Your name:	20 lu tions	

## Quiz rules:

- (a) This quiz is closed book, but you are allowed a two-sided sheet of paper of notes and a calculator.
- (b) Each question is worth 6 points.
- (c) A normal table is provided on the last page.
- (d) You have 50 minutes to complete this quiz.
- (e) If you fail to show your work and/or explain how you arrived at your answer then no points will be awarded.

- 1. In a large class, the average score on the midterm was a 60% with an SD of 10%. The average score on the final was also a 60% with an SD of 20%.
  - (a) Predict the final exam score of a student who scored a 70% on the midterm.

(2) 
$$r*Z_x = Z_y$$
  
0.7\*  $l = 0.7$ 

Note: Predict that people who got 70% on midterm will get 74% on final.

(b) Does your answer to (a) contradict the regression effect? Explain why or why not.

Does not controlict regression effect. The regression effect. The regression effect is most easily seen on the Z-score scale.

Note Z = 1 but Zy = 0.7. This means on the midterm, people who scored at the standard to score at the standard to score at the standard to score at the same only because X and Y are of the same scale and have the same study. The researchers recruit 18 dogs - six large (Bernese Mountain Dogs), six medium (English Bulldog) and six small (West Highland Terrier). If birth is considered "week 0," the researchers are The different interested in the amount of weight the dogs gain from week 6 to week 52.

Sps much

The researchers randomly assigned half of the dog to treatment (i.e., the new dog food) and half to the control (i.e., the usual dog food). The table on the next page summarizes the total weight of the dogs at baseline (at week 6) and final (at week 52).

imbalane leading to

Confounding

		Baseline (total lbs)	Final (total lbs)			
Treatment Group	<ul><li>4 Bernese</li><li>4 Bulldogs</li><li>1 Westies</li></ul>	33	614			
Control Group	<ul><li>2 Bernese</li><li>2 Bulldogs</li><li>5 Westies</li></ul>	21	406			

(a) In this randomized experiment, the treatment group increased its total poundage by 581lbs (=614-33). The control group increased by 385lbs. The treatment group increased by 196lbs more than the control (581lbs-385lbs=196lbs). Given the design of the study, can you attribute that difference in increases to the difference in food? If so, identify the features of the study which allow you to do this. If not, identify alternative explanations for the observed difference. In either case, be as clear as possible and use statistical concepts to illuminate your argument.

Isest:

(an not attribute this solely to food, because of a potential confounder. Breed type has an impact on the outcome of interest sweight. It is also imbalanced between the treatment and outrol. More by and medium dogs are in the treatment and small dogs in the control. Breed type is a highly plausible afternative explanation for the difference in the change in weights.

Randomization occid, which means in general no confounders caused his Regardless of how you answered in part a, there is an improvement to how the researchers was a assigned the dogs to the treatment/control. Identify this improvement and discuss how it will chance help the study.

Improvement: Pair-morten dogs on characteristics at base line (e.g., breed type, weight at week 6). Then randomize one of the dogs within the pair to transment and the other to control.

How this helps: If we do this improved design, we will get 3 large 3 medium and 3 small dogs in both +t-at ment and control every time. This forces the randomly assigned groups to be more similar than the arrent procedure allows.

0

3. In baseball, one measure of a pitcher's performance is ERA, the number of runs surrendered per game pitched. For example, a pitcher who gives up 43 runs in 10 games would have ERA = 43/10 = 4.3. A lower ERA is better.

Consider two pitchers, Darvish and Tanaka. Suppose Darvish has ERA = 1.0 and Tanaka has ERA = 2.0 in the first half of the season. Suppose also that in the second half, Darvish has ERA = 3.0 and Tanaka has ERA = 4.0. Which of the following is true? Choose one.

- Darvish must have the higher ERA for the entire season.
- Tanaka must have the higher ERA for the entire season.

Either Darvish or Tanaka could have higher ERA for the entire season

Use numerical examples to illustrate your choice. (Hint: A typical pitcher pitches about 20-30 games in a season if healthy, but fewer if injured.)

المراد ا	15	an	e	Xank	nle of	- Simps	on's	[arq	do X	•			-P 1
Tanal	<i>1</i> a	4,44	2 -	ERA				Dav	-U13 L	n hi	ghe-	E	NA
Tanaka higher ERA					•	half half  Darvish   ERA Game ERA G						11	
	half half overall arvish 1 10 3 10 2							half		half		Breize !!	
n	ERA	Gange	ERA	Games	=	†	n		ERA	Game	EN	Gins	
Darvish	1.	10	3	10	2		Javo	rish	1	1	3	15	~2.88
Igna kg	2	10	4	10	3		Tanak	(f <sub>f</sub> ,	2	20	4	2	~2.18
	•		1	0									
	2027					no to Situation	. In	and at	l eser	V 0	دونه ا		1.
						intgitive							
don't have to have played equal number of games. Usually, people													

4. Stanford biologists H. Craig Heller and Dennis Grahn developed a "cooling glove" that can rapidly cool body temperatures. There are several applications for this glove, one of which is to improve athletic performance. During exertion athletes generate excessive amounts of heat. Excessive body heat reduces performance – primarily through limiting endurance. (This is real! Try Googling "cooling glove stanford.")

Imagine that Stanford's athletic director is considering rolling out this technology for use by all of Stanford's varsity athletic teams. He wants to know if this glove will help Stanford's varsity athletes. The athletic director commissions a randomized study of the effect of the glove. Suppose the researchers recruit 30 male athletes from three of the high-endurance men's varsity sports teams (i.e., cross country, rowing, soccer). On each team, half of the men will receive the treatment (use of the glove during competition) and the other half will be assigned to control (normal playing conditions). The researchers then perform a reasonable randomized study, being careful to have similar athletes in the treatment and control groups. On all measurements of performance, the treatment group outperforms the control group.

(a) What features of this study qualify it as an experiment rather than an observational study? List at least two features.

Really important: (1) Randomization because it will, in general, create equalent groups. This is important because it addresses known variables as well as unknown. (2) Researcher (ontrolled degign. People intentionally sought out participants, created a protect and considered potential confounders in designing study.

OK to have: Prospective (instead of retrospective) Study, measurement of baseline characteristics, sample from defined population.

(b) You may assume this study was properly run (e.g., no mismeasurements occurred, the

(b) You may assume this study was properly run (e.g., no mismeasurements occurred, the researchers measured the right kinds of outcomes both for performance and increased risk). Nonetheless, this study is inadequate. It is not capable of directly answering the athletic director's question. There are at least two features of the way this study was designed that limits its usefulness. Identify at least one of these issues and discuss its impact.

But they only experimented with men. This completely misses women, who may react differently to the glove.

(a) Not all athletes are high-endurance (e.g. shot putter). But researchers only sampled from high-endurance sports. Effect may be quite different for other types of athletes.

Ok, but not great: Issues due to place bo or belief-in-effect from lack of blinding. This may occur, but the Athlene Director doesn't

5. Out of all the states in the US, Montana has the highest temperature variability over the course of care is the year. The capital of Montana – Helena – has an average annual temperature of 43.9°F and an SD people of 16.5°F. Most other states have SDs that are less than 10°F are perferming better because of physiology or psychology

A weatherman would like to predict temperatures in Helena. He has heard that he can use one lost beth!) variable to predict another. He decides to try this out. First he collects the average temperature for each month in Helena. He then decides to use month (Jan = 1, Feb = 2, ..., Dec = 12) to predict temperature.

Before running a regression he runs a linear correlation between month and temperature, because he's heard correlation will show him "if there's any connection between X and Y." He finds that the correlation between month and temperature is -0.08.

(a) What does this correlation say about the association between month and temperature?

It means there is little linear association, because -0.08 is quite close to zero.

But: given what we know about temperatures across months, the plot probably looks something like:

temp This is not linear, but there is a very high association.

(b) Do you think month can be used reliably to predict temperature? Explain your thinking by using statistical terminology.

Linear Marchation is the wrong measurement of association here (see part a). Month is probably a good predictor of temperature — January this year tends to be a lot like January in prior years, but quite unlike July temperatures. Maybe with Global Warming things are changing from year-to-year (i.e., a secular trend).

But mostly we see a Strong Cyclic association.

6. Stats 60 students study an average of 10 hours a week, with an SD of 2 hours. The correlation between hours spent and exam performance is 0.30. What percentage of Stats 60 students who study 7 hours a week would you expect to score below average on an exam? Or is there not enough information? (If not enough information, please make as precise a statement about the exam performance of these students as possible.)

If we assume the scatterphot is foot bull shaped, then we have enough information, to get percentiles. We couldn't predict score on the exam.

(1) Convert X in  $Z_X$   $Z_X = \frac{7 - 10}{2} = -1.5$ 

(a) r.Zx = 0.30(-1.5) = -0.45 = Zy

Now find RMSE to find spread

> RMSE =  $\sqrt{1-0.3^2}$ . | = 0.95 CSC Z = 0 - (-0.45) Z = 0.95 Z = 0.47From Z - +nble: V = 0.47