benchmark results

for elm-rope

or chirrope	
List	
all	runs / second goodness of fit
recursive	149,782 98.81%
not (any isBad) with let isBad = not	
not (any (not))	55,340 96.6%
not (any (not <<))	30,792 98.14%
Rope	
fold -l vs -r runs / second go	oodness of fit
	3.29%
	3.73%
toList runs / see	cond goodness of fit
with foldr 4,092 ■	98.9%
with foldr preserving last list 4,015	99.03%
with foldl > reverse 2,826 ■	98.78%
length runs / second good	ness of fit
with foldl 5,433 99.1	
with foldr 4,606 99.2	
nested 4,019 99.4	
all runs / second	goodness of fit
nested 260,560	98.4%
not (any (not <<)) 249,821	98.98%
sum (also applies to product) rur	ns / second goodness of fit
	73 98.98%
	98.84%
	98.91%
minimum (also applies to maxim	um) runs / second goodness of fit
with nested fold	3,305 99.14%
with filterMap	3,104 99.01%
with foldr	2,772 98.36%
with foldl	2,635 98.6%
map runs / seco	nd goodness of fit
to flat with foldr 3,415	98.86%
to flat with foldl > reverse 2,526 🖿	97.72%
keep nested 2,257	99.12%
indexedMap runs / second	goodness of fit
with foldl to end 2,202	98.52%
with foldl > reverse 2,164	98.6%
concat runs / second	goodness of fit
nested 81,430	99.11%

with :: fold to Node 23,380 98.66% with append 20,322 98.72% concatMap goodness of fit runs / second with :: fold to Node 2,303 96.19% with append 1,877 ■ 99.65% nested 1,680 ■ 98.79%