benchmark results

for elm-rope List all runs / second goodness of fit recursive **458,478 ■** 98.35% 98.66% not (any (not ...)) 424,776 not (any isBad) with let isBad = not ... 422,809 98.9% not (any (not << ...)) 46,507 98.72% Rope fold - vs -r runs / second goodness of fit foldl 15,281 98.91% foldr 98.65% 12,493 ■ toList runs / second goodness of fit with foldr 11,939 98.85% with foldr preserving last list 11,674 99.01% with fold |> reverse 11,059 98.68% length runs / second goodness of fit nested 98.5% 40,253 ■ with foldl 16,544 ■ 98.48% with foldr 13,583 ■ 98.72% all goodness of fit runs / second 98.61% nested **714,197 ■** not (any (not << ...)) 638,388 I 98.18% sum (also applies to product) runs/second goodness of fit nested 30,420 98.67% with foldl 15,457 ■ 98.53% with foldr **12,616** ■ 98.65% minimum (also applies to maximum) runs / second goodness of fit with nested fold 15,591 97.86% with filterMap 9,231 98.43% with foldr 7,822 98.65% with foldl 7,740 98.6% runs / second goodness of fit map 98.5% keep nested 13,303 ■ to flat with fold |> reverse 11,125 ■ 98.35% to flat with foldr 11,046 98.94% indexedMap runs / second goodness of fit with fold |> reverse 7,301 ■ 98.49% with foldl to end **4,954 ■** 98.53% runs / second goodness of fit concat

408,940

nested

98.6%

 with append
 75,647
 98.1%

 with :: fold to Node
 60,163
 98.57%

 concatMap
 runs / second
 goodness of fit

 nested
 10,453
 98.5%

 with :: fold to Node
 7,610
 98.89%

 with append
 6,861
 98.85%