

Candidate's Name: [PLEASE USE CAPITALS]

LUCY DOROTHY WHALLEY

Names of Examiners: [PLEASE USE CAPITALS]

KEITH MCKENNA, JOHANNES LISCHNER

1. The examiners report that they have examined the thesis submitted by the candidate and have also examined the candidate orally on the subject of the thesis and on subjects relevant thereto:

Date of oral examination Sept 12, 2019 Was Supervisor present: YES ☐ NO ☒

2. The examiners further report that they have satisfied themselves that the thesis:  
**Please tick relevant boxes unless reporting 3(2) or 3(6)**

- |   |                                     |   |                                     |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| (a) is genuinely the work of the candidate                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | g) includes discussion of those findings and how they advance the study of the subject  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (b) forms a distinct contribution to knowledge of the subject | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (h) in so doing demonstrates a deep and synoptic understanding of the field of study, objectivity and the capacity for judgment in complex situations and autonomous work in that field | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (c) affords evidence of originality:                          |                                     | (i) is satisfactory as regards literary presentation  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (1) by the discovery of new facts                             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (j) includes a full bibliography and references   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| and/or  |                                     | (k) demonstrates research skills relevant to the thesis   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) by the exercise of independent critical power             | <input type="checkbox"/>            | (l) is of a standard to merit publication in whole, in part or in a revised form  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| (d) is an integrated whole and presents a coherent argument   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |   |                                     |
| (e) gives a critical assessment of the relevant literature    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |   |                                     |
| (f) describes the method of research and its findings         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |   |                                     |

3. The examiners report that they have determined **one** of the following: **Please tick relevant box**

- (1) That the candidate has satisfied them in the examination for the degree of PhD. ☐
- (2) That to satisfy the examiners in the examination for the degree of PhD, the candidate is required to make specified minor amendments to the examiners' satisfaction within three months (see overleaf) ☒
- (3) That the candidate be permitted to re-enter for the examination for the PhD degree and to re-present the thesis in a revised form within eighteen months. [A further oral examination is at the discretion of the examiners.] ☐  
A further oral examination is: ☐ required ☐ not required ☐ not yet decided
- (4) That the candidate be permitted to re-enter for the oral examination (on the same thesis) ☐  
not later than .....\*
- (5) That the candidate has satisfied the criteria for the award of the degree of MPhil and should be awarded this degree. ☐
- (6) That to satisfy the requirements for the degree of MPhil, the candidate is required to make specified minor amendments to the examiners' satisfaction within three months (see overleaf). ☐
- (7) That the candidate be permitted to enter the examination for the degree of MPhil and to re-present the thesis in a revised form within twelve months. ☐
- (8) That the candidate has not satisfied them in the examination. (Such a candidate will not be permitted to re-enter for the examination for the PhD or the MPhil degree). ☐

\*A period not exceeding eighteen months should be specified by the examiners.