

Riccardo BONAFEDE

Università di Padova

Matteo Golinelli

Logic Vulnerabilities





https://cybersecnatlab.it



License & Disclaimer

License Information

This presentation is licensed under the Creative Commons BY-NC License



To view a copy of the license, visit:

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/legalcode

Disclaimer

- We disclaim any warranties or representations as to the accuracy or completeness of this material.
- Materials are provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including without limitation, warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement.
- Under no circumstances shall we be liable for any loss, damage, liability or expense incurred or suffered which is claimed to have resulted from use of this material.







Goal

- Learn how to find and address high level logic errors
- Learn how to analyse permissions in web applications
- Learn how to analyse functionalities and logic flaws in web applications







Prerequisites

Lecture:

□ WS_1.1 - HTTP Protocol and Web-Security Overview







Outline

- Introduction
- Access-Control logic vulnerabilities
 - IDOR
- Incorrect input







Outline

- Introduction
- Access-Control logic vulnerabilities
 - IDOR
- Incorrect input







Introduction

- Business logic vulnerabilities are flaws in the design of an application that let users cause unintended behaviors
- These flaws often based on wrong assumptions about the user's behaviors







Types of Logic Vulnerabilities

- Logic Vulnerabilities are specific to the applications in which they occur
- The best way to group them is by the root cause:
 - Access Control Issues
 - Wrong assumption of the user behavior
 - Flawed handling of an incorrect input







Outline

- Introduction
- Access-Control logic vulnerabilities
 - IDOR
- Incorrect input







- The most common type of access control issues are the one caused by a wrong implementation of the authentication system
- We call these type of issues «Unprotected Functionality»







- Unprotected Functionality flaws arise when the web application does not properly check user's privileges
- A trivial example is an administration dashboard that does not enforce the privilege required by the user







- The best way to find these vulnerabilities is to try to use the functionalities without the required privilege
- For more complex web applications, with different privilege levels, is it possible to use an authentication matrix:
 - For every privilege level, create two or more users
 - For every user, test every functionality and save the result
 - Now, you can see the matrix created with the result, and it's easy to spot problems
- AuthMatrix¹ is a burp plugin to automate this type of testing

1: https://github.com/PortSwigger/auth-matrix







- It is also possible that the authentication is present, but is not enforced correctly
- This happens when the access control is not enforced by the functionality itself, but by some other system, for example by the web server itself







 For example, the functionality can be accessed in different ways, in which the authentication is not enforced (different HTTP methods)

<Limit GET POST>
 Order Allow,Deny
 Deny from all
</LimitExcept>

Limit every request that is using a GET or POST method







- Route-based limiting can also be bypassed
 - Exploit path normalization
 - | /api/v1/user_info == /api/v1/foo/..;/user_info
 - Framework-dependent behaviors
 - For example, in applications written using the *ruby on rails* framework it is possible to append ".json" to a route. Often, developers forget to check the authentication on these paths





Outline

- Introduction
- Access-Control logic vulnerabilities
 - IDOR
- Incorrect input





Unprotected Functionality - IDOR

- IDOR Insecure Direct Object Reference
 - One of the most common flaws in web applications
 - Arises when user input is used to access data directly and no authorization is enforced
 - Permits a horizontal privilege escalation





Unprotected Functionality - IDOR

- An example from "Vimeo.com Insecure Direct Object References Reset Password"¹
- When resetting a password, Vimeo sends a reset link to the user email
- The link is in the form:
 - https://vimeo.com/forgot_password/[user_id]/[token]

1: https://hackerone.com/reports/42587





Unprotected Functionality - IDOR

- user_id is the id of the user that requests the password change
- token is a one time secret that Vimeo uses to verify the identity of the user that requests the password change
- What if the token was **not** linked to the user id?







Unprotected Functionality - IDOR

Changing the user-id permits to change other users' password, because the token is still valid

https://vimeo.com/forgot_password/1234567/7aaor252m19kjtkvtr

https://vimeo.com/forgot_password/9876543/7aaor252m19kjtkvtr







Unprotected Functionality - IDOR

To find IDORs:

- Look at the request's parameters
- If you find something that can be a reference, try to change it





Outline

- Introduction
- Access-Control logic vulnerabilities
 - IDOR
- Incorrect input







- Other types of logic flaws arise when a malicious user can provide a wrong input type to a web app
- A lot of developers assume that the input type can be enforced client-side







For example, if a textbox is disabled doesn't mean that an attacker can't control the value of that parameter

```
<form action="/buy">
  <input type="text" id="qnt" name="quantity">
    <input type="text" id="price" name="price" disabled>
    <input type="submit" value="Submit">
  </form>
```







- But, as you may know at this point, it is trivial to provide arbitrary values to the server by making a raw request
- If the web application does not handle this input correctly, then logic flaws may arise







- A real-world example is provided in the report <u>https://hackerone.com/reports/364843</u>
- Using this kind of flaw, a researcher found a vulnerability in the site upserve.com, a "Restaurant Management Software"







Incorrect Input

- The vulnerable function works as follows:
 - A user adds some items to their personal cart
 - Completed the order, the user can finalize the payment
 - The final price was calculated by summing the total cost of each different items multiplied by the desired quantity







Incorrect Input

- The app was flawed; in fact, it didn't expect a negative value in the quantity of an item
- Because of this, it was possible to get a "discount" on the final price
- To do so, the attacker needs to buy some products, then add a negative value to the quantity of one of the items







Incorrect Input

- If the quantity of a product is negative, then its cost is subtracted from the total
- This was possible because the web app didn't expect a negative value:
 - "name": "BreadPudding", "price": 900, "quantity": -1







Riccardo BONAFEDE

Università di Padova

Matteo Golinelli

Logic Vulnerabilities





https://cybersecnatlab.it