Luke Favret, Musaab Al Bakry, Mikayla Pickett

Prototype:

Demo Beginning Page

https://www.figma.com/proto/aUmgnrlAme9eZp8R4sqzqa6g/Main?node-id=15%3A2&scaling=min-zoom

Login Page Figma View

https://www.figma.com/file/aUmgnrlAme9eZp8R4sqzqa6g/Main?node-id=15%3A2

App Figma View (Main Thing)

https://www.figma.com/file/aUmgnrlAme9eZp8R4sgzga6g/Main?node-id=0%3A1

App Demo View (Inc. first link fails)

https://www.figma.com/proto/aUmgnrlAme9eZp8R4sqzqa6g/Main?node-id=0%3A1&scaling=scale-down

Changelog:

The old UI was deleted and everything has been rebuilt from scratch. The only consistent thing is the color scheme.

Storyboard Information:

We didn't get to present our storyboard as there wasn't enough time.

UAR Resolutions:

HE 1:Cannot be fixed in Figma

HE 2:Cannot be fixed in Figma

HE 3: Login is now forced to access app

HE 4: Tapping Cooking Buddy logo always brings one to homepage, back arrows implemented for overlays, quick access tabs for library/feed

HE 5: Half fixed by using royalty free images

L1: App made more self evident. Tutorial too complicated for purposes of this project.

L2: Complete redesign

L3: Home page and user page merged via forced login, complete redesign

L4: See Figma, complete redesign

L5: App redesigned for mobile only

UAR #: HE 1, Prototype B | Problem/Good: Search Bar | Rated by: Mikayla

Detailed Explanation: Upon clicking on the search bar, the user was immediately jumped to a page that displayed search results. This does not reflect how search functions actually/typically work. User may also be confused as the search result page displays barebones results for a query they didn't input.

Severity: 2	See Also: HE 2, Prototype B

UAR #: HE 2, Prot. B	Problem/Good: Login Fields	Rated by: Mikayla
----------------------	----------------------------	-------------------

Detailed Explanation: After clicking on the login button and being presented with the login popup, user is unable to interact with prototype further beyond clicking login again with the assumption the data was input. The user should be able to mimic filling out these fields before proceeding to login/register.

Severity: 2 See Also: HE 1, Prototype B

UAR #: HE 3, Prototype B	Problem/Good: Register	Rated by: Mikayla
	Button	

Detailed Explanation: If a user is new, it isn't immediately obvious that in order to sign up they have to login. The confusion lies in that because they don't have an account, there would be no reason for them to click login. No visible indication that registration is tied behind the login button.

Severity: 3 See Also: N/A

UAR #: HE 4, Prototype A	Problem/Good: Difficult	Rated by: Mikayla
	Navigation	

Detailed Explanation: At each step, there is no way to return to the previous. Once the user clicks search and is taken to the results page, there is no way to return to the video feed. Likewise, once the user is taken to the video/recipe page, they cannot return to either the search or the video feed page.

Severity: 4 See Also: N/A

UAR #: HE 5, Prototype A/B	Problem/Good: Purpose	Rated by: Mikayla
	unclear	

Detailed Explanation: For ease of creation, much of the data on the prototype was nonexistent or generic. In Prototype B, the recipes were listed as "Recipe 1, Recipe 2, etc". This makes the purpose of the website unclear as the data is generic and holds no meaning. In addition, the user would be unable to complete specific user tasks as there is no specific data. Also in prototype B, much of the data is repeated. On the search results page, all of the

results share the same characteristics. If the user was given a task to find a specific recipe, they would be unable to do so.

See Also: N/A

UAR #: L1-A/BProblem/Good: ProblemRated by: LDetailed Explanation: System lacks any form documentation or tutorial. This is acceptable if the system is self-evident enough, but this system is not.

Severity: 4 See Also: HE 5 A/B

UAR #: L2-A Problem/Good: Problem Rated by: L

Detailed Explanation: Design of user's personal recipes page looks incredibly similar to search page. Not only is this confusing, it shows poor design as the user home page should be clearly customized.

Severity: 3 See Also:

UAR #: L3-A/B Problem/Good: Problem Rated by: L

Detailed Explanation: Both user and home page is generic and uninteresting (with exception to B's home page). B's home page is interesting, but may not be a good introduction to the site. Furthermore, existence of a home page and user page seems unnecessary as each is only useful to one state of being logged in/not.

Severity: 3 See Also:

UAR #: L4-A Problem/Good: Problem Rated by: L

Detailed Explanation: Aesthetic design is too spread out and bloated. This leaves the user scrolling more than necessary and induces a claustrophobic feeling

Severity: 2 See Also:

UAR #: L5-A	Problem/Good: Problem	Rated by: L
Detailed Explanation: Design of app is split between mobile and web. Mobile conventions such as lots of center alignment, low information density, and heavy scrolling are prominent. However, dimensions of design makes it seems as if the app is supposed to be viewed on a desktop/tablet.		
Severity: 4	See Also:	