#1 Assignment - CMPT 405

Luiz Fernando Peres de Oliveira - 301288301 - lperesde@sfu.ca September 16, 2018

#1 - Let C be the array containing all the possible coins $\{1,\ 5,\ 10,\ 25,\ 100,\ 200\}$. Let V be the total change value.

Algorithm:

```
\begin{split} & \textbf{Input: } C, \ V \\ & d \leftarrow \text{sort C such that } d_1 \geq d_2 \geq ... \geq d_n \\ & res \leftarrow \emptyset; \ i \leftarrow 1 \\ & \textbf{while } V > 0 \textbf{ do} \\ & \textbf{ if } V \geq d_i \textbf{ then} \\ & n_{coins} \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{V}{d_i} \right\rfloor \\ & V \leftarrow V - (n_{coins} * d_i) \\ & res \leftarrow res \cup \{(d_i, n_{coins})\} \\ & \textbf{ end if } \\ & i \leftarrow i + 1 \\ & \textbf{ end while} \\ & return \ res \end{split}
```

Intuition: $\forall (d_i, d_j) \in C$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $d_i \geq 2 * d_j$, meaning that if I the algorithm chooses any d_j over any d_i , it will have to pick at least 2 times more coins for some value V that satisfies both d_i and d_j .

Proof. Now, imagine that the algorithm chooses d_j over d_i , then we have two cases:

- Case 1. $d_i > V$:

If so, we are done because there are no possible ways of choosing d_i for value V.

- Case 2. $d_i \leq V$:

If that was the case, we would have an optimal set OPT such that $OPT_{i-1} \cup d_j \subseteq OPT$, which is not the case, once the iteration i will happen before the iteration j, causing the algorithm to choose d_i over d_j (and never the opposite) for any value V that satisfies both d_i and d_j .

The only way to give more coins than the smallest possible number of coins for any change would be in a case where the algorithm chooses d_j over a d_i , which will never happen.