

Randomised Algorithms I

1 Deterministic algorithms

The algorithms we saw until now are deterministic:

- Process the input and produce an output
- If it runs many times with the same input it produced the same output
- For many "hard" computational problems
 - It is difficult to design efficient algorithms
 - or the running time is very high

Where is the difficulty:

- We often need to make choices between two (or more) alternatives
- to make a correct choice we often need to know many **structural parameters** of the input
- decisions in **previous** steps may influence the range of choices in future steps

What can we do for that?

- Design efficient heuristics:
 - They run quickly
 - But do not always compute the optimum solution
- Design approximation algorithms
 - an optimum solution is not guaranteed
 - but it is guaranteed that their output is "not far" from the optimum (small approximation ratio)
- Use randomisation

2 Randomised algorithms

- An algorithm is randomised if its behaviour (output, running time) is determined by:
 - The input and
 - The values produced by a random generator (correspond to the random choices that it makes)
- If it runs many times with the same input: it has different outputs/running times (depending on the random values each time)

3 Randomised numbers

- The procedure $RANDOM(a, b)$:
 - returns an integer between a and b
 - each of them with equal probability
 - every call of $RANDOM$ is independent from the other ones
- In practice: real randomness is difficult
 - we use pseudo-random number generators
 - deterministic algorithms returning number that **look random**

An application of $RANDOM(a, b)$

- We are given a graph G with vertices $1, 2, \dots, n$

- We want to compute the random distance of a pair of vertices in G

Recall

- The algorithm $\text{BFS}(G,a,b)$ computes the distance between the vertices a and b in G
- The randomised algorithm:

Listing 1 Random_Distance(G)

```

1  a=RANDOM(1,n)           // randomly choose the first vertex a
2  b=a                     // initialization
3  while b=a
4      b=RANDOM(1,n)        // randomly choose the second vertex
5  return BFS(G,a,b)       //compute the distance of a and b

```

Another application of $\text{RANDOM}(a,b)$

- We are given an array A with n numbers
- we want to permute its elements randomly

How can we do that

- Key idea
 - Assign each element $A[i]$ of the array A with a "priority" $P[i]$
 - Then sort the elements of A according to these priorities
- The randomised algorithm:

Listing 2 Permute-By-Sorting(A)

```

1  n ← length[A]
2  for i ← 1 to n
3      do P[i]=RANDOM(1,n³)
4  sort A using P as sort keys
5  return A

```

4 Randomised algorithms

- In a randomised algorithm:
 - The input is **not** randomly chosen: only the decisions of the algorithm
 - The running time may depend on its random choices. We calculate the "expected running time"

Terminology:

Randomised algorithms	Average-case analysis
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make random choices • Input is not random • expected running time 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No random choices (deterministic algorithms) • Random input • Average case running time

5 Basic probability notions

In a random experiment (e.g. a coin toss):

- First we define a sample space S
- Each subset of S is an event
- Each single element of S is also an elementary events (elementary events are also events)
- We assign to each elementary event $x \in S$ a number $Pr(x) \geq 0$
Such that for every event $A = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k\}$
 - $Pr(A) = Pr(x_1) + Pr(x_2) + Pr(x_3) + \dots + Pr(x_k)$
 - $Pr(S)=1$ i.e. S is the certain event

The probability of an event shows:

- How probable is that the experiment has an outcome
- For two events A and B , if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then
 - A and B are called **mutually exclusive** and
 - $Pr(A \cup B) = Pr(A) + Pr(B)$
- Two events A and B are independent if:
 - $Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A) \cdot Pr(B)$
- In general we consider A and B as independent if they correspond to random experiments defined on different time and space domains

E.g for the random experiment "two independent tosses of a 'fair' coin":

- The sample space: $S = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$
- The event "one head and one tail is":

$$A = \{HT, TH\}$$

and has probability

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(A) &= Pr(HT) + Pr(TH) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

- A random variable X is a function from S to the real numbers
- The expected value of X is

$$E[X] = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}} x \cdot Pr(\{X = x\})$$

E.g for the experiment "toss two 'fair' coins":

- You earn £3 for each head but lose £2 for every tail
- The random variable is X ="your earnings"
- The expected value of X is:

$$E[X] = 6 \cdot P(HH) + (3 - 2) \cdot P(\{HT, TH\}) - 4 \cdot P(TT) = 6 \cdot (1/4) + 1 \cdot (1/4 + 1/4) - 4 \cdot (1/4) = 1$$

Theorem: for any two random variables X and Y , the expected value of the random variable $X+Y$ is

$$E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y]$$

- This property is called "linearity of expectation"
- It is particularly useful for applications

6 Randomised algorithms

Two main types of randomised algorithms

- Las Vegas algorithms
 - always find the correct solution
 - the running time varies for every execution
- Monte Carlo algorithms
 - not always the correct solution
 - but we can bound the probability of an incorrect solution
- Both very useful depending on the application

7 Monte Carlo algorithms

For decision problems (answer is YES/NO), there are two kinds of Monte Carlo algorithms

- Algorithms with two-sided error:
 - there is a non zero probability that the answer is wrong, when it answers YES and when it answers NO
- Algorithms with one-sided error:
 - there is a non zero probability that the answer is wrong, when it answers NO
 - the answer is always correct, when it answers YES
 - if we run the algorithm repeatedly:
 - * the failure probability becomes very small
 - * the running time increases

8 One sided error

- You have two £1 coins
- Bob claims that the first one is real and the second is fake
- You want to verify Bob's claim:
 - So you ask him questions
 - what do you ask
- Main idea:
 - if the coins are not the same, you finally believe Bob
 - if the coins are the same, you will catch Bob lying
- A Monte-Carlo algorithm:
 - Put the coins behind your back
 - Pick one randomly (you know which one you have picked)
 - ask Bob whether the picked coin is real or fake
- Why does it work
 - If the coins are different Bob will always recognise the fake one
 - If the coins are the same:
 - * In this case, Bob lies
 - * He does not know which one you picked
 - * he will guess \Rightarrow he answers incorrectly with probability $\frac{1}{2}$

Our decision is "does Bob lie?"

- if you answer YES
 - then you caught Bob lying
 - \Rightarrow you are sure he lies (always correct YES answer)
- If you answer NO
 - then you did not catch Bob lying
 - \Rightarrow either he is honest, or he was just lucky (erroneous NO answer with probability $\frac{1}{2}$)
- If you repeat the experiment k times:
 - You give an erroneous NO answer with probability $\frac{1}{2^k}$ i.e. if he is too lucky (all k times)
- The graph isomorphism problem: "given two graphs G_1, G_2 , are they the same graph?"
- A hard problem - nobody knows whether an efficient algorithm exists
- If Bob claims that G_1 and G_2 are not the same
 - randomly pick one of the graphs
 - ask Bob whether this is G_1 or G_2
 - similar idea with the coins

\Rightarrow we have a Monte Carlo algorithm with one-sided error for the problem "graph non-isomorphism"