CS510: Project 2 - Report

Group Member:

- Luke Jiang jiang700@purdue.edu
- · Sihao Yin yin93@purdue.edu

Part I

(a) Inferring Likely Invariants for Bug Detection

Our source code can be found in <code>jiang700/pi/PartA/src</code> . Run <code>make</code> to compile the source code. <code>jiang700/pi/PartA/pipair</code> is the executable program for our tool.

(b) Finding and Explaining False Positives

(i) Do we think he had found any real bugs?

Yes, there are some real bugs, but he also found false positives.

(ii) Why false positives occur?

- 1. The technique that we are using in this project is based on probability, not programming semantics. The tool doesn't understand preconditions and postconditions of the functions, and can only infer bug from the statistical usage of the functions. Therefore, the tool can never be sure that a pair with high confidence is indeed a bug.
- 2. The current technique is too loose on defining a function pair. It only considers scope in one level, ignoring other aspects of the program e.g. the order of instructions. Therefore, it will erroneously increase the confidence of functions pairs and report false positives.

(iii) Identify two false positives for test3 httpd

1. (apr_array_make, apr_hook_debug_show) . It appeared more than 26 times in test3_3_65.out

According to the http://apr.apache.org/docs, apr_array_make : create an array, and apr_hook_debug_show prints all of the information about the current hook, for debugging purposes.

This pair is a false positive because <code>apr_hook_debug_show</code> is purely for debugging purpose and have no semantic effect on the code. Therefore, even though this pair has occurred many times in the code, it is still not necessary to use the debug function every time an array is created.

2. (apr_array_make, apr_array_push) . It appeared more than 7 times in test3_10_80.out

According to http://apr.apache.org/docs, apr_array_make makes a new apr_array and apr_array_push takes an apr_array as input and adds an element to the array.

We argue this is a false positive pair with the support of the bug location in ap_regname.

In ap_regname, apr_array_push is called by not apr_array_make. This is in fact not a bug, since we are pushing elements to an array called names, which is an input for this function, this function is not creating a new array and populating it.

(c) Inter-Procedural Analysis.

In this part, we designed and implemented a new algorithm to reduce false positives.

(i) Algorithm Overview

We maintain two data strctures: a callee to caller map cmap and a caller to callee map cmap R. Both are built when the input CFG is processed. We also created a mew method expand, which updates both cmap and cmapR to the state after one level of inlining. After inlining, we feed the updated cmap and cmapR to analyze, which is the same function used in i.a.

The majority of code for this part is implemented in expand . In this function, we do the following:

- 1. We find the intersection of the keySet of cmap and cmapR, and call it common. common contains the functions that are both callers and callees in the program.
- 2. We remove the functions that are in cmap and common from cmap, and store them in a new map M.
- 3. For each functions in common, we get its callers and callees (scopes), and join the callees into callers. This is the primary job of expand that performs inlining
- 4. Finally, rebuild cmapR from cmap.

The new algorithm can be run by the following command:

```
pipair test3/httpd.bc.orig 3 65 true
```

where the last input specifies if inlining is enabled or not. The default is false.

(ii) Experiments

We run our program on test3 with both (3, 65) and (10, 80) and were successful in removing the false positive pair (apr_array_make, apr_hook_debug_show) identified in b.iii. However, the number of bugs reported increases in general. We concluded that this is due to the inflation of confidence after inlining.

(d) Improving the Solutions

In this section, we propose another algorithm to further reduce false positives.

(i) Algorithm Overview

The main idea is to take the order of function calls into account. More specifically, define the support of (A, B) as the number of times A is called before B in a scope. Thus, (A, B) is not equal to (B, A) in general.

We maintain a new data structure cmap0rder that maps a pair of caller and callee (caller, callee) to the callee's call order in caller. This data structure is built while reading the input graph along with cmap. Once cmap0rder is built, we do the following in analyze0rder:

- 1. Like in part i.a, we first find the intersection of fun1 's caller and fun2 's caller, call it join
- 2. Then, we divide join into two parts: join12 and join21, where join12 contains the callers that call fun1 before fun2 and caller21 is the rest. This is the part where (A, B) and (B, A) are differentiated.
- 3. We feed join12 and join21 separately to a analyze function, along with other infos.
- 4. The analyze function is semantically identical to the analyze in part i.a.

To facilitate our implementation, we used a hashmap-friendly implementation of Pair found online: https://stackoverflow.com/questions/156275/what-is-the-equivalent-of-the-c-pairl-r-in-java.

(ii) Evaluation

We run our program on test3 with both (3, 65) and (10, 80) and were successful in removing the false positive pair (apr_array_make, apr_hook_debug_show) identified in b.iii. It also reports fewer bugs in general. Here are the details after we run our implementation using verify.sh:

- test1 with threshhold=(3,65): Same.
- test1 with threshhold=(10,80): Same.
- test2 with threshhold=(3,65): 1 missing, 3 extra and 4 total
- test2 with threshhold=(10,80): Same.
- test3 with threshhold=(3,65): 144 missing, 198 extra and 253 total.
- test3 with threshhold=(10,80): 8 missing, 11 extra and 25 total.

Please check the README file to see more details on how to run the code for this part.

Part II

Part II (a)

1. 10026 (Dereference before null check)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In JspDocumentParser.java inside function startElement, attrs is dereferenced at line 274 by checkPrefixes, but the null check is at line 294. Therefore, checkPrefixes could be dereferencing a null attrs.
- Proposed Fix: add a null check for attrs before checkPrefixes.

2. 10102 (Dereference null return value)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In SSIProcessor.java inside function process, strCmd is returned by a call to parseCmd at line 121, which could be a null value.
- Proposed Fix: add a null check for strCmd after parseCmd.

3. 10148 (Resource leak)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In JKMain.java inside function loadPropertiesFile, a new FileInputStream is created at line 455, but it is not closed appropriately before the function returns.
- Proposed Fix: save FileInputStream to a separate variable or close it before the function returns.

4. 10167 (Dereference before null check)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In DeltaRequest.java inside function readExternal, this.actions is null-checked at line 238. However, at line 234, the method calls reset(), which would dereference this.actions.
- Proposed Fix: Add a null check for this actions before the call to reset .

5. 10176 (Dereference null return value)

- classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In SimpleTcpReplicationManager.java inside function messageReceived, session is the return value of a call to readSession at line 612. However, at line 614, session is dereferenced without a null check.
- Proposed Fix: Add a null check before dereferencing session.

6. 10231 (Resource leak)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In ChannelNioSocket.java inside function init, ssc created at line 390 but not closed properly by the function.
- **Proposed Fix**: close ssc by inserting ssc.close() after line 391.

7. 10291 (Dereference null return value)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In SSIServletExternalResolver.java inside function getServletContextAndPathFromVirtualPath, at line 421 normContext.getContextPath could return a null value and pass it as parameter to getPathWithoutContext.
- **Proposed Fix**: Create a new variable to store the returned value from <code>normContext.getContextPath()</code> and perform a null check before passing it to <code>getPathWithoutContext</code>.

8. 10351 (Dereference after null check)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In SimpleTcpCluster.java inside function messageReceived, message is null-checked at line 896 but dereferenced at line 907.
- Proposed Fix: add a null check for message at line 905.

9. 10381 (Dereference null return value)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In VirtualDirContext.java inside function scanForTlds, files is created to store the returned value of File.listFiles(). This files can potentially be a null value, and is dereferenced at line 193 without a null check.
- Proposed Fix: add a null check before dereferencing files .

10. 10395 (Unguarded read)

- · classified as FALSE POSITIVE
- **Explanation**: After checking other examples that locks before using instance, we conclude that there is no explicit declaration of lock, so it is a false positive.

11. 10396 (Dereference after null check)

- · classidied as INTENTIONAL
- **Expalanation**: In FarmWarDeployer.java inside function start, engine can be null, and it is dereferenced at line 159 without a null check. However, it is wrapped in a try-catch block, so the exception is handled appropriately.

12. 10435 (Resource leak)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In JDTCompiler.java inside function is Package, InputStream is created at line 233 but never closed.
- Proposed Fix: close InputStream before return.

13. 10453 (Dereference after null check)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In ChannelUn.java inside function init, wEnv is null-checked at line 88 and line 132, but is also used in initNative at line 166.
- Proposed Fix: Add a null check for wEnv before using it.

14. 10507 (Dereference after null check)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In MessageBytes.java inside function equalsIgnoreCase, strValue is null-checked at line 330, but it is also used at line 221 without a null check.
- Proposed Fix: Add a null check for strValue before using it.

15. 10514 (Missing call to superclass)

- · classified as FALSE POSITIVE
- **Explanation**: In ChannelCoordinator.java for function sendMessage, the inherited implementation is overwritten. Therefore, it's not necessary to call super.sendMessage, since it calls ChannelSender.sendMessage instead.

16. 10625 (Dereference before null check)

- · classified as FALSE POSITIVE
- Explanation: similar to 10396. The variable engine can be null, but it is wrapped inside a try-catch.

17. 10654 (Dereference after null check)

- · classified as FALSE POSITIVE
- **Explanation**: In JDBCStore.java inside function remove, preparedRemoveSql is null-checked at line 669. However, if that variable is indeed null, it will be assigned a new object by PreparedStatement. Therefore, the variable preparedRemoveSql can never be null after the statement. So the dereference in function close is ok.

18. 10689 (Unguarded read)

- · classified as BUG
- Faulty Lines: In DeltaSession inside function setMaxInactiveInterval, deltaRequest is accessed in line 280 without a request for lock.
- Proposed Fixx: Move lock() before the line 280.

Part II (b)

Coverity didn't find any issue with our code in part I (a), we think the following two reasons contributed to this

- 1. The code size is relatively small, hence it is less likely for bugs to appear
- 2. We put effort in writing the code, so our code is relatively well written. Therefore, our code doesn't contain any issue that might be caught by coverity