```
1/30 Reinforcement learning
```

 $\star$  What if P(s'|s,a) and R(s) are not known?

Can we learn TT or V\*(5) from experience?

H Model - based (indirect) approach

Explore world, estimate model \hat{\rho}(s'|s,a) & P(s'|s,a), compute \hat{\rho}\* from \hat{\rho}(s'|s,a)

\* Cons : to store P(s' | s,a) is O(n2) for n states.

Only care about TT\*(s) or V\*(s) which are O(n).

Is it really necessary to estimate a model?

\* Pro: model P(s'15,a) useful for task transfer, where rewards R(s) or discount factor of changes, but P(s'Is, a) stays the same.

2) Direct approach: learn TT\*(s), V\*(s) w/o building model. How?

## ASICE: Stochastic approximation theory

\* How to estimate mean of random variable X from samples Xo, XI, ..., Xt?

1) obvious sample average

: estimate converges to mean  $M \rightarrow E[X]$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  by law of large numbers.

2) incremental update

initialize No=0

update  $\mu_t = (1-\alpha_t) \mu_{t-1} + \alpha_t x_t$  for  $0 < \alpha_t < 1$  | earning rule also write this as: Mt = Mt-1 + Qt(Xt - Mt-1)

## new estimate = old + to temporal difference (TD)

known as TD learning algorithm.

Thm: Mt -> E[X] as t->00 with probability 1 if

(i)  $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a(t) = \infty$  (diverges)

(ii) \$\frac{1}{2} \omega^2 < \frac{1}{2} \omega \text{(converges)}

Intuitively: (i) at decays sufficiently slowly to incorporate large # samples.

> (ii) at decays sufficiently fast to allow for convergence (damp oscillations)

Final

```
Temporal difference (TD) prediction
 * How to evaluate policy without model?
       How to compute VT(S) without knowing P(S'[S,TT(S))?
 * Explore state space via policy TT
                                 717(50)
         actions
     states so \rightarrow s, \rightarrow
          rewards
                                   R(Sa)
* Recall Bellman equation:
        VT(s) = R(s) +75 P(s' | s, T(s)) VT(s')
* TD learning algorithm
    Initialize V_0(s) = 0 for all s (at time t = 0)
    Update: V_{t+1} (St) = V_{t} (St) + X_{t} [R(St) + X_{t} (St+1) - Y_{t} (St)]

previous learning random sample known as TD(\varphi).
 * Features :
  update after each step of experience
   · learns directly from experience W/o model.
   · easy to implement.
* Asymptotic convergence
    I'm V+(s) -> VT(s)?
    Assume that each state of MDP is visited infinitely often by policy IT.
    Then, TD(\phi) converges:
        - "with probability 1" if:
              · each state s has its own learning rate dv(s) where V denotes
                   # visits so far to state 8
             · learning rates satisfy for all states s.
                  (i) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}(s) = \infty
                  (11) $\frac{1}{2} \quad \quad \quad \colon \colon \colon \quad \quad \quad \colon \colon \quad \
           Should agents in practice enforce (i) and (ii)?
            - yes, for theoretical convergence guarantee
           - no, for non-stationary worlds where MDP is just an approximation:
       - "in mean" if step size a is constant and sufficiently small.
```

```
Q - learning
```

- \* How to optimize policy  $TT^*$  without model P(s'|s,a)?

  How to compute  $Q^*(s,a)$  without model?
- \* Explore state action space at random:

  actions

  actions

  States

  So

  SI

  R(So)

  R(So)

  R(So)
- \* Bellman optimality equation

$$Q^*(s,a) = R(s) + 7 \sum_{s'} P(s'|s,a) V^*(s')$$
  
 $Q^*(s,a) = R(s) + 7 \sum_{s'} P(s'|s,a) \max_{a'} [Q^*(s',a')]$ 

\* One-step Q-learning =

Initialize  $Q_0(s,a) = 0$  for all states s and actions a.  $Q_{t+1}(st,at) = Q_t(st,at) + \alpha \left[ R(st) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_t(st+1,a') - Q_t(st,at) \right]$ 

- \* Features:
  - simple, incremental
  - model free
  - experience based.
- \* Asymptotic convergence:  $\lim_{t\to\infty} Q_{+}(s,a) \to Q^{*}(s,a)$ ? appropriately. Thm: if each state-action pair is visited infinitely often, and andecaying step size  $\alpha v(s,a)$  is used for each state-action pair, then Q-learning converges with probability one.