Concentration Inequalities : Exercises solutions

Ludovic Schwartz

Contents

2	Basic Inequalities	2
	Exercise 1	2
	Exercise 2	2
	Exercise 3. (Chebyshev-Cantelli Inequality)	3
	Exercise 4	4
	Exercise 5. (Moments VS. Chernoff Bounds)	4
	Exercise 6	5

Chapter 2

Basic Inequalities

Exercise 2.1

Let $\mathbf{M}Z$ be a median of the square integrable variable Z (i.e $\mathbb{P}[Z \geq \mathbf{M}Z] \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathbb{P}[Z \leq \mathbf{M}Z] \geq \frac{1}{2}$). Show that :

$$|\mathbb{E}[Z] - \mathbf{M}Z| \le \sqrt{Var(Z)}$$

Solution : We have the following inequalities :

$$\begin{split} |\mathbb{E}\left[Z\right] - \mathbf{M}Z| &= |\mathbb{E}\left[Z - \mathbf{M}Z\right]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[|Z - \mathbf{M}Z|\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[|Z - \mathbb{E}\left[Z\right]|\right] \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[(Z - \mathbb{E}\left[Z\right])^2\right]} \end{split}$$

Where the second inequality comes from the optimality of the median for the mean absolute $\operatorname{error}(\mathbf{M}Z \in \operatorname{arg\,min}_{c \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[|Z-c|\right])$ and the third inequality is Cauchy-Schwarz.

Exercise 2.2

Let X be a random variable with median MX, such that there exist positive constants a and b such that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|X - \mathbf{M}X| > t\right] \le a \exp{-\frac{t^2}{h}}$$

Show that $|\mathbf{M}X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \le \min(\sqrt{ab}, a\sqrt{b\pi}/2)$

Solution: We have the following:

$$\begin{split} |\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] - \mathbf{M}X| &= |\mathbb{E}\left[X - \mathbf{M}X\right]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[|X - \mathbf{M}X|\right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left[|X - \mathbf{M}X| > t\right] dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty a \exp{-\frac{t^2}{b}} \\ &\leq a \cdot \sqrt{b\pi}/2 \end{split}$$

For the second inequality, we will bound the variance and use the first exercise. We know that

$$Var(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right])^2\right] = \min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}\left[(X - c)^2\right]$$

In particular, plugging in the value of the median as c, we get :

$$\begin{aligned} Var(X) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbf{M}X)^2 \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left[(X - \mathbf{M}X)^2 > t \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left[|X - \mathbf{M}X| > \sqrt{t} \right] dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty a \cdot \exp{-\frac{t}{b}} dt \\ &< ab \end{aligned}$$

Then using the result of the first exercise we have:

$$|\mathbb{E}[X] - \mathbf{M}X| \le \sqrt{Var(X)} \le \sqrt{ab}$$

 \Box

Exercise 2.3 (CHEBYSHEV-CANTELLI INEQUALITY)

Prove the following one-sided improvement of Chebyshev's inequality: for any real-valued random variable Y and t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[Y - \mathbb{E}\left[Y\right] \ge t\right] \le \frac{Var(Y)}{Var(Y) + t^2}$$

Solution : We start by defining $Z:=Y-\mathbb{E}\left[Y\right]$ and $v:=\mathbb{E}\left[Z^2\right]=Var(Y)$

We are now trying to prove $\mathbb{P}\left[Z \geq t\right] \leq \frac{v}{v+t^2}$ We have for any $u \geq 0$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left[Z \geq t\right] &= \mathbb{P}\left[Z + u \geq t + u\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left[(Z + u)^2 \geq (t + u)^2\right] \\ &\leq \frac{\left[(Z + u)^2\right]}{(t + u)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{v + u^2}{(t + u)^2} \end{split}$$

We're now going to optimize the value of this fraction over u. Let

$$\varphi: \mathbf{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$$
$$u \longmapsto \frac{v + u^2}{(t + u)^2}$$

We compute it's derivative:

$$\varphi'(u) = \frac{2u \cdot (t+u)^2 - (v+u^2) \cdot 2(t+u)}{(t+u)^4}$$
$$= \frac{(t+u) \cdot (2ut - 2v)}{(t+u)^4}$$

In particular, φ reaches its minimum in $u^* := \frac{v}{t}$. We plug that value in the previous inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}[Z \ge t] \le \varphi(u^*) = \frac{v + \frac{v^2}{t}}{(t + \frac{v}{t})^2}$$
$$= \frac{v \cdot (t^2 + v)}{(t^2 + v)^2}$$
$$= \frac{v}{v + t^2}$$

 ∇

Exercise 2.4

Solution:

Exercise 2.5 (Moments VS. Chernoff Bounds)

Show that moment bounds for tail probabilities are always better than Cramér-Chernoff bounds. More precisely, let Y be a nonnegative random variable and let t > 0. The best moment bound for the tail probability $\mathbb{P}[Y \geq t]$ is $\min_q \mathbb{E}[Y^q] t^{-q}$ where the minimum is taken over all positive integers. The best Cramér-Chernoff bound is $\inf_{\lambda>0} \mathbb{E}[\exp \lambda(Y-t)]$. Prove that:

$$\min_{q} \mathbb{E}\left[Y^{q}\right] t^{-q} \leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \lambda (Y - t)\right]$$

Solution: We denote $m := \min_q \mathbb{E}[Y^q] t^{-q}$. In particular, we have that for any integer q, $\mathbb{E}[Y^q] \ge m \cdot t^q$. We will now fix a $\lambda > 0$. We have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \lambda(Y - t)\right] = e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda Y)^q}{q!}\right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(Fubini-Tonelli)}}{=} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^q \mathbb{E}\left[Y\right]^q)}{q!}$$

$$\geq e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^q \cdot m \cdot t^q)}{q!}$$

$$= m \cdot e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^q t^q)}{q!}$$

$$= m \cdot e^{-\lambda t} \cdot e^{\lambda t}$$

$$= m$$

Ŋ

Exercise 2.6

Let Z be a real-valued random variable. Show that the set of positive numbers $S = \{\lambda > 0 : \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Z}\right] < \infty\}$ is either empty or an interval with left end point equal to 0. Let $b = \sup S$. Show that $\varphi_Z(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Z}\right]$ is convex and infinitely many times differentiable on I = (0,b) with $\varphi_Z'(0) = \varphi_Z(0) = 0$ and the Cramér transform of Z equals $\varphi_Z^* = \sup_{\lambda \in I} (\lambda t - \varphi_Z(\lambda))$

Solution: We start with the first claim, we are going to prove that:

For any
$$0 < \lambda < \mu$$
, $\mu \in S \implies \lambda \in S$

This will prove that S is either empty or an interval with left end point equal to 0. Let $0 < \lambda < \mu$ with $\mu \in S$. We have :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Z}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Z}\mathbb{I}_{Z\leq 0} + e^{\lambda Z}\mathbb{I}_{Z>0}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[1 \cdot \mathbb{I}_{Z\leq 0}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mu Z}\right]$$
$$< \infty$$

Now for the differentiability, let $0 < \alpha < \beta < b, \ \lambda \in (\alpha, \beta)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have :

 $\frac{d^k}{d\lambda}e^{\lambda Z} = Z^k e^{\lambda Z}$

To prove the differentiability of φ on (α, β) , it is enough to provide a domination of $\frac{d^k}{d\lambda}$ on (α, β) . We have:

$$\begin{split} |Z^k e^{\lambda Z}| &\leq |Z|^k e^{\lambda Z} \mathbb{I}_{Z \leq 0} + |Z|^k e^{\lambda Z} \mathbb{I}_{Z > 0} \\ &\leq |Z|^k e^{\alpha Z} \mathbb{I}_{Z \leq 0} + (|Z|^k \cdot e^{-\frac{b - \beta}{2} Z}) (e^{\frac{b + \beta}{2} Z}) \mathbb{I}_{Z > 0} \end{split}$$

The left term is integrable because it is bounded and the right term is integrable as the product of a bounded function and an integrable function. Now since $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Z}>0\right]$ on S, we have proven that φ is infinitely differentiable on I.

To prove convexity, we use the previous result and compute the 2nd order derivative of φ . For any $\lambda \in I$:

$$\varphi'(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Ze^{\lambda Z}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Z}\right]}$$
$$\varphi'' = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}e^{\lambda Z}\right] \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Z}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[Ze^{\lambda Z}\right]^{2}(\text{C.S.})}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Z}\right]^{2}} \ge 0$$

Where the second inequality comes from Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality. This proves the convexity of φ .

To finish the exercise, it remains to study the case in which the expectation of Z is 0. To prove that φ is continuously differentiable on [0,b), we simply need to verify that its derivative has a right limit in 0. It is clear that $Ze^{\lambda Z} \underset{\lambda \to 0}{\to} Z$. Then:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[Ze^{\lambda Z}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[Ze^{\lambda Z}\mathbb{I}_{Z\leq 0}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[Ze^{\lambda Z}\mathbb{I}_{Z> 0}\right] \\ & \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\to} \mathbb{E}\left[Z\mathbb{I}_{Z\leq 0}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[Z\mathbb{I}_{Z> 0}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[Z\right] = 0 \end{split}$$

Where the left expectation converges because of dominated convergence and the right one because of monotonic convergence. We have proven continous differntiability of φ on [0, b) and that $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0$.

Exercise 2.7

Solution:

\Box Solution: Exercise 2.9 网 Solution: Exercise 2.10 Solution: 网 Exercise 2.11 郊 Solution: Exercise 2.12 郊 Solution: Exercise 2.13 Solution: 郊 Exercise 2.14 网 Solution:

Exercise 2.8

\Box Solution: Exercise 2.16 网 Solution: Exercise 2.17 Solution: 网 Exercise 2.18 郊 Solution: Exercise 2.19 郊 Solution: Exercise 2.20 Solution: 郊 Exercise 2.21网

Exercise 2.15

Solution:

\Box Solution: Exercise 2.23 网 Solution: Exercise 2.24 Solution: 网 Exercise 2.25 郊 Solution: Exercise 2.26 \Box Solution: Exercise 2.27 Solution: 郊 Exercise 2.28

Exercise 2.22

Solution:

网

Bibliography