Usability Test Report of CP's Website (Proposal)

TESTED BY: LUÍS CRUZ[1]

[1]*MAP-i, Joint Doctoral Programme in Computer Science*

Tested: January 20, 2014

Common Industry Format for Usability Test Report v1.1
Date prepared: January 27, 2014
Prepared by: Luís Cruz (luiscruz@fe.up.pt)

Executive Summary

vthe identity and a description of the product

va summary of the method(s) of the test including the number of and type of participants and their tasks.

vresults expressed as mean scores or other suitable measure of central tendency

w the reason for and nature of the test

w tabular summary of performance results.

1 Introduction

1.1 Product Description

CP.pt is the official website of emphCP - Comboios de Portugal, E.P.E, the public portuguese company responsible for rendering national and international passenger rail services.

CP customers vary according to the service provided. Many college students, workers and pensioners use the regional and urban services for small and medium distances. Long distance services are more used by college students that are away from home, tourists, and executive workers. Unfortunately, no official document stating the segmentation of the CP.pt website's users was found.

It is noticeable that CP services have a lot more passengers during school time, which means that students are an important segment of CP's customers. Besides, most of the students have good experience with the WEB, so the CP.pt website is expected to be a great tool to them. Therefore, this usability evaluation will focus in the segment of college students, which might be portuguese citizens as well as foreigners that study or want to study in Portugal and are able to speak English.

Many scenarios can apply for the use of the website by students. Some times they leave the classes earlier and need a way of quickly check if there are other alternative trains that can take them home earlier. Also sometimes there is no direct train to their destination, so they have to catch another in the the middle of the travelling. Another scenario is when the weekend is over and the student has to buy his/her ticket from home to his/her university city. Buying it from the website is more convenient since the student can avoid wasting time in the ticket lines and can grant a seat for his/her trip.

From the features implemented in the website, the following were tested:

- Choose between Portuguese or English versions

- Check timetables for a trip.

- Buy fast train tickets, being the features that were tested.

1.2   Test Objectives

The aim of the test was to validate the usability of the main features of the CP.pt — finding the most suitable train and buying tickets. It is important that these tasks are easy to learn.

Representative users were asked to complete some tasks, measures were taken of effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction, and some notes about the users' opinion were taken in order to have ideas for some improvements that can be made.

2   Method

2.1   Participants

This test had 2 participants. Both were college students with ages between 21 and 26 years old. They are intermediate level users, that frequently use WEB applications. They already have experience in other transportation company's websites.

Students are used to get things fast and with an attractive design. Usually they have a laptop with a 13 or 15 inches screen, and use the Eduroam network when studying in the university.

2.2   Context of Product Use in The Test

2.2.1   Tasks

The tasks that the participant has to accomplish are the following:

1. Select the English Version of the application.

2. Find the schedule for a trip from Braga to Aveiro.

3. Find a cheap trip from Braga to Aveiro.

4. Buy a ticket from Braga to Porto.

These tasks are described in more detail in the *Usability Test Plan*, available in the appendix B. For each task, all the steps were defined in order to desscribe how the task can be efficiently accomplished.

These tasks were selected for being the features that are expected to be of the most important use. Every transportation website has these features and add great value to the customers, so it is important that they meet the users needs.

All the completion and performance criterias are also described in the Usability Test Plan (see appendix B).

2.2.2   Test Facility

The test was made in a study room at the faculty. The moderator is sitting next to the participant in order to make the observation and query and give assistance. The screen and audio were recorded using the tool QuickTime Player 10.3 which is invisible to the user and does not affect the user experience.

2.2.3   Participant's Computing Environment

According to (?), the most common resolution used in WEB is 1366×768. In this experiment a 13 inches RGB screen with approximately the same resolution was used: 1440×990. For interaction with the application the participant used an Apple laptop keyboard, and an Apple Magic mouse. Some other devices were available if the user didn't feel comfortable with these devices, however, they were not used.

The browser Safari 7.0.1 with default settings was used with an internet connection which had an average download and upload speeds of $4Mbit/s$ and $1Mbit/s$, respectively.

2.2.4   Test Administrator Tools

A *Data Logging Form* (see C) was designed providing the moderator with a tool to record some notes about each task of each participant. The form has some variables , and a generic questionnaire to be [define variables] asked to the user. It also provides a space to take some notes while the moderator conducts a small post-task interview.

All the task information is provided in the *Usability Test Plan*, available in the appendix B, defining a script about how the moderator should conduct the experiment is provided. All the steps that are necessary to finish a task are clearly described as well as some guidelines with the important questions for the post-task interview.

After the test, the participants were asked to answer a post-test questionnaire based on () provided in appendix D.

As it was already mentioned, during the experiments, the screen and voice were recorded using the tool QuickTime Player 10.3.

2.3 Experimental Design

vDescribe the logical design of the test. Define independent variables and control variables. Briefly describe the measures for which data were recorded for each set of conditions. `vriables`

2.3.1 Procedure

The participants were informed that the usability of CP's website was being tested, to find out whether it met the needs of users such as themselves. They were told that it was not a test of their abilities. They were asked to sign a consent form.

Participants were given introductory instructions. The evaluator reset the state of the computer before each task, and provided instructions for the next task.

The participant could ask for assistance and make questions whenever they find necessary, in order clarify any part of the task. All assistances were logged by the moderator. Also there was no time limit for the task completions, but if the moderator feels that the participant is stuck in some part, he was allowed to give some hints if properly logged.

After each task the moderator conducted a small interview trying to answer some crucial questions provided in the Usability Test Plan (see appendix B).

The participants were non remunerated voluntaries and during each test session only the moderator and a participant were present.

2.3.2 Participant General Instructions

The instructions were given personally by the moderator to each participant. The test session proceeds by having only one user in the room with a moderator. Whenever the user needed help he/she could simply ask for help. The participant was asked to use the *think-aloud* technique, describing every step he/she makes during the tasks. The moderator will be directly observing the participant and taking some notes using the Data Logging Form, provided in appendix

2.3.3 Participant Task Instructions

Before starting each task the moderator explained what it was expected to accomplish in the following task. The task instructions are very simple, being described in a short sentence, as stated in section 2.2.1.

2.4 Usability Metrics

vExplain what measures have been used for each category of usability metrics: effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction. Conceptual descriptions and examples of the metrics are given below.

2.4.1 Effectiveness

For measuring effectiveness the following measures were considered:

Completion Rate the percentage of participants that correctly finished each task.

Unassisted Completion Rate the percentage of participants that correctly finished each task without assistance.

Number of steps made differently number of steps that the participants made differently to the ones described in the test plan.

Number of Assistances The average of assurances given in each task.

Back Button hits The average of times the user hit the Back Button in the browser.

Errors The number of times a user had to repeat parts of the task.

2.4.2 Efficiency

Efficiency was accessed by measuring the following parameters:

Task time The average time the users took to correctly complete each task.

Completion rate efficiency mean completion rate/mean task time.

2.4.3 Satisfaction

Satisfaction is a subjective measure that correlates with the user's motivation to use a product. The standardized instrument *System Usability Scale* (SUS) provides a 10 item questionnaire with five-scale responses that can be converted into a score.After the test the users answered this questionnaire.

Also a post-test questionnaire based on was given to the participants (available in appendix **??**). This questionnaire intended to provide some insights about the way the design should be changed to make it more suitable to the user.

reference SUS

http://www.

3 Results

3.1 Data Analysis

3.1.1 Data Scoring

The method by which the data collected were scored should be described in sufficient detail to allow replication of the data scoring methods by another organization if the test is repeated. Particular items that should be addressed include the exclusion of outliers, categorization of error data, and criteria for scoring assisted or unassisted completion.

Falar do SUS: The score on SUS questionnaire was analyzed using the SUS scoring procedure provided in (Brooke, 1996).

3.1.2 Data Reduction

The combined results of all tasks show the mean reults for the effectiveness an efficiency metrics.

The method by which the data were reduced should be described in sufficient detail to allow replication of the data reduction methods by another organization if the test is repeated. Particular items that should be addressed include how data were collapsed across tasks or task categories.

In addition to data for each task, the combined results show the total task time and the mean results for effectiveness and efficiency metrics.

3.1.3 Statistical Analysis

3.2 Presentation of the Results

Effectiveness, Efficiency and Satisfaction results must always be reported

3.2.1 Performance Results

Task 1 The results observed in task 1 are reported in table **??**.

Table 1: Results observed in **task 1**.

Participant ID	Completion	Number of Assistances	Number of steps made differently	Back Button hits	Errors
1	1	0	0	0	0
2	1	0	0	0	0
Mean	1	0	0	0	0
Standard Error	0				
Standard Deviation	0				
Min	1	0	0	0	0
Max	1	0	0	0	0

Task 2 The results observed in task 2 are reported in table **??**.

Table 2: Results observed in **task 2**.

Participant ID	Completeness	Number of Assistances	Number of steps made differently	Back Button hits	Errors
1	1	1	1	2	3
2	1	0	2	1	0
Mean	1	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Standard Error	0				
Standard Deviation	0				
Min	1	0	1	1	0
Max	1	1	2	2	3

Task 3 The results observed in task 3 are reported in table 3.

Table 3: Results observed in **task 3**.

Participant ID	Completeness	Number of Assistances	Number of steps made differently	Back Button hits	Errors
1	1	0	0	0	0
2	1	0	0	0	0
Mean	1	0	0	0	0
Standard Error	0	0	0	0	0
Standard Deviation	0	0	0	0	0
Min	1	0	1	1	0
Max	1	0	2	2	0

Task 4 The results observed in task 4 are reported in table **??**.

Table 4: Results observed in **task 4**.

Participant ID	Completeness	Number of Assistances	Number of steps made differently	Back Button hits	Errors
1	1	3	0	0	0
2	1	0	1	2	0
Mean	1	1.5	0.5	1	0
Standard Error	0				0
Standard Deviation	0				0
Min	1	0	0	0	0
Max	1	3	1	2	0

Table 5: Combined Performance Results.

Participant ID	Completion Rate (%)	Unassisted Completion Rate (%)	Number of Assistances	Number of steps made differently	Back Button hits	Errors
1	100		4	1	2	3
2	100		0	3	3	0
Mean	100		2	2	2.5	1.5
Standard Error	0					
Standard Deviation	0					
Min	100		0	1	2	0
Max	100		4	3	3	3

3.2.2 Satisfaction Results

Participant ID	Score[1]
1	25
2	x
Mean	y
Min	z
Max	w

Table 6: default

A   Consent and Recording Release Form

reference

CONSENT AND RECORDING RELEASE FORM

I agree to participate in the study conducted and recorded by the MAP-i student Luís Cruz.

I understand and consent to the use and release of the recording by Luís Cruz. I understand that the information and recording is for research purposes only and that my name and image will not be used for any other purpose. I relinquish any rights to the recording and understand the recording may be copied and used by Luís Cruz without further permission.

I understand that participation in this usability study is voluntary and I agree to immediately raise any concerns or areas of discomfort during the session with the study administrator.

Please sign below to indicate that you have read and you understand the information on this form and that any questions you might have about the session have been answered.

Date: _____
Please print your name: _____
Please sign your name: _____
Thank you!
Your participation is kindly appreciated.

B   Usability Test Plan

The plain original document can be accessed at `http://paginas.fe.up.pt/~luiscruz/cp_usability/`

Usability Test Plan for CP's Website

Luís Cruz[1]

[1]*MAP-i, Joint Doctoral Programme in Computer Science*

January 22, 2014

1   Introduction

This document serves as the session moderator's script, outlining the series of activities participants undertake during the usability test. This document was iteratively designed through some pilot tests, in order to get the most from the participants.

Four tasks are described with the detailed steps for completing them, and for each of them the outlines for the post-task interviews are provided.

2   Tasks

The computer is recording the screen and audio and set with an open browser to: `http://www.cp.pt/`.

In this section the tasks are detailed with all the necessary steps in order to help the moderator to help the user. However, unless the user needs some help he/she is not supposed to read it.

During each task, the moderator reports some variables in the provided Logging Form Sheet, and whenever a task completes a small interview is proceeded between the moderator and the participant.

Task 1 - Select the English Version   In this task the user has to change the language of the application to English:

  i. Go to the CP.pt homepage (url: `http://www.cp.pt/`)

  ii. Select the british flag on the top bar.

  Completion Criteria: The website has to be in the English language.

  Post-task Interview:

  1.1. Is this the first time you visit this website?

  1.2. Please give me your first impressions about the layout and design of the website.

  1.3. How easy or difficult was it for you to accomplish this task?

  1.4. Was there something specific that made this task easy or difficult?

Task 2 - Going from Braga to Aveiro   In this task the user is asked to find when is the next train from Braga to Aveiro, how much is the ticket and where is it necessary to switch lines. In order to accomplish this task, the user has to:

  i. Go to the CP.pt homepage

  ii. Find the form through the tab "Timetables and Prices" of the right panel.

  iii. Introduce the details of the trip, from Braga to Aveiro in the present day. Optionally, the time can be set, and it is not necessary to specify the return trip.

  iv. Select one of the listed trips, by selecting "see" and/or "detail" links.

  v. Report the time of departure, the price of the ticket, the duration and how long will the passenger have to wait in the station, and when is the departure of the second train.

  Completion Criteria: The user is able to say when is the departure, when the train arrives to the destination and where is the train scale.

  Post-task Interview:

  2.1. How easy or difficult was it for you to accomplish this task?

  2.2. Was it easy to find the form?

  2.3. Did you like the way you had to input the trip details?

  2.4. Did you clearly understand the results table?

  2.5. Which part of the task you found more confusing?

  2.6. When you clicked in the details of your trip, did you find it easy to check when you have to switch trains?

  2.7. Do you feel that you need to ask some extra information to the ticket officer?

Task 3 - Find a cheap ticket from Braga to Aveiro   This task is similar to the previous task 2 but this time, the passenger only has 10 euros to spend in the trip. The steps are the same, but in this case the user cannot select a fast train IC or AP, because they are more expensive.

  Post-task Interview:

  3.1. How easy or difficult was it for you to accomplish this task?

  3.2. Which part of the task you found more confusing?

  3.3. When you clicked in the details of your trip, did you find it easy to check when you have to switch trains.

  3.4. What is your opinion of how price information is displayed?

  Completion Criteria: The user chose a train from Inter-regional or Urban services and he/she is able to say when is the departure, when the train arrives to the destination, and how much is it going to cost.

Task 4 - Buy a ticket from Braga to Porto   In this task the user will be using the netTicket feature to buy a ticket for the train *intercidades* (IC) from Braga to Porto. This will include the registration in myCP service and the task ends before the payment step in order to simplify the test setup. This task intends to analyse how an unregistered user manages to buy a ticket. This might be a difficult task.

  The steps are the following:

  i. Go to the CP.pt homepage.

  ii. Find the form netTicket in the right panel.

  iii. Insert in the *from* field, the value *Braga*, and in the field *To* the value *Porto - Campanhã*. Specify the dates for the trip, including return.

  iv. Choose the two trains for the round trip and click "continue" to proceed to the next step.

  v. Since the user is not registered yet, the user clicks on the link "Register"

  vi. The user fills the registration form submits.

  vii. A new form asking for Preferred/Most used Service appears. It is optional, the user can skip it.

  viii. A new form asking if CP can use the email for newsletter. The user can now finish the registration.

  ix. The user has to resubmit the trip information.

  x. Now the user identifies the passenger with his/her name and ID Card number

  xi. Select one seat different from the default when available. In the end click "Confirm"

  Completion Criteria: The user is on stage 5 "Payment" of the buying process. In the description of the page, the details of a trip from *Braga* to *Porto - Campanhã* are summarized.

  Post-task Questions:

  4.1. How easy or difficult was it for you to accomplish this task?

  4.2. Which part of the task you found more confusing?

  4.3. What did you think about the registration process?

  4.4. When you had to select the seat for your ticket, the interface was familiar? Did you have any trouble understanding how it works?

  4.5. What is your about opinion how the price information is displayed?

  4.6. In the whole task was there any steps that you found unnecessary? Which?

3   System Usability Scale

After all the tasks have been completed, the moderator asks the participant to answer the *System Usability Scale* (SUS), trying to record his/her immediate response to each item.

4   Post-test Questionnaire

In order to have a more detailed impression of the participants, the *Post-Test Questionnaire* is given to the participants.

C   Data Logging Form

The moderator of the usability test observes the behavior of the participant while taking notes in the Data Logging Form. Each task needs one Data Logging Form.

Logging Form Sheet
CP.pt Usability Test
MAP-i Doctoral Programme
Responsible Moderator: Luís Cruz
22 January 2014

Participant ID: _____
Date: _____
Time: _____

Task _____	Performance	Observations and Comments
Completeness	Correct / Incorrect	
Assists	*Tally*	
Negative Remarks	*Tally*	
Back Button Hits	*Tally*	
# of steps made differently	*Tally*	
# of Errors	*Tally*	

Task start trigger: _____ Task end: _____ Time to complete: _____

Based on the interview guided by the questions defined in the *Usability Test Plan* document, the following notes were taken:

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

D   Post-Test Questionnaire

The moderator of the usability test observes the behavior of the participant while taking notes in the Data Logging Form. Each task needs one Data Logging Form.

Post-Test Questionnaire[1]

CP.pt Usability Test
MAP-i Doctoral Programme
Responsible Moderator: Luís Cruz
22 January 2014

Participant ID: _____
Date: _____
Time: _____

For our last activity I'm going to give you a short questionnaire that I would like you to fill out. The questionnaire will give you a series of statements about the CP.pt Web site. I would like you to rate your agreement with each statement.

For each statement, please circle a number to indicate the level to which you agree with each statement. If you feel a statement isn't relevant to your experiences with the Web site, please feel free to skip it.

1. The homepage is attractive — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
2. The overall site is attractive — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
3. The site's graphics are pleasing — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
4. The site has a good balance of graphics versus text — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
5. The colors used throughout the site are attractive — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
6. The typography is attractive — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree

7. The homepage's content makes me want to explore the site further — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
8. It is easy to find one's way around the site — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
9. You can get information quickly — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
10. It is fun to explore the site — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
11. It is easy to remember where to find things — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
12. Information is layered effectively on different screens — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree

13. The homepage is attention-getting — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
14. Information is easy to read — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
15. Information is written in a style that suits me — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
16. Screens have the right amount of information — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
17. The site effectively communicates the company's image — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree
18. Information is relevant — Disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Agree

---
[1]Adapted from *AIR, Human Factors and Research Design group*'s work.

1

19. The site is designed with me in mind   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

20. The site's content interests me   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

21. The site's content would keep me coming back   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

22. The site has characteristis that make it especially appealing   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

23. The site reflects progressive, leading edge design   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

24. The site is exciting   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

25. The site is well-suited to first-time visitors   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

26. Ths site is well-suited to repeat visitors   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

27. The site has a clear purpose   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

28. It is always clear what to do next   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

29. It is clear how screen elements work   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

30. Mistakes are easy to correct   Disagree ○○○○○ Agree

And that's it! Please return this questionnaire to the moderator.
Thank you for your collaboration!

2

References

Brooke, J. (1996). Sus-a quick and dirty usability scale. *Usability evaluation in industry*, 189:194.