CIS5200: Machine Learning

Spring 2023

Homework 0

Release Date: January 12, 2023

Due Date: January 20, 2023

Name: Lucas Wu

PennKey: lucaswu

PennID: 17125403

1 Written Questions

 $\mathbf{A1}$

1. False. Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We find that

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 8 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

And that

$$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly, we see that

$$AB \neq BA$$

2. True. Suppose that we have AB = C and BA = D. Define $A_{i,j}, B_{i,j}, C_{i,j}, D_{i,j}$ to be the entry in the *i*th row and *j*th column of the desired matrix. Note that by the definition of matrix multiplication, we have that $C_{i,i}$ equals the *i*th row of A multiplied by the *i*th column of B. Similarly, $D_{i,i}$ is the *i*th row of B multiplied by the *i*th column of A.

Thus, we find that

$$tr(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(A)} C_{i,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(A)} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(A)} A_{i,j} B_{j,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(A)} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(A)} A_{i,j} B_{j,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(A)} D_{j,j} = tr(D)$$

with the middle step applied using a simple swap of summation, and we are done.

3. False. Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We find that

$$(AB)^T = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 8 \\ 10 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

And that

$$A^T B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 10 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly, we see that

$$(AB)^T \neq A^T B^T$$

4. True. We assume here that both A and B are invertible; otherwise if non-invertible the determinant of one of them will be 0, and their product will also be non-invertible with determinant 0, satisfying the desired equality.

It's relatively straightforward to show that if A and B represent elementary row operations, the property $det(AB) = det(A) \cdot det(B)$ holds. Note additionally that we can break down A into the product of a series of elementary row operations $a_1a_2a_3 \cdots a_m$ and B into the product of a series of elementary row operations $b_1b_2b_3 \cdots b_n$. Thus, we find that

$$det(AB) = det(a_1) \cdot det(a_2) \cdot \cdot \cdot det(a_m) \cdot det(b_1) \cdot det(b_2) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot det(b_n) = det(A) \cdot det(B)$$

as desired.

$\mathbf{A2}$

1. The rank is 2.

2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{A3}$

1.

$$6, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sqrt{2}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{13}{7} + \frac{9}{7}\sqrt{2}\\ \frac{5}{7} - \frac{11}{7}\sqrt{2}\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-\sqrt{2}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{13}{7} - \frac{9}{7}\sqrt{2}\\ \frac{5}{7} + \frac{11}{7}\sqrt{2}\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2. No, $-\sqrt{2}$ is negative.
- 3. Scaling matrices scales eigenvalues as well, and adding a scalar adds that to eigenvalue. With that knowledge, we know that our eigenvalues will be

$$6\lambda + \gamma, \lambda\sqrt{2} + \gamma$$
, and $-\lambda\sqrt{2} + \gamma$

4. We know that $Ax = \lambda x$ for eigenvalue λ , and that

$$A^2x = A(Ax) = A(\lambda x) = \lambda \cdot \lambda x = \lambda^2 x$$

So the eigenvalues of A^2 are λ^2 .

$\mathbf{A4}$

1. w

$$2. -2w + 2 \cdot ww^{\top}x$$

3.

$$\frac{\exp(-yw^{\top}x)}{1+\exp(-yw^{\top}x)}\cdot(-yw)$$

4. Note that the output of $x^{\top}Ax$ is (defining the *i*th entry of x as x_i)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_i A_{i,j} x_j$$

So our desired gradient is (noting the abuse of notation and the absence of the directional vectors)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j} x_j + A_{j,i} x_j = Ax + A^{\top} x = \boxed{(A + A^{\top})x}$$

When the matrix is symmetric, we know that $A_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$ (alternatively $A = A^{\top}$), so the gradient is now

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}A_{i,j}x_{j} = \boxed{2Ax}$$

$\mathbf{A5}$

- 1. We need $b = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2. We first scale w and b such that w is normal. This gives us the new equation $\frac{w^{\top}}{||w||}x + \frac{b}{||w||} = 0$ Note that the magnitude of the projection of x_0 onto $\frac{w}{||w||}$ is given by $\frac{w^Tx_0}{||w||}$. Adding the scalar value to find the direct distance, we find that our desired answer is

$$\boxed{\left|\frac{w^\top x_0}{||w||} + \frac{b}{||w||}\right|}$$

$\mathbf{A6}$

- 1. True by triangle inequality.
- 2. True (worst case scenario x = 1).
- 3. True (also by triangle inequality).
- 4. False (counterexamples exist, consider all 0s with one 0.5 entry).

A7

1.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 4x_2^3 - 2x_2$$

Hessian:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 12x_2^2 - 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

No, it is not always convex because the Hessian is not positive semi-definite for all x_1 and x_2 .

2. The gradient is 0 when the following is true

$$2x_1 = 0 \implies x_1 = 0$$
$$4x_2^3 - 2x^2 = 0 \implies x_2 = 0 \text{ or } \pm \sqrt{2}$$

Thus, the critical points are

$$(0,0)$$
$$(0,\sqrt{2})$$
$$(0,-\sqrt{2})$$

3. Note that the function obtains minimums when $x_2 = \pm \sqrt{2}$ and $x_1 = 0$, thus giving the answer $\boxed{2}$

A8 From conditional probability (we can alternatively use Bayes' rule) we have

$$\frac{0.1 \cdot 0.85}{0.1 \cdot 0.85 + 0.9 \cdot 0.05} = \boxed{\frac{17}{26}}$$

A9

$$1. \ a = \frac{1}{\sigma} \ b = -\frac{\mu}{\sigma}$$

2. We have the following:

$$Var[z] = \mathbb{E}[z^2] - \mathbb{E}[z]^2$$
$$\mathbb{E}[z^2] = Var[z] + \mathbb{E}[z]^2 = \boxed{\sigma^2 + \mu^2}$$

3. The distribution will be $z + \bar{z} \sim N(\mu + \bar{\mu}, \sigma^2 + \bar{\sigma}^2)$

$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{10}$

- 1. With parameter $p = \frac{1}{2}$, this is $\frac{1}{p} = \boxed{2}$.
- 2. There are three possible scenarios, two of which only one of them is heads, and the last of which both are heads. This gives a final answer of $\frac{1}{3}$.