DMLA - Term 2 Sam Robbins

Divisibility and Primes

1 Divisibility and Perfect Numbers

If a,b are integers and $a \neq 0$ then a **divides** b iff b=ak for some integer k

a—b means "a is a divisor of b"/"a is a factor of b"/"b is a multiple of a"

A positive integer p > 1 is prime if its only positive divisors are 1 and p

2 Properties of divisibility

2.1 Theorem

The following statements about divisibility hold

- 1. if a—b then a—(bc) for all c
- 2. if a—b and b—c then a—c
- 3. If a—b and a—c then a—(sb+tc) for all s,t
- 4. For all $c \neq 0$, a—b iff (ca)|(cb)

2.2 Proof

Let's prove item 2:

- Since a—b, there is k_1 such that $b = ak_1$
- Since b—c there is k_2 such that $c = bk_2$
- Then $c = a(k_1k_2)$ so a—c

3 The division algorithm

3.1 Theorem

Let a be an integer and d a positive integer. Then there exists unique numbers q and r, with $0 \le r < d$, such that a = qd + r

3.2 Definition

In the equality in the division algorithm:

- q is the quotient, denoted by *qent*(*a*, *d*) or a div d
- r is the remainder, denoted by rem(a, d) or a mod d

4 Fundamental properties of primes

4.1 Theorem

Every positive integer n > 1 can be uniquely represented as $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_k$ where the numbers $p_1 \le p_2 \le ... \le p_k$ are all prime

4.2 Theorem

There are infinitely many prime numbers

DMLA - Term 2 Sam Robbins

4.3 Proof

Assume that there are finitely many primes, say $p_1, ..., p_n$ then consider the number $q = p_1 \cdots p_n + 1$ By the fundamental theorem, q is either prime, or can be written as the product of primes. Hence $p_i|q$ for some i, say $p_1|q$

But then p_1 divides $q + (-p_2 \cdots p_n)p_1 = 1$, a contradiction

4.4 Theorem

The number of primes not exceeding x approaches $x \ln x$ as x grows infinitely.

5 The greatest common divisor

Let gcd(a, b) denote the greatest common divisor of a and b A linear combination of a and b is any number of the form sa + tb

5.1 Theorem

gcd(a, b) is equal to the smallest linear combination of a and b

5.2 Proof

Let m = sa + tb be smallest positive. We prove that m = gcd(a, b) by showing that $gcd(a, b) \le m$ and $m \le gcd(a, b)$ Any common divisor of a, b divides m, hence gcd(a, b)|m and $gcd(a, b) \le m$

Now show that $m \le gcd(a,b)$. We show that m|aBy division algorithm, we have a = qm + r where $0 \le r < m$ As m = sa + tb we have a = q(sa + tb) + r, or r = (1 - qs)a + (-qt)bSince m is the smallest positive linear combination of a and b, and $0 \le r < m$ we must have r = 0 and hence m|aSimilarly one shows m—b and so $m \le gcd(a,b)$

6 Properties of the GCD

6.1 Lemma

The following statements hold:

- $gcd(ka,kb)=k \cdot gcd(a,b)$ for all k > 0
- If gcd(a.b)=1 and gcd(a,c)=1 then gcd(a,bc)=1
- if a—bc and gcd(a,b)=1 then a—c

6.2 Proof

We prove item 2, the other parts are similar Since gcd(a,b)=1 and gcd(a,c)=1, there are number s,t,u,c such that sa+tb=1 and ua+vc=1 Multiplying these together gives (sa+tb)(ua+vc)=1 Rewrite LHS as $a\cdot(sau+tbu+svc)+bc(tv)$ This is a linear combination of a and bc, and is equal to 1 Hence gcd(a,bc)=1

7 Euclid's Algorithm

7.1 Lemma

If a = qb + r then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r)

DMLA - Term 2 Sam Robbins

7.2 Proof

Suppose d—a and d—b. Then d—r because r = a - qb and so d—gcd(b,r). Conversely, if d—b and d—r then d—a and so d—gcd(a,b) Then gcd(a,b) and gcd(b,r) divide each other, so gcd(a,b)=gcd(b,r)

7.3 Method

Suppose a > b are positive numbers. Euclid's algorithm finds gcd(a,b) as follows

- let $r_0 = a$ and $r_1 = b$. Recursively compute numbers r_2, r_3 ...
- Use division algorithm $(r_i = r_{i+1}q_1 + r_{i+2})$ to find $r_{i+2} = rem(r_i, r_{i+1})$
- Note that $0 \le r_{i+2} < r_{i+1}$. Therefore, for some $n, r_n > 0$ and $r_{n+1} = 0$
- We know that $gcd(r_i, r_{i+1}) = gcd(r_{i+1}, r_{i+2})$ for all i (by the above lemma)
- $gcd(a,b) = gcd(r_0,r_1) = gcd(r_1,r_2) = \dots = gcd(r_{n-1},r_n) = gcd(r_n,0) = r_n$

7.4 Example

Find gcd(414,662)

$$662 = 414 \cdot 1 + 248$$

$$414 = 248 \cdot 1 + 166$$

$$248 = 166 \cdot 1 + 82$$

$$166 = 82 \cdot 2 + 2$$

$$82 = 2 \cdot 41$$

The last non-zero remainder is 2, so gcd(414,662)=2

7.5 Example 2

How do we modify Euclid's algorithm to express gcd(a,b) as a linear combination of a and b? In every line, express the current remainder as a linear combination of a and b

```
662 = 414 \cdot 1 + 248 \quad 248 = 662 + (-1) \cdot 414
414 = 248 \cdot 1 + 166 \quad 166 = 414 + (-1) \cdot 248 \quad = (-1) \cdot 662 + 2 \cdot 414
248 = 166 \cdot 1 + 82 \quad 82 = 248 + (-1) \cdot 166 \quad = 2 \cdot 662 + (-3) \cdot 414
166 = 82 \cdot 2 + 2 \quad 2 = 166 + (-2) \cdot 82 \quad = (-5) \cdot 662 + 8 \cdot 414
82 = \quad 2 \cdot 41
```

The last non zero remainder is 2, so $gcd(414,662) = 2 = (-5) \cdot 662 + 8 \cdot 414$

8 Relatively prime numbers

8.1 Definition

Two numbers a and b are called relatively prime if gcd(a,b)=1

8.2 Example

The value $\phi(n)$ of Euler's ϕ -function on a number n is the number of integers a with $1 \le a \le n$ that are relatively prime with n