

ORIENTABILITY OF A REGULAR LEVEL SET

Luiz Felipe Silva Marques

Theorem. *Let M and N be oriented smooth manifolds and $F : M \longrightarrow N$ a smooth map. Suppose $m \doteq \dim M > \dim N \doteq n$. If $a \in N$ is a regular value of F , the smooth manifold $S \doteq F^{-1}(a)$ is orientable.*

Proof. Denote by $i : S \hookrightarrow M$ the inclusion map. We shall prove the existence of a smooth nowhere-vanishing $(m - n)$ -form on S .

Since M and N are orientable, there are nowhere-vanishing smooth forms $\omega \in \Omega^m(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^n(N)$. We claim that, in order to conclude the proof, it suffices to show that, for some $\tau \in \Omega^{m-n}(M)$, we have

$$(\tau \wedge F^* \alpha)_q = \omega_q \quad \forall q \in S. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, let τ be such a differential form. Given $q \in S$, consider a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ for $T_q M$ such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m-n}\}$ is a basis for $T_q S \cong \ker dF_q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \omega_q(v_1, \dots, v_m) \\ &= (\tau \wedge F^* \alpha)_q(v_1, \dots, v_m) \\ &= \tau_q(v_1, \dots, v_{m-n}) \alpha_a(dF_q v_{m-n+1}, \dots, dF_q v_m), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the last equality holds since, for $\sigma \in S_m$,

$$\alpha_a(dF_q v_{\sigma(m-n+1)}, \dots, dF_q v_{\sigma(m)})$$

is non-zero if and only if $\sigma(\{m-n+1, \dots, m\}) \subseteq \{m-n+1, \dots, m\}$. It then follows from (2) that $\tau_q(v_1, \dots, v_{m-n}) \neq 0$ and thus $(i^* \tau)_q \neq 0$. Therefore we conclude that $i^* \tau$ is a nowhere-vanishing smooth $(m - n)$ -form on S .

Having established this, our goal is to prove that (1) holds for some $\tau \in \Omega^{m-n}(M)$. We achieve this using a patching argument with a partition of unity.

First notice that $(F^* \alpha)_p \neq 0$ for all $p \in S$ by the surjectivity of dF_p . Now, consider $p \in S$ and a chart (U_p, x^1, \dots, x^m) on M about p . On U_p , write

$$\omega = g dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m, \quad g \in C^\infty(U_p),$$

and

$$F^* \alpha = \sum_I a_I dx^I, \quad a_I \in C^\infty(U_p).$$

Since $(F^* \alpha)_p \neq 0$, there is an increasing multi-index $I_p = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ such that $a_{I_p}(p) \neq 0$ and we may assume by continuity that a_{I_p} does not vanish on U_p . Denote by j_1, \dots, j_{m-n} the elements of $\{1, \dots, m\} \setminus I_p$ and define, on U_p ,

$$\tau^p \doteq \frac{g}{(\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) a_{I_p}} dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_{m-n}} \in \Omega^{m-n}(U_p),$$

where σ is the unique permutation on S_m that maps

$$(j_1, \dots, j_{m-n}, i_1, \dots, i_n) \mapsto (1, \dots, m).$$

Then, on U_p , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^p \wedge F^* \alpha &= \left(\frac{g}{(\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) a_I} dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_{m-n}} \right) \wedge \left(\sum_I a_I dx^I \right) \\ &= \frac{g}{(\operatorname{sgn} \sigma)} dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_{m-n}} \wedge dx^I = \omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Finally, we glue the local forms $\{\tau_p\}_{p \in S}$ together to obtain the desired $(m-n)$ -form τ . Let $\{\psi_p\}_{p \in S} \cup \{\psi_{M \setminus S}\}$ be a partition of unity on M subordinate to the open cover $\{U_p\}_{p \in S} \cup \{M \setminus S\}$. Define

$$\tau \doteq \sum_{p \in S} \psi_p \tau^p \in \Omega^{m-n}(M).$$

Note that:

- For each $p \in S$, the form $\psi_p \tau^p$ is defined on U_p . Nonetheless, it may be trivially extended to a smooth form on M by defining it to be identically zero outside U_p since $\operatorname{supp} \psi_p$ is closed in M and contained in U_p .
- Since $\{\operatorname{supp} \psi_p\}_{p \in S}$ is a locally finite family, τ is indeed well defined and smooth on M .

We claim that τ is the desired $(m-n)$ -form. In fact, if $q \in S$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau \wedge F^* \alpha)_q &= \tau_q \wedge (F^* \alpha)_q \\ &= \left(\sum_{p \in S} \psi_p(q) \tau_q^p \right) \wedge (F^* \alpha)_q \\ &= \sum_{p \in S} \psi_p(q) \tau_q^p \wedge (F^* \alpha)_q \\ &= \sum_{p \in S} \psi_p(q) [\tau^p \wedge (F^* \alpha)]_q \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{p \in S} \psi_p(q) \omega_q \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \left(\sum_{p \in S} \psi_p(q) + \psi_{M \setminus S}(q) \right) \omega_q = \omega_q, \end{aligned}$$

where (1) holds by (3) since the sum is taken over $p \in S$ with $q \in \operatorname{supp} \psi_p \subseteq U_p$; and (2) holds since $q \in S$. This concludes the proof of (1). \square