- "States" = cells on 2d grid actions = attempts to move N, S, E, W.
- \* noisy dynamics
- \* rewards = feedback from environment
  - delayed vs. immediate
  - evaluative vs. Instructive

More generally: how can autonomous agents learn from experience?

> Markov decision processes, reinforcement learning.

Other "embodied" agents: elevators, helicopters

"embedded" agents: game-playing system, spoken dialog system.

## Themes of class

- 1) Probabilistic models of uncertainty
- 2, Learning as optimizations
- 3, Power vs. tractable how to develop compact representation of complex world?
- 4> Principles vs. heuristics: optimizations? vs. rules-of-thumb calculations 7
- 5) Synergies of AI: inference and learning, perception and action, theory and pratice.

# 9/28 Motivation

- \* Modeling of uncertainty
- Inherent randomness (e.g., radioactive decay)
- Gross statistical description of complex deterministic world. (e.g., coin toss)
- \* Probability acts as guardian of commonsense reasoning.
- \* Many empirical successes: robotics, language, speech, bioinformatics.

## Review

\* Discrete random variable X

Domain of possible values fx1, x2, ... , xm3

Ex: month M MM, = Jan, M2 = Feb, --, M1= Dec?

- \* Unconditional (prior) probability P(X=xi)
- \* Basic axioms: (i) P(X=xi) ≥0

$$(ii) \sum_{i} P(X = x_i) = 1$$

 $(\tilde{m}) P(X=x_1 \text{ or } X=x_2) = P(X=x_1) + P(X=x_2) \text{ if } x_1 \neq x_2$ Probs add for union of mutually exclusive events.

```
* Conditional (or posterior) probabilities
 PCX=x1 Y=y1) prob that X=x1 given Y=Y1.
 In general, P(X = x_i | Y = y_j) \neq P(X = x_i)
 Ex: W = weather 1 w, = sunny, w2 = rainy?
     P(W=sunny) = 0.9
                                                  conditional dependence
     P(W= sunny | M = aug) = 0.97
     P (W= sunny | M = Jan) = 0.83
Ex: conditional independence
    Day of week D fd, = sun, de=mon, ... dn = sat }
    P(W=sunny | D = tues) = P(W=sunny) = 0.9.
Also true: (1) P(X=x; | Y=y;) ≥0
           do Σ P(X=α1 Y= y5) = 1
              Note sum over i, not j. ZP(X=x1/Y=y5) nothing you can say about this.
* Joint probability
P(X=xi, Y=yi) = Prob that X=xi and Y=yi.
(*) Product rule
 For all i,j: P(X=x_1,Y=y_3) = P(X=x_1|Y=y_3) P(Y=y_3)
                P(X=x_1, Y=y_1) = P(Y=y_1 | X=x_1) P(X=x_1)
* Marginalization
P(X=\alpha_i) = \sum_i P(X=\alpha_i, Y=y_i)
P(X = x_i, Y = y_i) = \sum P(X = x_i, Y = y_i, Z = z_k)
* Intuitively, easier for experts to assess conditional probabilities than
  Joint probabilities.
* Shorthand notation.
(i) Implied universality
   P(X,Y) = P(X|Y) P(Y) = P(Y|X) P(X) implies that equality holds
     for all assignments Xi, Yj.
(11) Implied assignment
  P(\alpha, y, z) = P(X=\alpha, Y=y, Z=z)
* Generalized product rule.
 PCA.B.C.D, --) = PCA) PCBIA) PCCIA, B) PCDIA, B, C) ...
```

From (\*) 
$$\rightarrow P(X|Y) = \frac{P(Y|X)P(X)}{P(Y)}$$

\* Generalized Bayes rule:

$$P(X|Y,Z) = \frac{P(Y|X,Z) P(X|Z)}{P(Y|Z)}$$

#### Example

\* Binary random variables

B = burglary

E = earthquake

A = alarm.

\* Joint distribution

P(B, E, A) = P(B) P(E|B) P(A|B, E)

\* Prior knowledge

$$P(B=1) = 0.001 \iff P(B=0) = 1 - P(B=1) = 0.999$$

$$P(E=1 | B=0) = 0.002$$
  $P(E=1 | B) = P(E=1) = 0.002$   $P(E=1 | B=1) = 0.002$  (conditional independence)

В	E	P(A=1   B, E)	P(A=0   B, E)
0	0 .	0.00	;
l	σ	0.94	1- PCA=1 B.E)
0	l	0,29	
l	١	०. १५	1

#### \* Inferences

Do those probabilities capture common sense reasoning?

Compare P(B=1), P(B=1|A=1), and P(B=1|A=1,E=1)?

P(B=1) = 0.001

$$P(B=1 | A=1) = P(A=1(B=1) | P(B=1) / P(A=1) : Bayes rule$$

$$P(A=1|B=1) = \sum_{e \in f_{0,1}} P(A=1, E=e|B=1)$$
 conditional marginalization

= 
$$\mathbb{E}$$
 P(A=1 | E=e, B=1) P(E=e | B=1): generalized product rule.

= 
$$\Sigma$$
 P(A=1|E=e, B=1) P(E=e): conditional independence

$$= (0.95)(0.002) + (0.94)(0.998)$$

```
P(A=1) = \sum_{b} P(A=1, E=e, B=b) : marginalization
          = = P(A=1 | E=e, B=b) P(E=e | B=b) P(B=b) product rule.
          = 51 P(A=1|E=e,B=b) P(E=e)P(B=b): conditional independence
          = (0,99)(0,002)(0,001) + ...
                  fe=b=1? (other cases)
          = 0.00252.
From Bayes rule: P(B=1 | A=1) = P(A=1 | B=1) P(B=1) / P(A=1)
                              = (0,94002)(0,001)/(0.00252)
               P(B=1|A=1) = 0.37
                                                                 P(B=I): conditional
     Compare to P(B=1) = 0.001
                                                                      / Independence
Now, compute: P(B=1 | A=1, E=1) = P(A=1 | B=1, E=1) P(B=1 | E=1)
                                          P(A=1|E=1)
                     Conditional Bayes rule
                                 = (0.95)(0.001)/P(A=11==1)
 P(A=1|E=1) = P(A=1,E=1) / P(E=1) : product rule
 P(A=1, E=1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A=1, E=1, B=b) : marginalization
               = \( P(A=1 | E=1, B=b) P(E=1 (B=b) P(B=b) \) product rule
               = \(\superprescript{P(A=1 \subseteq E=1, B=b)}\) P(E=1) P(B=b): conditional independence
                (summing over b= 80,17 and substituting ...)
               = 0,00058
 Pluggin in: P(B=1 | A=1, E=1) = (0.95)(0.001) = 0,0033.
 In sum : P(B=1) = 0.001
                                             Example of non-monotonic reasoning
         P(B=1|A=1) = 0.37
        P(B=1 | A=1, E=1) = 0.0033 + 1
P(B=1 | A=1, E=1) < P(B=1 | A=1)
The earthquake "explains away" the alarm, thus decreasing our belief in burglary.
```