

Advanced Topics in Computability

1 Diagonalisation

Definition: Countable

A set S is countable if there is a one-to-one correspondence between S and the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N}

2 Cantor's Proof

Proposition: The set of reals in the interval $(0,1)$ is uncountable

Proof: A real number A in $(0,1)$ is an (infinite) decimal expansion: $A = 0.a_1a_2a_3\dots$

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the real interval $(0,1)$ and \mathbb{N} , i.e. all the reals in $(0,1)$ can be ordered in a sequence

$$A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$$

We will construct a real number which is not in the sequence

3 Cantor's diagonal argument

Denote $A_i = 0.a_1^i a_2^i a_3^i \dots$ and put the sequence in the following rectangular table

$$\begin{array}{rcll} A_1 = & 0 & . & a_1^1 & a_2^1 & a_3^1 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_2 = & 0 & . & a_1^2 & a_2^2 & a_3^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_3 = & 0 & . & a_1^3 & a_2^3 & a_3^3 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & \vdots & & & & & \ddots & & \\ A_i = & 0 & . & a_1^i & a_2^i & a_3^i & \dots & a_i^i & \dots & \dots \\ & \vdots & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ & \vdots & & & & & & & \ddots & \end{array}$$

Construct a new number $B = 0.b_1b_2b_3\dots$ by taking

$$b_i = \begin{cases} a_i^i + 1 & \text{if } a_i^i < 9 \\ 0 & \text{if } a_i^i = 9 \end{cases}$$

Now, B is a real number in $(0,1)$ which is not in the table above, as $b_i \neq a_i^i$ for every i

4 Halting problem by diagonalisation

The set of all strings over a finite alphabet is countable - order them by length first and order the ones of the same length in lexicographic order

$$\varepsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, \dots$$

Therefore, the set of all Turing machines is countable, too. Put all TMs vs all inputs in an infinite table.

$HALT(M, w)$	w_0	w_1	\dots	w_i	\dots	w_j	\dots
M_0	h_{00}	h_{01}					
M_1	h_{10}	h_{11}					
\vdots			\dots			\vdots	
M_i			\dots	h_{ii}	\dots	$h_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & M_i \text{ halts on } w_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	\dots
\vdots					\dots	\vdots	

With the help of HALT machine, we created a TM M that everywhere disagrees with the diagonal

5 The class of Nice machines

A set of Turing machines \mathcal{N} has a Universal machine $U_{\mathcal{N}}(i, w)$ if

1. For every machines $N \in \mathcal{N}$, there is a number n such that $N(w) = U_{\mathcal{N}}(n, w)$ or all inputs w
2. For every number n , the machine $U_{\mathcal{N}}(n, \cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$

Definition: Nice machines

The class of "nice" machines \mathcal{N} is the set of all TMs that terminate on every input

Proposition: The class of "nice" machines \mathcal{N} does not have a universal machine

Proof: Assume that there is a universal function $U_{\mathcal{N}}(i, w)$. Diagonalise: consider the machine M defined by

$$M(w_i) = \neg U_{\mathcal{N}}(i, w_i)$$

for all i

M itself is a nice machine, so there must be a number n such that $M(w) = U_{\mathcal{N}}(n, w)$ for all inputs w . In particular, for $w = w_n$ we would have that

$$M(w_n) = U_{\mathcal{N}}(n, w_n)$$

However, but by the construction of M we have that

$$M(w_n) = \neg U_{\mathcal{N}}(n, w_n)$$

which is a contradiction

6 Self-Reference

We want a program (Turing machine) that ignores the input and produced its own source code (description) as output.

Definition: Quine

A program that generates a copy of its own source code as its complete output

7 Solution by mutual recursion

A quine that consists of two parts: A followed by B. A prints out B in a straightforward way, and then B prints out A using the output that has just been produced by A.

