

Homework 9
QMB 3200: Advanced and Quantitative Methods
Fall 2019

Poisson Regression Analysis

Submitted to
Dr. Jim Dewey
Florida Polytechnic University

Submitted by
Luiz Gustavo Fagundes Malpele
Department of Data Science
Florida Polytechnic University

November 28th, 2019

1. Robust-Poisson regression model selection:

In order to find the best Poisson regression model that measured the effects of supplement on days, two variables were created which were created which were supXweight, that measured the interaction of weight with the supplement, and supXfemale, that measured the interaction of supplement and female variables.

Five different regression models were created so it would be possible to establish a comparison through the RMSE value of these model which are:

1. Robust-Poisson regression of female, supplement, and weight on days;
2. Robust-Poisson regression of female, supplement, supXweight and weight on days;
3. Robust-Poisson regression of female, supplement, supXfemale and weight on days;
4. Robust-Poisson regression of i.female, i.supplement, i.supXfemale, c.supXweight and c.weight on days;
5. Robust-Poisson regression of i.female, i.supplement, i.supXfemale, c.supXweight on days.

After running all regression and comparing, it was possible to compare the RMSE value which are below. From the following the table (1), it is possible to check that models 4 and 5 reached the lowest RMSE values, but both still close. So, the next step to choose which model to proceed with is to analyze if there is any statistically insignificant variable present on them.

RMSE value comparison for 5 different Poisson regression models (1)

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
sqres1	60	.6730404	1.283789	1.82e-16	6.005032
sqres2	60	.6656469	1.224504	3.05e-16	5.395259
sqres3	60	.6206649	1.052345	2.70e-16	4.400746
sqres4	60	.5963178	1.013113	4.93e-16	4.339605
sqres5	60	.5998339	1.015574	4.93e-16	4.373257

After running all regression and comparing, it was possible to compare the RMSE value which are below. From the following the table (1), it is possible to check that models 4 and 5 reached the lowest RMSE values, but both still close. So, the next step to choose which model to proceed with is to analyze if there is any statistically insignificant variable present on them.

The Poisson regression below refers to model 4, which account for all variables available for the analysis, but it is necessary to check the statistical significance of the variables and to do so, the Chi-Square test will be used.

Regression of cold, female, supplement, supXweight, supXfemale on days (2)

```
. poisson days i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.supXweight c.weight, robust
```

```
Iteration 0: log pseudolikelihood = -210.16873
```

```
Iteration 1: log pseudolikelihood = -210.16862
```

```
Iteration 2: log pseudolikelihood = -210.16862
```

```
Poisson regression                                Number of obs    =          60
                                                    Wald chi2(5)     =          8.33
                                                    Prob > chi2      =          0.1389
Log pseudolikelihood = -210.16862                Pseudo R2       =          0.0710
```

days	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
1.female	-.303716	.476637	-0.64	0.524	-1.237907	.6304754
1.supplement	-1.75855	2.321738	-0.76	0.449	-6.309073	2.791973
1.supXfemale	.5604808	.8441554	0.66	0.507	-1.094033	2.214995
supXweight	.0048173	.0126236	0.38	0.703	-.0199245	.029559
weight	-.0011699	.0073747	-0.16	0.874	-.0156239	.0132842
_cons	1.988694	1.316029	1.51	0.131	-.5906754	4.568063

The p-values for supplement ($\hat{\beta}_1$), weight ($\hat{\beta}_5$), and supXweight ($\hat{\beta}_4$) are the 3 above 0.05, but since these variables is a high degree of correlation between these three variables as they are being multiplied, the Chi-Square test is the most appropriate to measure the statistical significance of them:

Chi-Square tests to evaluate the statistical significance of supXweight, supplement and weight (3)

```
( 1) [days]1.supplement = 0      ( 1) [days]1.supplement = 0
```

```
( 2) [days]weight = 0           ( 2) [days]supXweight = 0
```

```
chi2( 2) =      0.68              chi2( 2) =      5.93
Prob > chi2 =    0.7124          Prob > chi2 =    0.0515
```

```
( 1) [days]1.supplement = 0
```

```
( 2) [days]supXweight = 0
```

```
( 3) [days]weight = 0
```

```
chi2( 3) =      5.97
Prob > chi2 =    0.1130
```

While conducting these tests, there is strong enough evidence to say that the combination of supplement and supXweight have a higher degree statistical significance to the Poisson Regression model, while compared to the other combination, this one has a p-value of 0.0515 which is approximately the same as 0.05.

Regression of cold, female, supplement, supXweight, supXfemale on days (4)

```
Iteration 0: log pseudolikelihood = -71.301641
Iteration 1: log pseudolikelihood = -61.151587
Iteration 2: log pseudolikelihood = -60.952759
Iteration 3: log pseudolikelihood = -60.930133
Iteration 4: log pseudolikelihood = -60.924935
Iteration 5: log pseudolikelihood = -60.923834
Iteration 6: log pseudolikelihood = -60.923638
Iteration 7: log pseudolikelihood = -60.923617
Iteration 8: log pseudolikelihood = -60.923613
```

Poisson regression	Number of obs	=	60
	Wald chi2(4)	=	.
	Prob > chi2	=	.
Log pseudolikelihood = -60.923613	Pseudo R2	=	0.7307

days	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
1.cold	19.3437	.0962442	200.99	0.000	19.15506	19.53233
1.female	.0783132	.0552752	1.42	0.157	-.0300243	.1866507
1.supplement	-.709851	.3748833	-1.89	0.058	-1.444609	.0249068
1.supXfemale	.3023291	.1508405	2.00	0.045	.0066872	.5979709
supXweight	.0023576	.0020215	1.17	0.244	-.0016045	.0063197
_cons	-17.25292	.1372267	-125.73	0.000	-17.52188	-16.98396

This Poisson regression model does not accounts for the value of c.weight, has the highest Pseudo R2 value between the previously tested models and the second lowest RMSE, the RMSE is close to the RMSE of the model including the weight variable. While testing for the combination of coefficients, we have that:

Chi-Square tests to evaluate the statistical significance of supXweight, supplement , supXfemale and female (5)

```
( 1) [days]1.female = 0      ( 1) [days]1.female = 0
( 2) [days]1.supplement = 0  ( 2) [days]1.supplement = 0
                                ( 3) [days]1.supXfemale = 0

      chi2( 2) =      6.14      chi2( 3) =      9.37
Prob > chi2 =      0.0464      Prob > chi2 =      0.0248

      ( 1) [days]1.supplement = 0
      ( 2) [days]supXweight = 0

              chi2( 2) =      12.46
              Prob > chi2 =      0.0020
```

While using the Chi-Square test to evaluate the combination of different variable to test for their significance, it can be concluded that there is strong enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis that at least one variable of each combination is different from zero, since the three p-values are respectively 0.0464, 0.0248, and 0.0020, and the three p-values $< \alpha$ (0.05).

In conclusion the selected Robust-Regression model is the th model: Robust-Poisson regression of i.female, i.supplement, i.supXfemale, c.supXweight and c.weight on days.

2. Marginal effects of gender and weight

Marginal effect of change in each variable on cold duration (6)

Average marginal effects			Number of obs			=	60
Model VCE : Robust							
Expression : Predicted number of events, predict()							
dy/dx w.r.t. : 1.cold 1.female 1.supplement 1.supXfemale supXweight weight							
	Delta-method					[95% Conf. Interval]	
	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z			
1.cold	7.745808	.7337234	10.56	0.000	6.307737	9.183879	
1.female	.1862122	.4001226	0.47	0.642	-.5980137	.9704382	
1.supplement	-3.059245	1.906369	-1.60	0.109	-6.795661	.6771699	
1.supXfemale	1.481691	.8819499	1.68	0.093	-.2468989	3.210281	
supXweight	.0113994	.0101861	1.12	0.263	-.0085651	.0313638	
weight	-.0021259	.0062972	-0.34	0.736	-.0144681	.0102164	

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.

For the sake of this specific analysis, the variable weight was also included. The table above shows the marginal effect of each variable on cold duration, in other words, how unit-change on X_i impacts the cold duration.

The table indicate that if the subject's gender is female, the cold duration will be 0.186 day longer and that supplement's effect will be lower, 1.48 days more than if the subject was a man. Furthermore, the marginal effects also extend to weight which indicate that the supplement is more effective in lower weighted subjects, since the supXweight variable has a positive value (0.0114) which higher than the weight variable (-0.0021).

3. Models comparison

Regression of cold, female, supplement, supXweight, supXfemale on days (8)

```
Iteration 0: log pseudolikelihood = -71.301641
Iteration 1: log pseudolikelihood = -61.151587
Iteration 2: log pseudolikelihood = -60.952759
Iteration 3: log pseudolikelihood = -60.930133
Iteration 4: log pseudolikelihood = -60.924935
Iteration 5: log pseudolikelihood = -60.923834
Iteration 6: log pseudolikelihood = -60.923638
Iteration 7: log pseudolikelihood = -60.923617
Iteration 8: log pseudolikelihood = -60.923613
```

Poisson regression	Number of obs	=	60
	Wald chi2(4)	=	.
	Prob > chi2	=	.
Log pseudolikelihood = -60.923613	Pseudo R2	=	0.7307

days	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
1.cold	19.3437	.0962442	200.99	0.000	19.15506	19.53233
1.female	.0783132	.0552752	1.42	0.157	-.0300243	.1866507
1.supplement	-.709851	.3748833	-1.89	0.058	-1.444609	.0249068
1.supXfemale	.3023291	.1508405	2.00	0.045	.0066872	.5979709
supXweight	.0023576	.0020215	1.17	0.244	-.0016045	.0063197
_cons	-17.25292	.1372267	-125.73	0.000	-17.52188	-16.98396

Regression of cold duration on female, supplement, femXsup, weight, and squared weight variables (9)

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	30
				F(5, 24)	=	5.02
Model	31.4209757	5	6.28419514	Prob > F	=	0.0027
Residual	30.0456909	24	1.25190379	R-squared	=	0.5112
				Adj R-squared	=	0.4094
Total	61.4666667	29	2.11954023	Root MSE	=	1.1189

days	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
female	-.0960073	.8776852	-0.11	0.914	-1.90746	1.715446
supplement	-2.168236	.5781803	-3.75	0.001	-3.361541	-.9749306
femXsup	1.929918	.9194998	2.10	0.047	.0321637	3.827672
weight	-.1333561	.0589879	-2.26	0.033	-.2551012	-.011611
sqweight	.0003956	.0001674	2.36	0.027	.00005	.0007411
_cons	19.10933	5.288807	3.61	0.001	8.193769	30.02489

The Poisson-robust regression model provides a best fit for the prediction line of cold duration, the Poisson model is more appropriate for a dataset that involves rate data, for this research the effect of a supplement on cold duration was being measured. Therefore, since the subject of study is a time interval (cold duration), the Poisson regression is more suitable to do it, since it has been made within a fixed period of time.

Furthermore, it can be said that the Poisson model is statistically more significant as the Pseudo R² is 42.93% higher than the R-Squared value of the Linear Regression model. The Linear Regression accounts account for some issues for this analysis that does not occur with the Poisson regression model, it can give a negative prediction depending on the X_i values, and if the log transformation model was attempted, the $\ln(0)$ is undefined, and since most variables are discrete, this would be a problem.

In conclusion, taking into consideration that counts have been made within a fixed period of time, since the experiment evaluated cold duration during the period of 2 months, the most defensible model is the Poisson as it has the best fit according the experiment variables and conditions, and it also a better R-Squared value (even though it is a Pseudo-R²).

Appendix A: Do-file-for-Homework 9

```
/* QMB 3200 Regression Example Work */

clear

cd "C:\Users\luizg\Desktop\Stata"

import delimited "C:\Users\luizg\Desktop\Stata\supplement (2).csv"

// Variable creation
gen supXweight = supplement * weight
gen supXfemale = supplement * female

// Poisson regression model
poisson days cold female supplement weight, robust
estimate store model1
predict pred1

//RMSE value of the model
gen sqres1=(days-pred1)^2

//Repetition of the processes mentioned above for another 4 different models
poisson days cold female supplement weight supXweight, robust
estimate store model2
predict pred2
gen sqres2=(days-pred2)^2
poisson days cold female supplement weight supXfemale, robust
estimate store model3
predict pred3
gen sqres3=(days-pred3)^2
```



```

poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.weight c.supXweight,
robust

estimate store model4

predict pred4

gen sqres4=(days-pred4)^2

poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.supXweight, robust
estimate store model5

predict pred5

gen sqres5=(days-pred5)^2


//RMSE Comparison

sum sqres*


//Chi-Square Hypothesis Testing

poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.weight c.supXweight,
robust

testparm i.supplement supXweight
testparm i.supplement weight supXweight
testparm i.supplement weight

testparm i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale
testparm i.female i.supXfemale
testparm i.female supplement

poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.supXweight, robust
testparm i.supplement supXweight
testparm i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale
testparm i.female i.supXfemale
testparm i.female supplement


//Marginal effect of each variable on cold duration

poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.supXweight c.weight,
robust

```

```
margins, dydx(*) post
```

```
STOP
```

```
log close
```

Appendix B :Do-file-for-Homework 9

```
_____ (R)
/_____/_____/_____/
_____/_____/_____/ 16.0 Copyright 1985-2019 StataCorp LLC
Statistics/Data Analysis StataCorp
4905 Lakeway Drive
College Station, Texas 77845 USA
800-STATA-PC http://www.stata.com
979-696-4600 stata@stata.com
979-696-4601 (fax)
```

Single-user Stata license expires 16 Mar 2020:

Serial number: 301609236389

Licensed to: Luiz Gustavo Fagundes Malpele
Florida Polytechnic University

Notes:

1. Unicode is supported; see help unicode_advice.

```
. do "C:\Users\luizg\AppData\Local\Temp\STD28f0_000000.tmp"
```

```
. /* QMB 3200 Regression Example Work */
```

```
.
```

```
. clear
```

```
.
```

```
. cd "C:\Users\luizg\Desktop\Stata"
```

```
C:\Users\luizg\Desktop\Stata
```

```
.
```

```
. import delimited "C:\Users\luizg\Desktop\Stata\supplement (2).csv"
```

```
(6 vars, 60 obs)
```

```

.
. // Variable creation
. gen supXweight = supplement * weight

. gen supXfemale = supplement * female

.
. // Poisson regression model
. poisson days cold female supplement weight, robust

```

```

Iteration 0:  log pseudolikelihood = -71.577617
Iteration 1:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.415315
Iteration 2:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.23925
Iteration 3:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.216872
Iteration 4:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.212233
Iteration 5:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.211246
Iteration 6:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.211023
Iteration 7:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.210967
Iteration 8:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.210957
Iteration 9:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.210955

```

```

Poisson regression              Number of obs   =           60
                                Wald chi2(4)    =  635911.70
                                Prob > chi2     =           0.0000
Log pseudolikelihood = -61.210955  Pseudo R2    =           0.7294

```

		Robust				
	days	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----						
	cold	19.97145	.0352608	566.39	0.000	19.90234 20.04056
	female	.1776382	.0952762	1.86	0.062	-.0090997 .3643761

supplement		-.1897913	.0649447	-2.92	0.003	-.3170806	-.0625021
weight		.0007159	.0014076	0.51	0.611	-.002043	.0034748
_cons		-18.03518	.255191	-70.67	0.000	-18.53534	-17.53501

```
. estimate store modell
```

```
. predict pred1
```

```
(option n assumed; predicted number of events)
```

```
.
```

```
. //RMSE value of the model
```

```
. gen sqres1=(days-pred1)^2
```

```
.
```

```
. //Repetition of the processes mentioned above for another 4 different models
```

```
. poisson days cold female supplement weight supXweight, robust
```

```
Iteration 0: log pseudolikelihood = -71.550479
```

```
Iteration 1: log pseudolikelihood = -61.388552
```

```
Iteration 2: log pseudolikelihood = -61.211133
```

```
Iteration 3: log pseudolikelihood = -61.188743
```

```
Iteration 4: log pseudolikelihood = -61.184081
```

```
Iteration 5: log pseudolikelihood = -61.18309
```

```
Iteration 6: log pseudolikelihood = -61.182867
```

```
Iteration 7: log pseudolikelihood = -61.182812
```

```
Iteration 8: log pseudolikelihood = -61.182801
```

```
Iteration 9: log pseudolikelihood = -61.182799
```

```
Poisson regression
```

Number of obs	=	60
---------------	---	----

Wald chi2(5)	=	623439.71
--------------	---	-----------

Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
-------------	---	--------

```
Log pseudolikelihood = -61.182799
```

Pseudo R2	=	0.7295
-----------	---	--------

		Robust				
	days	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
cold		19.72195	.0348164	566.46	0.000	19.65372 19.79019
female		.17949	.0975865	1.84	0.066	-.011776 .370756
supplement		-.0352196	.3267364	-0.11	0.914	-.6756111 .605172
weight		.001042	.0014831	0.70	0.482	-.0018647 .0039487
supXweight		-.0009785	.0020819	-0.47	0.638	-.0050589 .003102
_cons		-17.83661	.2676687	-66.64	0.000	-18.36123 -17.31198

```
. estimate store model2
```

```
. predict pred2
```

```
(option n assumed; predicted number of events)
```

```
. gen sqres2=(days-pred2)^2
```

```
. poisson days cold female supplement weight supXfemale, robust
```

```
Iteration 0: log pseudolikelihood = -71.381109
```

```
Iteration 1: log pseudolikelihood = -61.22312
```

```
Iteration 2: log pseudolikelihood = -61.036445
```

```
Iteration 3: log pseudolikelihood = -61.013969
```

```
Iteration 4: log pseudolikelihood = -61.009126
```

```
Iteration 5: log pseudolikelihood = -61.008109
```

```
Iteration 6: log pseudolikelihood = -61.007882
```

```
Iteration 7: log pseudolikelihood = -61.007827
```

```
Iteration 8: log pseudolikelihood = -61.007815
```

```
Iteration 9: log pseudolikelihood = -61.007813
```

```

Poisson regression                                Number of obs    =          60
                                                    Wald chi2(4)     =          .
                                                    Prob > chi2      =          .
Log pseudolikelihood = -61.007813                Pseudo R2       =      0.7303

```

		Robust				
days		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
<hr/>						
cold		19.72065	.0333885	590.64	0.000	19.65521 19.78609
female		.1097792	.1008707	1.09	0.276	-.0879237 .3074821
supplement		-.2747057	.0885343	-3.10	0.002	-.4482298 -.1011816
weight		.0005466	.0014818	0.37	0.712	-.0023577 .0034508
supXfemale		.1780059	.1222578	1.46	0.145	-.0616149 .4176267
_cons		-17.72799	.2723251	-65.10	0.000	-18.26173 -17.19424

```
. estimate store model3
```

```
. predict pred3
```

```
(option n assumed; predicted number of events)
```

```
. gen sqres3=(days-pred3)^2
```

```
. poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.weight c.supXweight,
robust
```

```

Iteration 0:  log pseudolikelihood = -71.291964
Iteration 1:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.143836
Iteration 2:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.944193
Iteration 3:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.921564
Iteration 4:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.916351
Iteration 5:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.915251
Iteration 6:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.915054

```

Iteration 7: log pseudolikelihood = -60.915033

Iteration 8: log pseudolikelihood = -60.915029

Poisson regression	Number of obs	=	60
	Wald chi2(6)	=	43423.31
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
Log pseudolikelihood = -60.915029	Pseudo R2	=	0.7307

		Robust					
days		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
-----+-----							
1.cold		19.34352	.0962226	201.03	0.000	19.15493	19.53211
1.female		.0472468	.1011591	0.47	0.640	-.1510214	.245515
1.supplement		-.8066765	.4650756	-1.73	0.083	-1.718208	.1048551
1.supXfemale		.3333956	.1730048	1.93	0.054	-.0056876	.6724789
weight		-.0005405	.0016033	-0.34	0.736	-.0036829	.002602
supXweight		.002898	.0025802	1.12	0.261	-.002159	.007955
_cons		-17.15592	.2976164	-57.64	0.000	-17.73923	-16.5726

. estimate store model4

. predict pred4

(option n assumed; predicted number of events)

. gen sqres4=(days-pred4)^2

. poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.supXweight, robust

Iteration 0: log pseudolikelihood = -71.301641

Iteration 1: log pseudolikelihood = -61.151587

Iteration 2: log pseudolikelihood = -60.952759


```

Iteration 3:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.930133
Iteration 4:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.924935
Iteration 5:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.923834
Iteration 6:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.923638
Iteration 7:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.923617
Iteration 8:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.923613

```

```

Poisson regression                                Number of obs   =           60
                                                Wald chi2(4)    =           .
                                                Prob > chi2     =           .
Log pseudolikelihood = -60.923613                Pseudo R2      =       0.7307

```

		Robust				
days		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----						
1.cold		19.3437	.0962442	200.99	0.000	19.15506 19.53233
1.female		.0783132	.0552752	1.42	0.157	-.0300243 .1866507
1.supplement		-.709851	.3748833	-1.89	0.058	-1.444609 .0249068
1.supXfemale		.3023291	.1508405	2.00	0.045	.0066872 .5979709
supXweight		.0023576	.0020215	1.17	0.244	-.0016045 .0063197
_cons		-17.25292	.1372267	-125.73	0.000	-17.52188 -16.98396

```

. estimate store model5

. predict pred5
(option n assumed; predicted number of events)

. gen sqres5=(days-pred5)^2

.

. //RMSE Comparison

```

```
. sum sqres*
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
-----+-----					
sqres1	60	.6730404	1.283789	1.82e-16	6.005032
sqres2	60	.6656469	1.224504	3.05e-16	5.395259
sqres3	60	.6206649	1.052345	2.70e-16	4.400746
sqres4	60	.5963178	1.013113	4.93e-16	4.339605
sqres5	60	.5998339	1.015574	4.93e-16	4.373257

```
.
```

```
. //Chi-Square Hypothesis Testing
```

```
. poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.weight c.supXweight,  
robust
```

```
Iteration 0: log pseudolikelihood = -71.291964
Iteration 1: log pseudolikelihood = -61.143836
Iteration 2: log pseudolikelihood = -60.944193
Iteration 3: log pseudolikelihood = -60.921564
Iteration 4: log pseudolikelihood = -60.916351
Iteration 5: log pseudolikelihood = -60.915251
Iteration 6: log pseudolikelihood = -60.915054
Iteration 7: log pseudolikelihood = -60.915033
Iteration 8: log pseudolikelihood = -60.915029
```

Poisson regression	Number of obs	=	60
	Wald chi2(6)	=	43423.31
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
Log pseudolikelihood = -60.915029	Pseudo R2	=	0.7307

	Robust				
days	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----					

1.cold		19.34352	.0962226	201.03	0.000	19.15493	19.53211
1.female		.0472468	.1011591	0.47	0.640	-.1510214	.245515
1.supplement		-.8066765	.4650756	-1.73	0.083	-1.718208	.1048551
1.supXfemale		.3333956	.1730048	1.93	0.054	-.0056876	.6724789
weight		-.0005405	.0016033	-0.34	0.736	-.0036829	.002602
supXweight		.002898	.0025802	1.12	0.261	-.002159	.007955
_cons		-17.15592	.2976164	-57.64	0.000	-17.73923	-16.5726

```
. testparm i.supplement supXweight
```

```
( 1) [days]1.supplement = 0
```

```
( 2) [days]supXweight = 0
```

```
chi2( 2) = 12.97
```

```
Prob > chi2 = 0.0015
```

```
. testparm i.supplement weight supXweight
```

```
( 1) [days]1.supplement = 0
```

```
( 2) [days]weight = 0
```

```
( 3) [days]supXweight = 0
```

```
chi2( 3) = 12.97
```

```
Prob > chi2 = 0.0047
```

```
. testparm i.supplement weight
```

```
( 1) [days]1.supplement = 0
```

```
( 2) [days]weight = 0
```

```
chi2( 2) = 3.74
```

```
Prob > chi2 = 0.1540
```

```
.  
. testparm i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale
```

```
( 1)  [days]1.female = 0  
( 2)  [days]1.supplement = 0  
( 3)  [days]1.supXfemale = 0
```

```
      chi2( 3) =      7.88  
Prob > chi2 =      0.0486
```

```
. testparm i.female i.supXfemale
```

```
( 1)  [days]1.female = 0  
( 2)  [days]1.supXfemale = 0
```

```
      chi2( 2) =      7.57  
Prob > chi2 =      0.0227
```

```
. testparm i.female supplement
```

```
( 1)  [days]1.female = 0
```

```
      chi2( 1) =      0.22  
Prob > chi2 =      0.6405
```

```
.  
. poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.supXweight, robust
```

```
Iteration 0:  log pseudolikelihood = -71.301641  
Iteration 1:  log pseudolikelihood = -61.151587  
Iteration 2:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.952759  
Iteration 3:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.930133
```

```

Iteration 4:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.924935
Iteration 5:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.923834
Iteration 6:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.923638
Iteration 7:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.923617
Iteration 8:  log pseudolikelihood = -60.923613

```

```

Poisson regression                                Number of obs   =           60
                                                Wald chi2(4)    =           .
                                                Prob > chi2     =           .
Log pseudolikelihood = -60.923613                Pseudo R2       =       0.7307

```

		Robust				
	days	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----						
	1.cold	19.3437	.0962442	200.99	0.000	19.15506 19.53233
	1.female	.0783132	.0552752	1.42	0.157	-.0300243 .1866507
	1.supplement	-.709851	.3748833	-1.89	0.058	-1.444609 .0249068
	1.supXfemale	.3023291	.1508405	2.00	0.045	.0066872 .5979709
	supXweight	.0023576	.0020215	1.17	0.244	-.0016045 .0063197
	_cons	-17.25292	.1372267	-125.73	0.000	-17.52188 -16.98396

```

. testparm i.supplement supXweight

```

```

( 1)  [days]1.supplement = 0

```

```

( 2)  [days]supXweight = 0

```

```

      chi2( 2) =    12.46

```

```

    Prob > chi2 =    0.0020

```

```

. testparm i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale

```

```

( 1)  [days]1.female = 0
( 2)  [days]1.supplement = 0
( 3)  [days]1.supXfemale = 0

      chi2( 3) =      9.37
Prob > chi2 =      0.0248

. testparm i.female i.supXfemale

( 1)  [days]1.female = 0
( 2)  [days]1.supXfemale = 0

      chi2( 2) =      9.36
Prob > chi2 =      0.0093

. testparm i.female supplement

( 1)  [days]1.female = 0

      chi2( 1) =      2.01
Prob > chi2 =      0.1565

.

. //Marginal effect of each variable on cold duration
. poisson days i.cold i.female i.supplement i.supXfemale c.supXweight c.weight,
robust

Iteration 0:   log pseudolikelihood = -71.291964
Iteration 1:   log pseudolikelihood = -61.143836
Iteration 2:   log pseudolikelihood = -60.944193
Iteration 3:   log pseudolikelihood = -60.921564
Iteration 4:   log pseudolikelihood = -60.916351
Iteration 5:   log pseudolikelihood = -60.915251
Iteration 6:   log pseudolikelihood = -60.915054

```

Iteration 7: log pseudolikelihood = -60.915033

Iteration 8: log pseudolikelihood = -60.915029

Poisson regression	Number of obs	=	60
	Wald chi2(6)	=	22345.22
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000
Log pseudolikelihood = -60.915029	Pseudo R2	=	0.7307

		Robust				
days	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
1.cold	19.34352	.132119	146.41	0.000	19.08457	19.60247
1.female	.0472468	.1011591	0.47	0.640	-.1510214	.245515
1.supplement	-.8066765	.4650756	-1.73	0.083	-1.718208	.1048551
1.supXfemale	.3333956	.1730048	1.93	0.054	-.0056876	.6724789
supXweight	.002898	.0025802	1.12	0.261	-.002159	.007955
weight	-.0005405	.0016033	-0.34	0.736	-.0036829	.002602
_cons	-17.15592	.2976164	-57.64	0.000	-17.73923	-16.5726

. margins, dydx(*) post

Average marginal effects	Number of obs	=	60
Model VCE	: Robust		

Expression : Predicted number of events, predict()

dy/dx w.r.t. : 1.cold 1.female 1.supplement 1.supXfemale supXweight weight

	Delta-method				
dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	

1.cold	7.745808	.7337234	10.56	0.000	6.307737	9.183879
1.female	.1862122	.4001226	0.47	0.642	-.5980137	.9704382
1.supplement	-3.059245	1.906369	-1.60	0.109	-6.795661	.6771699
1.supXfemale	1.481691	.8819499	1.68	0.093	-.2468989	3.210281
supXweight	.0113994	.0101861	1.12	0.263	-.0085651	.0313638
weight	-.0021259	.0062972	-0.34	0.736	-.0144681	.0102164

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.

.

end of do-file

. do "C:\Users\luizg\AppData\Local\Temp\STD28f0_000000.tmp"

.

. log close

no log file open

r(606);

end of do-file

r(606);