

# PhD Proposal

Ludovic Andrieux

September 16, 2025

Modeling of propellant sloshing in space vehicle tanks under micro-gravity or low-g maneuver through physics informed machine learning.

## Keywords

Propellant sloshing, space tanks, CFD, pendulum models, PINN, GNC simulation, ECSS/SMP

## Desired Profile and Skills

- Engineering degree or Master's degree in aerospace or applied data science.
- Skills in fluid mechanics, numerical simulation (CFD), reduced-order modeling methods (mass-spring model, pendulum).
- Interest or experience in physics-informed machine learning (PINN, PIML and SciML).
- Proficiency in C++ and/or Python, familiarity with ECSS/SMP standards is a plus.

## PhD Project Description

Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs) represent a new paradigm in Scientific Machine Learning (SciML), capable of solving both direct and inverse problems for nonlinear Partial Differential Equations (PDEs) [16]. By integrating underlying physical constraints into the architecture of a Feedforward Neural Network (FFNN), PINNs can be trained as surrogate models with little to no labeled data for inferring solutions to PDEs [5]. In the current literature, the implementation of PINNs is seen both as a complement and a potential alternative to existing numerical techniques, across a wide range of research fields in science and engineering [13, 2, 3]. Moreover, some frameworks embed Uncertainty Quantification (UQ) by design [17, 18] which make PINN a very interesting candidate for surrogate models in engineering, where robustness of computed solution constitutes an important topic.

## Context

The behavior of propellants in space launcher tanks directly impacts flight stability, the performance of flight control algorithms, and mission success. During propelled phases, the dynamic effects of sloshing masses can be efficiently modeled using equivalent pendulum models (see for example [10]), but these models become limited when the acceleration regime becomes too weak (and even non-functional in micro-gravity), the sloshing motion too large, or when dominant biphasic behavior occurs.

## Problem

In a numerical simulation context, especially for flight control validation and qualification, it is essential to have models that are accurate, fast, and adaptable, capable of representing physical phenomena at different scales and under various flight conditions. The current approaches struggle to provide a generic, fast, and reliable framework for modeling propellant sloshing during all flight phases. CFD provides accurate but costly results, reduced models (pendulums, mass-spring) are effective during powered phases with relatively small sloshing angles (excluding the flip maneuver of the reusable launch vehicle), while PINN offer a promising trade-off between accuracy and cost.

## Objectives

This thesis aims to provide reduced models for sloshing in space vehicle tanks in low-g and micro-gravity through PIML since no standard exists.

The main objectives are:

1. Develop a modular C++ software architecture:
  - CFD models (for dataset generation and end to end closed-loop reference simulation),
  - Integration of PINN/PIML models,
  - Interoperability with ECSS/SMP standards and pre-existing pendulum and mass-spring models.
2. Explore, compare, and synthesize sloshing modeling methods:
  - Analytical approach (small angles, linear, pendulum),
  - Numerical CFD simulation (compressible gas and liquid with free surface, evaporation and thermal transport, extraction of wall forces),
  - Physics-Informed Neural Network (PINN), Neural Operator (NO) and Physics-Informed Neural Operator (PINO).
  - Uncertainty and robustness of reduced models through MC-dropout, ensembles, B-PINN, heteroscedatic PINN, or domain decomposition.
3. Propose a model selection strategy based on:
  - Required fidelity level,
  - Simulation constraints,
  - Flight phase and environment.

# Methodological Approach

The thesis will rely on a structured approach divided into three main components:

1. Physical and numerical modeling of the fluid mechanics problem, with the aim of defining test cases (low-g maneuver and micro-gravity) and implementing them to generate the datasets for neural network models.

An incremental approach may be envisioned in order to gradually refine the model with a growing complexity of phenomena to implement:

- incompressible gas-liquid system with a free surface,
- gas compressibility and source terms,
- thermal transport and phase change.

Special attention will be given to the preparation of the dataset, as the data-driven approach strongly relies on it.

2. Development of full (CFD base on previous modeling) and surrogate (PINN or PIML) models according to ECSS/SMP standards for integration into an industrial environment that ensures good portability across various simulation environments.
3. Performance evaluation on the trade-off between accuracy (with uncertainty quantification) and speed through integration of models into a closed-loop digital simulator and development of recommendations for model selection based on usage.

## Proposed Approach

Period	Step
Semester 1	Literature review, construction of test cases, mathematical formulation of the problem
Semester 2	CFD simulations, data extraction, initial PINN prototypes
Semester 3	Development of the modular library, integration of PINN and CFD models
Semester 4	Evaluation, benchmarks, model selection strategy
Semester 5	Integration into a numerical reference simulator to be defined, end-to-end benchmark
Semester 6	Finalization, defense, software submission if applicable

Table 1: Project timeline

## Scientific and Industrial Contributions

Accurate and fast evaluation tools for the modeling of propellants in launch vehicle tanks are expected.

In addition, this synthetic approach is expected to provide deeper insights into the limitations and complementarities of analytical models, CFD, and Machine Learning.

Specifically, a direct contribution is expected regarding the modeling of the physical phenomenon:

- A reduced model for sloshing in micro-gravity: where the state of the art does not provide anything usable in an environment coupled with GNC algorithms, unlike phases under acceleration.
- Embeddable sloshing models for flight software: enabling the integration of physically accurate sloshing behavior directly into on-board flight codes for real-time simulation and control.
- Initialization of pendulum models after an orbital phase: where the current state of knowledge forces us to randomly generate the initial state. The goal is to reduce such conservatism.
- Implementation of models during the flip maneuver of reusable launchers: where the dynamics of the launcher may impact sloshing behavior, moving beyond the applicability domain of pendulum models.

A direct contribution to the development of a launcher project, with the ability to simulate complete cases typically simulated via CFD coupling later in the project.

Finally, through integration into an industrial environment, we expect significant operational gains.

## Novelty

While PINNs have been successfully applied to terrestrial free-surface flows and generic two-phase fluid benchmarks, no published work addresses propellant tank sloshing in microgravity or low-g launcher manoeuvres, including cryogenic compressible two-phase physics and thermal/phase-change effects, with controller-ready surrogates that are ECSS/SMP-compliant. Existing aerospace-related work is either not physics-informed (using deep learning only, less explainable, and lacking the robustness required by V&V processes) or restricted to simplified problems (excluding cryogenics and spaceflight conditions such as microgravity).

This PhD presents a unique combination of technique and use case:

- Technique: Advances PINN/PINO for nonlinear, multiphase PDEs capturing on-board propellant physics (compressible gas–liquid interactions, free-surface dynamics, thermal transport and phase change, tank geometry and boundary conditions), with differentiability properties that can be used for Model Predictive Control (MPC).
- Use case: Space launcher tanks during microgravity, flip, or transition phases, for which no analytical or reduced models are currently operational.

## Maturity

State of the art: PINNs are at TRL 2–3 in fluid mechanics; proven for simpler PINNs, non-cryogenic two-phase flows, and non-aerospace domains.

Gap: No application to aerospace tanks with cryogenic thermodynamics, no integration into industrial simulation environments, no control-loop embedding.

PhD suitability:

- CFD and analytical models for dataset generation are available from ESA/CNES/ONERA.
- PINN/PINO toolchains (PyTorch/TensorFlow, JAX, DeepXDE) are mature enough for immediate prototyping.
- ECSS/SMP interface specifications are public and can be implemented alongside model development.

A 3–4 year research arc allows deepening physics complexity (phase change, boil-off), improving surrogate training stability, and performing closed-loop validation.

**Target TRL after PhD: 4–5** — PINN validated in end-to-end simulation with representative software in closed-loop, ready for extension to flight-representative testbeds.

## Indicative Bibliography

- [1] Julyan Arbel et al. *A Primer on Bayesian Neural Networks: Review and Debates*. Sept. 28, 2023. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2309.16314](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.16314). arXiv: [2309.16314 \[stat\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.16314). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2309.16314> (visited on 09/08/2025). Pre-published.
- [2] Stefano Buoso, Thomas Joyce, and Sebastian Kozerke. “Personalising Left-Ventricular Biophysical Models of the Heart Using Parametric Physics-Informed Neural Networks”. In: *Medical Image Analysis* 71 (July 2021), p. 102066. ISSN: 13618415. DOI: [10.1016/j.media.2021.102066](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2021.102066). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1361841521001122> (visited on 04/04/2025).
- [3] Shengze Cai et al. “Physics-Informed Neural Networks for Heat Transfer Problems”. In: *Journal of Heat Transfer* 143.6 (June 1, 2021), p. 060801. ISSN: 0022-1481, 1528-8943. DOI: [10.1115/1.4050542](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4050542). URL: <https://asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/heattransfer/article/143/6/060801/1104439/Physics-Informed-Neural-Networks-for-Heat-Transfer> (visited on 04/04/2025).
- [4] Olivier Claessen, Yuliya Shapovalova, and Tom Heskes. “Heteroscedastic Uncertainty Quantification in Physics-Informed Neural Networks”. In: (2024).
- [5] Salvatore Cuomo et al. “Scientific Machine Learning Through Physics-Informed Neural Networks: Where We Are and What’s Next”. In: *Journal of Scientific Computing* 92.3 (July 26, 2022), p. 88. ISSN: 1573-7691. DOI: [10.1007/s10915-022-01939-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-022-01939-z). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-022-01939-z> (visited on 05/06/2025).
- [6] Mario De Florio et al. “Quantification of Total Uncertainty in the Physics-Informed Reconstruction of CVSim-6 Physiology”. In: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 383.2292 (Mar. 13, 2025), p. 20240221. DOI: [10.1098/rsta.2024.0221](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2024.0221). URL: <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsta.2024.0221> (visited on 08/24/2025).
- [7] Júlia Vicens Figueres et al. *\$PINN - a Domain Decomposition Method for Bayesian Physics-Informed Neural Networks*. May 1, 2025. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2504.19013](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.19013). arXiv: [2504.19013 \[cs\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.19013). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2504.19013> (visited on 09/11/2025). Pre-published.

- [8] Olga Graf et al. *Error-Aware B-PINNs: Improving Uncertainty Quantification in Bayesian Physics-Informed Neural Networks*. Dec. 14, 2022. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2212.06965](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.06965). arXiv: [2212.06965](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06965) [cs]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.06965> (visited on 08/24/2025). Pre-published.
- [9] Wenchong He et al. *A Survey on Uncertainty Quantification Methods for Deep Learning*. Jan. 20, 2025. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2302.13425](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.13425). arXiv: [2302.13425](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.13425) [cs]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.13425> (visited on 09/11/2025). Pre-published.
- [10] Raouf A. Ibrahim. *Liquid Sloshing Dynamics: Theory and Applications*. Cambridge New York Melbourne Madrid Cape Town Singapore São Paulo: Cambridge University Press, 2005. 1 p. ISBN: 978-0-521-83885-6 978-0-511-53665-6. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511536656](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511536656).
- [11] Hailong Liu et al. “Flow Reconstruction with Uncertainty Quantification from Noisy Measurements Based on Bayesian Physics-Informed Neural Networks”. In: *Physics of Fluids* 36.11 (Nov. 1, 2024), p. 117104. ISSN: 1070-6631. DOI: [10.1063/5.0231684](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0231684). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0231684> (visited on 08/24/2025).
- [12] Xihaier Luo et al. *A Machine Learning-based Characterization Framework for Parametric Representation of Nonlinear Sloshing*. Jan. 25, 2022. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2201.11663](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2201.11663). arXiv: [2201.11663](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.11663) [cs]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2201.11663> (visited on 04/04/2025). Pre-published.
- [13] Zhiping Mao, Ameya D. Jagtap, and George Em Karniadakis. “Physics-Informed Neural Networks for High-Speed Flows”. In: *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 360 (Mar. 1, 2020), p. 112789. ISSN: 0045-7825. DOI: [10.1016/j.cma.2019.112789](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2019.112789). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045782519306814> (visited on 05/06/2025).
- [14] Apostolos F. Psaros et al. “Uncertainty Quantification in Scientific Machine Learning: Methods, Metrics, and Comparisons”. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 477 (Mar. 15, 2023), p. 111902. ISSN: 0021-9991. DOI: [10.1016/j.jcp.2022.111902](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2022.111902). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999122009652> (visited on 08/24/2025).
- [15] Ashkan Rafiee, Fabrizio Pistani, and Krish Thiagarajan. “Study of Liquid Sloshing: Numerical and Experimental Approach”. In: *Computational Mechanics* 47.1 (Jan. 2011), pp. 65–75. ISSN: 0178-7675, 1432-0924. DOI: [10.1007/s00466-010-0529-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00466-010-0529-6). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00466-010-0529-6> (visited on 04/07/2025).
- [16] M. Raissi, P. Perdikaris, and G. E. Karniadakis. “Physics-Informed Neural Networks: A Deep Learning Framework for Solving Forward and Inverse Problems Involving Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations”. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 378 (Feb. 1, 2019), pp. 686–707. ISSN: 0021-9991. DOI: [10.1016/j.jcp.2018.10.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2018.10.045). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999118307125> (visited on 04/17/2024).
- [17] Liu Yang, Xuhui Meng, and George Em Karniadakis. “B-PINNs: Bayesian Physics-Informed Neural Networks for Forward and Inverse PDE Problems with Noisy Data”. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 425 (Jan. 2021), p. 109913. ISSN: 00219991. DOI: [10.1016/j.jcp.2020.109913](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2020.109913). arXiv: [2003.06097](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.06097) [stat]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2003.06097> (visited on 09/11/2025).

- [18] Dongkun Zhang et al. “Quantifying Total Uncertainty in Physics-Informed Neural Networks for Solving Forward and Inverse Stochastic Problems”. In: *arXiv.org* (Sept. 21, 2018). DOI: [10.1016/j.jcp.2019.07.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2019.07.048). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.08327v1> (visited on 08/24/2025).

## Envisioned Collaborations

ESA, CNES, INRIA, ONERA

## Host Laboratory

TBD

## Acronyms

**B-PINN** Bayesian Physics-Informed Neural Network. 2

**CFD** Computational Fluid Dynamics. 1–5

**CNES** Centre National d’Études Spatiales. 5, 7

**ECSS** European Cooperation for Space Standardization. 1–5

**ESA** European Space Agency. 5, 7

**FFNN** Feedforward Neural Network. 1

**GNC** Guidance, Navigation and Control. 1, 4

**INRIA** Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique. 7

**ML** Machine Learning. 3

**MPC** Model Predictive Control. 4

**NO** Neural Operator. 2

**ONERA** Office National d’Études et de Recherches Aérospatiales. 5, 7

**PDE** Partial Differential Equation. 1, 4

**PIML** Physics-Informed Machine Learning. 1–3

**PINN** Physics-Informed Neural Network. 1–5

**PINO** Physics-Informed Neural Operator. 2, 4, 5

**SciML** Scientific Machine Learning. 1

**SMP** Simulation Model Portability. 1–5

**TRL** Technology Readiness Level. 4, 5

**UQ** Uncertainty Quantification. 1

**V&V** Verification and Validation. 4