

# CS/COE 0447

## Logic Minimization and K-Maps

wilkie (with content borrowed from:  
Jarrett Billingsley  
Dr. Bruce Childers)

# Karnaugh Maps

X Marks the Spot ... Well it is actually a bunch of rectangles

# Gray Code

- **Gray code** is a way of counting in binary where only **one bit** changes on each count
- For our purposes, just knowing the 2-bit code enough.

00

01

11

10

2-bit Gray code  
(red bits are bits that change)

# Karnaugh Maps (K-maps) – Setting up

- A **Karnaugh Map** is a tool for minimizing boolean functions
- Let's start with a function that has two inputs

<u>Truth Table</u>			<u>K-map</u>	
A	B	Q		
0	0	1	0 $\bar{B}$	1 $B$
0	1	0	0 $\bar{A}$	1 $A$
1	0	1	1 $\bar{A}$	1 $A$
1	1	1	1 $\bar{A}$	1 $A$

1. Write input values ***in Gray code*** along axes.
  - (there's only one input on each side here, it's easy)
2. Fill in cells from truth table.

# Karnaugh Maps (K-maps) – Finding rects

K-map

	$\bar{B}$	$B$
$\bar{A}$	1	0
$A$	1	1

3. Find **rectangles of 1s** with these rules:
- width and height can only be 1, 2, or 4
    - NEVER 3
  - overlapping is totally fine! it's *good*!
  - use **the biggest rectangles possible**
  - use **the fewest rectangles possible**

# Karnaugh Maps (K-maps) – Interpreting rects

K-map

	$\bar{B}$	$B$
$\bar{A}$	1	0
$A$	1	1

Red:  $\bar{B}$     Blue:  $A$

$$Q = A + \bar{B}$$

4. For each rectangle, look at the values of the variables along the axes. some variables **change**, and others don't.
  - Which variable changes in the red rectangle? which doesn't?
  - What about the blue rectangle?
5. Each rectangle is an AND term
  - Write the variables **that stay the same for that rect** (keeping the NOT bars)
  - Ignore the variables that **change**
6. OR all the terms together
7. WHEW!

# I'd like to place an order for the carry-out bit

$C_i$	A	B	$C_o$
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

- With more than 2 variables, put two along one axis (**GRAY CODE!**)

Try to make the rectangles **as big as possible**. overlap is goooooood.

		00	01	11	10	
		$\bar{A}\bar{B}$	$\bar{A}B$	$AB$	$A\bar{B}$	
0	$\bar{C}_i$	0	0	1	0	Red: $AC_i$ Green: $AB$ Blue: $BC_i$
1	$C_i$	0	1	1	1	

$$C_o = AC_i + AB + BC_i$$

# Just like a 2D RPG world map...

- rectangles on K-maps can *wrap around* (left-right AND top-bottom!)

		00	01	11	10
		$\bar{X}\bar{Y}$	$\bar{X}Y$	$XY$	$X\bar{Y}$
0	$\bar{Z}$	1	1	0	1
1	$Z$	1	0	0	1

Red:  $\bar{Y}$

Blue:  $\bar{X}\bar{Z}$

$$Q = \bar{Y} + \bar{X}\bar{Z}$$

this is really a **2x2 rectangle**.  
it's just... doing its best.



# Okay, maybe it's not perfect.

- let's try the Sum output of a full adder

a **1x1 rectangle**

becomes a term that  
uses all the variables

		00	01	11	10
		$\overline{A}\overline{B}$	$\overline{A}B$	$AB$	$A\overline{B}$
0	$\overline{C}_i$	0	1	0	1
1	$C_i$	1	0	1	0

Red:  $\overline{A}\overline{B}C_i$

Green:  $\overline{A}B\overline{C}_i$

Blue:  $ABC_i$

Purple:  $A\overline{B}\overline{C}_i$

$$\text{Sum} = \overline{A}\overline{B}C_i + \overline{A}B\overline{C}_i + ABC_i + A\overline{B}\overline{C}_i$$

wait, didn't we say that we could do it as:

$$\text{Sum} = A \oplus B \oplus C_i \text{ (that's xor!)}$$

# Tradeoffs, tradeoffs

- There are extensions to K-maps to detect XORs
- but...
  - XOR gates are slower than AND/OR gates
  - if area is a concern, an XOR make sense
  - if speed is a concern, AND/OR gates make sense
- What do real hardware designers do?
  - They use programs to do this stuff for them lol
  - Things like FPGAs, CPLDs, and GALs are *reconfigurable hardware* which usually use "sum-of-products" to do logic, so ANDs and ORs are all you've got

# (Pst. What if we have lots of 1's?)

$C_i$	A	B	$C_o$
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

When we select 0's, we get a **product of sums** that represents the inverse of our function.  
It helps when we have less 0s than 1s.

		00	01	11	10
		$\bar{A}\bar{B}$	$\bar{A}B$	$AB$	$A\bar{B}$
0	$\bar{C}_i$	0	0	1	0
1	$C_i$	0	1	1	1

$$\bar{C}_o = (\bar{B} + \bar{C}_i)(\bar{A} + \bar{B})(\bar{A} + \bar{C}_i)$$

$$C_o = (\bar{B} + \bar{C}_i)(\bar{A} + \bar{B})(\bar{A} + \bar{C}_i)$$

# (Doesn't help in the worst case)

- let's try the Sum output of a full adder

a **1x1 rectangle**  
becomes a term that  
uses all the variables

		00	01	11	10
		$\bar{A}\bar{B}$	$\bar{A}B$	$AB$	$A\bar{B}$
0	$\bar{C}_i$	0	1	0	1
1	$C_i$	1	0	1	0

**Red:**  $\bar{A} + B + C_i$

**Green:**  $\bar{A} + \bar{B} + \bar{C}_i$

**Blue:**  $A + \bar{B} + C_i$

**Purple:**  $A + B + \bar{C}_i$



THANKS DeMORGAN!

(Although everybody already knew this)

$$S = (\bar{A} + B + C_i)(\bar{A} + \bar{B} + \bar{C}_i)(A + \bar{B} + C_i)(A + B + \bar{C}_i)$$

Same as:  $S = \bar{A}\bar{B}C_i + \bar{A}B\bar{C}_i + ABC_i + A\bar{B}\bar{C}_i$

# (Here's the thing, though)

- Both methods are equivalent.
  - You can get  $f(a,b,c,d)$  by selecting 1s
  - Or the inverse:  $\text{not}(f(a,b,c,d))$  by selecting 0s.
- You can use DeMorgan's Law to get  $f(a,b,c,d)$  from its inverse
  - It will be the same.
- Just select the 1s.
  - No need to make things more complicated.
  - But it is nice to know that logic... works as intended.
  - And discrete math was not a waste of time 😊
    - It's actually really useful. Let's be honest.