Statistics

ML / Stats - Regression Analysis

- Coefficients β_i
 - · Holding all other variables constant, 1 unit change of X_i averagely leads to β_i units of change in \dot{Y}
- P value
 - Null Hypothesis: $\beta_i = 0$ \rightarrow 假设这个variable 对 Y 没有影响
 - ° If P-value ≤ 0.05 (0.01) \rightarrow Reject Null and favor that $\beta_i \equiv 0$
- Feature Importance
 - Coefficient β_i 值的大小 代表该variable对 Y 的影响程度大小
 - Note: 讨论importance时, variables都需要standardize
 - Statistical Significance: 确认该variable 的 p-value < 0.05

Stats - A/B Testing

- P Value: How extreme the observed value is under the null hypothesis
- Law of Large Numbers (LLM)
 - \circ Y_n converges in probability to $\mu_Y \equiv E[Y]$ when sample size n is large
 - o https://builtin.com/data-science/law-of-large-numbers
- Central Limit Theorem (CLT)
 - https://www.scribbr.com/statistics/central-limit-theorem/
 - $^{\circ}$ CLT allows us to study \bar{Y}_{n} even if sample data are not normally distributed

Stats - How to choose a test

- 如果是 Numerical & sample mean 有足够意义
 - 如果 population μ , σ 已知 \rightarrow Z-test
 - 如果 population μ , σ 未知 \rightarrow **T-test**
 - 如果treatment 对于个体差异非常大 → paired t-test
 - □ https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1732771/paired-t-test-vs-welchs-t-test
 - 如果个体差异小
 - □ https://www.statology.org/paired-vs-unpaired-t-test/
 - □ 组间差距大 (variance 不同, heteroskedasticity) → Welch's t-test
 - □ 组间差距小 (variance 相同, homoscedasticity) → unpaired (Student's) t-test
 - 如果有超过2组 → ANOVA https://www.qualtrics.com/experience-management/research/anova/
- 如果是 Categorical → χ^2 test https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/chi-square-statistic.asp
- 如果 sample mean 不是讨论对象
 - 用KS Test 来 compare distribution https://towardsdatascience.com/kolmogorov-smirnov-test-84c92fb4158d

	Total Let be daying with
	Variable: Numeric (0,1,2) Continuous Categorical: Nominal, Ordinal
le proprié	Simple Random Sample Stratefied under grad diff.
	cluster Sampling: gym 1,2,3
median OI	Bias: Bad Sample Frame Bias. Convenience Sample Bias. Volunteer Bias
1.51ek	Disjoint: No common outcomes. P(A or B) = P(A) + P(B) (- P(A)B)
	Indep: $b(A B) = D(A) / b(A \cap B) = b(A) \cdot D(B)$ Conditional $b(A B) = \frac{p(A \cap B)}{p(A \cap B)}$
	Bayes: $p(A B) = \frac{p(b A) \cdot p(A)}{p(b)} = \frac{p(b A) \cdot p(A)}{p(b A) \cdot p(A) + p(b A') \cdot p(A')}$
Random V	Discrete kandom Variable: 1/4 infinitely many autromes SD(ax) = (a1-SD(x)
و الدار	$Var(X) = \sum (x-\mu)^2 \cdot p(x)$. $Var(X \pm c) = Var(X)$. $Var(aX) = a^2 Var(X)$
11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Φ First success. $X \sim \text{Geom}(p \text{ success})$ $p(x) = (1-p)^{x+}p$ $E(x) = \frac{1}{p}$ $SD(x) = \frac{\sqrt{1-p}}{p}$
	∞ k success in n trials. $X \sim Binom(n, p)$ $p(x) = c(n,k)q^{n-k}p^k$.
	E(x) = np + sD(x) = npq = npq = np(-p)
X: # of times an	(a) Alberton herrisally V_{α} hoisean() $V_{\alpha} = \frac{\lambda^{2} \cdot e^{-\lambda}}{2}$ $V_{\alpha} = \lambda^{4}$
event occurs when	$E(x) = \lambda. \text{sp} = \int \lambda (x) dx + \lambda (x) dx $
occurance is &	@ kth success on nth trial. X ~ NegBinom (k,p).
	$p(x) = c(n-1, k-1) p^{k} \cdot (1-p)^{n-k}$ $E(x) = \frac{k}{p}$ $sp(x) = \frac{\sqrt{k(1-p)}}{p}$
Continuo	is Random. Variable: pdf. $Cf(x) > 0$ for all x . $\bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) c(x = 1)$
	$\mu = E[x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot f(x) dx \qquad Var(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^{2} f(x) dx = E[x^{2}] - \mu^{2}$
Нуро:	$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 f(x) dx .$
Mean	p(type I error) = a. (reject Ho when Ho is true) Type II: favor Ho when Ho false
One sample T:	T-dist: Inference: Indep. (Random + < 10% population)
	Nearly normal pop. dist.
	Uniform Dist. $f(x) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{a} dx$ $E[x] = \frac{atb}{2}$. $SD(x) = \frac{b-a}{\sqrt{12}}$.
(Y.V) + 1/2	and the second of the second o
	Exponential Dist. $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$. $X \sim Exp(\lambda)$. $E(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, $sp(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.
raji baha d	$\mathbb{E} p(x \neq s + t \mid px \neq s) = p(x \neq t) - \hat{\kappa} \cdot \hat{k} \cdot \hat{k}$

```
Regression Inference . SE(b_1) = \frac{Se (residual)}{S_{2} \cdot \sqrt{n-1}}. hist: t_{n-2}. Estimate std Error, to value of estimate \pm t_{n-2}^* \cdot SE(b_1).

Ho: \beta = 0. HA: \beta \neq 0 an association.
                  Two sample t-test. (Means).
                                      O Paired t-test df = n-1. cl: d + top sEd T = J-0
                                                        check on differences: Indep of each other (Random, <10% pop). Diff. nearly normal.
                                       \odot Unpair two sample +-test df = min(n_1-1, n_2-1) cl: (\bar{x}_1-\bar{x}_2) + t_{df}^* SE\bar{x}-\bar{x}_2
                                                   Inference: Indep. (Random, < 10%). Nearly normal population dist.
                                                       Indep. of two samples .

p (reject Ho | Ho is false).
                   Dower:
         Means for 3 more samples: ANOVA. Ho: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots \mu_k. HA: some \mu_i is diff.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  in each group.
                   F-dist: Inference: Data are indep. within/accross groups; data in each group nearly normal; spread roughly
                                          check: Indep (random, c(o\%)). Side-by-side boxplot

Period Square across groups

The square across groups

The square error of the square error
     Proportions.
                                                     Inference: Indep (random, < 10%). At Least 10 success /failures
   Margin of Error:
                                      ② two proportions. cI: (\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm Z^* \cdot SE \hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2. SE \hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 = \frac{p_1 p_2}{n_1} + \frac{p_2 p_2}{n_2}

Inference © L, Inclep. between two samples . Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - 0}{SEpoded} \cdot \frac{p_2 p_2}{SEpoded}

Ho: p_1 = p_2 \implies hypo: \hat{p}_1 = \frac{p_2 p_2}{n_1 + n_2}. SE_poded = \frac{\hat{p}_2 p_2}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2 p_2}{n_2}
       z*· sE.
   = Z*. P&
 Counts. 0 \times^2: Ho: ... matches population, HA: some difference in ....

Goodness -of-Fit. \chi^2 = \frac{(O_1 - E_1)^2}{E_1} + ... + \frac{(O_k - E_k)^2}{E_k}, k categories, \chi^2_{k-1}. df = k-1
  生日、基因型
                                     Inference. O one-dimensional table of counts O counts in cells are indep O Expected counts > 1
Test for indep: @ 2 more populations. Ho: no association, HA: some an association
                                               expected value: (row total) (colin total)
                                                                                                                                                      df = (row-1)(colin-1) Inference (AL
Regression.
                                  Scatter plot: Form (lin. curve). Direction (pos. neg) Strength (weak strong) outliers
correlation coeff: R: Inference: O quantitative \odot straight enough \odot No outliers \Gamma = \frac{\Sigma(x-\bar{x})(y-\bar{y})}{(n-1)-5x\cdot 5y}
                                              error : E (residuals) = E (obs-exp) b1 = 1 · Sy . b0 = y - b1x . C Indep data
                     Inference: O graph roughly linear @ hist of residuals nearly normal O constant variability around line
                                  proportion of the variation in y-variable explained by variation in x-variable.
```

Stats - 异动归因

- 问题类型: 某metric突然变化,如何分析?
- 首先确认问题真实性
 - 读取data,存储新data 是否出错?(e.g. 新上线商品分类错误、计量错误)
 - 问题原本是否有,突然的提升 是否statistically significant ?
- 罗列因素
 - **外部因素**: PEST
 - Policy, Economics, Social, Technology
 - 内部因素: index拆解
 - 公式分解
 - 维度考虑, e.g. gender, age, operation system, vertical
 - 比较前后的distribution是否相同
 - \Box $E[Y] = \sum E[Y_i | X_i] \cdot P(X_i)$, Y_i 变化 & $P(X_i)$ 分布变化 都有影响
 - □ **Simpson's Paradox**: https://towardsdatascience.com/simpsons-paradox-and-interpreting-data-6a0443516765
 - □ 解决方案
 - Segregate the data in groups or aggregate data together
 - https://towardsdatascience.com/simpsons-paradox-how-to-prove-two-opposite-argumentsusing-one-dataset-1c9c917f5ff9