

#### TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY MUNICH

#### Master Thesis

## On Parametrized Semitotal Dominating Set

Lukas Retschmeier





#### TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY MUNICH

#### **Master Thesis**

## On Parametrized Semitotal Dominating Set

# A Survey and a Linear Kernel For Planar Graphs

Author: Lukas Retschmeier

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Debarghya Ghoshdastidar (TUM)

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Paloma T. Lima (ITU)

Submission Date: August 12, 2022



I confirm that this master thand material used.	nesis is my ow	n work and I ha	ave documented al	l sources
København, August 12, 2022	2		Lukas Retschmeie	er



## CONTENTS

A	cknov	wledgn	nents	iii			
Αl	bstra	ct		vii			
1	Intr	oductio	on	i			
2	Prel	iminar	ies	ii			
	2.1	Graph	Theory	ii			
	2.2	Paran	netrized Complexity	iii			
		2.2.1	Fixed Parameter Tractability	iii			
		2.2.2	Fixed Parameter Intractability: The W Hierarchy	iii			
		2.2.3	Kernelization	iii			
3	On	Parame	etrized Dominating Set	iv			
-	3.1	Semit	otal Domination	iv			
	3.2	w[i]-Ir	ntractibility	iv			
		3.2.1	Warm-Up: W[2]-hard on General Graphs	iv			
		3.2.2	W[2]-hard on Bipartite Graphs	iv			
		3.2.3	W[2]-hard on Chordal Graphs	iv			
		3.2.4	W[2]-hard on Split Graphs	iv			
4	A Linear Kernel for Planar Semitotal Domination						
	4.1		Sain Idea and The Big Picture	V			
	4.2	Defini	itions	$\mathbf{V}$			
	4.3	Deducing Reduction Rules					
		4.3.1	Reduction Rule I (R1): Getting Rid of unnecessary $N_3(v)$ -vertices	V			
		4.3.2	Reduction Rule II (R2): Shrinking the Size of a Region	V			
		4.3.3	Reduction Rule III (R3): Shrinking the Size of Simple Regions	V			
	44	Bounding the Size of the Kernel					

#### Contents

5 Open Questions and Further Research	vii
Bibliography	viii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	x

## **ABSTRACT**

Abstract all the way

## **INTRODUCTION**

Our contributions

#### **PRELIMINARIES**

We start by recapping some basic notation in Graph Theory and Parametrized Complexity.

Continuing an intensive study of parametrized complexity of that problem.

#### 2.1 Graph Theory

We quickly state the following definitions given by [Die10, p. xxx].

**Definition 1** (Graph). A graph is a pair G = (V, E) of two sets where V denotes the vertices and  $E \subseteq V \times C$  the edges of the graph. A vertex  $v \in V$  is incident with an edge  $e \in E$  if  $v \in e$ . Two vertices x, y are adjacent, or neighbours, if  $\{x, y\} \in E$ .

**Definition 2** (Special Graph Notations [Die10, p. 27]). A simple Graph

A directed Graph is a graph

A Multi Graph

A Planar Graph

**Definition 3** (Adjacent Vertices).

Definition 4 (Closed and Open Neighborhoods of Vertices). + Sets

Definition 5 (Induced Subgraph). asd

#### Special Graph Classes

We call the class of graphs without any special restrictions "General Graphs".

**Definition 6** (r-partite Graphs). Let  $r \ge 2$  be an integer. A Graph G = (V, E) is called "r-partite" if V admits a partition into r classes such that every edge has its ends in different classes: Vertices in the same partition class must not be adjacent.

For the case r = 2 we say that the G is "bipartite"

**Definition 7** (Chordal Graphs).

**Definition 8** (Split Graphs).

## 2.2 Parametrized Complexity

- 2.2.1 Fixed Parameter Tractability
- 2.2.2 Fixed Parameter Intractability: The W Hierarchy
- 2.2.3 Kernelization

#### ON PARAMETRIZED DOMINATING SET

#### 3.1 Semitotal Domination

Definition, dominating number

#### A Survey

## 3.2 w[i]-Intractibility

Now some w[i] hard classes.

- 3.2.1 Warm-Up: W[2]-hard on General Graphs
- 3.2.2 W[2]-hard on Bipartite Graphs
- 3.2.3 W[2]-hard on Chordal Graphs
- 3.2.4 W[2]-hard on Split Graphs

## A LINEAR KERNEL FOR PLANAR SEMITOTAL DOMINATION

TODO Alber et. al, Total Domination.

#### 4.1 The Main Idea and The Big Picture

#### 4.2 Definitions

#### 4.3 Deducing Reduction Rules

#### 4.3.1 Reduction Rule I (R1): Getting Rid of unneccessary $N_3(v)$ -vertices

Lemma 1 (Correctness of the Reduction).

#### 4.3.2 Reduction Rule II (R2): Shrinking the Size of a Region

Lemma 2 (Correctness of the Reduction).

#### 4.3.3 Reduction Rule III (R3): Shrinking the Size of Simple Regions

Lemma 3 (Correctness of the Reduction).

Lemma 4 (Reduced Plane Graph under R2).

Lemma 5 (Given size of N1 and N2 regions).

#### 4.4 Bounding the Size of the Kernel

Lemma 6 (Number of Vertices inside a Region ).

Lemma 7 (Number of Vertices outside a Region).

Lemma 8 (Number of Regions in a Maximum Region Decomposition).

We now have all the tools ready to proof the central theorem of this section:

Lemma 9 (Running Time of Reduction Procedure).

**Theorem 10.** *Semitotal Dominating Set has a linear kernel of size XXX on planar graphs.* 

NP Hardness on General Graphs

## OPEN QUESTIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH

Chordal Bipartite Graphs a very interesting case.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

[Die10] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Fourth. Vol. 173. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Heidelberg; New York: Springer, 2010. ISBN: 9783642142789 3642142788 9783642142796 3642142796.

## LIST OF FIGURES

## LIST OF TABLES