

## Report for Lab #3: Introduction to Image Processing

Report by:  
Luke Jiang 1560831

### 1 GROUP ASSIGNMENT III

---

Q1: Describe where you got your image and any modification you needed to make it comply with the size requirement.

A1: I got the image I worked with (cat.jpg) from google. The original image is colored, so I first used `rgb2gray()` function to convert the original image into grayscale (cat\_g.jpg).



I scaled the original image by a factor of 3. Comparing the two scale-down methods introduced in the spec, I found the second one produces a result of better resolution, since the second method uses all the points in the original picture. For a map from a  $S \times S$  square in the original matrix to a single point in the result matrix, the average of all the points in the square is a better representation of the color in that square than the center point of the matrix. Therefore, I chose the second method for scaling down my image.



(result for method 2)



(result for method one)

Note: both enlarged to see the detail

## 2 GROUP ASSIGNMENT IV

---

Q2 Repeat scaling with your image, using the technique you expect to work best. Comment on the trade-offs of the two technique, and why you chose the one that you used with your image.

A2: The built-in `interp2()` function works better. As it can be seen along the edge of cat's ear, interpolation results in a smoother curve, where replication contains pixel boxes. The trade-off is that `interp2()` function gives a larger image than expected, due to the implementation of this function. A line that increases its value linearly would be the least sensitive region to interpolation, since interpolation would produce a result same to the original image. For the same reason, a line that stays constant in value is least sensitive to replication.



(cat's ear from replication (left) and interpolation (right))

### 3 GROUP ASSIGNMENT V

---

Q3: Describe details of what you implemented for the two processed images (a mathematical description, not Matlab details which are uploaded separately) and a discussion of observable effects on your image. The report should include the 3-image concatenation that you generated.

A3: To get a blurred image, convolve the image  $M$  with  $h = (1/9) [1 \ 1 \ 1; 1 \ 1 \ 1; 1 \ 1 \ 1]$ . Since a square is 3-D counterpart of a 2-D pulse, it has soothing effect that buffers the edges, which makes the convolution result has a lower resolution. To get edge detected image, we need a horizontal edge detector and a vertical one, which have the formula  $h = [1 \ 2 \ 1; 0 \ 0 \ 0; -1 \ -2 \ -1]$  and  $h = [-1 \ 0 \ 1; -2 \ 0 \ 2; -1 \ 0 \ 1]$  respectively. An edge detector will highlight the parts where there is a huge value drop, and zeros out the part that changes less drastically. Thus,  $M * h_1 * h_2$  will display the edge of the cat.

