

Exam Solutions  
Advanced Statistics

# Ex 1

$$1) \hat{\Phi}_x(t) = E[e^{itX}]$$

The estimator  $\hat{\Phi}_x(t)$  is obtained by replacing  $X$ 's distribution in the expectation above by the empirical distribution  $\hat{F}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{X_k}$  based on the sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

$$\hat{E}_{X \sim \hat{F}_n}[e^{itX}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{itX_k} = \hat{\Phi}_n(t)$$

2) Since the  $X_k$ 's are copies of  $X$ , we have by linearity of the expectation:

$$E(\hat{\Phi}_n(t)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E[e^{itX_k}] = \Phi_x(t),$$

which proves that  $\hat{\Phi}_n(t)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\Phi_x(t)$ .

As the  $X_k$ 's are independent, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\hat{\Phi}_n(t)) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{var}(e^{itX_k}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \text{var}(e^{itX}) = \frac{1}{n} (1 - |\Phi_x(t)|^2) \end{aligned}$$

3) As  $\hat{\Phi}_n(t)$  is unbiased (F2), its quadratic risk coincides with its variance and is of order  $O(1/n)$ .

Ex 2

$$1) \text{ For } k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \text{ set } p_k = P\left\{X \in \left[\frac{k-1}{m}, \frac{k}{m}\right)\right\} = \int_{k-1}^k f(x) dx.$$

Observe that  $E[\hat{p}_k] = p_k$ . The bias of

$\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)$  is:

$$E[\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)] - f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{h} p_k - f(x) \right) I\left\{x \in \left[\frac{k-1}{m}, \frac{k}{m}\right)\right\},$$

so that, if,  $x \in \left[\frac{k-1}{m}, \frac{k}{m}\right)$ , the bias is equal to:

$$\frac{p_k}{h} - f(x).$$

If  $x \in \left[\frac{k-1}{m}, \frac{k}{m}\right)$ , the variance of  $\hat{f}_{h,n}(x) = \frac{p_k}{h}$  is  $\frac{1}{h^2} \text{Var}(\hat{p}_k) = \frac{1}{nh^2} p_k(1-p_k)$  because the  $x_i$ 's are independent copy's of  $X$ .

We have

$$R(h) = \int [E(\hat{f}_{h,n}(x) - f(x))^2] dx$$

$$= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (\text{Var}(\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)) + (E[\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)])^2 - 2E[\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)]f(x)) dx$$

$$= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (\text{Var}(\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)) + E[\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)]^2 - 2E[\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)]f(x) + f(x)^2) dx$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \frac{p_k(1-p_k)}{nh} + \frac{p_k^2}{h} - \frac{2p_k^2}{h} \right) + h f(x)^2$$

As  $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k = +1$ , we have:

$$hJ(1) = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{n+1}{n} \hat{\sum}_{k=1}^n p_k^2.$$

In addition  $\frac{n}{n-1} (\hat{p}_k - \bar{p}_k^2)$  is an unbiased estimate of the sequence  $p_k - p_k^2$ :

$$p_k - p_k^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} E[\hat{p}_k - \bar{p}_k^2]. \text{ Since } \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{p}_k = +1,$$

$$\text{we have } 1 - \sum p_k^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} (1 - E[\sum \bar{p}_k^2])$$

$$\Rightarrow E[\hat{J}(h)] = \frac{2}{n-1} - \frac{n+1}{n-1} E[\sum \bar{p}_k^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} - \frac{n+1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^2 = hJ(h)$$

$\hat{J}(h)$  is an unbiased estimate of  $J(h)$ .

Ex 3

1) Observe that:  $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}_h$ ,  $f_h f_h = 0$

and  $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^2 = +1$ . The  $p_k$  is from an orthogonal basis: The space  $V_h$  being spanned by the  $p_k$ 's, the latter basis form an orthonormal basis of  $V_h$ .

$$f_h(x) \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, p_k \rangle p_k(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \mathbb{I}\left\{x \in \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right]\right\}$$

$f_h(x)$  is the expectation of  $f_{h,n}(x)$

$$2) \text{ Clearly, } \|f - f_h\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 (f(x) - f_h(x))^2 dx$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} (f(x) - f_h(x))^2 \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{x \in \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right]} (f(x) - f_h(x))^2$$

If  $x \in [\frac{k-1}{m}, \frac{k}{m})$ ,  
 $f(x) - f_h(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{\frac{k-1}{m}}^{\frac{k}{m}} (f(t) - f(h)) dt$ . Hence,

$$|f(x) - f_h(x)| \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_{\frac{k-1}{m}}^{\frac{k}{m}} |f(x) - f(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{h} \times \frac{1}{m} \times \left| \frac{k}{m} - \frac{k-1}{m} \right|^{\alpha} = C m^{-\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f - f_h\|_2^2 \leq C^2 m^{-2\alpha}$$

3) The integrated quadrature rule:

$$R(h) = \int_0^1 \text{Var}(\hat{f}_{h,n}(x)) dx + \|f_h - f\|_2^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_k(1-p_k)}{h^2} + C^2 m^{-2\alpha}$$

$$\leq \frac{m}{n} h^2 + C^2 m^{-2\alpha} = \frac{m}{n} + C^2 m^{-2\alpha}$$

The order of magnitude of the upper bound  
is minimum for  $\frac{m}{n} \sim m^{-2\alpha} \Leftrightarrow$

$m \sim n^{\frac{1}{1+2\alpha}}$ . As  $m$  is an integer, one  
way before  $m = \lfloor n^{\frac{1}{1+2\alpha}} \rfloor$   
(antecedent).

Ex 4

1) As  $\xi_i$  is independent from  $X_i$ , we almost-surely have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\gamma_i^2 | X_i] &= \mathbb{E}[r^2(X_i) \xi_i^2 | X_i] = r^2(X_i) \mathbb{E}[\xi_i^2 | X_i] \\ &= r^2(X_i) \mathbb{E}[\xi^2] = r^2(X_i). \end{aligned}$$

2) As  $F$  is bijective, one may write:  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(F(X_i) \leq t) = \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq F^{-1}(t)) = F(F^{-1}(t)) = t$$

$\Rightarrow F(X_i)$  is uniformly distributed on  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

Since the  $(X_i, \gamma_i)$ 's are iid, we have

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\ell}_h(x)) = \frac{1}{n h^2} \times \text{Var}(\gamma_i^2 K\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right))$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\gamma_i^2 K\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right)) &\leq \mathbb{E}[\gamma_i^4 K^2\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[r^4(X_i) \xi_i^4 K^2\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= m_4 \mathbb{E}\left[r^4(X_i) K^2\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right)\right] \\ &\quad \text{because } \xi_i \text{ and } X_i \text{ are independent.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{Var}(\gamma_i^2 K\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right)) &\leq m_4 C^2 \mathbb{E}\left[K^2\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right)\right] \\ &= C^2 m_4 \int K^2\left(\frac{u-x}{h}\right) du = C^2 m_4 h \int K^2(u) dr \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired bound.

3) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\ell}_h(x)] &= \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i^h K\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i^h \xi_i^2 K\left(\frac{F(X_i)-x}{h}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}[\xi^2] \mathbb{E}\left[\ell(F(x)) K\left(\frac{F(x)-x}{h}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{u=x}^1 \ell(u) K\left(\frac{u-x}{h}\right) du \\ &= (\ell * K_h)(x) \text{ with } K_h(t) = \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{t}{h}\right). \end{aligned}$$

4) Reproducing the argument of

Proposition 1.35 (Taylor expansion of  $\ell$  at  $x$  of Part 3), we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\ell}_h(x)] - \ell(x) = \frac{1}{h^3} \int \ell'''(z) u^3 K(u) du$$

Hence, we can take  $\beta = 3$ ,  $K = \frac{C_K M}{h^6}$ .

5) The variance produced here will be

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\ell}_h(x)) + (\mathbb{E}[\hat{\ell}_h(x)] - \ell(x))^2$$

$$\leq \frac{C^2 M^2}{h^6} + K^2 h^{-1/2}$$

Balancing the two terms, one finds  $h = n^{-1/7}$

When  $F$  is known,

$\hat{L}_h(F(x))$  is a natural estimate  
of  $\sigma^2(x) = L(F(u))$

When  $\bar{F}f$  is unknown, we can

replace it by  $\bar{F}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I\{X_i \leq t\}$

(the empirical cdf) and thereby  
the (plug-in) estimate  $\hat{L}_h(\bar{F}_n(x))$ .