

UNIVERSIDAD EAFIT

OPERATING SYSTEMS

ST0257

---

## Midterm 1: Large Datasets Processing in C++

---

*Students*

Juan José RESTREPO HIGUITA  
Jerónimo ACOSTA ACEVEDO  
Luis Miguel TORRES VILLEGAS

*Professor*

Édison VALENCIA DÍAZ

18 August 2025



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Critical Thinking Questions</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Why do pointers reduce memory usage when dealing with 10 million records? . . .	2
1.2	If the Calendar depends on the person's ID, how can group searches be optimized? .	2
1.3	How does memory access differ between an array of structs and a vector of class objects? . . . . .	2
1.4	How can mmap or virtual memory help when data exceeds physical RAM? . . . . .	2

# Critical Thinking Questions

## 1.1 Why do pointers reduce memory usage when dealing with 10 million records?

Pointers reduce memory usage because they reference a shared memory location instead of duplicating entire data structures. In scenarios with millions of records, storing full copies of the same or large objects is inefficient. By storing pointers, only the address of the data (typically 4 or 8 bytes, depending on architecture) is maintained, avoiding redundant copies. This can drastically reduce memory consumption, though the actual savings depend on the structure size and whether the pointed-to data is reused.

## 1.2 If the Calendar depends on the person's ID, how can group searches be optimized?

If the calendar grouping is a deterministic function of the person's ID, it is unnecessary to store the group explicitly for each record. Instead, one can precompute or derive the mapping function between the ID and the calendar group (e.g., an enumeration such as `CalendarTaxGroup`). By indexing or hashing IDs directly to their groups, searches can be optimized, reducing both memory overhead and lookup time.

## 1.3 How does memory access differ between an array of structs and a vector of class objects?

The distinction arises primarily from data layout and object semantics:

- **Array of Structs (AoS):** Structs that are Plain Old Data (POD) types—meaning no user-defined constructors, destructors, or virtual functions—are stored contiguously in memory. This layout maximizes spatial locality and cache efficiency, which is advantageous in data-oriented design.
- **Vector of Class Objects:** While `std::vector` also stores elements contiguously, class objects often introduce overhead through constructors, destructors, and possible virtual table pointers. This makes them heavier than plain structs, potentially harming cache performance. If the class holds pointers to heap-allocated members, memory access becomes indirect and fragmented.

Thus, for performance-critical workloads requiring sequential traversal and predictable memory access, an AoS layout is typically more efficient.

## 1.4 How can `mmap` or virtual memory help when data exceeds physical RAM?

When datasets exceed available RAM, the operating system leverages virtual memory. Pages that cannot fit in RAM are stored in a swap area on disk, with the OS transparently paging them in and out. This allows programs to operate on data larger than physical memory, though with significant latency penalties due to disk access times.

The `mmap` system call provides more direct control. It can:

- Map files directly into memory space, enabling efficient I/O by allowing the OS page cache to handle reads and writes.
- Allocate large contiguous memory regions, bypassing some limitations of `malloc`.
- Enable shared memory between processes by mapping the same file or anonymous memory region into multiple address spaces.

In high-volume data processing, combining virtual memory and `mmap` can allow applications to handle datasets that far exceed the machine's physical memory capacity, though at the cost of higher latency for non-resident pages.