domain. Many of these peaks will be outside of the fundamental frequency range of a guitar, but not all of them, so discarding all high peaks does not solve the problem. Usually, during transients, there are significantly more peaks and even multiple peaks within one note. If this can be consistently detected, samples can be discarded until the transient is filtered. Another problem is the resolution in the lower frequencies.

References

- [1] Mirex website about multiple fundamental frequency estimation & tracking.
https://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2020:Multiple_Fundamental_Frequency_Estimation_%26_Tracking
Accessed on 01-04-2021.
- [2] Webpage with shows effect of zero-padding interactively.
<https://jackschaedler.github.io/circles-sines-signals/zeropadding.html>
Accessed on 01-04-2021.
- [3] Eric J. Anderson. Limitations of short-time fourier transforms in polyphonic pitch recognition. 1997.
- [4] Z. Ding and L. Dai. A study of constant q transform in music signal analysis. 24:259–263, 2005.
- [5] Z. Duan, Y. Zhang, C. Zhang, and Z. Shi. Unsupervised single-channel music source separation by average harmonic structure modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 16(4):766–778, 2008.
- [6] X. Fiss and A. Kwasinski. Automatic real-time electric guitar audio transcription. 2011.
- [7] T. A. Goodman and I. Batten. Real-time polyphonic pitch detection on acoustic musical signals. 2018.
- [8] F. Guichard and F. Malgouyres. Total variation based interpolation. 1998.
- [9] Julius Orion Smith III. Spectral audio signal processing. 2013.
https://www.dsprelated.com/freebooks/sasp/Quadratic_Interpolation_Spectral_Peaks.html
Accessed on 26-03-2021.
- [10] S.S. Limaye K.A. Akant, R. Pande. Accurate monophonic pitch tracking algorithm for qbh and microtone research. *The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, 11(2):342–352, 2010.
- [11] Emmanouil Benetos, Simon Dixon, Dimitrios Giannoulis, Holger Kirchhoff, Anssi Klapuri. Automatic music transcription: challenges and future directions. *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems*, 41:407–434, 2013.
- [12] Tiago Fernandes Tavares, Jayme Garcia Arnal Barbedo, Romis Attux, Amauri Lopes. Survey on automatic transcription of music. *Journal of the Brazilian Computer Society*, 19:589–604, 2013.
- [13] Michael F. Zbyszyński† Matthew Wright, Ryan J. Cassidy. Audio and gesture latency measurements on linux and osx. 2004.
- [14] Michael F. Zbyszyński† Matthew Wright, Ryan J. Cassidy. Audio and gesture latency measurements on linux and osx. 2004.
- [15] James A. Moorer. On the transcription of musical sound by computer. *Computer Music Journal*, 1(4):32–38, 1977.
- [16] Adrian von dem Knesebeck, Udo Zölzer. Comparison of pitch trackers for real-time guitar effects. 2010.
- [17] Kurt James Werner. The xqifft: Increasing the accuracy of quadratic interpolation of spectral peaks via exponential magnitude spectrum weighting. 2015.