The Goods Market in an Open Economy

Luis Francisco Gomez Lopez

2021-03-10 09:12:36 GMT -05:00

Contents

- Please Read Me
- Purpose
- Demand for goods in an open economy
- IS curve in an open economy
- Marshall-Lerner condition
- IS curve and the Balance of Payments (BOP)
- Acknowledgments
- References

Please Read Me

- Check the message Welcome greeting published in the News Bulletin Board.
- Dear student please edit your profile uploading a photo where your face is clearly visible.
- The purpose of the virtual meetings is to answer questions and not to make a summary of the study material.
- This presentation is based on (Blanchard and Johnson 2017, Chapter 18)

Purpose

Analyze the equilibrium of the goods market of an open economy.

The demand of goods in an open economy is:

•
$$\widehat{Z} \equiv \widehat{C} + \widehat{I} + \widehat{G} + \widehat{X} - \varepsilon * \widehat{IM}$$

• If we include behavioral equations we have¹:

$$\widehat{Z} = \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y} - \widehat{T}) + \widehat{I}(\widehat{Y}, r) + \widehat{G} + \widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$$

- Where exports and imports, \widehat{X} and $\widehat{\mathit{IM}}$, depend on the **real multilateral** exchange rate, ε . Also exports depend on **GDP** inside the territory, \widehat{Y} , and imports depend on **GDP** outside the territory, \widehat{Y}^*
- Furthermore, we multiply \widehat{IM} by ε to express the term $\varepsilon*\widehat{IM}$ in the domestic currency².

 $^{^{1}}$ We are not going to include the **risk premium**, x, to facilitate the analysis.


²If the different **nominal** exchange rates, that are included in ε , are expressed as the amount of **units of national currency** that must be given in exchange for a **unit of** foreign currency then you have to multiply and not divide the imports by $0.02183 \, \text{L} \times 0.02183 \, \text{L} \times 0.02183$


- The demand of goods in an open economy is:
 - $\widehat{Z} \equiv \widehat{C} + \widehat{I} + \widehat{G} + \widehat{X} \varepsilon * \widehat{IM}$
 - If we include behavioral equations we have:

$$\widehat{Z} = \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y} - \widehat{T}) + \widehat{I}(\widehat{Y}, r) + \widehat{G} + \widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$$

- \widehat{C} , \widehat{I} and \widehat{G} include both products that are produced within the economy and products that are produced in the rest of the world.
 - Therefore these variables can depend on ε . In that sense, we assume that if ε increases, the products produced by the **rest of the world** are replaced by products produced **within** the economy and together \widehat{C} , \widehat{I} and \widehat{G} do not change.

- The demand for goods in an open economy is divided between:
 - Demand for goods within the territory that can be produced within the territory or in the rest of the world: $\widehat{C}(\widehat{Y} \widehat{T}) + \widehat{I}(\widehat{Y}, r) + \widehat{G}$
 - Demand for goods from the rest of the world produced within the territory: $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon)$
 - Demand for goods within the territory produced in the rest of the world: $\widehat{\varepsilon IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$
- Additionally it is important to mention that for a given period it can happen that:
 - $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) > \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$ (Trade surplus)
 - $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) < \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$ (Trade deficit)
 - $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$





IS curve in an open economy


- *IS* curve:
 - $\hat{Y} = \hat{Z}$

•
$$\widehat{Y} = \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T}) + \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) + \widehat{G} + \widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$$

- The equilibrium condition in the Goods Market for an Open Economy can by achieve with:
 - $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) > \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$
 - $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) < \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$
 - $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$
 - With a trade surplus, trade deficit or without them the Goods Market can be in equilibrium.

IS curve in an open economy

• Example of the equilibrium condition in the Goods Market with $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)<\varepsilon\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)$ (Trade deficit)³



 $[\]widehat{X}$ Remember that it can exist equilibriums where $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,arepsilon) \geq \widehat{arepsilon} \widehat{X}_{03}\widehat{Y}_{03}\widehat{Y}_{00:12:36~\mathrm{GMT}~-05:00}$

- ullet The **real** balance trade or **real** net exports, is defined as $\widehat{NX} \equiv \widehat{X} \widehat{IM}$
 - Using the **behavioral equations** we have that $\widehat{NX} = \widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)$
- A natural question that arises is how \widehat{NX} depends on the **real multilateral** exchange rate, ε . If that question can be answered, we can also determine how ε is related with the **real** GDP, \widehat{Y} .
- To answer this question we need to use the concept of derivative from differential calculus and the concept of elasticity from microeconomics.⁴

⁴If you don't have these tools, please skip this section and focus on the numerical example at the end of the explanation

2021-03-10 09:12:36 GMT -05:00

• To know the relationship between \widehat{NX} and ε we must know what determines the sign of $\frac{d\widehat{NX}}{d\varepsilon}$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\widehat{NX}}{d\varepsilon} &= \frac{d\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} - \frac{d\varepsilon\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{d\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} - \left[\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \frac{d\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon}\right] \\ &= \frac{d\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} - \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon) \left[1 + \frac{d\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}\right] \\ &= \frac{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \frac{d\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)} - \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon) \left[1 + \frac{d\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}\right] \end{split}$$

• To know the relationship between \widehat{NX} and ε we must know what determines the sign of $\frac{d\widehat{NX}}{d\varepsilon}$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\widehat{NX}}{d\varepsilon} &= \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon) \left[\frac{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)} \frac{d\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)} - \frac{d\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)} - 1 \right] \\ &= \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon) \left[\frac{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)} \eta_{\widehat{X},\varepsilon} - \eta_{\widehat{IM},\varepsilon} - 1 \right] \end{split}$$

Where
$$\eta_{\widehat{X},\varepsilon} \equiv \frac{d\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}$$
 and $\eta_{\widehat{IM},\varepsilon} \equiv \frac{d\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}$

- $\eta_{\widehat{X},\varepsilon}$ is the elasticity of the **real multilateral** exchange rate with respect to the **real** exports and $\eta_{\widehat{IM},\varepsilon}$ is the elasticity of the **real** multilateral exchange rate with respect to the real imports.
- The sign of $\frac{d\hat{N}\hat{X}}{dc}$ is determined by:

$$\frac{d\widehat{NX}}{d\varepsilon} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} > 0 \quad \text{if } \frac{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{\widehat{\varepsilon IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)} \eta_{\widehat{X},\varepsilon} - \eta_{\widehat{IM},\varepsilon} > 1 \\ = 0 \quad \text{if } \frac{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{\widehat{\varepsilon IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)} \eta_{\widehat{X},\varepsilon} - \eta_{\widehat{IM},\varepsilon} = 1 \\ < 0 \quad \text{if } \frac{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{\widehat{\varepsilon IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)} \eta_{\widehat{X},\varepsilon} - \eta_{\widehat{IM},\varepsilon} < 1 \end{array} \right.$$

• If $\frac{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*,\varepsilon)}{\widehat{\varepsilon IM}(\widehat{Y},\varepsilon)}\eta_{\widehat{X},\varepsilon}-\eta_{\widehat{IM},\varepsilon}>1$ then $\frac{d\widehat{NX}}{d\varepsilon}>0$ and this situation is known as the **Marshall–Lerner condition**. Also if this condition is fulfilled then there is a positive relation between ε and \hat{Y} . 2021-03-10 09:12:36 GMT -05:00

- Numerical example of the Marshall-Lerner condition
 - \bullet Let us assume that ε increases by 1% and we want to know how much \widehat{X} and \widehat{IM} decrease or increase in percentage terms.
 - The concept of elasticity allows us to answer the previous question where it indicates that happens to a dependent variable in percentage terms when an independent variable increases by 1% starting from some initial values of the dependent and independent variable.
 - Let us assume that $\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) > 0$ so $\frac{\widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon)} = 1$.
 - Also assume that $\eta_{\widehat{X}_{\varepsilon}}=0.9$ and $\eta_{\widehat{IM}_{\varepsilon}}=-0.8$. This means that if ε increases by 1% then \widehat{X} increases by 0.9% and \widehat{IM} decreases by -0.8%.
 - In this case $\frac{\widehat{X(Y^*,\varepsilon)}}{\widehat{\wp(M(Y,\varepsilon)}}\eta_{\widehat{X},\varepsilon}-\eta_{\widehat{M},\varepsilon}=1*0.9-(-0.8)=1.7>1.$ Therefore, the Marshall-Lerner condition is fulfilled for this particular numerical example, $\frac{d\widehat{NX}}{d\varepsilon} > 0$.

2021-03-10 09:12:36 GMT -05:00

• The **IS** curve for an open economy represents the equilibrium in the Goods Market:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{Y} &= \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T}) + \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) + \widehat{G} + \widehat{X}(\widehat{Y}^*, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \widehat{IM}(\widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) \\ \widehat{Y} &= \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T}) + \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) + \widehat{G} + \widehat{NX}(\widehat{Y}^*, \widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) \\ \widehat{Y} - \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T}) &= \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) + \widehat{G} + \widehat{NX}(\widehat{Y}^*, \widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) \\ \widehat{Y} - \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T}) - \widehat{T} &= \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) - (\widehat{T} - \widehat{G}) + \widehat{NX}(\widehat{Y}^*, \widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) \end{split}$$

- In an open economy the income of individuals within a territory includes the income obtained within the territory and represented by \widehat{Y} as well as other income from the rest of the world.
- We are going to include this aspect using elements from the Balance of Payments (BOP) and taking into account (International Monetary Fund 2009)

2021-03-10 09:12:36 GMT -05:00

 Table 1: Balance of Payments (BOP) for Colombia in 2000

Account	Value (Millons USD)
1 Cuenta corriente	845.40
Crédito (exportaciones)	18747.75
Débito (importaciones)	17902.35
1.A Bienes y servicios	1328.67
Crédito (exportaciones)	15806.67
Débito (importaciones)	14478.00
1.B Ingreso primario (Renta factorial)	-2156.44
Crédito	1029.70
Débito	3186.14
1.C Ingreso secundario (Transferencias corrientes)	1673.18
Crédito	1911.39
Débito	238.21
3 Cuenta financiera	849.68
3.1 Inversión directa	-2111.11
Adquisición neta de activos financieros	325.35
Pasivos netos incurridos	2436.46
3.2 Inversión de cartera	-174.67
Adquisición neta de activos financieros	1278.71
Pasivos netos incurridos	1453.38
3.3 Derivados financieros (distintos de reservas) y opciones de compra de acciones por parte de empleados	121.96
Adquisición neta de activos financieros	0.00
Pasivos netos incurridos	-121.96
3.4 Otra inversión	2151.48
Adquisición neta de activos financieros	444.64
Pasivos netos incurridos	-1706.84
3.5 Activos de reserva	862.02
Errores y omisiones netos	4.28

Source: Banco de la República - Colombia

18 / 22

 $^{^{1}}$ Methodology: Sixth version of the Balance of Payments Manual of the International Monetary Fund (IMF)

^a The Capital account does not appear because the sources of information currently available do not allow the identification and registration of capital transfers for Colombia

- The Primary Income (Ingreso primario (Renta factorial)) and the Secondary Income (Ingreso secundario (Transferencias corrientes)) represents the other income from the rest of the world.
- If the Primary Income (Ingreso primario (Renta factorial)) is represented by \widehat{NI} and the Secondary Income (Ingreso secundario (Transferencias corrientes)) is represented by \widehat{NT} we can rewrite the IS curve for an open economy as:

$$(\widehat{Y} + \widehat{NI} + \widehat{NT} - \widehat{T}) - \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T}) = \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) - (\widehat{T} - \widehat{G}) + \widehat{NX}(\widehat{Y}^*, \widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) + \widehat{NI} + \widehat{NT}$$

$$\widehat{S}^{pr} = \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) - \widehat{S}^{pu} + \widehat{CA}$$

$$\widehat{S}^{pr} + \widehat{S}^{pu} - \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) = \widehat{CA}$$

• Where $\widehat{S}^{pr} \equiv (\widehat{Y} + \widehat{N}I + \widehat{NT} - \widehat{T}) - \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T})$ is the **real** private savings in an open economy, $\widehat{S}^{pu} \equiv \widehat{T} - \widehat{G}$ is the **real** public savings in an open economy and $\widehat{CA} \equiv \widehat{NX}(\widehat{Y}^*, \widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) + \widehat{NI} + \widehat{NT}$ is the **current account**.

• In that sense, if the Goods Market is in equilibrium then the difference between savings and investment is equal to the **current account**.

$$(\widehat{Y} + \widehat{NI} + \widehat{NT} - \widehat{T}) - \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T}) = \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) - (\widehat{T} - \widehat{G}) + \widehat{NX}(\widehat{Y}^*, \widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) + \widehat{NI} + \widehat{NT}$$

$$\widehat{S}^{pr} = \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) - \widehat{S}^{pu} + \widehat{CA}$$

$$\widehat{S}^{pr} + \widehat{S}^{pu} - \widehat{I}(r, \widehat{Y}) = \widehat{CA}$$

• Where $\widehat{S}^{pr} \equiv (\widehat{Y} + \widehat{N}I + \widehat{NT} - \widehat{T}) - \widehat{C}(\widehat{Y}_R - \widehat{T})$ is the **real** private savings in an open economy, $\widehat{S}^{pu} \equiv \widehat{T} - \widehat{G}$ is the **real** public savings in an open economy and $\widehat{CA} \equiv \widehat{NX}(\widehat{Y}^*, \widehat{Y}, \varepsilon) + \widehat{NI} + \widehat{NT}$ is the **current account**.

Acknowledgments

- To my family that supports me
- To the taxpayers of Colombia and the UMNG students who pay my salary
- To the Business Science and R4DS Online Learning communities where I learn R
- To the R Core Team, the creators of RStudio IDE and the authors and maintainers of the packages tidyverse, tidyquant, latex2exp, readxl, knitr, kableExtra and tinytex for allowing me to access these tools without paying for a license
- To the Linux kernel community for allowing me the possibility to use some Linux distributions as my main OS without paying for a license

References

Blanchard, Olivier, and David R. Johnson. 2017. *Macroeconomics*. Seventh edition. Boston: Pearson.

International Monetary Fund. 2009. Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual. 6th ed. Washington D.C: International Monetary Fund.