benchmark results

for elm-rope

or entriope			
List			
all		runs / second	goodness of fit
recursive		118,097	97.78%
not (any isBad)	with let isBad = not	63,020	99.3%
not (any (not))		60,393	98.49%
not (any (not <<))		32,398	98.76%
Rope			
fold -l vs -r ru	ns / second	goodness of fit	
foldl 5,	999	99.01%	
foldr 5,	315	98.86%	
toList	runs / s	econd good	lness of fit
with foldr	4,251		
with foldr prese	erving last list 4,211		
with foldl > rev			15%
all	runs / second	goodness of fit	
nested	294,305	98.53%	
not (any (not)		98.94%	
sum (also app	olies to product) ru	ins / second	goodness of fit
with foldl		761	99.35%
nested	5,	624	98.97%
with foldr	5,	140	99.38%
minimum (als	o applies to maxin	num) runs / second	goodness of fit
with nested fold		3,437	98.5%
with filterMap		2,918	99.32%
with foldr		2,797 98.99%	
with foldl		2,657	97.95%
reverse	runs / second	goodness of fit	
foldl to flat	4,169	97.79%	
keep nested	3,665	98.88%	
foldr to end to f	lat 2,138	99.14%	
filter	runs / second	goodness of	fit
keep nested	3,582	98.51%	
foldr to flat	3,570	98.44%	
foldl > reverse		98.75%	
foldl to end to f	lat 2,339	98.6%	
filterMap	runs / second	goodness of	fit
foldr to flat	3,075	98.1%	
foldl > reverse		98.52%	
foldl to end to f	lat 2,212	98.54%	

keep nested	1,631	97.96%
map foldr to flat keep nested foldl > reverse t	runs / secor 3,157 3,092 3,092 o flat 2,214	98.47% 98.48% 98.57%
indexedMap with foldl > reve with foldl to end	runs / second erse 1,762 1,573	goodness of fit 97.92% 99.02%
concat nested with :: fold to No with append	runs / second 107,932 de 22,621 20,871	goodness of fit 99.36% 98.78% 98.42%
concatMap with :: fold to No nested with append	runs / second de 1,967 1,812 1,384	goodness of fit 98.05% 98.98% 98.8%
length runs / nested 6,394 with foldl 5,520 with foldr 4,915	I	goodness of fit 98.8% 98.66% 99.13%
isEmpty runs / nested 30,82 with foldl 13,27 with foldr 9,235	0	goodness of fit 98.9% 98.68% 98.62%