Consider a Gaussian process X(s) observed at points  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ , where  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The process is defined by a mean function  $\mu(s) = d(s)'\beta$  and a covariance function C(s, s'). The inferential problem is that of maximizing the likelihood of the parameters that define  $\mu$  and C, say  $\beta$  and  $\psi$ .

Consider a Gaussian process X(s) observed at points  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ , where  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The process is defined by a mean function  $\mu(s) = d(s)'\beta$  and a covariance function C(s, s'). The inferential problem is that of maximizing the likelihood of the parameters that define  $\mu$  and C, say  $\beta$  and  $\psi$ .

Given that the process is Gaussian we have that the vector  $X = (X(s_1), \ldots, X(s_n))'$  is normally distributed with mean  $D\beta$  and covariance matrix  $V(\psi)$ , where  $V(\psi)_{ij} = C(s_i, s_j)$ . Thus

$$L(\beta, \psi) \propto |V(\psi)|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(X - D\beta)'V(\psi)^{-1}(X - D\beta)\right\}$$

We can use sufficiency to write the likelihood as

$$L(\beta, \psi) \propto |V(\psi)|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left((\beta - \hat{\beta})'D'V(\psi)^{-1}D(\beta - \hat{\beta}) + S^2(\psi)\right)\right\}$$

where

$$D'V(\psi)^{-1}D\hat{\beta} = D'V(\psi)^{-1}X$$
 and  $S^2(\psi) = (X - D\hat{\beta})'V(\psi)^{-1}(X - D\hat{\beta})$ 

This clearly shows that, for any given  $\psi$  the MLE of  $\beta$  is  $\hat{\beta}$ .

We can use sufficiency to write the likelihood as

$$L(\beta, \psi) \propto |V(\psi)|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left((\beta - \hat{\beta})'D'V(\psi)^{-1}D(\beta - \hat{\beta}) + S^2(\psi)\right)\right\}$$

where

$$D'V(\psi)^{-1}D\hat{\beta} = D'V(\psi)^{-1}X$$
 and  $S^2(\psi) = (X - D\hat{\beta})'V(\psi)^{-1}(X - D\hat{\beta})$ 

This clearly shows that, for any given  $\psi$  the MLE of  $\beta$  is  $\hat{\beta}$ .

To calculate  $\hat{\beta}$  we need a square root of V and a QR or SVD decomposition of D. Calculating  $V^{-1}$  and forming the product  $D'V(\psi)^{-1}D$  is usually a VERY BAD idea.

 $S^2(\psi,\beta)$  is obtained as a by-product of the LSE calculation for  $\hat{\beta}$ .

# QR DECOMPOSITION

Consider a  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix P. Then  $P^{-1} = P'$ . Thus

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
,  $||Px||^2 = x'P'Px = x'x = ||x||^2$ 

so P preserves the Euclidean norm of vectors. Intuitively, P is a rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# QR DECOMPOSITION

Consider a  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix P. Then  $P^{-1} = P'$ . Thus

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
,  $||Px||^2 = x'P'Px = x'x = ||x||^2$ 

so P preserves the Euclidean norm of vectors. Intuitively, P is a rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Consider the linear model  $Y = D\beta + e$ . Consider the QR decomposition of D given as D = QR, where Q is a  $n \times b$  orthogonal matrix and R is  $n \times k$  matrix with an upper triangular matrix  $R_1$  in the upper  $k \times k$  block and zeroes in the lower block.

Let D = QR. The LSE solution minimizes

$$||Y - D\hat{\beta}||^2 = ||Y - QR\hat{\beta}||^2 = ||Q'Y - R\hat{\beta}||^2 = ||(Q'Y)_1 - R_1\hat{\beta}||^2 + ||(Q'Y)_2||^2$$

This expression reaches a minimum when

$$R_1\hat{\beta} = (Q'Y)_1$$

This is a triangular system, so is very easy and fast to solve.

Let D = QR. The LSE solution minimizes

$$||Y - D\hat{\beta}||^2 = ||Y - QR\hat{\beta}||^2 = ||Q'Y - R\hat{\beta}||^2 = ||(Q'Y)_1 - R_1\hat{\beta}||^2 + ||(Q'Y)_2||^2$$

This expression reaches a minimum when

$$R_1\hat{\beta} = (Q'Y)_1$$

This is a triangular system, so is very easy and fast to solve.

Moreover, at the minimum

$$||Y - D\hat{\beta}||^2 = ||(Q'Y)_2||^2$$
 and  $D'D = R'Q'QR = R'R$ 

so  $S^2$  is equal to the norm of the last n-k elements of the vector Q'Y and R is the Cholesky factor of the covariance matrix D'D.

## WEIGHED LSE

Suppose the regression error e is such that var(e) = V. Then take the Cholesky decomposition V = LL'. Then

$$Y=D\beta+e\Rightarrow L^{-1}Y=L^{-1}D\beta+L^{-1}e \ \ {\rm or} \ \ Z=F\beta+\varepsilon$$
 where  ${\rm var}(\varepsilon)=L^{-1}VL^{-T}=L^{-1}LL'L^{-T}=I.$ 

### WEIGHED LSE

Suppose the regression error e is such that var(e) = V. Then take the Cholesky decomposition V = LL'. Then

$$Y = D\beta + e \Rightarrow L^{-1}Y = L^{-1}D\beta + L^{-1}e \text{ or } Z = F\beta + \varepsilon$$

where 
$$var(\varepsilon) = L^{-1}VL^{-T} = L^{-1}LL'L^{-T} = I$$
.

The normal equations for the transformed linear model are

$$F'F\hat{\beta} = F'Z \text{ or } D'L^{-t}L^{-1}F\hat{\beta} = D'L^{-T}L^{-1}Y$$

which is equal to  $D'V^{-1}D\hat{\beta} = D'V^{-1}Y$ .

#### Weighed LSE

Suppose the regression error e is such that var(e) = V. Then take the Cholesky decomposition V = LL'. Then

$$Y = D\beta + e \Rightarrow L^{-1}Y = L^{-1}D\beta + L^{-1}e \text{ or } Z = F\beta + \varepsilon$$

where  $var(\varepsilon) = L^{-1}VL^{-T} = L^{-1}LL'L^{-T} = I$ .

The normal equations for the transformed linear model are

$$F'F\hat{\beta} = F'Z \text{ or } D'L^{-t}L^{-1}F\hat{\beta} = D'L^{-T}L^{-1}Y$$

which is equal to  $D'V^{-1}D\hat{\beta} = D'V^{-1}Y$ .

So the computational method to obtain the solution to the weighed LSE consists of: (a) computing the Cholesky decomposition of the covariance matrix; (b) solving LZ = Y and LF = D; (c) calculating the QR decomposition of F; (d) Solving for  $\hat{\beta}$ .

# Computational Methods

- Matrix computations will have to be performed repeatedly and have to be fast and accurate.
- Never invert a matrix explicitly.
- Prefer methods that are based on orthogonal transformations like QR or SVD.
- Use methods that account for the particular structure of the matrix. This is particularly important over large regular grids.
- Use simulation methods that can handle strong correlations between parameters.


# ESTIMATING CORRELATION PARAMETERS

There are several problems related to the estimation of the correlation parameters using likelihood methods.

- Computational issues. Cholesky decompositions require  $O(n^3)$  operations. This represents a heavy computational burden.
- Traditional MLE methods need the likelihood to be two times differentiable. If the covariance function does not satisfy this condition, then neither does the likelihood.
- The likelihood can be very flat, implying that different parameter values produce close to identical results.
- There can be strong correlations between the parameters that define the covariance function, resulting in "banana" shaped likelihood surfaces for which maximization is difficult.

# Computational Methods

Likelihood and posterior density functions for the range and the scale of two Matérn class correlations:  $\nu = .5$ (exponential) and  $\nu = 1$  (Whittle). Dotted lines correspond to true values.



### Profile Likelihood

To simplify the problem of visualizing the likelihood in multi-dimensional settings one can use **Profile Likelihoods**. Consider a likelihood depending on parameters  $(\alpha, \varphi)$ . Then

$$L_p(\alpha) = L(\alpha, \hat{\varphi}(\alpha)) = \max_{\varphi}(L(\alpha, \varphi))$$

#### Profile Likelihood

To simplify the problem of visualizing the likelihood in multi-dimensional settings one can use **Profile Likelihoods**. Consider a likelihood depending on parameters  $(\alpha, \varphi)$ . Then

$$L_p(\alpha) = L(\alpha, \hat{\varphi}(\alpha)) = \max_{\varphi} (L(\alpha, \varphi))$$

An alternative to the maximum-based profile likelihood is the marginalized profile likelihood. So we look at  $L_I(\alpha)$  which is obtained after integrating  $\varphi$  out. Integrating out  $\sigma^2$  and  $\beta$  in a Gaussian process likelihood.

#### Marginal Likelihood

The integration proposed in the previous slide consists of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_0^\infty d\beta d\sigma^2 |V(\psi)|^{-1/2} (\sigma^2)^{-n/2}$$

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left((\beta-\hat{\beta})'D'V(\psi)^{-1}D(\beta-\hat{\beta})+S^2(\psi)\right)\right\}$$


that yields

$$L(\psi) \propto |V(\psi)|^{-1/2} |D'V(\psi)^{-1}D|^{-1/2} (S(\psi)^2)^{-(m-k)/2}$$


where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$  (Mardia and Watkins, Biometrika '89). This expression is much more regular than the original likelihood and can be used to obtain a maximum marginal likelihood estimator of  $\psi$ . It also plays a fundamental role in the non-subjective Bayes analysis of the problem.

# Guárico Rainfall Likelihood

Likelihood and profile likelihood for nugget = 4,000and different values of the smoothness parameter in a Matèrn correlation family



# Guárico Rainfall Marginal Likelihood



Marginal likelihood for the range parameter for nugget = 4,000, and different values of the smoothness parameter in a Matèrn correlation family

## Consistency

Zhang, JASA 04 established that the sill and the range of a correlation function in the Matern family can not be estimated consistently.

The result is based on the fact that, for a given  $\nu$ , two elements of the family produce equivalent probability measures if the ratio  $\sigma/\phi^{\nu}$  is the same for both of them.

#### Consistency

Zhang, JASA 04 established that the sill and the range of a correlation function in the Matern family can not be estimated consistently.

The result is based on the fact that, for a given  $\nu$ , two elements of the family produce equivalent probability measures if the ratio  $\sigma/\phi^{\nu}$  is the same for both of them.

These results imply that only  $\sigma/\phi^{\nu}$  can be estimated consistently. A transformation that uses a function of  $\sigma/\phi^{\nu}$  can be used to improve the estimation, but it would not solve the consistency problem.