

Church's λ -calculus

1 Syntax

Assume we have a countable set of (variable) names, which we shall denote by (possibly indexed) small letters - $a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$

Definition: λ - term

```
<term> ::= <name>
        | ( $\lambda$  <name>.<term>)
        | (<term><term>)
```

Conventions

1. Function application (3rd line) is left-associative, so $((A_1 A_2) A_3) \dots A_k$
2. Nested abstractions (2nd line) $(\lambda x_1. (\lambda x_2. (\dots \lambda x_k. A) \dots))$ can be abbreviated as $\lambda x_1 x_2 \dots x_k. A$

2 Free and Bound Variables

Free variables:

1. $\langle name \rangle$ is free in $\langle name \rangle$
2. $\langle name \rangle$ is free in $\lambda \langle name' \rangle . \langle term \rangle$ if $\langle name \rangle \neq \langle name' \rangle$ and $\langle name \rangle$ is free in $\langle term \rangle$
3. $\langle name \rangle$ is free in $\langle term' \rangle \langle term'' \rangle$ if $\langle name \rangle$ is free in $\langle term' \rangle$ or $\langle name \rangle$ is free in $\langle term'' \rangle$

Bound variables

1. $\langle name \rangle$ is bound in $\lambda \langle name' \rangle . \langle term \rangle$ if $\langle name \rangle = \langle name' \rangle$ or $\langle name \rangle$ is bound in $\langle term \rangle$
2. $\langle name \rangle$ is bound in $\langle term' \rangle \langle term'' \rangle$ if $\langle name \rangle$ is bound in $\langle term' \rangle$ or $\langle name \rangle$ is bound in $\langle term'' \rangle$

3 Reductions

Denote by $T[x := R]$ the term in which for every free occurrence of x is replaced by R

α -conversion: Bound variables can be renamed: $\lambda x.F \equiv \lambda t.F[x := t]$ provided t is not free in F and t is not bound by λ in F whenever it replaces an x . Example: $\lambda x.yx(\lambda x.xx)zx \equiv \lambda t.yt(\lambda x.xx)zt$.

β -reduction: Applying a function to the argument $(\lambda x.F)A \equiv F[x := A]$ provided all free occurrences in A remain free in $F[x := A]$

Definition: Normal Form

A λ -term is in normal form if no β reduction can be applied to it

Theorem If a λ -term has a normal form then the normal form is unique (up to renaming of bound variables)

Computing the normal form: Keep on replacing the leftmost bound variable by the actual argument until no further reduction is possible. This does not terminate iff the initial term has no normal form.

4 Church Numerals

The Church Numerals C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &\equiv \lambda sz.z \\ C_1 &\equiv \lambda sz.s(z) \\ C_2 &\equiv \lambda sz.s(s(z)) \\ &\dots \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

The successor can be defined as

$$S = \lambda uvw.v(uvw)$$

Lemma. For every two terms in F and A, $C_n F A = F^{(n)} A$

Corollary. Doing addition in λ -calculus: $C_n S C_m = C_{n+m}$

5 Predecessor is hard

Define true and false by

$$\begin{aligned} T &\equiv \lambda xy.x \\ F &\equiv \lambda xy.y \end{aligned}$$

and represent a pair (a, b) by $\lambda z.zab$ Define

$$\Phi \equiv \lambda pz.z(S(pT))(pT)$$

And finally the predecessor is defined as

$$P \equiv \lambda n.n\Phi (\lambda z.zC_0C_0) F$$