Multi-Viewpoint Object Recognition

Luigi F. Tedesco¹ and ²

Abstract-Object recognition capability is a essential condition for giving autonomy to mobiles robots in human made environment. However, achieving this goal by means of visually representing objects is a hard task ?? and using all possible sources of information is a must. Here we present a procedure to incorporate the notion of continuity and overcome ambiguous points of view. By observing objects from different perspectives binded with a Markovian modeling of the stochastic processes of recognizing each of the objects viewpoint, the algorithm copes with a sparse database, blurred images from motion and object spatial symmetry, to recognize and estimate objects 6-dof pose. A multi-modal Kalman based tracking was also implemented in order to recognize multiple objects simultaneously. The approach was tested in a mobile platform and the comparison between the single viewed and the proposed recognition gave promising results.

I. INTRODUCTION

The vast majority of the literature focus on single image object visual recognition for helping robots in tasks such as semantic navigation ??, pose estimation for grasping ?? and environmental search ??. Typically, a set of features is extracted from a segmented object candidate and, subsequently, compared to a database of priori known objects. Extensive work have been done in order to increase efficiency in each one of the sub-processing steps. Among them: segmentations methods using range cameras, features that describe color and texture ??, geometry ??, contours ??, besides classifiers and matching techniques. Alternatively, a deep neural architecture ?? can perform a direct object visual classification after a delicate training phase. However, the classic recognition pipeline seems to be more natural and simple to be implemented with a straight-forward training, still having reasonable results.

Nevertheless, ambiguous viewpoints easily trick visual descriptors reducing its recognition capability. Observing objects sequentially from distinctive points of view seems to be a natural way to deal with the problem. A solution inspired by human behavior for learning new unseen objects has been proposed by ??, using key-frames and the rate of matching features with past frames, to overcome ambiguity in face recognition task. More work have been done to model objects different viewpoints perspectives summarized by Roy and al. ??.

II. PROPOSED METHOD

We present a viewpoint multiple object recognition for indoor environments.

A. Object Segmentation

The segmentation step concerns on differentiating the object from the background of raw image. Stereoscopic and infra-red cameras helped the treatment adding a new dimension to images and allowing segmentation geometrically. In our case, objects are consider as cluster of points right above the ground plan. The segmentation algorithm proposed by ?? consists, therefore, in using the plan equation, acquired from an initial calibration phase, and its normal to find orthogonal walls and subtract all these background elements; and a euclidean clustering algorithm to group close points into clusters. Despite simplifying the feature extraction process, the given definition constrains the object segmentation capability.

B. Feature

Among all kind of image features, the Viewpoint Feature Histogram captures the object geometry by estimating the angular transformation between the normal of each of the object's point and the standpoint from where it has been viewed. The interest of using such a feature is to explore the ambiguity created from objects spatial symmetry.

C. Aspect-Graph

In order to represent objects in the 3 dimensional space, an aspect graph representation merges viewpoint appearance and the necessary movement to transit between them.

D. Multi-object Tracking

E. Viewpoint Recognition

III. EXPERIMENTS

The proposed recognition system was deployed in a differential mobile robot, Wifibot V2, embedded with a RGB-D camera, Asus Xtion Pro Live. The algorithm architecture were implemented over ROS using PCL and OpenNi2 libraries. In the interest of validating the approach, the robot was initially taught objects aspects graphs and two sets of experiments were proposed to analyze the efficiency of the algorithm in real scenarios.

¹Luigi Franco Tedesco is an undergraduate student with Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Robotics and Artificial Intelligence, Thales Service, 91767 Palaiseau, France tedesco@ensta.fr

²Bernard D. Researcher is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, USA b.d.researcher@ieee.org

A. Object Database

First, twenty objects varying in size and form were selected to compose the robot knowledge database. The objects aspect graphs were composed by VFH features from eight equally distant viewpoints acquired from positioning the robot around the to be learn object 1.5 meters away. Each of the feature was labeled

B. Performance testing

The first experiment consist in a performance comparison between the single and multi image recognition techniques. In other words, this comparison attest whether the architecture is interesting or not, since having same performance by the cost of adding a complex post-processing and tracking modules is not interesting.

C. Units

- Use either SI (MKS) or CGS as primary units. (SI units are encouraged.) English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses). An exception would be the use of English units as identifiers in trade, such as 3.5-inch disk drive.
- Avoid combining SI and CGS units, such as current in amperes and magnetic field in oersteds. This often leads to confusion because equations do not balance dimensionally. If you must use mixed units, clearly state the units for each quantity that you use in an equation.
- Do not mix complete spellings and abbreviations of units: Wb/m2 or webers per square meter, not webers/m2. Spell out units when they appear in text: . . . a few henries, not . . . a few H.
- Use a zero before decimal points: 0.25, not .25. Use cm3, not cc. (bullet list)

D. Equations

The equations are an exception to the prescribed specifications of this template. You will need to determine whether or not your equation should be typed using either the Times New Roman or the Symbol font (please no other font). To create multileveled equations, it may be necessary to treat the equation as a graphic and insert it into the text after your paper is styled. Number equations consecutively. Equation numbers, within parentheses, are to position flush right, as in (1), using a right tab stop. To make your equations more compact, you may use the solidus (/), the exp function, or appropriate exponents. Italicize Roman symbols for quantities and variables, but not Greek symbols. Use a long dash rather than a hyphen for a minus sign. Punctuate equations with commas or periods when they are part of a sentence, as in

$$\alpha + \beta = \chi \tag{1}$$

Note that the equation is centered using a center tab stop. Be sure that the symbols in your equation have been defined before or immediately following the equation. Use (1), not Eq. (1) or equation (1), except at the beginning of a sentence: Equation (1) is . . .

E. Some Common Mistakes

- The word data is plural, not singular.
- The subscript for the permeability of vacuum ?0, and other common scientific constants, is zero with subscript formatting, not a lowercase letter o.
- In American English, commas, semi-/colons, periods, question and exclamation marks are located within quotation marks only when a complete thought or name is cited, such as a title or full quotation. When quotation marks are used, instead of a bold or italic typeface, to highlight a word or phrase, punctuation should appear outside of the quotation marks. A parenthetical phrase or statement at the end of a sentence is punctuated outside of the closing parenthesis (like this). (A parenthetical sentence is punctuated within the parentheses.)
- A graph within a graph is an inset, not an insert. The word alternatively is preferred to the word alternately (unless you really mean something that alternates).
- Do not use the word essentially to mean approximately or effectively.
- In your paper title, if the words that uses can accurately replace the word using, capitalize the u; if not, keep using lower-cased.
- Be aware of the different meanings of the homophones affect and effect, complement and compliment, discreet and discrete, principal and principle.
- Do not confuse imply and infer.
- The prefix non is not a word; it should be joined to the word it modifies, usually without a hyphen.
- There is no period after the et in the Latin abbreviation et al..
- The abbreviation i.e. means that is, and the abbreviation e.g. means for example.

IV. USING THE TEMPLATE

Use this sample document as your LaTeX source file to create your document. Save this file as **root.tex**. You have to make sure to use the cls file that came with this distribution. If you use a different style file, you cannot expect to get required margins. Note also that when you are creating your out PDF file, the source file is only part of the equation. Your $T_EX \rightarrow PDF$ filter determines the output file size. Even if you make all the specifications to output a letter file in the source - if your filter is set to produce A4, you will only get A4 output.

It is impossible to account for all possible situation, one would encounter using TeX. If you are using multiple TeX files you must make sure that the "MAIN" source file is called root.tex - this is particularly important if your conference is using PaperPlaza's built in TeX to PDF conversion tool.

A. Headings, etc

Text heads organize the topics on a relational, hierarchical basis. For example, the paper title is the primary text head because all subsequent material relates and elaborates on this one topic. If there are two or more sub-topics, the next level head (uppercase Roman numerals) should be used and, conversely, if there are not at least two sub-topics, then no subheads should be introduced. Styles named Heading 1, Heading 2, Heading 3, and Heading 4 are prescribed.

B. Figures and Tables

Positioning Figures and Tables: Place figures and tables at the top and bottom of columns. Avoid placing them in the middle of columns. Large figures and tables may span across both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures; table heads should appear above the tables. Insert figures and tables after they are cited in the text. Use the abbreviation Fig. 1, even at the beginning of a sentence.

TABLE I AN EXAMPLE OF A TABLE

One	Two
Three	Four

We suggest that you use a text box to insert a graphic (which is ideally a 300 dpi TIFF or EPS file, with all fonts embedded) because, in an document, this method is somewhat more stable than directly inserting a picture.

Fig. 1. Inductance of oscillation winding on amorphous magnetic core versus DC bias magnetic field

Figure Labels: Use 8 point Times New Roman for Figure labels. Use words rather than symbols or abbreviations when writing Figure axis labels to avoid confusing the reader. As an example, write the quantity Magnetization, or Magnetization, M, not just M. If including units in the label, present them within parentheses. Do not label axes only with units. In the example, write Magnetization (A/m) or Magnetization A[m(1)], not just A/m. Do not label axes with a ratio of quantities and units. For example, write Temperature (K), not Temperature/K.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A conclusion section is not required. Although a conclusion may review the main points of the paper, do not replicate the abstract as the conclusion. A conclusion might elaborate on the importance of the work or suggest applications and extensions.

APPENDIX

Appendixes should appear before the acknowledgment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The preferred spelling of the word acknowledgment in America is without an e after the g. Avoid the stilted expression, One of us (R. B. G.) thanks . . . Instead, try R. B. G. thanks. Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

References are important to the reader; therefore, each citation must be complete and correct. If at all possible, references should be commonly available publications.

REFERENCES

- G. O. Young, Synthetic structure of industrial plastics (Book style with paper title and editor), in Plastics, 2nd ed. vol. 3, J. Peters, Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964, pp. 1564.
- [2] W.-K. Chen, Linear Networks and Systems (Book style). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1993, pp. 123135.
- [3] H. Poor, An Introduction to Signal Detection and Estimation. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1985, ch. 4.
- [4] B. Smith, An approach to graphs of linear forms (Unpublished work style), unpublished.
- [5] E. H. Miller, A note on reflector arrays (Periodical styleAccepted for publication), IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat., to be publised.
- [6] J. Wang, Fundamentals of erbium-doped fiber amplifiers arrays (Periodical styleSubmitted for publication), IEEE J. Quantum Electron., submitted for publication.
- [7] C. J. Kaufman, Rocky Mountain Research Lab., Boulder, CO, private communication, May 1995.
- [8] Y. Yorozu, M. Hirano, K. Oka, and Y. Tagawa, Electron spectroscopy studies on magneto-optical media and plastic substrate interfaces(Translation Journals style), IEEE Transl. J. Magn.Jpn., vol. 2, Aug. 1987, pp. 740741 [Dig. 9th Annu. Conf. Magnetics Japan, 1982, p. 301].
- [9] M. Young, The Techincal Writers Handbook. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.
- [10] J. U. Duncombe, Infrared navigationPart I: An assessment of feasibility (Periodical style), IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. ED-11, pp. 3439, Jan. 1959.
- [11] S. Chen, B. Mulgrew, and P. M. Grant, A clustering technique for digital communications channel equalization using radial basis function networks, IEEE Trans. Neural Networks, vol. 4, pp. 570578, July 1993.
- [12] R. W. Lucky, Automatic equalization for digital communication, Bell Syst. Tech. J., vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 547588, Apr. 1965.
- [13] S. P. Bingulac, On the compatibility of adaptive controllers (Published Conference Proceedings style), in Proc. 4th Annu. Allerton Conf. Circuits and Systems Theory, New York, 1994, pp. 816.
- [14] G. R. Faulhaber, Design of service systems with priority reservation, in Conf. Rec. 1995 IEEE Int. Conf. Communications, pp. 38.
- [15] W. D. Doyle, Magnetization reversal in films with biaxial anisotropy, in 1987 Proc. INTERMAG Conf., pp. 2.2-12.2-6.
- [16] G. W. Juette and L. E. Zeffanella, Radio noise currents n short sections on bundle conductors (Presented Conference Paper style), presented at the IEEE Summer power Meeting, Dallas, TX, June 2227, 1990, Paper 90 SM 690-0 PWRS.
- [17] J. G. Kreifeldt, An analysis of surface-detected EMG as an amplitude-modulated noise, presented at the 1989 Int. Conf. Medicine and Biological Engineering, Chicago, IL.
- [18] J. Williams, Narrow-band analyzer (Thesis or Dissertation style), Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Elect. Eng., Harvard Univ., Cambridge, MA, 1993.
- [19] N. Kawasaki, Parametric study of thermal and chemical nonequilibrium nozzle flow, M.S. thesis, Dept. Electron. Eng., Osaka Univ., Osaka, Japan, 1993.
- [20] J. P. Wilkinson, Nonlinear resonant circuit devices (Patent style), U.S. Patent 3 624 12, July 16, 1990.