

Prediction Learning and Games – Exercise Solutions

Ludovic Schwartz

Contents

2 Prediction with Expert Advice	2
Exercise 2.	2

Chapter 2

Prediction with Expert Advice

Exercise 2.2

Consider a weighted average forecaster based on a potential function

$$\Phi(u) = \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \phi(u_i) \right).$$

Assume further that the quantity $C(r_t)$ appearing in the statement of Theorem 2.1 is bounded by a constant for all values of r_t and that the function $\psi(\phi(u))$ is strictly convex. Show that there exists a nonnegative sequence $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that the cumulative regret of the forecaster satisfies, for every n and for every outcome sequence y^n ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(\max_{i=1, \dots, N} R_{i,n} \right) \leq \epsilon_n$$

Solution : We start by assuming that $C(r_t) \leq C$ for all values of r_t . We apply theorem 2.1 and we get that :

$$\Phi(R_n) \leq \Phi(0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n C(r_t) \leq \Phi(0) + \frac{Cn}{2}$$

Then, since we know that $\psi \circ \phi$ is non decreasing and strictly convex, it must be increasing and as a result, both $\psi \circ \phi$ and ϕ must be invertible and increasing and we have :

$$\psi \left(\phi \left(\max_{i=1, \dots, N} R_{i,n} \right) \right) \leq \psi \left(\max_{i=1, \dots, N} \phi(R_{i,n}) \right) \leq \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \phi(R_{i,n}) \right) = \Phi(R_n)$$

Hence :

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i=1,\dots,N} R_{i,n} &\leq \phi^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(\Phi(R_n))) \\ \frac{1}{n} \left(\max_{i=1,\dots,N} R_{i,n} \right) &\leq \underbrace{\frac{(\psi \circ \phi)^{-1} \left(\Phi(0) + \frac{Cn}{2} \right)}{n}}_{\epsilon_n} \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to show that $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. That is the same as saying that for a stricly convex increasing function F , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F^{-1}(x)}{x} = 0$ or equivalently $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x)}{x} = +\infty$. This doesn't seem to be true in general. Indeed, the function $F(x) = \sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1$ is stricly convex but $F(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} x$. The result would be true if we assume that $\psi \circ \phi$ is strongly convex as it would be lower bounded by a positive quadratic.

□