C++ Utilities Stuff

Written by:

- Ng Zhia Yang
- Thomas Tan
- Georgie Lee

Last updated: 30 June 2022

```
1 std::pair
    1.1 SimplePair, a simple std::pair class
    1.2 Notable differences with std::pair
        1.2.1 Many other constructors in std::pair
        1.2.2 std::pair's piecewise constructor
        1.2.3 std::make_pair
    1.3 Some use cases of std::pair in the standard library
2 Structured bindings (since C++17)
    2.1 To non-static data members of structs / classes
    2.2 To an array
    2.3 To a tuple-like type
3 std::tuple
4 std::optional
    4.1 Super simplified implementation
    4.2 Some use cases
5 std::variant
    5.1 Exception safety
    5.2 Default constructibility
    5.3 std::visit
        5.3.1 Custom visitor class
        5.3.2 Templated visitor
        5.3.3 overloaded pattern
        5.3.4 Miscellaneous notes on std::visit
6 iostream
    6.1 Key concept 1: std::{i,o}stream and I/O manipulators.
    6.2 Key concept 2: Extensibility of operator<<
    6.3 I/O manipulator overview
        6.3.1 Flushing: std::flush and std::endl
        6.3.2 Formatting flags
        6.3.3 Monetary and time input/output std::{get,put}_{money,time}
7 User-defined literals
    7.1 Defining our own UDLs
    7.2 Advanced UDLs
       7.2.1 Cooked literals
        7.2.2 Raw literals
        7.2.3 Templated UDLs
8 std::chrono
    8.1 Basic concepts
        8.1.1 Clocks
        8.1.2 Time points
        8.1.3 Durations
    8.2 Type-safe, dimensional quantities
        8.2.1 Safe API design
        8.2.2 Chrono duration types
        8.2.3 Converting between duration types
        8.2.4 Safe API design (part 2)
    8.3 Chrono literals
    8.4 Measuring time for benchmarks
    8.5 \text{ hh\_mm\_ss}
9 Sanitisers
    9.1 Address Sanitiser (ASan)
    9.2 Memory Sanitiser (MSan)
```

This lecture will cover some commonly used classes in C++ you'll encounter in the wild (work) and might find helpful in your projects.

9.3 Undefined Behaviour Sanitiser (UBSan)

9.4 Thread Sanitiser (TSan)

10 References

std::pair We've probably all heard or used pairs before e.g. in storing a pair of coordinates (x, y). It's so

struct SimplePair {

1.1

common that C++ has such a class, std::pair.

Before talking about std::pair, it's instructive to talk about a naive implementation and

compare and contrast SimplePair with what std::pair gives us:

SimplePair, a simple std::pair class

template <typename T1, typename T2>

```
T1 first;
    T2 second:
  };
                             Snippet 1: SimplePair implementation part 1
At its core, this is all a pair is: a struct that helps us store two types. Here, we just use a simple
```

second members respectively. We can use it as follows:

aggregate template struct with template types T1 and T2, representing the types of the first and

SimplePair<int, double> simplePair1{1, 2.0}; std::cout << simplePair1.first << " " << simplePair1.second << "\n";</pre> SimplePair<std::string, int> simplePair2{"abc", 1};

std::cout << simplePair2.first << " " << simplePair2.second << "\n";</pre>

```
Snippet 2: SimplePair usage
However, it is rather clunky to use this pair template. It would be more convenient to simply define
our own structs if this was all there was. So std::pair gives us many additional utilities.
For example, it is useful to be able to compare between pairs, so let's implement comparison
```

bool operator<(const SimplePair& other) const {</pre> return first == other.first ? second < other.second</pre> : first < other.first; }

bool operator==(const SimplePair& other) const = default; bool operator!=(const SimplePair& other) const = default;

```
Snippet 3: SimplePair implementation part 2
Then, we can do:
    SimplePair<int, double> simplePair3{1, 1.0};
    SimplePair<int, double> simplePair4{2, 1.0};
    SimplePair<int, double> simplePair5{2, 2.0};
    SimplePair<int, double> simplePair6{2, 2.0};
```

assert(simplePair3 < simplePair4);</pre> assert(simplePair4 < simplePair5);</pre>

assert(simplePair5 == simplePair6); assert(simplePair3 != simplePair6);

operators:

```
SimplePair<SimplePair<int, int>, int> simplePair7{{1, 1}, 1};
   SimplePair<SimplePair<int, int>, int> simplePair8{{1, 2}, 1};
   SimplePair<SimplePair<int, int>, int> simplePair9{{2, 1}, 1};
   SimplePair<SimplePair<int, int>, int> simplePair10{{2, 1}, 4};
   assert(simplePair7 < simplePair8 && simplePair8 < simplePair9 &&</pre>
           simplePair9 < simplePair10);</pre>
    std::vector<SimplePair<int, int>> vecOfSimplePairs{
        {6, 9}, {4, 3}, {4, 2}, {1, 1}, {1, 1}, {1, 2}};
   // vecOfSimplePairs is {6,9}, {4,3}, {4,2}, {1,1}, {1,1}, {1,2}
   std::sort(vecOfSimplePairs.begin(), vecOfSimplePairs.end());
    // vecOfSimplePairs is {1,1}, {1,1}, {1,2}, {4,2}, {4,3}, {6,9}
                          Snippet 4: SimplePair comparison operators
For the most part, std::pair can be used as a drop-in replacement for our SimplePair above ie.
   std::pair<int, double> stdPair1{1, 2.0};
   std::cout << stdPair1.first << " " << stdPair1.second << "\n";</pre>
   std::pair<std::string, int> stdPair2{"abc", 1};
   std::cout << stdPair2.first << " " << stdPair2.second << "\n";</pre>
   std::pair<int, double> stdPair3{1, 1.0};
```

assert(stdPair3 < stdPair4);</pre>

std::pair<int, double> stdPair4{2, 1.0}; std::pair<int, double> stdPair5{2, 2.0}; std::pair<int, double> stdPair6{2, 2.0};

assert(stdPair4 < stdPair5);</pre> assert(stdPair5 == stdPair6); assert(stdPair3 != stdPair6); std::pair<std::pair<int, int>, int> stdPair7{{1, 1}, 1}; std::pair<std::pair<int, int>, int> stdPair8{{1, 2}, 1}; std::pair<std::pair<int, int>, int> stdPair9{{2, 1}, 1}; std::pair<std::pair<int, int>, int> stdPair10{{2, 1}, 4}; assert(stdPair7 < stdPair8 && stdPair8 < stdPair9 &&</pre> stdPair9 < stdPair10);</pre> std::vector<std::pair<int, int>> vecOfStdPairs{ $\{6, 9\}, \{4, 3\}, \{4, 2\}, \{1, 1\}, \{1, 1\}, \{1, 2\}\};$ // vecOfStdPairs is {6,9}, {4,3}, {4,2}, {1,1}, {1,1}, {1,2} std::sort(vecOfStdPairs.begin(), vecOfStdPairs.end()); // vecOfStdPairs is {1,1}, {1,1}, {1,2}, {4,2}, {4,3}, {6,9} Snippet 5: std::pair usage 1.2 Notable differences with std::pair On top of simply implementing comparison operators, std::pair does a lot more for us. 1.2.1 Many other constructors in std::pair See https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/utility/pair/pair for a full list and explanations. 1.2.2 std::pair's piecewise constructor

Snippet 6: std::pair's std::piecewise_construct_t ctor overload

Use case 1: you want to construct the pair members in-place i.e. at exactly where they'd be located after the pair is fully constructed. This is in contrast to constructing the pair members somewhere

// Constructs the vectors first then move constructs them into the pair // members std::pair<std::vector<int>, std::vector<double>> pair0fVecs1(

 $\{1, 2\}, \{3.69, 6.9, 4.2\}\};$

std::piecewise_construct,

assert(pairOfVecs2.second.size() == 3);

Q(int a, double b) : a(a), b(b) {}

Q& operator=(const Q& other) = delete;

Q(const Q& other) = delete;

Q(Q&& other) = delete;

struct Q { int a; double b;

overload and create the pair members in-place. Legit example below.

bool operator==(const Q& other) const = default;

else and then moving (move constructing) them into the pair members.

template< class... Args1, class... Args2 >

std::tuple<Args1...> first_args,

std::tuple<Args2...> second_args);

pair(std::piecewise_construct_t,

std::forward_as_tuple(std::initializer_list<double>{3.69, 6.9, 4.2})); assert(pairOfVecs2.first.size() == 10);

Snippet 7: std::pair piecewise constructor example

Use case 2: Suppose your std::pair's T1 and T2 are non-copyable and non-movable types e.g. std::mutex. Then, you can't create such a std::pair using the other constructors which might rely on moving a temporary (prvalue) into the pair. Instead, you must use this constructor

std::forward_as_tuple(10, 2), // 10 elements, all set to 2

// Constructs the vectors in place i.e. together with the pair in memory

std::pair<std::vector<int>, std::vector<double>> pair0fVecs2(

```
Q& operator=(Q&& other) = delete;
};
// Generates a hash value from a Q object like size_t hashVal =
// QHasher{}(q), required for unordered_map to know how to hash your
// custom class / struct. See
// https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/utility/hash
struct QHasher {
 size_t operator()(const Q& q) const {
    return std::hash<int>{}(q.a) ^ std::hash<double>{}(q.b);
 }
};
    std::unordered_map<Q, std::pair<int, int>, QHasher> mp;
    // mp.emplace(Q{5, 5.0}, std::make_pair(1, 2)); // won't work because
    // Q is not movable
    mp.emplace(std::piecewise_construct,
               std::forward_as_tuple(5, 5.0),
```

1.2.2.1 std::forward_as_tuple(...) Constructs a tuple of references to the arguments in args suitable for forwarding as an argument to a function. The tuple has rvalue reference data members when rvalues are used as arguments,

reference

members.

Snippet 8: std::pair piecewise constructor example

Use case 2.5: Also notice that unordered_map's emplace(...) constructs elements in-place anyway e.g. unordered_map<int, double> mp; mp.emplace(1, 5.0); through perfect forwarding into the pair stored in the hashtable node. But when the constructor for your key takes in multiple

std::forward_as_tuple(1, 2));

arguments, we must use pair's piecewise constructor to disambiguate.

https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/utility/tuple/forward_as_tuple.

constexpr std::pair<V1,V2> make_pair(T1&& t, T2&& u);

otherwise

1.2.3 std::make_pair

template< class T1, class T2 >

time (pre C++17, where types of template arguments could not be deduced from constructor, but we can do std::pair p1(5, "i love c++") now). auto ezPair1 = std::make_pair(6, 9); auto ezPair2 = std::make_pair(4.2, "hi there"); auto ezPair3 =

Snippet 9: std::make_pair

Creates a std::pair object, deducing the types of T1 and T2 from the argument types. It is (was) convenient to use this function to avoid specifying the template types in std::pair all the

```
static_assert(std::is_same_v<decltype(ezPair1.first), int>);
   static_assert(std::is_same_v<decltype(ezPair1.second), int>);
   static_assert(std::is_same_v<decltype(ezPair2.first), double>);
   static_assert(std::is_same_v<decltype(ezPair2.second), const char*>);
   static_assert(std::is_same_v<decltype(ezPair3.first), double*>);
   static_assert(std::is_same_v<decltype(ezPair3.second), std::string>);
                           Snippet 10: std::make_pair usage
1.3 Some use cases of std::pair in the standard library
place, else false.
```

std::make_pair(new double{3.14}, std::string("yo yo yo"));

std::unordered_map<Key, T, Hash, KeyEqual, Allocator>::emplace returns true if insertion takes

```
std::unordered_map<int, std::string> mp;
{
 auto [it, ok] = mp.emplace(1, "uwu");
 assert(it->second == "uwu");
 assert(ok);
 auto [it, ok] = mp.emplace(1, "owo");
 assert(it->second == "uwu");
 assert(!ok);
}
```

Snippet 11: std::pair usage in emplace(...)

2 Structured bindings (since C++17)

Syntax: cv-auto ref-qualifier(optional) [identifier-list] = expression;

2.1 To non-static data members of structs / classes

You might have noticed this syntax: auto [it, ok] = mp.emplace(...); This means that the .first member of the std::pair returned by mp.emplace(...) is assigned to it and the .second member to ok.

This is called structured binding for classes / structs and works like this:

```
struct Player {
  inline static int globalCount = 0;
  int id;
  int health, mana;
  Player(int health, int mana)
      : id(++globalCount), health(health), mana(mana) {}
};

// in main()
Player p1(100, 100), p2(200, 50);
  auto [p1Id, p1Health, p1Mana] = p1;
  auto [p2Id, p2Health, p2Mana] = p2;
  assert(p1Id == p1.id && p1Health == p1.health && p1.mana == p1.mana);
  assert(p2Id == p2.id && p2Health == p2.health && p2.mana == p2.mana);
```

Snippet 12: Structured bindings for structs / classes

Each identifier in the structured binding identifier-list (inside the [...]) becomes the name of a variable that refers to the next member of the struct on the RHS in declaration order.

2.2 To an array

Snippet 13: Structured binding to an array, from https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/structured_binding

2.3 To a tuple-like type

The expression std::tuple_size<E>::value must be a well-formed integer constant expression, and the number of identifiers in the identifier-list must equal std::tuple_size<E>::value.

Snippet 14: Structured bindings for tuples

"tuple-like" type means the type has template specializations for std::tuple_size<...> and std::tuple_element<...> e.g. for std::tuple and std::pair we see these:

Helper classes	
<pre>std::tuple_size<std::tuple>(C++11)</std::tuple></pre>	obtains the size of tuple at compile time (class template specialization)
<pre>std::tuple_element<std::tuple>(C++11)</std::tuple></pre>	obtains the type of the specified element (class template specialization)
Template specializat Helper classes	ionsfor std::tuple
<pre>std::tuple_size<std::tuple>(C++11)</std::tuple></pre>	obtains the size of tuple at compile time (class template specialization)

Template specializations for std::pair

So, you can build your own tuple-like class / type by specializing those templates and structured bindings will work for it, just like how they work for std::tuple and std::pair. We won't cover

these in this lecture, stay tuned for the lectures on template metaprogramming!

3 std::tuple

It's essentially a "generalization" of a pair, to N types, where N is determined and fixed at compile-time but we get elements by using a non-member function std::get<...>(...) rather than via member access.

```
std::tuple<int, int, int> tpl1(1, 2, 3);
std::cout << "(1) "
         << std::get<0>(tpl1) << " " //
         << std::get<1>(tpl1) << " " //
          << std::get<2>(tpl1) << "\n";
std::tuple<int, double, std::string> tpl2(1, 2.0, "yolo");
std::cout << "(2) "
         << std::get<int>(tpl2) << " " //
         << std::get<double>(tpl2) << " " //
          << std::get<std::string>(tpl2) << "\n";
// Output:
// (1) 1 2 3
// (2) 1 2 yolo
```

Snippet 15: std::get<...>(...) in action

```
▼ Why is std::get<...>(...) a free function?
```

If we have a get<...>() member function, we run into the issue of having to write template before the member function get<...>(), when it's a dependent name ie. the meaning or type of object we're invoking the member function get<...() on differs from one instantiation to another (of the class or function template).

```
template <typename T1, typename T2>
class BinaryTuple {
 T1 a;
 T2 b;
public:
  BinaryTuple(T1 a, T2 b) : a(std::move(a)), b(std::move(b)) {}
  template <size_t I>
  auto& get() {
    if constexpr (I == 0) {
      return a;
    } else if constexpr (I == 1) {
      return b;
    } else {
      // can't just do static_assert(flag, "no match");
      []<bool flag = false>() {
        static_assert(flag, "no match");
      }
      ();
    }
  }
};
template <size_t I>
void getNoNeedTemplateKeyword(BinaryTuple<int, std::string>& tpl) {
  std::cout << tpl.get<I>() << "\n"; // OK
 std::cout << tpl.get<0>() << "\n"; // OK
 std::cout << tpl.get<1>() << "\n"; // OK
}
template <size_t I, typename T1, typename T2>
void getNeedTemplateKeyword(BinaryTuple<T1, T2>& tpl) {
  std::cout << tpl.template get<I>() << "\n"; // OK</pre>
 // If no 'template' keyword, get this error:
 // main.cpp:36:20: error: missing 'template' keyword prior to dependent
 // template name 'get':
 // in std::cout << tpl.get<I>() << "\n";
 // in std::cout << tpl.get<0>() << "\n"; // even this
 // in std::cout << tpl.get<1>() << "\n"; // and this are not allowed
}
                    Snippet 16: Issue with member function get<...>()
```

Regardless of what template parameter I we instantiate template <size_t I> void getNoNeedTemplateKeyword(BinaryTuple<int, std::string>& tpl) with, the type of tpl is always the same and so we don't need template keyword behind member function get<...>(). But the type of tpl in template <size_t I, typename T1, typename T2> void getNeedTemplateKeyword(BinaryTuple<T1, T2>& tpl) will differ across instantiations of getNeedTemplateKeyword(...) which have different T1 and / or T2 template types. So, we'll need template keyword behind member function get<...>() ie. tpl.template get<I> ().

This is because when the compiler parses the code, it does not know whether tpl.get refers to a member attribute of tpl or a template member function, since tpl is a template and get is template dependent (on tpl). So, when writing tpl.get<0>();, compiler can interpret it as tpl.get < 0 > (); ie. tpl.get less than 0 greater than ();, which is invalid C++ code. So, writing template in front of .get tells the compiler that .get is a template

member function and to interpret it as such, and not < as the less than operator.

std::optional

Wraps a value which might or might not be present.

Common use case is as the return value of a function which might fail vs returning std::pair<T, bool>, which might be less performant for expensive-to-construct objects.

If optional<T> contains a value, it is said to be "engaged"; the value is guaranteed to be allocated as part of the optional object footprint ie. no dynamic memory allocation.

optional<T> object does not contain a value ("disengaged") if:

- it's default-initialized,
- initialized with / assigned a value of type std::nullopt_t or an optional object that does not contain a value, or reset() is called on it.

```
std::optional<int> o1{5}, // initialized with value
   02,
                         // default initialized
   o3{std::nullopt}; // initialized with std::nullopt
assert(o1.has_value());
assert(!o2.has_value());
assert(!o3.has_value());
o1.reset();
assert(!o1.has_value());
assert(!o1); // also works because there's a operator bool()
```

You can call .emplace(...) to construct the contained value in-place e.g.

Snippet 17: std::optional has value cases

```
o1.emplace(69);
assert(*o1 == 69); // operator* works as you'd expect
o2.emplace(42);
assert(*o2 == 42);
o2.reset();
assert(o2.value_or(999) == 999);
std::optional<std::pair<int, int>> o4;
o4.emplace(6, 9);
assert(o4->first == 6 &&
       o4->second == 9); // operator-> works as you'd expect
                     Snippet 18: std::optional's emplace(...)
```

Also, operator* and operator-> work intuitively / similarly to how they'd work for pointers but remember that the contained object is actually stored within the optional and the optional isn't a

pointer to the object on the heap or somewhere else.

In fact, here's (a heavily simplified snippet on) how std::optional is implemented in clang:

struct optional {

Super simplified implementation

template <class T>

```
union {
     char __null_state_;
     T __val_;
   };
   bool __engaged_; // true if this optional object contains a value
   constexpr optional() noexcept : __null_state_(), __engaged_(false) {}
   template <class... _Args>
   constexpr explicit optional(std::in_place_t, _Args&... __args)
        : __val_(std::forward<_Args>(__args)...), __engaged_(true) {}
   void reset() noexcept {
     if (__engaged_) {
        __engaged_ = false;
      }
   }
 };
 // Note that this is for `T` which are trivially destructible (means no
 // user provided destructor for the class and all its non-static data
 // members). Non-trivially destructible `T` require explicitly calling the
 // destructor like `__val_.~value_type()` where appropriate and
 // implementing a custom destructor for the optional class.
                    Snippet 19: std::optional implementation - value storage
As you can see, union (discussed in L1) is used to contain both a __null_state_ and the
__val_ within std::optional. union also eliminates the need to default construct __val_ for
empty optionals, accommodating the constructor taking no arguments. If we do not have union
```

sometimes T might not be default constructible.

If you noticed, there's a in_place_t in one of the constructors. This is an empty disambiguation

and just stored T __val_ and bool __engaged_, we will inevitably have to default construct __val_ even for empty optionals ie. __engaged_ == false, which is wasteful and incorrect as

tag used to select the correct constructor to call. For instance, if you wanted to create an optional and default construct (pass no arguments) the contained object, you might think to just call std::optional o1{}. But this will call the constructor of std::optional that takes in no arguments and returns a disengaged optional object. So, we instead call std::optional o1{std::in_place}, which will call the second constructor and ultimately __val_() because __args is empty. If we instead wanted to construct the contained object with arguments, we can do so too e.g. std::optional<std::pair<int, double>> o1{std::in_place, 5, 9.99} which will invoke __val_(5, 9.99), where __val_ is of type std::pair<int, double>.

Note that std::in_place is an object of type std::in_place_t, defined in the <utility> header. Cool walkthrough of how to implement std::optional.

std::pair<int, bool> age;

// age given

}

std::pair<std::string, bool> name;

Old way:

4.2 Some use cases

parseMessageForAgeAndName(message, age, name);

Wherever you find yourself checking the existence of a valid value in a variable e.g.

```
if (age.second) {
    // age given
 }
 if (name.second) {
    // name given
 }
                             Snippet 20: std::optional use case
New way:
 std::optional<int> age;
 std::optional<std::string> name;
```

parseMessageForAgeAndName(message, age, name); if (age) { // or age.has_value() if (name) { // or name.has_value()

// name given }

Snippet 21: std::optional use case

This conveys the intention more clearly to other readers.

5 std::variant We have seen a couple of utility classes to compose types together, and the last one we'll cover

v = "hello";

branch.

here is std::variant. It is an algebraic sum type, and holds one of several possible variants, which can change at runtime. It is essentially a tagged union that knows what the current "active member" is. Like unions, variants don't have an additional level of indirection, and the allocated memory for

the object is directly in the variant itself.

For example, here we have a variant with an int alternative and a std::string alternative. We

can assign directly to the variant, and it will essentially perform overload resolution on the constructors of the variant types: std::variant<int, std::string> v;

```
std::cout << "idx = " << v.index() << "\n";
   if (std::holds_alternative<int>(v)) {
     std::cout << "int = "
             << std::get<int>(v) //
             << "\n";
   } else {
     std::cout << "str = "
             << std::get<std::string>(v) //
             << "\n";
   }
idx = 1
str = hello
```

```
Snippet 22: Demonstration of a variant holding an int and a string
This is the basic of using variant. It holds multiple values with possibly distinct types, and we can
use std::holds_alternative to check if the type currently contained in the variant is the one we
```

```
We can then use std::get<T> to get the alternative with the given type, as shown above.
Alternatively, we can also use indices to check and access the variants; the <code>.index()</code> method can
```

specified. In the example above, it did not hold the int alternative, so we went into the other

get the index of the currently active variant, and std::get can also be used with that index to get that variant. This indexing method has to be used when the variant holds more than one

alternative of the same type, since the type-based method would be ambiguous. Note that if the currently active variant is not the one that is std::got (haha), then an exception is thrown.

Exception safety

accesses to it will be invalid until a new value is assigned. If the assignment was to the same variant type, then whether the variant remains in a valid state depends on the exception safety guarantee of the assignment operator for the type itself. If the contained type remains valid even when an exception is thrown (eg. on copy-assignment), then

If an exception is thrown while the variant is being assigned to, then the variant can become valueless_by_exception. This means that the variant does not hold any variant, and any

in the variant itself, and so the old object must necessarily be destructed before the new one can be constructed. For example:

On the other hand, if an exception is thrown while the variant is being switched from one type to another, then there won't be a valid value. This is due to the fact that the storage for the object is

throw 10; Foo& operator=(const Foo&) { throw 20;

};

the variant remains valid.

struct Foo { Foo() {}

int x;

try {

v1 = Foo();} catch (...) {

the variant be default constructible.

std::visit

v1 = 69;

v2 = 3.14159;

v3 = "hello, world!";

holds_alternative all the time. The answer is only sometimes.

std::variant<int, double, std::string> v1, v2, v3;

For example, let's make a variant with 3 possible values:

5.3

Foo(const Foo&) {

std::variant<Foo, int> v1 = 10;

std::variant<Foo, int> v2{};

```
std::cout << "oops 1\n";</pre>
      }
        v2 = Foo();
      } catch (...) {
        std::cout << "oops 2\n";
      std::cout << "v1: idx = " << (int) v1.index();
      std::cout << ", valueless = " << v1.valueless_by_exception() << "\n";</pre>
      std::cout << "v2: idx = " << (int) v2.index();
      std::cout << ", valueless = " << v2.valueless_by_exception() << "\n";</pre>
 oops 1
 oops 2
 v1: idx = -1, valueless = 1
 v2: idx = 0, valueless = 0
                   Snippet 23: An example of variants being valueless by exception
Here, we see that if the variant contained an existing Foo and its copy-assignment threw, then its
validity would depend on whether Foo itself is valid (ie. its implementation). On the other hand, if
an exception is thrown while changing the type, then the variant becomes valueless.
Note that this applies to the emplace method as well, not just assignment.
5.2 Default constructibility
Variants can only be default constructed if their first variant is default constructible; this might be
```

(using overload resolution if necessary) based on the type of the active variant member.

a factor to consider when picking the order of the types (which otherwise shouldn't matter).

If all the variant types are non-default-constructible for some reason, you can use std::monostate as the first variant; it's just an empty struct, which uses no extra space and lets

You might be wondering if using a std::variant needs to be as painful as checking

std::visit can simplify the usage of variants by using the visitor pattern (as the name suggests). It takes in some kind of callable object, as well as a variant, then invokes the callable

5.3.1 Custom visitor class The simplest way to use it — in terms of understanding how it works — is to make a custom struct that overloads operator() for each of the possible variant types:

struct Visitor {

}

} **}**;

int: 69

db1: 3.14159

The equivalent of which is, of course, a lambda taking an auto parameter:

} else if constexpr (std::is_same_v<x_t, double>) {

} else if constexpr (std::is_same_v<x_t, std::string>) {

static_assert(std::is_same_v<x_t, void>, "unreachable!");

v3 = 420;

v2 = 2.71828;

} else {

std::visit(visitor, v1); std::visit(visitor, v2); std::visit(visitor, v3);

\$./main.out | sed '1,/<4/d;/4>/,\$d'

done at compile-time using if constexpr. Well, yes.

auto visitor2 = [](auto x) {

std::visit(visitor2, v3);

overloaded pattern

v1 = "it's 2am i'm tired";

auto foo = overloaded{

std::visit(foo, v1); std::visit(foo, v2); std::visit(foo, v3);

template <typename... Xs> struct overloaded : Xs... { using Xs::operator()...;

overloaded type itself.

their project :D

same return type.

then you'll get a compile error:

auto foo = overloaded{

overloaded(Xs...) -> overloaded<Xs...>;

};

};

std::cout << "thing: " //

str: help i'm stuck in a variant

}

};

dbl: 2.71828

};

5.3.3

int: 420

v1 = "help i'm stuck in a variant";

auto visitor = [](const auto& x) {

using x_t = std::decay_t<decltype(x)>; if constexpr (std::is_same_v<x_t, int>) { std::cout << "int: " << x << "\n";

std::cout << "dbl: " << x << "\n";

std::cout << "str: " << x << "\n";

std::cout << "int: " // << x << "\n"; } void operator()(double x) { // std::cout << "dbl: " << x << "\n";

> void operator()(std::string x) { std::cout << "str: " //

std::visit(Visitor{}, v1); std::visit(Visitor{}, v2); std::visit(Visitor{}, v3);

<< x << "\n";

void operator()(int x) { //

```
str: hello, world!
                       Snippet 24: Using std::visit with a custom visitor class
5.3.2 Templated visitor
Of course, it would be a real pain to have to keep making more of these visitors whenever we want
to deal with a different variant type, so we can actually just pass in a templated function.
```

\$./main.out | sed '1,/<3/d;/3>/,\$d'

<< x << "\n"; thing: 2.71828 thing: 420 std::visit(visitor2, v1); std::visit(visitor2, v2);

Snippet 26: Using entirely an generic lambda, without needing to check the types

Snippet 25: Using std::visit with a custom visitor class

Wait, it looks like we're back to square 0 with manually checking the type, except this time it's

If we're only using one (templated) lambda, then we do need to check like this if we need to

\$./main.out | sed '1,/<5/d;/5>/,\$d'

thing: help i'm stuck in a variant

differentiate the types. If we don't, then we can make the visitor entirely generic, like this:

to use std::visit like this: v3 = 995;v2 = 0.0000000001;

> [](int x) { std::cout << "int: " << x << "\n"; }, [](double x) { std::cout << "dbl: " << x << "\n"; },

[](std::string x) { std::cout << "str: " << x << "\n"; },

Finally, we have what is arguably the best and most flexible way to use std::visit, but also the most complicated to understand. It's commonly known as the overloaded pattern, and allows us

```
$ ./main.out | sed '1,/<6/d;/6>/,$d'
 str: it's 2am i'm tired
 dbl: 1e-10
 int: 995
                    Snippet 27: Using std::visit with the overloaded pattern
In fact, because of the rules of overload resolution, templated functions (eg. auto lambdas) are
selected after any non-templated ones, we can have a "fallback" visitor, like so:
        auto foo2 = overloaded{
                                                 $ ./main.out | sed '1,/<7/d;/7>/,$d'
                                                 ???: it's 2am i'm tired
            [](int x) {
              std::cout << "int: " //
                                                 ???: 1e-10
                         << x << "\n";
                                                 int: 995
            },
            [](auto x) {
              std::cout << "???: " //
                         << x << "\n";
            },
        };
        std::visit(foo2, v1);
        std::visit(foo2, v2);
        std::visit(foo2, v3);
                   Snippet 28: Using overloaded pattern to have a fallback visitor
So how is this magical overloaded gizmo implemented? Like this:
```

Snippet 29: The implementation of overloaded

How this works is that essentially, each lambda that you pass into overloaded (as its initialiser) becomes a base class of the final overloaded type. It then brings all the operator() into scope, so that each lambda in the set can be seen by std::visit, as if they were defined in the

The deduction guide (the second part) is needed in C++17 to tell the compiler how to deduce the

You don't need to worry too much about the details — everyone just copy-pastes this snippet into

A thing to note is that, regardless of which of the methods below you above to use, the return type of each visitor function (ie. all the overloads of operator(), all the lambdas, etc.) must have the

In order to return multiple possible types (eg. a visitor might return the same variant type as the

Furthermore, if the callable that you provide is not able to handle all of the possible variant types,

original), you should explicitly specify that the visitors themselves return a std::variant.

template arguments, as part of class template argument deduction (CTAD).

5.3.4 Miscellaneous notes on std::visit

std::variant<std::string, int, double> v;

_Deduced_type>::type;

pe ('int' vs 'void')

```
[](int) { std::cout << "int\n"; },
    [](double) { std::cout << "double\n"; },</pre>
};
std::visit(foo, v);
```

o_visit<false, true, overloaded<(lambda at broken.cpp:19:7), (lambda at bro ken.cpp:20:7)> &, std::variant<std::basic_string<char>, int, double> &>' re quested here return __do_visit(std::forward<_Visitor>(__visitor),

```
std::visit(foo, v);
In file included from broken.cpp:5:
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../include/c++/9/variant:10
14:28: error: cannot initialize a member subobject of type 'int (*)(overloa
ded<(lambda at broken.cpp:19:7), (lambda at broken.cpp:20:7)> &, std::varia
nt<std::basic_string<char>, int, double> &)' with an rvalue of type 'void (
*)(overloaded<(lambda at broken.cpp:19:7), (lambda at broken.cpp:20:7)> &,
std::variant<std::basic_string<char>, int, double> &)': different return ty
```

In file included from broken.cpp:5: /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../include/c++/9/variant:16 45:18: error: no type named 'type' in 'std::invoke_result<overloaded<(lambd a at broken.cpp:19:7), (lambda at broken.cpp:20:7)> &, std::basic_string<ch ar> &>'

/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../include/c++/9/variant:16 63:14: note: in instantiation of function template specialization 'std::__d

clang++ -g -std=c++20 -Wpedantic -Wall -Wextra -Wconversion -Wno-unused-var

iable -Wno-unused-but-set-variable -c -MMD -o broken.o broken.cpp

broken.cpp:23:8: note: in instantiation of function template specialization 'std::visit<overloaded<(lambda at broken.cpp:19:7), (lambda at broken.cpp: 20:7)> &, std::variant<std::basic_string<char>, int, double> &>' requested here

Snippet 30: Using std::visit with a non-exhaustive visitor (the error is very long, so we truncated it) Lastly, you can actually pass more than one variant to std::visit; your visitors should now take 2 arguments. Note that you now need to handle the cartesian product of all your variant types. For example, if you had variant<A, B, C> and variant<X, Y, Z>, you need (A, X), (A, Y), etc. — 9 of them.

6 iostream

The next major topic in this lecture is on the <code>iostream</code> library.

There are 2 key concepts in the iostream library.

- 1. The std::ostream and std::istream classes, which encapsulate output and input character streams.
- 2. Extensibility of operator<< via non-member operator overloading.

6.1 Key concept 1: std::{i,o}stream and I/O manipulators.

from std::istream objects with operator>>.

As we've seen, we can send output to std::ostream objects with operator<<, and receive input

However, we also have slightly funnier things that we can do as well:

Snippet 32: What does it mean to print a std::flush?

works, let's look at the overloads of operator<< that std::ostream supports.

Clearly, "flushing" isn't a printable character, but we can operator<< it anyway. To see how this

Reference:

std::ostream::operator<< member operator overloadsNon-member operator overloads for characters and string literals

Highlighted in red are where the magic happens.

is to simply call func(*this).

is simply overload operator<<.

struct PrintNumberTwice {

std::ostream& os,

```
basic_ostream& operator<<( short value );
basic_ostream& operator<<( unsigned short value );</pre>
basic ostream& operator<<( int value )</pre>
basic_ostream& operator<<( unsigned int value );</pre>
basic_ostream& operator<<( long value );
basic_ostream& operator<<( unsigned long value );</pre>
basic_ostream& operator<<( long long value );
basic_ostream& operator<<( unsigned long long value );</pre>
basic_ostream& operator<<( float value );
basic_ostream& operator<<( double value );
basic_ostream& operator<<( long double value );</pre>
basic_ostream& operator<<( bool value )</pre>
basic_ostream& operator<<( const void* value );</pre>
                                                                                                                  (7)
                                                                                                                  (8)
basic_ostream& operator<<( std::nullptr_t );</pre>
basic_ostream& operator<<( std::basic_streambuf<CharT, Traits>* sb );
basic ostream& operator<<
                                                                                                                  (10)
     std::ios_base& (*func)(std::ios_base&) );
     std::basic_ios<CharT,Traits>& (*func)(std::basic_ios<CharT,Traits>&) );
basic ostream& operator<<(
      std::basic_ostream<CharT,Traits>& (*func)(std::basic_ostream<CharT,Traits>&) );
                      std::ostream::operator<< member API breakdown
```

Highlighted in green we have overloads for normal values, and they simply work as expected.

Rather than taking in values, these overloads take in *function pointers*. What operator<< will do

For example, when we do something like std::cout << std::flush, we're actually calling the last overload, and so this is the same as doing std::flush(std::cout).

Snippet 33: Fancy I/O manipulators are just function calls

6.2 Key concept 2: Extensibility of operator<<

You have already seen this in action when we defined operator<< for SimpleString and SimpleVector. There are of course other standard library types that are printable and all they do

Our previous examples showed how operator<< can be extended to print our own data structures, but the same technique can be used to create "modifier" classes, which you might see in some formatting libraries online:

```
int n;
};

std::ostream& operator<<( // std::ostream& operator<<( //</pre>
```

struct HexNumber {

std::ostream& os,

```
HexNumber n) {
                                            HexNumber n) {
    os << n.n << ' ' << n.n;
                                          auto prev_flags = os.flags();
                                          // Set flag so numbers are printed in hex
    return os;
 }
                                           os.setf(os.hex);
                                           // Prints input in hexadecimal
                                          os << n.n;
                                          os.flags(prev_flags);
                                           return os;
                                        }
                Snippet 34: Extending std::ostream to work with our custom struct
6.3 I/O manipulator overview
Reference: I/O manipulators
Let's now go through some I/O manipulators.
```

These are most useful and most common manipulators. std::flush does what it says on the tin,

flushing.

affect printing.

6.3.2 Formatting flags

6.3.1 Flushing: std::flush and std::endl

On top of the manipulators that simply flush, we also have manipulators that set and remove

flags.

Reference: fmtflags

We can set flags with manipulators (e.g. std::hex) or directly (os.setf(os.hex)). operator<<
can then read out the flags using os.flags() as you've seen above, in order to use these flags to

and flushes the output stream. std::endl is a helper function that prints a newline followed by

This section used to contain a lot of examples for how the various flags worked, but it's probably better to know that they exist and that they're quite extensive, so please read through the list of flags for now, and the next time you feel like you need to do some fancy formatting, you may want to look up if a suitable I/O manipulator solves your problem.

want to look up it a suitable I/O mai

good reason for them to exist.

See iomanip for a more comprehensive list of the manipulators, example code, etc.

6.3.3 Monetary and time input/output std::{get,put}_{money,time}

See https://gracefu.neocities.org/cpp-get-money.html for a joke article:)

These exist, but honestly they're quite stupid and I've never seen them used nor can I think of any

7 User-defined literals

have language-defined literals, like these:

C++11 added a neat feature that, as the title suggests, allows for user-defined literals. We already

```
unsigned long x = 100UL;
float y = 3.14f;
                           Snippet 35: An example of builtin literal suffixes
```

They allow us to specify the type of literals. For instance, the type of 100UL is unsigned long, 3.14f is float, etc. This lets us alter the "default" types of literals; in this case, int and

double. As their name would suggest, we can create user-defined ones. The standard library has some of these:

using namespace std::literals;

std::complex c = 3.0 + 7i;

```
std::string s = "hello"s;
    std::string_view sv = "world"sv;
    std::cout << c << "\n" //
           << s << "\n"
            << sv << "\n";
(3,7)
hello
world
```

```
Snippet 36: An example of standard library UDLs
▼ Literal namespaces
You might have noticed that we had to do using namespace std::literals here. This is
```

because each "kind" of literal is in its own namespace. For example, the chrono literals (seconds, minutes, etc.) are in std::literals::chrono_literals, the string one (s) is in

7.1

...:string_literals, etc.

Because we cannot qualify (ie. specify the namespace) for literal operators, we need to just use the namespace (technically you can also directly using the operator itself). The full namespace of (for example) sv is std::literals::string_view_literals, but it also lives in std::string_view_literals and std::literals via the use of inline namespaces.

included.

By convention, user-defined UDLs (haha...) should be prefixed with _, like "foo"_sv instead of

Let's revisit SimpleString from our previous lecture, and add a literal operator so we can make a

Here, we used std::literals, which brings in all the literals from all the headers you

SimpleString straight from a string literal.

▼ Full code for SimpleString

class SimpleString { size_t m_size;

"foo"sv — those without underscores are reserved for the standard library.

Defining our own UDLs

The full code for SimpleString is reproduced below just in case (we've made a few small modifications):

```
char* m_buf;
public:
  SimpleString(): m_size\{0\}, m_buf\{new char[1]\{'\setminus 0'\}\} {}
  // Create string from string literal
  SimpleString(const char* str)
      : m_size{strlen(str)}, m_buf{new char[m_size + 1]} {
    memcpy(m_buf, str, m_size);
```

```
m_buf[m_size] = '\0';
}
SimpleString(const char* str, size_t n)
    : m_size(n), m_buf(new char[m_size + 1]) {
  memcpy(m_buf, str, m_size);
  m_buf[m_size] = 0;
}
// Rule of three
SimpleString(const SimpleString& other)
    : m_size{other.m_size}, m_buf{new char[other.m_size + 1]} {
  memcpy(m_buf, other.m_buf, m_size);
  m_buf[m_size] = '\0';
}
SimpleString& operator=(const SimpleString& other) {
  if (this == &other) {
    return *this;
  }
  delete[] m_buf;
  m_size = other.m_size;
  m_buf = new char[m_size + 1];
  memcpy(m_buf, other.m_buf, m_size);
  m_buf[m_size] = '\0';
  return *this;
~SimpleString() {
  delete[] m_buf;
}
// Basic getters
size_t size() const {
  return m_size;
}
const char* c_str() const {
  return m_buf;
}
char& operator[](size_t index) {
  return m_buf[index];
const char& operator[](size_t index) const {
  return m_buf[index];
}
friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& os,</pre>
                                 const SimpleString& str) {
  os << "SimpleString('";</pre>
  os.write(str.m_buf, static_cast<std::streamsize>(str.m_size));
  return (os << "')");</pre>
friend auto operator<=>(const SimpleString& lhs,
                        const SimpleString& rhs) {
  size_t smaller_size = std::min(lhs.m_size, rhs.m_size);
  if (auto cmp = memcmp(lhs.m_buf, rhs.m_buf, smaller_size); cmp == 0) {
    return lhs.m_size <=> rhs.m_size;
  } else if (cmp < 0) {
    return std::strong_ordering::less;
  } else {
    return std::strong_ordering::greater;
  }
friend auto operator==(const SimpleString& lhs,
                       const SimpleString& rhs) {
  return lhs.m_size == rhs.m_size &&
```

memcmp(lhs.m_buf, rhs.m_buf, lhs.m_size) == 0; } }; There are only a fixed set of allowed signatures for literal operators; in our case, we will choose the (const char*, size_t) one. Note that we helpfully get the size_t from the compiler so we don't have to compute the length ourselves (obviously, the compiler will know). All we need to do is define the operator, and it works:

./main.out | sed -n '1,/<2/d;/2>/q;p'

There are many ways to use user-defined literals, not just with strings. With numeric literals, you

ss = SimpleString('hello')

auto ss = "hello"_ss; std::cout << "ss = " //

<< ss << "\n";

```
The fixed set of allowed parameter types for literal operators, except the one taking just const
char*, are colloquially known as "cooked" literals; this is because we let the compiler handle the
parsing and conversion of the literal into an actual numeric type.
```

can create safe, dimensioned unit types, BigInteger-esque things, etc.

SimpleString operator""_ss(//

return SimpleString(s, n);

const char* s,

size_t n) {

}

7.2 Advanced UDLs

7.2.1 Cooked literals

7.2.2 Raw literals

iterate over and do stuff with.

7.2.3 Templated UDLs

to use.

Note that this is not the same as the const char*, size_t ones, which are only used for string literals.

We can also use the const char* version, which will be used as a fallback for numeric literals if none of the other overloads match. This gives you the digits as a string literal, which you can then

The most flexible way is to receive the literal as a non-type template parameter. For numeric literals, you can get the actual digits (as characters); these are known as numeric literal operator templates:

```
template <char... Nums>
int operator""_bigint() {
  return 69;
}
```

Snippet 37: An example of a numeric literal operator template They are designed this way to circumvent the problem of representation; the largest fundamental

integral type in C++ is unsigned long long, which is probably too small for "BigInt" class numbers. By not having to pass it as a function argument (ie. value), we just avoid this issue entirely.

Of course, since we can't iterate directly over template parameter packs, this is a little troublesome

For string literals, since C++20 you can also use a non-type template parameter, provided that the type of the NTTP is a class type that is implicitly constructible from a string literal.

Note that for the templated literal operators, the parameter list must be empty.

std::chrono C++11 also introduced the chrono library, which has a set of useful utilities for handling times;

8

C++20 introduced support for dates as well.

For most cases, std::chrono should be preferred over using the old C-style APIs, or using platform specific functions (eg. clock_gettime on POSIX).

In order to properly use chrono, we should be familiar with its 3 main concepts: clocks, time points, and durations.

8.1 Basic concepts

8.1.1 Clocks

It should be obvious what clocks are; they provide a source of time measurement. Clocks are

defined in terms of an epoch (a starting time) and a tick rate (which also determines their resolution).

The 3 basic clocks are system_clock, steady_clock, and high_resolution_clock. system_clock represents the wall clock time; it is not necessarily monotonic, ie. the reported time can go backwards. This might be caused by timezone changes, user adjustments, etc. Also, if you

need to convert to C's time_t for any reason, this is the only clock that can do it. Since C++20, the epoch of this clock is specified to be the Unix epoch (1st Jan 1970).

better to use one of the other clocks for consistent behaviour.

unit is in seconds, then a duration of 42 would be 42 seconds.

steady_clock is a monotonic clock, but it is not related to "real" wall-clock time. It might be the number of milliseconds since the last reboot, or something else entirely. The only guarantee is that the reported time (number) is strictly non-decreasing, and the time between each "tick" is constant. high_resolution_clock is usually an alias for either system_clock or steady_clock; it simply

asks for the clock with the highest resolution. Unless you really need such a clock, it's usually

8.1.2 Time points Again, it should be obvious what these represent - a point in time. They are linked to a specific clock, and are specified as a duration since the epoch of the clock. In terms of the operations you

can do on them, you can take their difference to get a duration. 8.1.3 Durations

The last key idea is durations, which are specified as a number of ticks of some time unit; if the

8.2 Type-safe, dimensional quantities

}

the call site:

template<

8.2.1 Safe API design

of a quantity should be part of its type. For example, seconds should be a distinct type from milliseconds, even if there exists implicit conversions between them (though that's another story).

Suppose we had some function that waited for something to occur, but accepted a timeout:

One key idea that the chrono library uses is that durations should be type-safe, and that the units

void doAction1(double timeout) { // do stuff

Clearly, this is bad – the actual units of the timeout are not specified anywhere; it might only exist in the documentation, or in a comment that might become outdated. We can improve this very slightly by naming the parameter, and very slightly more by documenting

doAction1(100);

```
void doAction2(double timeout_ms) {
                                                  doAction2(/* millisecs: */ 100);
   // do stuff
 }
However, this still relies on comments, which can become outdated, and won't actually prevent
compilation if there's a mismatch between the comment and the actual code. In a type-safe
```

language, we want to use types to help us check the correctness of our code.

class Rep, class Period = std::ratio<1> > class duration;

Snippet 38: The template declaration of std::chrono::duration

It encodes both the underlying type (as Rep, for example int or double), as well as the ratio (Period), which encodes the ratio of the duration. The standard defines seconds as having a ratio of 1, so hours would have a ratio of 3600:1 (std::ratio<3600, 1>), and milliseconds would have a ratio of 1:1000 (std::ratio<1, 1000>). Note that the denominator of a ratio is 1 by

default, so it doesn't need to be specified for ratios > 1.

\$./main.out | sed '1,/<7/d;/7>/,\$d'

sc::duration<int, std::milli> a = 3.7s;

8.2.4 Safe API design (part 2)

// do stuff

}

will succeed:

void doAction3(sc::milliseconds ms) {

3s = 3000

8.2.2 Chrono duration types

Enter the duration types; if we look at its declaration:

as its unit. 8.2.3 Converting between duration types

In this way, the type of a duration quantity can express both its numerical representation, as well

Snippet 39: Implicit conversions of std::chrono However, note that not all conversions are allowed implicitly; converting from a floating-point

representation to an integer destination will not work. In other words, implicit conversions for

durations are only allowed if the conversion can happen without a loss in precision.

If we revisit our doAction functions, we can rewrite them in terms of chrono types:

```
Since we have all the information we need about the type, we can perform conversions between
them. For example, this lets us do the following (implicit) conversion:
    sc::duration<int, std::milli> ms = 3s;
    std::cout << "3s = " << ms.count() << "\n";
```

```
sc::duration<double, std::ratio<1>> a = 3700ms; // OK
sc::duration<int, std::ratio<1>> b = 3700ms; // not OK
```

// not OK

second case, there would be a loss of precision (giving either 3s or 4s, neither of which is correct). In the last case, even though 3.7s would be 3700ms, it is still disallowed because converting from a floating-point representation to an integral one cannot happen implicitly.

Here, in the first case 3700ms is 3.7s, which can be represented in a double, so it works. In the

```
doAction3(10ms); // OK
    doAction3(100s); // also OK!
To fully prevent this, you might want to make your own dimensioned unit types that don't have
implicit conversions. In this case however, at least the types of each argument would be obvious,
```

Snippet 40: An even better API for doAction

However, something that might be unexpected is that you can pass different types to this function, due to the implicit conversions that we just discussed. For example, both of these calls

8.3 Chrono literals std::chrono provides a few user-defined-literals for convenience, which you can bring in from std::chrono_literals. We've already been using them a lot above; for example:

auto one_sec = 1s; auto one_min = 1min; auto one_hour = 1h;

using ms = sc::milliseconds;

<< " ms\n";

<< " ms\n";

<< " ms\n";

// do some expensive computation

2 seconds took 2006304516 (unknown units)

auto duration = sc::steady_clock::now() - start;

auto ms = sc::duration_cast<sc::milliseconds>(duration); std::cout << "2 seconds took " << ms.count() << " ms\n";</pre>

std::cout << "2 seconds took " << duration.count() //</pre>

<< " (unknown units)\n";</pre>

system("sleep 2");

2 seconds took 2007 ms

1s = 1000 ms1m = 60000 ms1h = 3600000 ms

1s = 11m = 11h = 1

namespace sc = std::chrono;

using namespace std::chrono_literals;

std::cout << "1s = " << one_sec.count() << "\n"; std::cout << "1m = " << one_min.count() << "\n"; std::cout << "1h = " << one_hour.count() << "\n";

and errors can be caught a little easier.

units of representation. However, it's clear that the output isn't very useful — they're all just 1. In order to get better output, we should use duration_cast to convert the duration into the units of our choice:

Anyway, note that we can use .count() on a duration to get the count, in terms of the internal

Snippet 41: Using chrono literals

Note that we did namespace sc = std::chrono just to save us from all that typing. It's very

tedious to keep typing it so often, and it makes code more verbose than it needs to be.

std::cout << "1s = " << sc::duration_cast<ms>(one_sec).count()

std::cout << "1m = " << sc::duration_cast<ms>(one_min).count()

std::cout << "1h = " << sc::duration_cast<ms>(one_hour).count()

```
Snippet 42: Using duration_cast
Again, note that we declared some aliases here ms = sc::milliseconds just to reduce verbosity.
8.4 Measuring time for benchmarks
One of the most common use cases for std::chrono is just to measure the amount of time
something takes; we already saw this briefly in previous lectures, but we'll explain them in greater
detail now.
The standard pattern for measuring time is to take the timestamp before, then after, and compute
the time taken to get a duration via subtraction:
      auto start = sc::steady_clock::now();
```

Snippet 43: Measuring time taken with std::chrono

Snippet 44: Printing the count without duration_cast

Just to illustrate why duration_cast is useful, we can try to print the duration directly here:

```
The units seem to be in nanoseconds here, clearly different from above when we were using the
chrono literals. Again, you should not rely on this — use duration_cast instead.
       hh_mm_ss
```

```
8.5
hours, minutes, and seconds.
      auto uwu = 69420s + 2.1h + 5min;
      std::cout << "count = " << uwu.count() << "\n";
      auto hms = sc::hh_mm_ss(uwu);
      std::cout << hms.hours().count() << "h, " //
                << hms.minutes().count() << "m, " //
                << hms.seconds().count() << "s\n";
 ./main.out | sed -n '1,/<5/d;/5>/q;p'
 count = 77280
 21h, 28m, 0s
                                Snippet 45: Using hh_mm_ss
multiply and divide by constants:
```

```
There's a neat utility type whose specific purpose is to conveniently decompose any duration into
This also illustrates that durations can be composed – you can add and subtract them, as well as
      auto ten_sec = 10s;
      auto five_sec = ten_sec / 2;
      auto a_long_time = ten_sec * 3 + 3h;
      std::cout << "10s = "
                << sc::duration_cast<ms>(ten_sec).count() //
                 << "ms\n";
      std::cout << "5s = "
                 << sc::duration_cast<ms>(five_sec).count() //
                 << "ms\n";
```

std::cout << "a long time = "</pre> << sc::duration_cast<ms>(a_long_time).count() //

<< "ms\n";

10s = 10000ms5s = 5000ms

a long time = 10830000ms

Snippet 46: Composing durations

Sanitisers

C++ compilers have several useful tools to help us catch bugs when we're writing programs. They operate at runtime, and usually result in a decent amount of overhead. However, the bugs that they expose are typically very hard to catch just by observation or at compile time.

There are a few different types of sanitisers:







can't possibly cover all of them in our examples.

We've already seen bits of ASan before, at least when it blew up on us when we did bad things with heap memory. Indeed, that's the primary class of bugs that ASan catches.

To compile with ASan, pass -fsanitize=address to the compiler.

#include <iostream>

after the first one.

this program with ASan:

#include <iostream>

auto y = new int;

catch, even at runtime.

#include <iostream>

std::cout << "x = " << x << "\n";

auto ff = (void (*)(double)) f;

void f(int x) {

ff(3.1);

// unaligned access

p[0] = 69420;p[1] = 42069;

auto p = new uint64_t[2];

std::cout << "p[0.5] = "

\$./ubsan.out 2>&1 | fold -w 75

int main() {

// asan.cpp

int main() { auto xs = new int[10];

```
xs[1] = 300;
  // access out of bounds
  xs[10] = 69;
  // use-after-free
  delete[] xs;
  std::cout << "xs[0] = " << xs[0] << "\n";
}
                Snippet 47: A few examples on types of things that ASan can catch
$ ASAN_OPTIONS=halt_on_error=0:print_legend=0 ./asan.out 2>&1 | fold -w 75
setarch: failed to execute ASAN_OPTIONS=halt_on_error=0:print_legend=0: No
such file or directory
```

A close cousin of ASan is MSan, and it catches uninitialised memory reads. Note that if we run

\$./asan-2.out

x = 0

int x; std::cout << "x = " << x << "\n"; }

```
We don't get any flagged errors. This is where MSan comes into play:
  #include <iostream>
                                                              $ ./msan.out
                                                              x = 0
  int main() {
                                                              y = 0
    int x;
    std::cout << "x = " << x << "\n";
```

Snippet 49: ASan cannot catch uninitialised memory use

9.3 Undefined Behaviour Sanitiser (UBSan) UBSan helps to catch instances of undefined behaviour in your program. It is not exhaustive of

course - there's way too many kinds of UB in C++, and some would literally be impossible to

```
Since both of these sanitisers operate on memory, it might beg the question of why we need
two separate ones; note that it is generally not possible to run two sanitisers at once.
The reason is that each memory sanitiser generally allocates what is known as shadow
memory; it mirrors each real byte of your program memory with one or more bits of "shadow"
memory, which the sanitiser uses to keep track of stuff that you've done to it.
For example, ASan would use this shadow memory to track allocation status, size, etc. while
MSan would use it to track whether a location has been written to when trying to read from it.
```

} int main() { // calling a function pointer through a wrong type

```
correct function type 'void (*)(double)'
 /__w/ccc-2/ccc-2/lectures/107/sanitisers/ubsan.cpp:3: note: f(int) defined
 here
 SUMMARY: UndefinedBehaviorSanitizer: undefined-behavior ubsan.cpp:9:3 in
 ubsan.cpp:17:16: runtime error: load of misaligned address 0x000000d62fe4 f
 or type 'uint64_t' (aka 'unsigned long'), which requires 8 byte alignment
 0x000000d62fe4: note: pointer points here
   11 e0 00 00 00 00 00 00
 SUMMARY: UndefinedBehaviorSanitizer: undefined-behavior ubsan.cpp:17:16 in
 p[0.5] = 180684979175424
9.4 Thread Sanitiser (TSan)
The last sanitiser that we'll talk about is TSan, which is very useful for catching data races in
multi-threaded programs. Note that it cannot catch race conditions, which can also be caused by
logic errors.
Just as a brief introduction, a data race happens when there are two unordered accesses to a
memory location, and at least one of those accesses is a write.
```

Because multi-threaded programs are inherently non-deterministic, sometimes TSan can miss

Of course, just because TSan doesn't report anything, doesn't mean that your program is free of

errors and you might need a couple of runs to catch all possible errors.

data races! Take CS3211 in semester 2 for more multi-threaded fun :D

Here's a simple example of a program that has a data race:

#include <iostream>

});

}

t1.join(); t2.join();

Snippet 50: An example of a program that has a data race \$./tsan.out 2>&1 | fold -w 75

ts/invoke.h:95:14 (tsan.out+0x4c04fd)

++/9/thread:195:13 (tsan.out+0x4c0279)

rs/tsan.cpp:7:7 (tsan.out+0x4bfd8b)

#6 <null> <null> (libstdc++.so.6+0xd6de3)

Previous write of size 4 at 0x00000382453c by thread T1:

WARNING: ThreadSanitizer: data race (pid=1337)

Read of size 4 at 0x00000382453c by thread T2:

```
rs/tsan.cpp:10:28 (tsan.out+0x4c063e)
    #1 void std::__invoke_impl<void, main::$_1>(std::__invoke_other, main::
$_1&&) /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../../include/c++/9/bit
s/invoke.h:60:14 (tsan.out+0x4c05dd)
    #2 std::__invoke_result<main::$_1>::type std::__invoke<main::$_1>(main:
:$_1&&) /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../../include/c++/9/bi
```

#0 main::\$_1::operator()() const /__w/ccc-2/ccc-2/lectures/107/sanitise

s/invoke.h:60:14 (tsan.out+0x4bfd4d) #2 std::__invoke_result<main::\$_0>::type std::__invoke<main::\$_0>(main: :\$_0&&) /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../../include/c++/9/bi ts/invoke.h:95:14 (tsan.out+0x4bfc6d) #3 void std::thread::_Invoker<std::tuple<main::\$_0> >::_M_invoke<0ul>(s

> >::_M_run() /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../../include/c ++/9/thread:195:13 (tsan.out+0x4bf9e9) #6 <null> <null> (libstdc++.so.6+0xd6de3) Location is global 'x' of size 4 at 0x00000382453c (tsan.out+0x0000038245 3c)

Thread T2 (tid=1337, running) created by main thread at:

#0 pthread_create <null> (tsan.out+0x4496dd)

#0 pthread_create <null> (tsan.out+0x4496dd)

d::default_delete<std::thread::_State> >, void (*)()) <null> (libstdc++.so. 6+0xd70a8) #2 main /__w/ccc-2/ccc-2/lectures/107/sanitisers/tsan.cpp:9:13 (tsan.ou

#1 std::thread::_M_start_thread(std::unique_ptr<std::thread::_State, st

d::default_delete<std::thread::_State> >, void (*)()) <null> (libstdc++.so. 6+0xd70a8) #2 main /__w/ccc-2/ccc-2/lectures/107/sanitisers/tsan.cpp:6:13 (tsan.ou t+0x4bf41d) SUMMARY: ThreadSanitizer: data race /__w/ccc-2/ccc-2/lectures/107/sanitiser

9.1 Address Sanitiser (ASan)

```
We get a nice trace of where each error occurred to help us in debugging. Note that we passed
some flags to ASan here so that it spams less output, and also to make it continue printing errors
```

```
Snippet 48: ASan cannot catch uninitialised memory use
```

▼ Why do we need both ASan and MSan?

9.2 Memory Sanitiser (MSan)

std::cout << "y = " << *y << "\n"; }

```
One reason why they cannot coexist is that it would require a lot of virtual memory, which
might not be possible.
```

<< *((uint64_t*) ((uint32_t*) &p[0] + 1)) // << "\n"; }

ubsan.cpp:9:3: runtime error: call to function f(int) through pointer to in

#include <thread> int x; int main() { auto t1 = std::thread([]() { // x = 10;}); auto t2 = std::thread([]() { // std::cout << "x = " << x << "\n";

```
td::_Index_tuple<0ul>) /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../i
nclude/c++/9/thread:244:13 (tsan.out+0x4c04a5)
    #4 std::thread::_Invoker<std::tuple<main::$_1> >::operator()() /usr/bin
/.../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/.../../.../include/c++/9/thread:251:11 (tsa
n.out+0x4c0445)
```

#5 std::thread::_State_impl<std::thread::_Invoker<std::tuple<main::\$_1>

#0 main::\$_0::operator()() const /__w/ccc-2/ccc-2/lectures/107/sanitise

#1 void std::__invoke_impl<void, main::\$_0>(std::__invoke_other, main::

\$_0&&) /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../../include/c++/9/bit

> >::_M_run() /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../../include/c

#3 void std::thread::_Invoker<std::tuple<main::\$_1> >::_M_invoke<0ul>(s

td::_Index_tuple<0ul>) /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../i nclude/c++/9/thread:244:13 (tsan.out+0x4bfc15) #4 std::thread::_Invoker<std::tuple<main::\$_0> >::operator()() /usr/bin /.../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/.../.../include/c++/9/thread:251:11 (tsa n.out+0x4bfbb5) #5 std::thread::_State_impl<std::thread::_Invoker<std::tuple<main::\$_0>

#1 std::thread::_M_start_thread(std::unique_ptr<std::thread::_State, st Thread T1 (tid=1337, finished) created by main thread at:

s/tsan.cpp:10:28 in main::\$_1::operator()() const

```
x = 10
ThreadSanitizer: reported 1 warnings
```

10 References

- cppreference std::pair
- cppreference std::forward_as_tuple
- cppreference std::tuple
- cppreference std::optional
- cppreference iomanip
- cppreference variant
- cppreference User-defined literals
- youtube Meeting C++ 2019 Howard Hinnant Design Rationale for the chrono Library
- youtube CppCon 2014: Kostya Serebryany "Sanitize your C++ code"
- © 30 June 2022, Ng Zhia Yang, Thomas Tan, Georgie Lee, All Rights Reserved