

Seminar Algorithms for Big Data

Fast Random Integer Generation in an Interval

Based on a paper of the same title by Daniel Lemire

Lukas Geis

Supervised by Dr. Manuel Penschuck

29th February 2024 · Algorithm Engineering (Prof. Dr. Ulrich Meyer)

What is our goal?



What is our goal?

We want to *efficiently* draw a *uniform* random integer in an interval.



What is our goal?

We want to *efficiently* draw a *uniform* random integer in an interval.

Where do we need this?



What is our goal?

We want to *efficiently* draw a *uniform* random integer in an interval.

Where do we need this?

- Shuffling



TBD

What is our goal?

We want to *efficiently* draw a *uniform* random integer in an interval.

Where do we need this?

- Shuffling
- Complex Graph Generators



TBD

TBD

What is our goal?

We want to *efficiently* draw a *uniform* random integer in an interval.

Where do we need this?

- Shuffling
- Complex Graph Generators
- Sampling

TBD

TBD

TBD

Table of Contents

1 Preliminaries

- Formal Definition
- Operations
- The Naive Approach

2 Unbiased Algorithms

- The OpenBSD Algorithm
- The Java Algorithm
- The Fast-Dice-Roller Algorithm
- The Bitmask Algorithm

3 Lemire's Algorithm

- Multiply-And-Shift
- The Algorithm

4 Conclusion

1

Preliminaries





Formal Definition

Setting:



Formal Definition

Setting:

- **Input:** upper bound of interval $n \in \mathbb{N}$



Formal Definition

Setting:

- **Input:** upper bound of interval $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- **Output:** uniform random integer in interval $[0, n)$



Formal Definition

Setting:

- **Input:** upper bound of interval $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- **Output:** uniform random integer in interval $[0, n)$

But what if we want a random integer in $[a, b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < a < b$ instead?

Formal Definition

Setting:

- **Input:** upper bound of interval $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- **Output:** uniform random integer in interval $[0, n)$

But what if we want a random integer in $[a, b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < a < b$ instead?

We can map this to our setting by subtracting a !

Formal Definition

Setting:

- **Input:** upper bound of interval $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- **Output:** uniform random integer in interval $[0, n)$

But what if we want a random integer in $[a, b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < a < b$ instead?

We can map this to our setting by subtracting a !

- Set $n = b - a$ and draw a uniform random integer $x \in [0, n)$

Formal Definition

Setting:

- **Input:** upper bound of interval $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- **Output:** uniform random integer in interval $[0, n)$

But what if we want a random integer in $[a, b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < a < b$ instead?

We can map this to our setting by subtracting a !

- Set $n = b - a$ and draw a uniform random integer $x \in [0, n)$
- Return $x + a$



Definition (Common Operations)



Definition (Common Operations)

■ Integer-Division: $x \div y \quad := \lfloor x/y \rfloor$

Definition (Common Operations)

- Integer-Division: $x \div y \quad := \lfloor x/y \rfloor$
- Remainder-Operation: $x \bmod y \quad := x - (x \div y)y$

Definition (Common Operations)

- Integer-Division: $x \div y \quad := \lfloor x/y \rfloor$
- Remainder-Operation: $x \bmod y \quad := x - (x \div y)y$
- Bit-RIGHTSHIFT: $x \gg W \quad := x \div 2^W$

Definition (Common Operations)

- Integer-Division: $x \div y := \lfloor x/y \rfloor$
- Remainder-Operation: $x \bmod y := x - (x \div y)y$
- Bit-RIGHTSHIFT: $x \gg W := x \div 2^W$
- Bit-LEFTSHIFT: $x \ll W := x \cdot 2^W$

Definition (Common Operations)

- Integer-Division: $x \div y := \lfloor x/y \rfloor$
- Remainder-Operation: $x \bmod y := x - (x \div y)y$
- Bit-RIGHTSHIFT: $x \gg W := x \div 2^W$
- Bit-LEFTSHIFT: $x \ll W := x \cdot 2^W$
- Bitwise-AND: $x \& y$

Definition (Common Operations)

- Integer-Division: $x \div y \quad := \lfloor x/y \rfloor$
- Remainder-Operation: $x \bmod y \quad := x - (x \div y)y$
- Bit-RIGHTSHIFT: $x \gg W \quad := x \div 2^W$
- Bit-LEFTSHIFT: $x \ll W \quad := x \cdot 2^W$
- Bitwise-AND: $x \& y \rightarrow x \bmod 2^W \quad := x \& (2^W - 1)$

The Naive Approach



The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?



The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?

- Generated by Pseudo-Random-Number-Generators (PRNGs)



The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?

- Generated by Pseudo-Random-Number-Generators (PRNGs)
- Generated as W -bit words, i.e. unsigned integers in $[0, 2^W)$ (typically $W \in \{32, 64\}$)



The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?

- Generated by Pseudo-Random-Number-Generators (PRNGs)
- Generated as W -bit words, i.e. unsigned integers in $[0, 2^W)$ (typically $W \in \{32, 64\}$)

`rand() mod n`

The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?

- Generated by Pseudo-Random-Number-Generators (PRNGs)
- Generated as W -bit words, i.e. unsigned integers in $[0, 2^W)$ (typically $W \in \{32, 64\}$)

`rand() mod n`

Does this work?

The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?

- Generated by Pseudo-Random-Number-Generators (PRNGs)
- Generated as W -bit words, i.e. unsigned integers in $[0, 2^W)$ (typically $W \in \{32, 64\}$)

`rand() mod n`

Does this work?

- Yes, the generated number is in $[0, n)$.

The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?

- Generated by Pseudo-Random-Number-Generators (PRNGs)
- Generated as W -bit words, i.e. unsigned integers in $[0, 2^W)$ (typically $W \in \{32, 64\}$)

`rand() mod n`

Does this work?

- Yes, the generated number is in $[0, n)$.

Is this efficient?

The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?

- Generated by Pseudo-Random-Number-Generators (PRNGs)
- Generated as W -bit words, i.e. unsigned integers in $[0, 2^W)$ (typically $W \in \{32, 64\}$)

`rand() mod n`

Does this work?

- Yes, the generated number is in $[0, n)$.

Is this efficient?

- No, we require one expensive integer division operation.

The Naive Approach

How do we get random numbers?

- Generated by Pseudo-Random-Number-Generators (PRNGs)
- Generated as W -bit words, i.e. unsigned integers in $[0, 2^W)$ (typically $W \in \{32, 64\}$)

`rand() mod n`

Does this work?

- Yes, the generated number is in $[0, n)$.

Is this efficient?

- No, we require one expensive integer division operation.

Is the generated number uniform in $[0, n)$?

The Naive Approach



The Naive Approach

In general, applying $(x \bmod n)$ to $[0, 2^W)$ yields



The Naive Approach

In general, applying $(x \bmod n)$ to $[0, 2^W)$ yields

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \dots, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}}_{(2^W \div n) \cdot n \text{ values}}, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, (2^W \bmod n) - 1}_{2^W \bmod n \text{ values}}$$

2^W values

The Naive Approach

In general, applying $(x \bmod n)$ to $[0, 2^W)$ yields

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overbrace{\underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \dots, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, (2^W \bmod n) - 1}_{2^W \bmod n \text{ values}}}^{2^W \text{ values}} \\
 \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{(2^W \div n) \cdot n \text{ values}}
 \end{array}$$

We have a **leftover** interval that introduces bias.

The Naive Approach

In general, applying $(x \bmod n)$ to $[0, 2^W)$ yields

$$\overbrace{\underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \dots, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, (2^W \bmod n) - 1}_{2^W \bmod n \text{ values}}}_{(2^W \div n) \cdot n \text{ values}}^{2^W \text{ values}}$$

We have a **leftover** interval that introduces bias.

Every approach that maps every integer in $[0, 2^W)$ to a single number in $[0, n)$

The Naive Approach

In general, applying $(x \bmod n)$ to $[0, 2^W)$ yields

$$\overbrace{\underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \dots, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{n \text{ values}}, \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, (2^W \bmod n) - 1}_{2^W \bmod n \text{ values}}}_{(2^W \div n) \cdot n \text{ values}}^{2^W \text{ values}}$$

We have a **leftover** interval that introduces bias.

Every approach that maps every integer in $[0, 2^W)$ to a single number in $[0, n)$ does **not** generate uniform random integers in one step.

2

Unbiased Algorithms



The OpenBSD Algorithm



The OpenBSD Algorithm



The Java Algorithm



The Java Algorithm



The Fast-Dice-Roller Algorithm



The Fast-Dice-Roller Algorithm



The Bitmask Algorithm



The Bitmask Algorithm



Lemire's Algorithm

Multiply-And-Shift



Multiply-And-Shift



Lemire's Algorithm

The Algorithm



Lemire's Algorithm

The Algorithm



4 Conclusion



Conclusion

Summary



Conclusion

Summary



End of Talk

