

Probabilistic Programming for Scientific Discovery

Lecture 1

Ludger Paehler
Lviv Data Science Summer School

July 29, 2020

Table of Contents

Overview of Research at the Chair

Course Outline

Example Applications of Probabilistic Programming

ETALUMIS: Bringing Probabilistic Programming to Scientific Simulators at Scale

DreamCoder: Growing Generalizable, Interpretable Knowledge with Wake-Sleep Bayesian Program Learning

Why Do We even Need Probabilistic Programming?

Underlying Theoretical Ideas

Model-Based Reasoning

Models with Static Computation Graphs

Models with Dynamic Computation Graphs

Advanced Topics

Outline

Overview of Research at the Chair

Course Outline

Example Applications of Probabilistic Programming

ETALUMIS: Bringing Probabilistic Programming to Scientific Simulators at Scale

DreamCoder: Growing Generalizable, Interpretable Knowledge with Wake-Sleep Bayesian Program Learning

Why Do We even Need Probabilistic Programming?

Underlying Theoretical Ideas

Model-Based Reasoning






Models with Static Computation Graphs

Models with Dynamic Computation Graphs

Advanced Topics


Overview

Aircraft- and Helicopter Aerodynamics, Prof.Dr. C. Breitsamter



Overview



High-Speed Aerodynamics, apl.Prof.Dr. C. Stemmer



Overview

Automotive Aerodynamics, PD Dr. T. Indinger




- DrivAER
- Experimental investigation using a moving floor
- Numerical investigations using the lattice boltzmann method



Overview

Compressible Flows, Dr. S. Schmidt



- Investigation of cavitation phenomena in multiphase-flows
- Interaction of turbulence and cavities
- Effects of primary jet breakup



Overview

Complex Fluids, PD Dr. XY Hu, Dr. S. Adami


- Smoother particle hydrodynamics models for incompressible turbulent flows
- Numerical simulations of multiphase-flows using particle methods
- Microfluidics, Polymer and DNA solutions
- Waterjet- and Spray-dynamics



Overview

NANOSHOCK - ERC Advanced Grant, Dr. S. Adami, Dr. M. Giglmaier

- Shock-induced processes in multiphase-flows
- Exploration of contactless flow-manipulation in processing, nanotech and medtech




Overview

Digital Manufacturing - 3D Printing, Dr. S. Adami, Dr. M. Giglmaier

Example of a typical SPH melt-pool simulation

- Laser: $P=70W$, $v=4m/s$
- SPH particle: 805.000, $x = 2.5\mu m$
- Powder particles: $25\mu m$, $5\mu m$
- ~ 1 CPUd on simple desktop workstation



Recording of lab-size experiment

- Inconel 718 Powder
- Laser: $P=125W$, $v=2m/s$
- Shielding gas: Argon



Outline

Overview of Research at the Chair

Course Outline

Example Applications of Probabilistic Programming

ETALUMIS: Bringing Probabilistic Programming to Scientific Simulators at Scale

DreamCoder: Growing Generalizable, Interpretable Knowledge with Wake-Sleep Bayesian Program Learning

Why Do We even Need Probabilistic Programming?

Underlying Theoretical Ideas

Model-Based Reasoning

Models with Static Computation Graphs

Models with Dynamic Computation Graphs

Advanced Topics

Course Outline

- 4 Lectures
 1. Foundational Knowledge
 2. Inference Engines & Introduction to Turing.jl
 3. Hierarchical Bayesian Approaches & Bayesian Deep Learning
 4. The Connection to Scientific Problems
- 3 Tutorials for Self-Paced Consumption
 1. In-Depth Introduction to Probabilistic Programming Systems with Turing.jl
 2. Bayesian Approaches in Probabilistic Programming
 - ▷ Bayesian Deep Learning
 - ▷ Hierarchical Bayesian Modelling
 3. Machine-Learning Based Design with Probabilistic Programming

Lecture 1

- Example Applications of Probabilistic Programming
 1. *ETALUMIS: Bringing Probabilistic Programming to Scientific Simulators at Scale*
 2. *DreamCoder: Growing Generalizable, Interpretable Knowledge with Wake-Sleep Bayesian Program Learning*
- Why do we even need Probabilistic Programming?
- Underlying Theoretical Ideas

Lecture 2

- Approaches to Inference - the Inference Engine
- Probabilistic Programming Frameworks
- Practical Introduction to a Probabilistic Programming Framework

Lecture 3

- Bayesian Hierarchical Approaches
- Bayesian Deep Learning, including but not limited to
 - Inference Networks
 - Uncertainty Quantification
- Marrying Deep Learning Frameworks with Probabilistic Programming for Type 2 Machine Learning

Lecture 4

- Interaction with Scientific Simulators
 - What types of simulators would I want to link to?
 - What are the hidden pitfalls?
- Areas of application
 - Robotics
 - Physics
 - Engineering
 - Machine-Learning Based Design

Outline

Overview of Research at the Chair

Course Outline

Example Applications of Probabilistic Programming

ETALUMIS: Bringing Probabilistic Programming to Scientific Simulators at Scale

DreamCoder: Growing Generalizable, Interpretable Knowledge with Wake-Sleep Bayesian Program Learning

Why Do We even Need Probabilistic Programming?

Underlying Theoretical Ideas

Model-Based Reasoning

Models with Static Computation Graphs

Models with Dynamic Computation Graphs

Advanced Topics

Etalumis

Bringing Probabilistic Programming to Scientific Simulators at Scale²

- Large scale inverse problem, where a particle simulator is inverted by probabilistically inferring all choices in the simulator given the desired outputs
 - Developed in the context of particle simulations at CERN
- First large-scale application of probabilistic programming to physical simulators in the quest to potentially unearth new physics ¹
- Largest-scale posterior inference with 25000 latent variables at the time
- Amount of compute required highly dependent on the specific approach to inference and the nature of the simulator, i.e. latent dimensionality and intensity of the compute routine
 - Only set to improve with the impeding exascale-era

¹Cranmer, K., Brehmer, J. and Louppe, G., 2020. The frontier of simulation-based inference. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

²Baydin, A.G., Shao, L., Bhimji, W., Heinrich, L., Meadows, L., Liu, J., Munk, A., Naderiparizi, S., Gram-Hansen, B., Louppe, G. and Ma, M., 2019, November. Etalumis: Bringing probabilistic programming to scientific simulators at scale. In Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (pp. 1-24).

Etalumis

- Proposes a direct linking of particle physics simulators with probabilistic programming systems to trace the internal structure of the simulator
 - Probabilistic programming system controls the random number draws of the simulator akin to samples from prior distributions in Bayesian statistics
- Utilizing inference compilation with three-dimensional convolutional LSTMs to guide the inference procedure and amortize the high computational costs of training
 - Dynamic compilation, in which a core gets expanded with further neural network components as inference compilation proceeds
- Utilizes importance sampling in conjunction with inference compilation as approach to inference

Etalumis

Simulators as Probabilistic Programs

- A simulator execution is viewed as an execution trace, a single sample to the probabilistic programming system
 - I.e. sampling is taking place in the space of execution traces
- Abstracting the simulator in this way enables the following analysis
 - Compute likelihoods
 - Learn/construct surrogate models
 - Generate training data for inference compilation
 - Introduce other generative approaches into the loop
- Enables us to guide the simulation in an intelligent fashion, using the inference network, which acts as a kind of oracle

Etalumis




Figure: High-level view from the perspective of the probabilistic programming execution protocol (PPX).

Etalumis




Figure: Detailed connection between simulation and inference in the probabilistic programming-based approach.

Etalumis

Recap: Amortized Inference^{3 4}


- Key idea: Learn from past inferences, to make future inferences run faster
 - Motivated by the brain's processing of information in context related information
- Constructs a parameterized guide program, which does not necessarily need to be a neural network
- Traditionally probabilistic programming systems utilize a form of inference, such as Monte Carlo, dynamic programming, or analytic computation to approximately solve an intractable integral from scratch on every invocation
- Simplified by constraining the control flow of the guide to the one of the original, in opposition to previous program induction approaches
- Manual construction at the stage of the mentioned papers. → see inference compilation

³Gershman, S. and Goodman, N., 2014. Amortized inference in probabilistic reasoning. In Proceedings of the annual meeting of the cognitive science society (Vol. 36, No. 36).

⁴Ritchie, D., Horsfall, P. and Goodman, N.D., 2016. Deep amortized inference for probabilistic programs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.05735.

Etalumis

Recap: Amortized Inference



```

var graph = loadQMRGraph('qmr_graph.json'),
var data = loadData('qmr_data.json'),

var noisyOrProb = function(symptomNode, diseases) {
  var cp = product(map(function(parent) {
    return diseases[parent.index] ? (1 - parent.prob) . 1,
  }, symptomNode.parents)),
  return 1 - (1-symptomNode.leakProb)*cp,
},

var guideNet = nn.mlp(graph.numSymptoms, [
  {nOut: graph.numDiseases, activation: sigmoid}
], 'guideNet'),
var predictDiseaseProbs = function(symptoms) {
  return nneval(guideNet, Vector(symptoms)),
},

var model = function() {
  mapData({data: data, batchSize: 20}, function(symptoms) {
    var predictedProbs = predictDiseaseProbs(symptoms),
    var diseases = mapIndexed(function(i, disease) {
      return sample(Bernoulli({p: disease.priorProb}), {
        guide: Bernoulli({p: T.get(predictedProbs, i)})
      }),
      graph.diseaseNodes),
    mapData({data: symptoms}, function(symptom, symptomIndex) {
      var symptomNode = graph.symptomNodes[symptomIndex],
      observe(Bernoulli({p: noisyOrProb(symptomNode, diseases)}), symptom),
    }),
  }),
},

```

Etalumis

Recap: Amortized Inference





Figure: (Left) ELBo optimization progress. (Right) Percentage of test set active effects correctly predicted using latent causes from either the prior or the guide program.

Etalumis

Outlook: Inference Compilation⁵

- Uses neural networks to construct a surrogate model for the probabilistic generative model, which is subsequently used at inference time as a custom proposal distribution to avoid sampling from the actual generative model
- Intuition is that the cost of constructing the surrogate can be amortized at inference time and be lower inference from the underlying generative model
 - Need to watch out for sample diversity and out-of-distribution samples
- Proposes adaptive neural network architecture with a recurrent core and embedding and proposal layers specified by the probabilistic program
- Approach is model-agnostic

⁵Le, T.A., Baydin, A.G. and Wood, F., 2017, April. Inference compilation and universal probabilistic programming. In Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (pp. 1338-1348).

Etalumis

Outlook: Inference Compilation




Figure: Automatic construction of a neural network surrogate, which is then trained with data generated by the probabilistic program to eventually act as the proposal distribution at inference time.

Etalumis

Outlook: Inference Compilation




Figure: Example application for captcha solving based on probabilistic generative models for the captchas. With the LSTM at its core, required embeddings for the respective are attached adaptively.

Etalumis

Algorithm 2 Distributed training with MPI backend. $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the simulator and $\hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is an offline dataset sampled from $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

Require: OnlineData {True/False value}
Require: B {Minibatch size}

Initialize inference network $q_\phi(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})$
 $N \leftarrow$ number of processes

for all $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ **do**

while Not Stop **do**

if OnlineData **then**

Sample $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_1, \dots, (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_B\}$ from $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

else

Get $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_1, \dots, (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_B\}$ from $\hat{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

end if

Synchronize parameters (ϕ) across all processes

$\mathcal{L}_n \leftarrow -\frac{1}{B} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}_n} \log q_\phi(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})$

Calculate $\nabla_\phi \mathcal{L}_n$

Call all_reduce s.t. $\nabla_\phi \mathcal{L} \leftarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \nabla_\phi \mathcal{L}_n$

Update ϕ using $\nabla_\phi \mathcal{L}$ with e.g. ADAM, SGD, LARC, etc.

end while

end for

Etalumis






Figure: Posteriors obtained with random-walk Metropolis Hasting (filled histograms) and inference compilation (outline histograms) and ground truth values (dashed vertical lines).

Etalumis



- Moving to supercomputer scale for massive-scale inference to be able to generate the necessary number of simulations for the inference compilation to be successful.

Etalumis

- First probabilistic programming system to link to scientific simulators at scale to enable large-scale posterior inference on a supercomputing-scale
 - Can only ever capture the processes encapsulated in the simulator
- Introduces a probabilistic programming execution protocol to link to scientific simulators
- To make inference tractable it needs to rely on techniques, such as amortization through inference compilation, which essentially constructs an oracle
- Pushes the frameworks to the extreme with communication requirements and data exchange between computing instances

DreamCoder⁶

Growing Generalizable, Interpretable Knowledge with Wake-Sleep Bayesian Program Learning

- Constructs domain-specific languages (DSLs) for scientific problems combined with a neural network, which embodies a learned domain-specific search strategy
 - Learns both the system prior and the needed inference algorithm
- Practically constructs a library of symbolic abstractions in a wake-sleep manner and applies said library to the solving of the chosen problem at hand
- Wake-sleep learning
 - During *sleep* the system consolidates its abstractions from the programs found during *wake* and improves upon the neural network recognition model by imagining new samples
 - During *wake* the generative model is exploited on the problem domain to find the programs with the highest posterior probability

⁶Ellis, K., Wong, C., Nye, M., Sable-Meyer, M., Cary, L., Morales, L., Hewitt, L., Solar-Lezama, A. and Tenenbaum, J.B., 2020. DreamCoder: Growing generalizable, interpretable knowledge with wake-sleep Bayesian program learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.08381.

DreamCoder

- Knowledge is accumulated in a multilayered hierarchy with knowledge and skills being successively learned over time, i.e. the knowledge is bootstrapped from very simple examples to ever more complex cases
- Can be broken down to a probabilistic inference procedure, i.e. observing task X and inferring program ρ_x to solve task $x \in X$ combined with a prior distribution over program, which might solve tasks in the domain

$$\rho_x = \arg \max_{\substack{\rho: \\ Q(\rho|x) \text{ is large}}} P[\rho|x, L] \propto P[x|\rho]P[\rho|L], \text{ for each task } x \in X \quad \text{Wake}$$

$$L = \arg \max_L P[L] \prod_{x \in X} \max_{\rho \text{ a refactoring of } \rho_x} P[x|\rho]P[\rho|L] \quad \text{Sleep : Abstraction}$$

Train $Q(\rho|x) \approx P[\rho|x, L]$, where $x \sim X$ ('replay') or $x \sim L$ ('fantasy') $\quad \text{Sleep : Dreaming}$

DreamCoder




Figure: Algorithm Cycle of DreamCoder

DreamCoder

Algorithm 1 Full DreamCoder algorithm

```

1: function DreamCoder( $D, X$ ):
2: Input: Initial library functions  $D$ , tasks  $X$ 
3: Output: Infinite stream of libraries, recognition models, and beams
4: Hyperparameters: Batch size  $B$ , enumeration timeout  $T$ , maximum beam size  $M$ 
5:  $\theta \leftarrow$  uniform distribution
6:  $\mathcal{B}_x \leftarrow \emptyset, \forall x \in X$  ▷ Initialize beams to be empty
7: while true do ▷ Loop over epochs
8:   shuffle  $\leftarrow$  random permutation of  $X$  ▷ Randomize minibatches
9:   while shuffle is not empty do ▷ Loop over minibatches
10:    batch  $\leftarrow$  first  $B$  elements of shuffle ▷ Next minibatch of tasks
11:    shuffle  $\leftarrow$  shuffle with first  $B$  elements removed
12:     $\forall x \in \text{batch}: \mathcal{B}_x \leftarrow \mathcal{B}_x \cup \{\rho \mid \rho \in \text{enumerate}(\mathbf{P}[\cdot | D, \theta], T) \text{ if } \mathbf{P}[x | \rho] > 0\}$  ▷ Wake
13:    Train  $Q(\cdot | \cdot)$  to minimize  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{MAP}}$  across all  $\{\mathcal{B}_x\}_{x \in X}$  ▷ Dream Sleep
14:     $\forall x \in \text{batch}: \mathcal{B}_x \leftarrow \mathcal{B}_x \cup \{\rho \mid \rho \in \text{enumerate}(Q(\cdot | x), T) \text{ if } \mathbf{P}[x | \rho] > 0\}$  ▷ Wake
15:     $\forall x \in \text{batch}: \mathcal{B}_x \leftarrow$  top  $M$  elements of  $\mathcal{B}_x$  as measured by  $\mathbf{P}[\cdot | x, D, \theta]$  ▷ Keep top  $M$  programs
16:     $D, \theta, \{\mathcal{B}_x\}_{x \in X} \leftarrow \text{ABSTRACTION}(D, \theta, \{\mathcal{B}_x\}_{x \in X})$  ▷ Abstraction Sleep
17:    yield  $(D, \theta), Q, \{\mathcal{B}_x\}_{x \in X}$  ▷ Yield the updated library, recognition model, and solutions found
        to tasks
18:   end while
19: end while

```

DreamCoder




Figure: Different data-classes in DreamCoder.

DreamCoder





Figure: Program Flowchart: Phase 1, Dreaming. Phase 2, 1st Program Enumeration. Phase 3, Recognition Model Training. Phase 4, 2nd Program Enumeration. Phase 5, Abstraction (Compression). Phase 6, Library Visualization.

Recap: Helmholtz Machine^{7 8}

- Couples neural networks with external memory, which is accessed through an internal attention mechanism
 - Iteratively modify the state of the network through its memory mechanism
- Can infer simple algorithms from data
- Structure
 - Controller is a neural network
 - Heads select the part of the memory to access
 - Memory is essentially a large matrix



⁷Graves, A., Wayne, G. and Danihelka, I., 2014. Neural turing machines. arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.5401.

⁸YouTube: DeepMind x UCL | Deep Learning Lectures | 8/12 | Attention and Memory in Deep Learning

Recap: Helmholtz Machine



- Heavily relies on selection attention, where the controller emits a distribution over the memory matrix, which then defines content- and location-based attention mechanisms⁹
- Content-based:
 - A key vector is compared to each memory location
- Location-based:
 - Use a shift kernel in conjunction with the weighting to shift to a new location in memory
- Results in three different interaction modes:
 1. Content key only
 2. Content and location
 3. Location only

⁹Lilian Weng's review of attention: <https://lilianweng.github.io/lil-log/2018/06/24/attention-attention.html>

Recap: Helmholtz Machine


Example: Priority Sort

- Learns algorithm to sort data from a sequence of random binary vectors and their respective scalar priority rating



Outlook: Differentiable Neural Computer¹⁰


- Successor architecture to the neural turing machine with new attention mechanisms
- Specifically geared towards applications in graphs —> more on this later!



¹⁰Graves, A., Wayne, G., Reynolds, M., Harley, T., Danihelka, I., Grabska-Barwińska, A., Colmenarejo, S.G., Grefenstette, E., Ramalho, T., Agapiou, J. and Badia, A.P., 2016. Hybrid computing using a neural network with dynamic external memory. *Nature*, 538(7626), pp.471-476.

DreamCoder

- Due to its compositional nature, representations of problems can be bootstrapped from earlier, simpler version of the scientific task to more and more complex settings



DreamCoder

Applications

List Processing

Sum List

$[1 \ 2 \ 3] \rightarrow 6$
 $[4 \ 6 \ 8 \ 1] \rightarrow 17$

Double

$[1 \ 2 \ 3] \rightarrow [2 \ 4 \ 6]$
 $[4 \ 5 \ 1] \rightarrow [8 \ 10 \ 2]$


Check Evens

$[0 \ 2 \ 3] \rightarrow [T \ T \ F]$
 $[2 \ 9 \ 6] \rightarrow [T \ F \ T]$

LOGO Graphics



Block Towers



Recursive Programming

Filter Red


$[\text{Red} \ \text{Blue} \ \text{Red}] \rightarrow [\text{Blue}]$
 $[\text{Red} \ \text{Black} \ \text{Red} \ \text{Green}] \rightarrow [\text{Black} \ \text{Red} \ \text{Green}]$
 $[\text{Red} \ \text{Black} \ \text{Red} \ \text{Green} \ \text{Red}] \rightarrow [\text{Black} \ \text{Red} \ \text{Green}]$

Length

$[\text{Red} \ \text{Blue} \ \text{Red}] \rightarrow 4$
 $[\text{Red} \ \text{Black} \ \text{Red} \ \text{Green}] \rightarrow 6$
 $[\text{Red} \ \text{Black} \ \text{Red}] \rightarrow 3$

R_i

Symbolic Regression



Physical Laws

$$\vec{a} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i \vec{F}_i$$

$$\vec{F} \propto \frac{q_1 q_2}{|\vec{r}|^2} \hat{r}$$

$$R_{\text{total}} = \left(\sum_i \frac{1}{R_i} \right)^{-1}$$

DreamCoder

Applications




Figure: Learned library for physics equations.

DreamCoder

Applications




Figure: Learned library for recursive programming algorithm.

DreamCoder

Applications





Figure: Learned library for LOGO graphics.

DreamCoder

Applications




Figure: Learned library for list processing.

DreamCoder

Take-Aways

- Combining probabilistic programming with a DSL-learning procedure and novel probabilistic inference procedure to iteratively learn to represent a problem's domain allows one to gain the ability to solve a problem
- Such applications require highly complex codebase structures across multiple languages
- For more complex examples reliant on a highly efficient inference procedure

Outline

Overview of Research at the Chair

Course Outline

Example Applications of Probabilistic Programming

ETALUMIS: Bringing Probabilistic Programming to Scientific Simulators at Scale

DreamCoder: Growing Generalizable, Interpretable Knowledge with Wake-Sleep Bayesian Program Learning

Why Do We even Need Probabilistic Programming?

Underlying Theoretical Ideas

Model-Based Reasoning

Models with Static Computation Graphs

Models with Dynamic Computation Graphs

Advanced Topics

Why Do We Need Probabilistic Programming?

The Myth of Probabilistic Programming
Automated Inference

Not solved for high-dimensions and modern
probabilistic models

Why Do We Need Probabilistic Programming?

Move away from handcoded inference routines to fast,
verified ones for **reproducibility** and **focus on**
science

Why Do We Need Probabilistic Programming?

Accelerate the probabilistic modelling research cycle

Why Do We Need Probabilistic Programming?

Natively express **uncertainties** over our model and workflow.

Why Do We Need Probabilistic Programming?

Express ever more **complex probabilistic models**

Why Do We Need Probabilistic Programming?

Express probabilistic models **as succinctly as possible**

Why Do We Need Probabilistic Programming?

Lower the barrier of entry to Bayesian analysis for data-scientists, engineers, etc.

Outline

Overview of Research at the Chair

Course Outline

Example Applications of Probabilistic Programming

ETALUMIS: Bringing Probabilistic Programming to Scientific Simulators at Scale

DreamCoder: Growing Generalizable, Interpretable Knowledge with Wake-Sleep Bayesian Program Learning

Why Do We even Need Probabilistic Programming?

Underlying Theoretical Ideas

Model-Based Reasoning

Models with Static Computation Graphs

Models with Dynamic Computation Graphs

Advanced Topics

Probabilistic Programming

Research Perspective

- Designing languages, which have expressive semantics
- Interpreters
- Compiler to translate inference problems into formal mathematical objects
- Integration with modern inference frameworks
 - Aiming to develop automated inference systems and/or general-purpose inference algorithms

Model-Based Reasoning ¹¹

- Random variables and probabilistic calculations are a core requirement → probabilistic machine learning
- All computational models we formulate are essentially approximations of reality
- Numerical models emulate stochasticity through the use of pseudorandom number generators, which are subsequently responsible for random phenomena and other uncertainties
- This leads to an explosion of the possible states of individual nodes in the graph of the program
- A good probabilistic model should then be able to represent all possible states of the real world

¹¹van de Meent, J.W., Paige, B., Yang, H. and Wood, F., 2018. An introduction to probabilistic programming. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.10756.

Model-Based Reasoning

- To really explore the space of possibilities for our model, we need a large population of model executions for statistical significance
- Our models allow us to measure value, for phenomena which also take place in the real world, these are our *observations*
- But we are unable to capture every detail of our model be it for physical reasons, or numerical constraints
- A welcome use for our probabilistic programming system is hence the statistical validation of theories, where inability to reproduce experimental results exposes weaknesses in our theoretical understanding
- Statistical decision-making under uncertainty does also constitute a direct application

Model-Based Reasoning

- What does usefulness mean in this context?
 - Reusable, interpretable abstraction
 - Reusable, non-interpretable but accurately generating data
 - Problem-specific simulators in engineering and the natural sciences
- But all models are parameterized
 - Amenable to model-fitting

Model-Based Reasoning

- How are such models denoted, and how are they manipulated to compute quantities of interest?
- Condition on sets of observation to arrive at a joint distribution
- Use Bayes' rule ^{12 13}

$$p(X|Y) = \frac{p(Y|X)p(X)}{p(Y)} = \frac{p(X, Y)}{p(Y)} = \frac{p(X, Y)}{\int p(X, Y)dX}$$

- A model is then the joint distribution $p(Y, X) = p(Y|X)p(X)$ of the observations Y and the random choices made in the generative model X , which we know as the latent variables
- Setup encapsulates a large number of problems in the sciences

¹²Davidson-Pilon, C., 2015. Bayesian methods for hackers: probabilistic programming and Bayesian inference. Addison-Wesley Professional.

¹³Pfeffer, A., 2016. Practical probabilistic programming. Manning Publications Co..

Model-Based Reasoning


- Probabilistic programming system scale type of problems pairs:

X	Y
scene description	image
simulation	simulator output
program source code	program return value
policy prior and world simulator	rewards
cognitive decision making process	observed behavior

Model-Based Reasoning

- The first such pair, was already successfull used to solve Captcha using general-purpose inference
- All that was needed was a probabilistic program, which could generate similar Captchas
- As there are a great number of distributions we are unable to perform exact inference on, we have to rely on approximate inference algorithms
- We seek to characterize the posterior distribution of all random choices made during the forward exection, given that the model produces a specific output.
- Key here is always the ability of the probabilistic programming system to condition on existing values
- **Expressivity is not limited to traditional statistical models!**

Model-Based Reasoning



Model-Based Reasoning




Figure: Constrained Simulation

Models with Static Computation Graphs

- Blub

Models with Dynamic Computation Graphs

- Blub

Advanced Topics

Model Learning

- So far the history of machine learning has had one prevailing constant: Data-driven discriminative techniques are superior to hand-designed algorithms if enough labeled training data is available
- Goal in probabilistic programming: **learn** the generative model itself
- To do this in any general kind of fashion one has to follow a bottom-up approach in which one starts with the observations and learns the distribution over the latent parameters
- To do this generally we have to rely on neural networks as transformation approximators

Advanced Topics

Model Learning

- As writing a good generative model is a hard task in itself learning the model with intelligent agents is the obvious next step
- This is in line with the views on agents of many cognitive scientists:
 - An agent constructs and reasons in models of its own worlds and uses its world-model to compute the expected utility of its actions
- The direct choice for this is the Helmholtz machine¹⁴
 - Posits an intertwined forward- and backwards model with an internal representation learning algorithm, which writes directly onto the latent space of the model
- A further development of this idea is the variational autoencoder¹⁵

¹⁴Dayan, P., Hinton, G.E., Neal, R.M. and Zemel, R.S., 1995. The helmholtz machine. *Neural computation*, 7(5), pp.889-904.

¹⁵Kingma, D.P. and Welling, M., 2013. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*.

Advanced Topics

Model Learning




Figure: A 3-layer Helmholtz machine modelling its inputs in a two-stage hierarchical model.

Advanced Topics

Model Learning

- As the decoder has no prior structure it needs to learn the complete program structure from data
 - Program induction research suggests it a good idea to impose structure
- No general proof of the impending supremacy of the bottom-up approach on the horizon yet

Nesting

- Blub

Nesting

- Blub

Nesting

- Blub

Summary

- Blub