
45 An Ethnographic Approach to Design

Jeanette Blomberg and Mark Burrell

CONTENTS

45.1	Introduction	1026
45.2	The Relevance of Ethnography for Design.....	1026
45.3	The Roots of Ethnography.....	1027
45.4	Principles of Ethnography	1028
45.4.1	Natural Settings	1028
45.4.2	Holistic	1028
45.4.3	Descriptive	1028
45.4.4	Members' Point of View	1028
45.5	The Postmodern Inflection	1028
45.6	Ethical Issues	1029
45.7	Ethnographic Methods.....	1029
45.7.1	Research Planning	1029
45.7.2	Study Participants	1029
45.7.3	Gaining Access	1030
45.7.4	Observation	1030
45.7.4.1	Why Observe?.....	1031
45.7.4.2	The Researcher's Observational Role.....	1031
45.7.4.3	Structuring Field Observations.....	1031
45.7.4.4	Video Recording	1031
45.7.5	Interviewing.....	1032
45.7.5.1	The Interview as a Communicative Event.....	1032
45.7.5.2	Interviewing Rules of Thumb.....	1032
45.7.6	Connections between Observation and Interviews.....	1033
45.7.7	Self-Reporting Techniques.....	1033
45.7.7.1	Diaries.....	1033
45.7.7.2	Visual Stories.....	1033
45.7.7.3	"Blogs" and "Tweets"	1034
45.7.8	Remote "Virtual" Observation: Digital Ethnography	1034
45.7.9	Artifact Analysis.....	1035
45.7.10	Recordkeeping	1035
45.8	Qualitative and Quantitative Data	1035
45.9	Ethnography in a Global Context	1035
45.9.1	Globally Distributed Interactions	1036
45.9.2	Multisited Ethnography	1036
45.9.3	Shifting Center of Design Activity	1036
45.10	Designing What?	1036
45.10.1	Products	1036
45.10.2	Experiences.....	1037
45.10.3	Services.....	1037
45.10.4	Organizational Processes.....	1037
45.10.5	Business Strategies and Models.....	1037
45.11	Making Ethnography Matter: Communicating and Applying Ethnographic Insights to Design	1037
45.12	Enhancing the Working Models of Developers.....	1038
45.13	Supporting Innovation	1038
45.14	Evaluating and Prioritizing Ideas	1038
45.15	Shared Reference Points	1038
45.16	Informing User Adoption Strategies and Plans.....	1038

45.17	Representations and Models	1039
45.17.1	The Value of Representations and Models	1039
45.17.2	Types of Representations and Models	1039
45.17.2.1	Experience Models	1039
45.17.2.2	Process Models	1040
45.17.2.3	Personas	1041
45.17.2.4	Scenarios.....	1041
45.17.2.5	Service Blueprints.....	1041
45.17.2.6	Mental Models	1043
45.17.2.7	Mock-Ups and Prototypes	1043
45.17.3	Caveat Regarding Representations and Models.....	1044
45.18	Relation to Other Qualitative Approaches and Perspectives	1044
45.19	Ethnography in Action.....	1045
45.19.1	Case Study 1: Designing a Program and Website to Change Health Care Behaviors.....	1045
45.19.2	Case Study 2: Service Provider-Client Interactions: Enabling Sustainable Relationships in IT Outsourcing Services	1047
45.20	Conclusion	1048
	References.....	1049

45.1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, academic and professional researchers and designers working in the field of human–computer interaction (HCI) have looked to ethnography to provide a perspective on relations between humans and the artifacts and solutions they design and use.* Within the field of HCI there are different views among researchers and practitioners on just what constitutes an ethnographic inquiry. For some, ethnography is simply a fashionable term for any form of qualitative research. For others, it is less about method and more about the lens through which human activities are viewed. In this chapter, we will attempt to position the ethnographic approach within historical and contemporary contexts, outline its guiding principles, detail the primary methods and techniques used in ethnographically informed design practice, and provide case examples of ethnography in action.

This chapter provides an introduction to ethnography, primarily as it relates to studies in HCI. We will touch only briefly on some of the more controversial topics current within the field of ethnographic research that have enlivened mainstream academic discourse in recent years. We will point the reader to books and articles where these topics are discussed in more detail. Our primary aim in this chapter is to provide academics and professionals in the field of HCI with a working understanding of ethnography, an appreciation for its value in designing new technologies and practices, and a discerning eye when it comes to reviewing and evaluating ethnographically informed design studies.

45.2 THE RELEVANCE OF ETHNOGRAPHY FOR DESIGN

The turn to ethnography as a resource for design can be traced back to the early 1980s when computer technologies were moving out of the research labs and engineering environments and into mainstream office settings, call centers, manufacturing floors, and educational institutions. There was the realization that the designers and developers of these technologies could no longer rely exclusively on their own experiences as a guide for the user requirements of these new systems. Instead, designers and developers needed a way to gain an understanding of the everyday realities of people working within these diverse settings (Blomberg et al. 1991). In many organizations, market research groups were being asked to provide perspectives on the people and practices that made up these varied settings. However, the techniques most commonly used by market research groups at the time (e.g., attitude surveys, focus groups, telephone interviews, etc.) were not well suited for developing an actionable understanding of what people actually do day-to-day that could inform the design of new products, services and interactive solutions.

Anthropologists and other social scientists had long recognized that what people say and what they do can vary significantly, making reliance on surveys, focus groups, and telephone interviews insufficient for the task. Designers and developers needed a way to get a firsthand view of the on-the-ground realities—the “here and now”—of everyday life in these diverse settings. At this time in the early 1980s, social scientists working at the Xerox Palo Alto Research Center were beginning to explore ways of bringing insights from ethnographic research into a productive relationship with the design of new technologies (e.g., Blomberg 1987, 1988, 1995; Suchman 1983; Suchman, Blomberg, and Trigg 1999). Not long after, other research labs (e.g., Hewlett-Packard, Apple Computer, and NYNEX) followed suit (e.g., Nardi and Miller

* Ethnographic research is often just one of many approaches used to inform design. Usability studies, surveys, business case analysis, scenario planning, future workshops and social network analysis are a few of the approaches that are used in conjunction with ethnography.

1990; Sachs 1995). Today, many industrial research and development labs in the United States have anthropologists and other social scientists with ethnographic expertise on staff (e.g., IBM, Intel, Kodak, Microsoft, Motorola, General Motors, and Xerox, to name but a few).

Ethnographically informed design practices also began to take hold in design firms and consulting companies during the early 1990s (e.g., IDEO, Fitch, and the Doblin group). These early explorations led, in 1993, to the founding of e-Lab, a research and design company that distinguished itself from other design firms at the time by creating an equal partnership between research and design (Wasson 2000). Ethnographic methods were at the center of e-Lab's research approach, with a commitment to base design recommendations on insights from ethnographic research (Robinson 1994). Today there are a number of research and design firms who provide ethnographically informed design solutions (e.g. Adaptive Path, Cheskin, Continuum, GravityTank, HLB, IDEO, and Jump, to name a few).

Furthermore, in the mid-1980s the growth in networked applications and devices, made possible through the availability of local area networks and early Internet implementations, created awareness among designers and developers that they would need a strategy that focused beyond support for single, isolated users interacting with information technologies. They would need a way to understand the information and communication practices of people interacting with one another, both face-to-face and through mediating technologies. Information technologies were increasingly becoming communication and collaboration technologies that consequently demanded an examination of social interaction across time and space. In response, a group of computer scientists, human-factors engineers, and social scientists, somewhat dissatisfied with the dominant perspectives within HCI at the time founded the field of computer-supported cooperative work (CSCW)* (e.g., Grief 1988; Schmidt and Bannon 1992). A group of sociologists at Lancaster University and researchers at the Xerox Research Center in Cambridge, England played a prominent role in helping to shape the ethnographic research agenda within CSCW (e.g., Bentley et al. 1992; Hughes, Randall, and Shapiro 1993; Rodden and Anderson 1994; Hughes, Rodden, and Anderson 1995).

Finally, the explosion of the Internet in the late 1990s accelerated the move of information technologies out of the workplace and into homes, recreational environments and other non-work-related settings. This redoubled interest in the ethnographic perspective as a valuable tool in the design of new technologies and technology mediated services. This presented a new set of challenges for designers as they were asked to design and build applications that leveraged

powerful, digital technologies for use by people of all ages, engaged in myriad non-work-related activities in diverse contexts. Although the clamor for all that is the Internet has somewhat subsided, the legacy of that period is that researchers and designers who learned their craft during the Internet boom years have gone on to positions in academia and industry, in both boutique design firms and major companies, and in a variety of industries including advertising, marketing, product development, and IT services. In late 2005, many in the ethnographic design community assembled at an industry sponsored conference, EPIC (Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conference). The conference brought together a diverse group of researchers working in areas such as product design, workplace studies, and business ethnography to define the scope of a collective agenda and to strengthen professional ties and research connections (Anderson and Lovejoy 2005). This conference (see Cefkin [2009]; Proceedings of Ethnographic Praxis in Industry Conferences 2005–2011) continues today and is a powerful testament to the continuing value of focusing on people's everyday realities and experiences—the here and now—when designing innovative technologies, experiences, and services.

45.3 THE ROOTS OF ETHNOGRAPHY

Ethnography has its historical roots in anthropology, but today is an approach found in most all of the traditional and applied social sciences, and in interdisciplinary fields such as HCI and Human Factors Engineering. In anthropology, ethnography developed as a way to explore the everyday realities of people living in small-scale, non-Western societies and to make understandings of those realities available to others. The approach relies on the ability of all humans to decipher what is going on through participation in social life. The techniques of ethnography bear a close resemblance to the routine ways people make sense of the world in everyday life (e.g., by observing what others do, participating in activities, and talking with others). The research techniques and strategies of ethnography developed and evolved over the years to provide ways for the ethnographer to “be present” for the mundane, the exceptional, and the extraordinary events in people's lives.

More recently within the field of anthropology both the focus on non-Western peoples and the implicit assumptions made about non-Western societies (e.g., that they are bounded, closed, and somewhat static) have undergone a transformation. Today, the ethnographic approach is not limited to investigations of small-scale societies, but instead is applied to the study of people and social groups in specific settings within large industrialized societies, such as workplaces, senior centers, and schools, and specific activities such as leisure travel, financial investing, teaching, and energy consumption, to name but a few. Consequently, new techniques and perspectives have been developed and incorporated into anthropological and ethnographic inquiry. However, a few basic principles have continued to inform and guide ethnographic practice. These principles include

* The dominant perspectives at the time emphasized technological possibilities over the uses and users of technology, the interface requirements of stand-alone applications over networked devices, and human psychology and cognition over social interaction. However, by the late 1990s ethnographically informed design attained a prominent place in HCI research, and today there is considerable overlap between the fields of CSCW and HCI.

studying phenomena in their *natural settings*, taking a *holistic* view, providing a *descriptive understanding*, and taking a *member's perspective*.

45.4 PRINCIPLES OF ETHNOGRAPHY

45.4.1 NATURAL SETTINGS


Ethnography is anchored in the underlying assumption that to gain an understanding of the world you must encounter it firsthand. As such, ethnographic studies always include gathering information in the settings in which the activities of interest normally occur. This does not mean that ethnographic studies never involve techniques that remove people from those everyday settings or that introduce into those settings artifacts or activities that would not be present otherwise. The insistence on studying activities in their everyday settings is motivated by the recognition that people have a limited ability to describe what they do and how they do it without access to the social and material aspects of their environments. Furthermore, people's ability to fully articulate what they do is constrained by the tacit understandings that guide actions (Polanyi 1966). Finally, some aspects of people's experiences are best studied by observing and recording the ongoing flow of activities as they occur (e.g., people's patterned movements through settings such as retail stores or airports, moment-by-moment shifts in scheduling, etc.).

45.4.2 HOLISTIC

Related to the emphasis on natural settings is the view that activities must be understood within the larger context in which they occur. Historically within anthropology the notion of holism focused attention on the fact that societies were more than the sum of their parts (however these parts were specified). The particular aspects of a society (e.g., the court system) could only be understood in relation to the other aspects of the society (e.g., kinship system, belief system). Today, because ethnography is less often applied to the study of entire societies, the notion of holism has a somewhat different emphasis. Holism holds that studying an activity in isolation, without reference to the other activities with which it is connected in time and space, provides a partial and potentially misleading understanding of that activity. So, for example, investigating online search strategies without understanding how these strategies fit into the larger set of activities in which search is but one component (e.g., in the context of online trading, shopping, or report writing) narrows the possible insights from the study.

45.4.3 DESCRIPTIVE

Ethnographic accounts have always provided a descriptive understanding of people's everyday activities. Ethnographers are concerned first and foremost with understanding events and activities as they occur, without evaluating the efficacy



Innovation
=
Imagination of what could be
based in a knowledge of what is

FIGURE 45.1 Innovation.

of people's everyday practices. This is not to say that ethnographic accounts cannot or should not be used to suggest how things could be different or to point out inequities or inadequacies in current ways of doing things. Indeed, as applied in the domain of HCI, ethnography is aimed at identifying opportunities for change. However, there is a strong conviction that to suggest changes or to evaluate a situation, one first needs to understand it as it is. The work practice and technology group at the Xerox PARC (Palo Alto Research Center) developed a slogan expressing this conviction that innovation requires an understanding of the present (Figure 45.1).

As such, ethnographic accounts strive first and foremost to provide descriptive and not prescriptive understandings of people's everyday lives. In recent years, there have been many challenges to the idea that a purely descriptive understanding is possible. Critics point out that every account is shaped by the perspectives of the researcher, the goals of the project, and the dynamics of the relationship between the investigator and those studied, to name but a few factors that shape ethnographic accounts. While we do not argue with this position, we contend that the value of ethnography for design is not diminished by recognition that our accounts are always located and partial.

45.4.4 MEMBERS' POINT OF VIEW

As already alluded to, ethnographers are interested in gaining an insider's view of a situation. They want to see the world from the perspective of the people studied and describe behaviors in terms relevant and meaningful to the study participants. As such, ethnographers are interested in the ways people categorize their world and in the specific language people use to talk about things. This perspective is sometimes at odds with the requirements of quantitative survey research in which the relevant categories must be known in advance of the study and in which the categories and the language used cannot vary across participant groups. In quantitative approaches, the terms and categories used are likely to be those of the research community and not those of the study participants, which can undermine the validity of the results (see Section 45.7 for further discussion of this topic).

45.5 THE POSTMODERN INFLECTION

The scientific paradigm within which ethnography evolved has come under serious questioning over the last quarter century as social studies of science have shown how scientific knowledge production is shaped by the larger social context in which scientific inquiries take place (Latour 1987; Latour and Woolgar 1986; Pickering 1980). As part of this critical

discourse, ethnographic accounts have been challenged for their veracity. Likewise the authority of the ethnographic voice has been questioned (Clifford 1988; Clifford and Marcus 1986; Marcus and Fischer 1986). These challenges have come from a number of fronts, most significantly from study participants who increasingly are able to read ethnographic accounts (Said 1978) and from feminists who saw in many ethnographic accounts a Western, male bias (Harding 1986; Smith 1987; Wolf 1992; Yanagisako and Delaney 1995). These challenges have made researchers from all fields of inquiry more aware of how their research is shaped by the particular time and place in which it occurs. It is our view that knowledge of the world is always mediated by presuppositions, be they cultural, theoretical, or practical, and as such no ethnographic account is value-free. But we also contend that this does not diminish the value and efficacy of an ethnographic approach as a resource for designing new technologies, experiences, and services. Maintaining the illusion of a theoretically neutral and value-free absolute “truth” is not necessary to establish the value of ethnographic research in design. By striving to describe and understand how people operate in and construe their everyday “realities,” ethnography can provide useful frameworks and roadmaps to guide the design of “people-centered” solutions.

45.6 ETHICAL ISSUES

As will be discussed in more detail later, ethnographic research requires developing the trust and participation of the people studied. Without this trust participants will be reluctant to allow researchers into their homes, boardrooms, and classrooms and they will not openly share their everyday experiences and concerns. Anthropologists have long realized that such a privileged, trusted position requires reciprocity—if you allow me access to your world, I will protect your interests. This bargain has not always been easy for ethnographers to keep. Over the years there have been examples of ethnographic research, where, wittingly or not, the situation of the people studied has been compromised.*

In the context in which ethnographic research is being used to inform the design of new products and services—ones that will change people’s lives—it is critical that the ethnographer reflect on the impact this research could have on study participants. Of course, it is not possible to control all the ways findings from ethnographic research will be used, nor how innovations informed by these studies will be integrated into people’s lives. But the ethnographer can work to protect study participants from immediate harm (e.g., that was caused by divulging a worker’s identity to management) and can inform study participants of possible longer term negative impacts (e.g., job losses brought about by introduction of new technologies). As ethnographic research has moved

into new contexts (e.g., HCI, organizational development), it has been necessary to think creatively about how our ethical guidelines map to these new conditions. However, we cannot lose sight of the importance of protecting the interests of those who have agreed to participate in our studies be they workers in organizations, traders on Wall Street, or mothers of special needs children.

45.7 ETHNOGRAPHIC METHODS

The ethnographic method is not simply a toolbox of techniques, but a way of looking at a problem, a “theoretically informed practice” (Comaroff and Comaroff 1992, quoted in Agar 1996:7). The methods and techniques outlined later in this chapter have been developed over the years to enable the development of a descriptive and holistic view of activities as they occur in their everyday setting from the point of view of study participants. We are not attempting to be exhaustive in our presentation, nor do we want to suggest that there is a fixed set of canonical ethnographic methods and techniques. We encourage researchers to continue developing new techniques as the circumstances require (e.g., studying “virtual” communities, globally distributed workgroups, technologically mediated interactions). What remains constant in the ethnographic approach is a commitment to describe the everyday experiences of people as they occur.

45.7.1 RESEARCH PLANNING

One of the keys to a successful research project is the creation of a plan of action to guide the research and support changes and adjustments that inevitably must be made as the project proceeds. Research planning can be divided into three general stages: (1) formulating research objectives, (2) devising a strategy for selecting study participants, and (3) selecting appropriate research techniques and approaches.

Research objectives follow from the specific questions to be addressed by the research. It can be useful to develop an explicit statement that clearly articulates the objectives of a given study. This statement acts as a beacon to help keep the research on track through the many twists and turns of a project. For example, if the research aims to inform the development of a software application that will help doctors manage patients’ records, the research statement could be something as simple as “understand how doctors manage patient records through all the phases of treatment and in the varied settings in which they practice medicine.” Over the course of a project, the research objectives’ statement (along with the research design and plan) may change as a project team coalesces and learns about the experiences of the people in the particular domain of interest.

45.7.2 STUDY PARTICIPANTS

Once the research objectives have been identified, a strategy for selecting study participants (sometimes referred to as a “sampling strategy”) is devised that answers two primary

* To mitigate such negative impacts, the American Anthropological Association has developed a code of ethics that provides guidance for people engaged in ethnographic research. This code outlines the appropriate disclosures and protections that should be given to study participants. (Fluehr-Lobban [1991] provides a discussion of ethical issues in anthropological research.)

questions: (1) what types of participants best suit the research objectives and (2) how many participants should be included in the study to achieve the research objectives? The strategy for selecting study participants is influenced by the research focus (e.g., shopping behavior vs. workgroup collaboration) and may include selecting at different levels of abstraction (e.g., which organizations, which workgroups, and which individual employees). In addition, as Cohen (2005) has cautioned, attention should be given in making these choices for those who might intentionally or inadvertently be excluded from the study and as such rendered invisible to the research lens.

Several types of sampling strategies are employed by social science researchers, which fall under two main categories: (1) probability and (2) nonprobability (Bernard 1995).^{*} Our focus in this chapter is on nonprobability sampling, as that is most commonly employed in ethnographic research.[†] The nature of ethnographic work, as well as recruiting constraints often demand selecting participants based on criteria other than a strict probability.

Four types of sampling fall under the rubric of nonprobability: (1) quota, (2) purposive, (3) convenience, and (4) snowball (Bernard 1995). When sampling by quota, the researcher specifies which groups are of interest (e.g., women, teenagers, truck drivers, people who use software X, organizations with fewer than 100 employees, etc.) and how many will be needed in each group. The number of groups chosen will depend on the research objectives and the amount of time available, but the basic idea is to cover the range of possible variation one would expect across the target population. Practically speaking, when identifying the variables or factors that should be considered in sampling to enable visibility into possible variations in experiences and practices, the ethnographer will often presumptively identify “differences that may make a difference” in the experiential domain of inquiry. For example, if the focus is on how people manage their personal finances, the researcher might deliberately strive to specifically sample people with varied financial situations in addition to life/career stages or family situations. To ensure the desired variability is covered—particularly when the researcher is dependent on others to provide access to or recruit the participants for a study—it is useful to create a “screener,”^{**} a questionnaire-like

instrument designed to identify characteristics that are appropriate for a given project. Quota sampling is only possible when the desired participants[§] are easy to identify in advance and recruit. If it is not possible or desirable to specify how many participants will be in each sampled group, a purposive sampling strategy may be called for. This sampling strategy is based on the same principles as quota sampling, but the number of participants for each group is not specified.

Convenience and snowball sampling rely on a “sample as you go” strategy. This is required in situations in which you do not know in advance who will be available to participate or which individuals or groups should participate. Convenience sampling entails selecting people who are available, meet the requirements of the research, and are willing to participate. One might use this strategy, for example, to observe and interview people as they shop in a grocery store.

Snowball sampling relies on participants referring others whom they think would be good candidates for the research, or on researchers identifying individuals or groups to be included in the study as the research proceeds. Because this method utilizes existing social networks it is especially valuable when desired participants are initially inaccessible or reluctant to participate (e.g., CEOs, drug users, club members) or when the relevant population cannot be known in advance.[¶]

45.7.3 GAINING ACCESS

One of the challenges for ethnographic research is gaining access to field sites and study participants. Access to institutional settings often requires getting permission from management to observe and interview employees, or from school officials and parents to spend time in classrooms. In some cases, written permission that specifies certain terms and conditions (e.g., how confidential information will be protected) is required before researchers are allowed onsite. In other cases, recruiting agencies may be used to identify participants and financial incentives may be offered for participating in the study. The time (and skill) required to establish these initial relationships and agreements should not be underestimated.^{**}

45.7.4 OBSERVATION

As discussed earlier, ethnographers are interested in understanding human behavior in the contexts in which it naturally occurs, making observation one of the hallmark methods of the approach. In academic settings, it has been common for anthropologists to spend a full year at a given field site. While

^{*} The intent behind probability sampling, or statistical sampling, is to generalize from the research sample to a larger population with a specified degree of accuracy, measured in terms of probability. All types of probability sampling require a randomly selected and relatively large sample size.

[†] Using nonprobability samples does not mean we cannot make general statements. If participants are chosen carefully, one can obtain reliable data with as few as four or five participants (Nielsen and Landauer 1993). Additionally, a recent case study demonstrates that smaller, nonrandomly selected samples can produce the same results as large-scale survey research for as little as 1/100 of the cost (Green 2001). A nonprobability strategy also does not preclude conducting a statistical analysis or measuring differences between individuals or groups using nonparametric statistics, such as Fisher’s Exact Test or nonparametric correlation measures. Their limitation is that they cannot be used to make claims about larger populations within a specified degree of probability.

[‡] Screeners are an essential tool if using an external recruiting agency to locate study participants.

[§] For sampling purposes, participants need not be individuals, but could be families, households, workgroups, or other naturally occurring entities.

[¶] Johnson (1990) provides a more detailed discussion of sampling in ethnography.

^{**} Anthropologists have been accused in the past of only studying the disempowered and disenfranchised because these individuals were less likely to feel powerful enough to refuse participation in ethnographic studies. Although important in all contexts, when studying people with more power and ability to say no (Nader 1974), it is often necessary to demonstrate how their participation will be of benefit to them, their community or workplace, or the wider society.

this continues to be the case for more traditional ethnographic studies, shifts in research focus (e.g., away from studies of entire societies), and in study locations (e.g., away from isolated, hard to reach settings) have resulted in more varied research designs which may involve shorter, intermittent fieldwork periods in one or more locations. Moreover, in some applied settings (e.g., enterprise work environments) the time available for field observation may be constrained, sometimes allowing for no more than a few days in any one setting.

45.7.4.1 Why Observe?

One of the fundamental axioms in the social sciences, and anthropology in particular, is that what people say they do and what they actually do are frequently quite different. Studies have shown verbal reports to be inconsistent with observed behavior in a number of areas including (among many other examples) shopping behavior (Rathje and Murphy 1991), child rearing (Whiting and Whiting 1970), recycling (Corral-Verduga 1997), and health habits (Rich et al. 2000).

The discrepancies between verbal reports and behavior can be due to a variety of factors. People may be concerned with their image and so report, consciously or not, behavior that is more socially acceptable. Along these same lines, participants may respond to questions in a particular way in an attempt to please the researcher. Another source of disparity between behavior and verbal reports is that people are often not aware of their actual behavior because it is so habitual. Such tacit knowledge is often not easily accessible through interview techniques alone (D'Andrade 1995).

The limitation of human memory is another reason why interview data can differ from observations. When asking participants about past events, or recurring patterns of behavior, our memory may be selective and skew responses in any number of directions, sometimes in predictable patterns (Bernard 1995).

The complexity of social life is another reason individual accounts of an event may miss certain relevant details. The environments in which humans interact are extremely dynamic and complex—composed of social relationships, artifacts, and physical spaces—and making it difficult for individuals to fully envision, let alone articulate after the fact, what is going on.

45.7.4.2 The Researcher's Observational Role

When it comes to observation, there are varying degrees to which the researcher can become integrated into the scene. At one end of the spectrum the researcher may become an observer-participant. In this role, one attempts to be as unobtrusive as possible, quietly observing events from a discreet, yet strategic, position. At the other end of the spectrum is the participant-observer. In this situation, the researcher is actively involved in the events observed (e.g., a researcher who goes through the training to be a machine operator in an industrial environment).

There are pros and cons associated with each type of role. While being fully integrated into the action provides a researcher with firsthand experience of events, taking good notes in this context is difficult at best. A great deal of energy

is spent trying to fit in rather than on attempting to make sense of the events in the context of the research objectives. In such cases, one must rely on memory of the events when writing up field notes after the fact. Taking a more observational role affords a wider perspective on events and the time to record and reflect on events as they unfold. On the downside, it precludes the opportunity to experience the activity firsthand. In many research situations, the ethnographer's position moves between these two extremes, sometimes occupying a hybrid position of both partial participant and outside observer.

45.7.4.3 Structuring Field Observations

Before setting out to observe, decisions need to be made about what, where, and when to observe (Whiting and Whiting 1970). One might decide to observe individuals as they go about their work and daily routines (person focused), a technique sometimes referred to as “shadowing” (Wasson 2000). The researcher might also decide to focus on a specific event, such as a meeting or software education class (event focused), or observe the activities that occur over time in a given area, like an office or store (place focused). One can even shift the subject of observation to an artifact, such as a document, and record its transformation as it moves from person to person or along a development path (object focused).

45.7.4.4 Video Recording

Given the complexity of human activities it is impossible to notice and record in real time everything of interest to the researcher. This is one reason video cameras have become increasingly popular in fieldwork. Video records can be used as a reference to supplement field notes. The ethnographer also has the advantage of being able to watch events multiple times and change levels of analysis or observational focus with subsequent viewings (e.g., interaction between people vs. the movement of one individual in and out of a scene).

Video recording also allows people not primarily involved in the fieldwork to participate in the analysis and opens up the range of perspectives that can be brought to bear on the analysis (e.g., Blomberg and Trigg [2000] used video collections in interactions with product developers; also see Brun-Cotton and Wall [1995]; Karasti [2001]; Suchman and Trigg [1991]).

Video cameras can also be used to record events in the absence of the researcher. Not only does this free the researcher to be involved in other activities, but the camera also can be a silent presence* in situations where an outsider (even a well-trained participant observer) would be seen as intrusive (e.g., child birth, counselor-student interactions, board room deliberations, etc.). Video recording however requires devoting time later to review video records and incorporate relevant information into the analysis.†

* However, the expressed permission of the participants in the interaction is needed in these cases as well.

† A variety of software applications now exist that can help the researcher manage and analyze recorded on video. Caveat, for example, allows the researcher to select and annotate images/events of particular interest. A more sophisticated (though less user friendly) program is observational coding system that provides for a more quantitative analysis.

45.7.5 INTERVIEWING

Interviewing is a central tool of ethnographic research (Gubrium and Holstein 2002). Conducted and interpreted in light of the potential differences between what people say and do, interviews are critical in developing understandings of members' perspectives. Interviews can be placed on a continuum from unstructured to structured, with at one extreme the casual conversation and at the other a formal structured interview.

Ethnographic interviews are most often open-ended, particularly during the early stages of fieldwork when the ethnographer is just beginning to get a perspective on the activities and people studied. The more unstructured format gives the researcher the freedom to alter the line of questioning as the interview unfolds. The researcher essentially is learning what questions are important to ask. Unstructured, however, does not mean haphazard or lacking purpose. The researcher will know the research objectives and the topics to be explored when entering the field, and will usually have an interview protocol to serve as a (flexible) guide for the interview. While the protocol provides a basic framework for an unstructured interview, the participant plays a major role in the direction the interview takes. As Bernard (1995) wrote, the idea is to “get an informant on to a topic of interest and get out of the way.” When the interview moves to a topic of particular interest, the researcher can then probe deeper to elicit more details. Indeed, interviewing is something of an art, and one of the key skills an ethnographer learns is the art of “interrupting gracefully” (Whyte 1960).

In an open-ended interview it is important to avoid using an interrogation style of questioning (e.g., “yes or no” questions) which is designed to uncover the “facts.” This defeats the purpose of keeping the interview open to allow for a wide range of responses and for the participant to express his experiences, in his own way, in his own words. Using too structured a format constrains the range of possible answers, increases the chances of missing critical pieces of information, and increases the risk that discoveries will be limited by the ethnographers' preexisting concepts, assumptions, and hypotheses. It is critical to provide opportunities for participants to convey their stories and perspectives in their own way and for the researcher to be surprised by what is said.

As a project progresses and patterns begin to emerge, interviews can become more structured and the line of questioning less broad. The researcher begins to narrow in on topics that are particularly informative and relevant to the research objectives. Questions become more focused and specific as answers to previous questions guide the follow-up questioning.

Once the range of responses is known and themes begin to emerge, the researcher may want to structure interviews further. A host of structured techniques exist. Some are designed to identify the ways people organize information within a specified domain, such as free listing, card sorts, triad's tests, and paired comparisons (Romney, Batchelder, and Weller 1986; Weller and Romney 1988). Other techniques,

such as questionnaires and surveys,* are used to assess variations between two or more groups or to establish the representativeness of the findings for a larger population. The main idea behind these techniques is to keep the form and content of the questions consistent for each respondent, thus allowing for differences among the sample population to be ascertained. Conducting structured interviews at the end of an ethnographic study has the advantage of allowing the question structure and language to reflect the way participants talk about and organize experiences, thus increasing the validity of the survey findings.

45.7.5.1 The Interview as a Communicative Event

The interview has become somewhat ubiquitous in western societies and is viewed as a reliable means of acquiring information of all kinds (e.g., attitudes toward tax increases, the value placed on education, preferences for certain products, basic demographic data, etc.). However, as Briggs (1983) points out, what is said in an interview should not be thought of as “a reflection of what is ‘out there’” but instead must be viewed “as an interpretation which is jointly produced by the interviewer and respondent” (p. 3). This view compels us to regard the interview as a communicative event in which the structure and context of the interaction conditions what the researcher learns. This is no less the case in highly structured interviews (see Jordan and Suchman [1990] and Moore [2004] for a critical analysis of the ecological validity of survey research). Briggs recommends that we adopt a wider range of communicative styles in our interactions with study participants, particularly styles that are indigenous to the study population.

45.7.5.2 Interviewing Rules of Thumb

While there are no hard and fast rules for interviewing, a few general guidelines will help facilitate the interview process and increase the chances of obtaining useful information. The following are some points to remember:

- Interview people in everyday, familiar settings. Not only does this make the participants more comfortable, it allows them to reference artifacts in the environment that play an integral part in their activities. Moreover, a familiar environment is full of perceptual cues that can help jog the not-so-perfect human memory.
- Establish and maintain good rapport with participants, even if it slows the interview process.
- Do not underestimate the value of casual conversation. Some of the most insightful information comes from informal conversations when social barriers are lowered.
- Assume the respondent is the expert and the researcher the apprentice. This not only shows the

* A good introductory book on surveys is *How to Conduct Your Own Survey* (Salant and Dillman 1994). Readers interested in a more advanced treatment of the subject are referred to Babbie (1990).

respondent respect, but also gives them confidence and facilitates conversation. Even if the interviewer happens to be more knowledgeable on a particular topic, the goal of an ethnographic interview is to understand the respondent's perspective.

- Use lack of knowledge as a discovery tool. Respondents will always know more about their own experiences than the interviewer. In this context, do not interrupt unnecessarily, complete a respondent's sentences, or answer the questions. Again, the idea is to learn about the respondent's point of view, not the researcher's. In this context, the researcher's "inevitable ignorance" about the experiences of another person can be a powerful tool.
- When conducting an open-ended interview, avoid asking "yes or no" questions. Responses to these questions provide less information than questions beginning with "what" or "how."
- Be flexible enough to adapt the line of questioning when necessary. Human experiences are complex and full of surprises.

45.7.6 CONNECTIONS BETWEEN OBSERVATION AND INTERVIEWS

As noted earlier, one of the defining qualities of ethnography is its emphasis on holism. To obtain this holistic view, combining different sources of data is useful (Agar 1996). Observation alone is seldom enough to adequately address research objectives. As such, observation is invariably coupled with interviewing. Interviews can extend and deepen one's understanding of what has already been observed. Similarly, interviews can be conducted prior to observing, giving the researcher a better idea about what is most appropriate to observe.

Interviews can also be conducted in the context of ongoing activities, sometimes referred to as "contextual" or "in situ" interviewing. Instead of setting aside a specific time and place for an interview, the researcher creates an opportunity to ask questions as participants go about their daily activities. The strategy can be extremely useful in getting answers to questions that are prompted by observation of ongoing activities.

45.7.7 SELF-REPORTING TECHNIQUES

In cases where the domain of interest transpires over a long period, or in which direct observation is not practically feasible, self-reporting techniques can be very valuable. This methodology is especially good at revealing patterns in behavior or obtaining data that is otherwise inaccessible (Whyte 1984). A number of self-reporting techniques exist which vary in terms of form, focus, structure, and mechanism of self-reporting. Common techniques range from simple written diaries to visual storybooks, and more recently to Internet-based blogs.

45.7.7.1 Diaries

Traditional diaries consist of written records, which might include personal thoughts or descriptions of specific behaviors or accounts of events in which an individual participates (Zimmerman and Wieder 1977; Carter and Mankoff 2005). The focus, format, and degree of structure of diaries used in ethnographic research vary depending upon the research objectives, ranging from structured activity logs which invite participants to capture and describe specific aspects of their experiences for each entry, to relatively unstructured forms in which diarists are provided only with general instructions. Study participants might be asked to keep diaries regarding the specific contexts, foci, modalities, and outcomes of their interactions or they might simply be asked to describe their experiences over time while using a specific product.

Diaries are obviously not a substitute for direct observation. However, they are valuable tools for ethnographers, expanding opportunities to learn about behaviors that cannot be observed because of practical constraints and limitations on time and resources (Gillham 2005).

How diaries are analyzed depends on the research objectives and resource constraints. If time permits, follow-up discussions with participants to clarify points or gain a deeper understanding of the meaning behind the words can be useful. The texts can also be coded for themes, key words, or phrases and patterns examined across individuals or between groups.*

45.7.7.2 Visual Stories

Visual stories are essentially pictorial diaries that employ images in addition to text in order to document experiences. They can be particularly valuable when working with language limited participants, such as children, or in situations where words alone are inadequate to capture the essence of the subject (Johnson et al. 1997). Much like more traditional text-based diaries, visual diaries can be employed and structured in any of a number of ways. Wasson (2000), for example, described giving participants a written guide directing them to take photographs of their interaction with a product under study. They were then asked to organize the developed photos into a story that made sense to them, and researchers conducted follow-up interviews over the telephone.

A more open-ended framework can also be informative. Interested in cultural differences between Italian and American fishermen, Johnson and Griffith (1998) instructed participants from both groups to take photographs of whatever they wanted. After developing the film, Johnson coded the pictures based on their content and found significant thematic differences between the groups, which added to his understanding of differences in cultural values of the two groups of fishermen.

A more recent derivation of the visual story utilizes a video camera which allows the participant to provide a running

* With varying degrees of success, text analysis software has been used to help with large data sets. Some noteworthy programs are Ethnograph, NUD*IST, E-Z-Text, and NVivo.

narrative alongside the visual content. Being able to experience the two sources of information simultaneously provides the researcher with a rich record of an activity. Blomberg, Suchman, and Trigg (1996) used a video-story approach in their study of the document practices of lawyers. They set up a stationary video camera in the law office of a study participant and asked him to turn on the camera whenever he had occasion to retrieve documents from his file cabinet. The running narration recorded on videotape provided insights into the everyday use of the file cabinet that helped inform the design of an electronic file cabinet. The pervasiveness of mobile phones with built-in cameras has enabled the use of the visual diary technique. Researchers are able to set up urls where participants can upload their photos or video recordings with commentary as they document selected aspects of their lives (Palen and Salzman 2002).

45.7.7.3 “Blogs” and “Tweets”

Online tools for self-reporting, communication, and social networking have continued to evolve rapidly. The concept of weblogs or “blogs”—in which a website is used to post online entries that may include textual narratives, digital photos, or digital video or audio (Nardi, Schiano, and Gumbrecht 2004) has more recently been followed by the broad adoption of digital tools for “microblogging.” The latter is best exemplified by the popular service provided by Twitter (founded in 2007), which enables someone to publish and disseminate short text “microblogs” or “tweets”—text messages of up to 140 characters—for others to read or “follow.” Although not developed specifically to support ethnographic inquiries, blogs and “microblogs” can be potentially very valuable research tools. Blogs may be particularly useful as a way for participants to self-report their use of online tools in the context of their online activities. Blogs also enable researchers to review participant posts as they occur as well as to engage in asynchronous online exchanges and dialogues with participant “bloggers.” These interactions might be viewed as virtual analogs to the questioning that occurs during shadowing or on site observation. Indeed, as blogs increasingly are used in ethnographic research (e.g. Berry and Hamilton 2006), they may blur the boundary between self-documentation and interviews, resulting in a blend of online self-reporting and intermittent online “conversations” via threaded participant and researcher posts.

In addition, some ethnographers have begun to experiment with posting their research notes via blogs, enabling research and design team members to review and comment asynchronously and in near real time. By making observational and interpretive notes more readily visible to teams, the ethnographer may promote dialogues which can inform further observations as well as accelerate and heighten impact of research on design.

Microblogs or “tweets” can provide near real-time snippets from the stream of experience when direct observational shadowing is not possible or practical. Indeed, although not specifically directed at ethnographers, Twitter actually provides tips (“twitip”) about why and how to do research with Twitter (<http://www.twitip.com/>

twitter-for-research-why-and-how-to-do-it-including-case-studies/). While blogs and tweets can be done quickly, relatively easily, and while participants are mobile, as with any self-reporting methodology, there is always the possibility that the activity of self-reporting may alter the phenomena being studied.

45.7.8 REMOTE “VIRTUAL” OBSERVATION: DIGITAL ETHNOGRAPHY

Continuing technological developments—in video, audio, wireless, network applications, global positioning system (GPS) tracking capabilities, and pervasive computing—have created new opportunities to “observe” and collect rich and dynamic information across geographies in real time as well as asynchronously. These technologies increasingly enable ethnographers to “virtually” observe in a wide variety of contexts. Using digital video and audio, people’s behaviors can be tracked and analyzed as they interact with computer supported products and Internet-based networks.* Indeed, these technologies (along with the use of other digital tools such as blogs and microblogs) enable what some have begun to refer to as “digital ethnography” (Masten and Plowman 2003; Murthy 2008; Mason and Dicks 1999; or even “net-nography” by Xun and Reynolds [2010]).

The pervasiveness of the “webcam” is perhaps the simplest illustration of how technology has expanded the observational capabilities of ethnographers. Internet-enabled digital video cameras can stream video in real time and can be remotely controlled. This digital video and audio can be viewed by multiple people across geographies either in real time or by accessing video archives. Such techniques and information sources can be particularly useful for geographically distributed research and design teams or where the activities of interest are widely distributed making direct observation difficult.

In addition, computer and online sensing, tracking, and analytic technologies that monitor, gather, collect, and integrate information on computer mediated activities can be a useful source of information for ethnographers. Although early tracking and analytic technologies required complex sifting and analysis of massive amounts of data to find meaningful nuggets, more recent tools enable sophisticated tracking of individual paths and activities as well as the ability to model online behavior. For example, scenario-based behavioral models (e.g., of online shopping, exploratory behavior, task completion, etc.) which define hypothesized patterns or sequences (“funnels”) of online behavior can be used as an analytic lens to understand individual or group online behaviors. To date, these tools have been used primarily to measure aggregate completion of online tasks (e.g., online shopping, self-service) and to identify obstacles to user success

* The ability to virtually observe and track behaviors presents many ethical issues that cannot and should not be ignored. It is critical that ethnographers establish guidelines and protections if they engage in electronic, digitally enabled observations.

(e.g., usability issues). However, over time and in conjunction with other sources of data and information they may become useful tools for ethnographers interested in patterns of online behavior and technology adoption. This may become particularly important as ethnographers attempt to understand the formation and interactions of distributed virtual communities (e.g., Rheingold 2000; Wilson and Peterson 2002).

The potential for using (and misusing) these sources of information will likely increase as pervasive computing increasingly enables the identification of (and response to) individuals across multiple physical and digital environments and the tracking of their activities. The collection and use of digitally enabled behavioral observations obviously needs to be carefully constrained by ethical considerations, particularly the respect for privacy and informed consent. In addition, as with any behavioral observation, it is critical to understand the context in order to interpret the meaning and significance of the behavior. In this respect, tracking computer-mediated behaviors by itself is insufficient and may simply result in the collection of massive amounts of relatively meaningless data. However, if used in conjunction with other sources of information (e.g., self-reports that illuminate peoples' intentions and meanings), patterns in digital behavior can illuminate aspects of behavior that are difficult or impossible for a human researcher to observe.

For example, it has been increasingly common for teams designing online services and tools to examine individual and aggregate patterns of online behavior (as reflected in web server logs or "client side" logs that are generated as a function of what users do online) to both identify usability issues as well as to examine patterns of technology, product, and service adoption over time (Kantner 2001).

45.7.9 ARTIFACT ANALYSIS

Ethnographers have long had an interest in the material world of the people they study (Appadurai 1988). The artifacts people make and use can tell us a great deal about how people live their lives.* Artifact analysis can be an important part of contemporary ethnographic studies (e.g., Rathje and Murphy 1991). For example, conducting an artifact analysis of the stuff on people's desks can say a great deal about the people's work practices (Malone 1983). Similarly, studying the contents of an automobile's "glove box" can tell a great deal about how the car is used. Depending on the kinds of research questions asked, it may be useful to include the collection and analysis of specific artifacts.

45.7.10 RECORDKEEPING

Although the authority of the ethnographic voice derives in part from the fact that the ethnographer is present and witness to events of interest, the ethnographer should not rely

exclusively on experiential memory of these events. In all ethnographic research it is essential to keep good records. Field notes should be taken either during or soon after observing or interviewing. The specific nature of the notes will depend on the research questions addressed, the research methods used, and whether audio or video records supplement note taking. Field notes should at least include the date and time when the event or interview took place, the location, and who was present. Beyond that, notes can vary widely, but it is often useful to indicate differences between descriptions of what is observed, verbatim records of what is said, personal interpretations or reflections, and systematic indications of the flow of observed events and activities. When working with a team of researchers, field notes need to be understandable to other team members. This is often a good standard for the specificity of field notes even when working alone. If such a standard is maintained, it will be more likely that the notes will be useful to the researcher months and even years later, in the event reanalysis or a comparative study is undertaken.

45.8 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DATA

In Section 45.7.6, we touched upon the complementary nature of observational and interview techniques and the benefit of combining these two approaches. Triangulation of data can serve to connect quantitative and qualitative data as well. Sometimes, prior to the start of a project the only data available is quantitative, sometimes in the form of survey data focused on population characteristics. Qualitative data derived from ethnographic research can complement quantitative research by providing a meaningful context for interpreting the quantitative results. Qualitative techniques allow researchers to dig deeper after a survey has been tabulated, and aid in interpreting and explaining trends that the quantitative data might reveal (Guest 2000). In addition, qualitative data can inform the content and language of more structured questions, thus making them more meaningful and relevant to the participants.

45.9 ETHNOGRAPHY IN A GLOBAL CONTEXT

While ethnography has its roots in the study of small-scale, non-Western societies, the application of ethnography in the design of products and services until very recently has focused primarily on groups and individuals located in the developed regions of the world (e.g., North America and Europe). Two recent developments have led to a shift in the center of design activity. One is the emergence of the economies of less-developed countries, particularly Brazil, Russia, India, and China, the so-called BRIC countries, which are rapidly becoming major markets for products and services. An interest in serving these growing markets has led some firms to invest in designing products and services specifically for them by directly engaging designers, developers, and potential users from these developing regions (e.g., Bell 2004; Foucault, Russell, and Bell 2004). The second development is the rapid increase in the use of Internet-enabled information

* Archaeologists rely almost exclusively on the artifacts that remain in archaeological sites for their interpretations of the behavior and social organization of past human societies.

technologies that connect workers, consumers, citizens, and organizations distributed around the world including developing regions.

45.9.1 GLOBALLY DISTRIBUTED INTERACTIONS

The methods and techniques of ethnographic research must contend with the increasing number interactions both at work and in domestic spheres that take place “virtually” between people separated in space and time. This is a challenge for ethnographic techniques that were developed to study communities of people who interact face-to-face. Ethnographic techniques and approaches must be adapted both practically and analytically to this new context where many more interactions are mediated by information technologies (e.g., instant messaging, blogs, twitter, SMS, e-mail, telephone, web conferencing, shared digital workspaces, and repositories) that transform traditional notions of place, community, and real-time interaction. In many enterprises, work teams are made up of people who are not co-located, many of whom are highly mobile in their work activities, requiring interactions to take place through conference calls, instant messaging, SMS, e-mail, and even microblogging (Zhao and Rosson 2009). Furthermore, in some regions of the world, people travel significant distances for jobs and other opportunities. In these cases, interactions with friends and family, as well as with others living away from their native communities, are enabled by communication technologies (Horst and Miller 2005; Green, Harvey, and Knox 2005). Various strategies have been developed to study distributed, multisited groups including team ethnography (placing researchers in multiple locations), perspectival ethnography (focusing on the view from one of the local sites), and virtual observations (observing digitally mediated interactions).

45.9.2 MULTISITED ETHNOGRAPHY

The challenges of studying ethnographically an increasingly interconnected and globally distributed world became an important topic for anthropologists in the mid-1990s with the publication of an article by Marcus (1995) that raised the question of whether and in what ways ethnography, with its traditional reliance on the “field site” was well suited for the study of the contemporary experiences of people around the world. Taking a multisited approach was viewed as a way to apply an ethnographic perspective to theoretical and practical concerns in the study of migration, Diasporas, technologically interconnected (virtual) communities, and globalization more generally. Multisited ethnography addresses strategies for studying geographically distributed activities and groups (Coleman and von Hellermann 2011; Falzon 2009; Hannerz 2003).

Instead of defining the field site as a single location the emphasis is on capturing the connections between people, places and things regardless of their geographic proximity. This then raises the question of how to circumscribe the study in the absence of having a single field site. However, local alone rarely delimits an ethnographic study relying more often on the research questions being addressed to define what

is in and out of focus. On this view the bounds of the study is always constructed and cannot be given by place alone.

That said there is still the practical issue of how to limit the many possible physical sites in order to devote enough time to any one of them. One strategy has been to pick a “focal” site and then move beyond the focal site as research dictates given the resources available. For example, while a particular call center might be deemed the focal site for the research, other sites such as workers’ local domestic residences or the more distant communities of their origin might also be included in the study.

45.9.3 SHIFTING CENTER OF DESIGN ACTIVITY

As new markets open up around the globe, many businesses and organizations see opportunities to create products and services specifically for these markets recognizing that the products and services suited for the developed West may not be appropriate for these other regions. As such these firms may establish design initiatives focused on and located in countries like India and China. In some respects ethnography has come full circle with the application to design, contributing to understandings of the contexts of people living in culturally and linguistically diverse settings (the sites in which ethnographic practice first developed). More than ever ethnographic principles and practices are applicable and necessary as the center of design activity moves outside the developed West, in particular the principle of members point of view and the focus on “what is” as a resource for innovation and design.

45.10 DESIGNING WHAT?

The application of ethnography to support a design agenda was directed initially toward informing the design of technologies, tools, and products. However, attention has expanded to include the use of ethnography to inform the design of experiences (Pine and Gilmore 1999), services (Kieliszewski, Bailey, and Blomberg 2010; Kimbell 2009; Mager and King 2009; Thomke 2003), organizational processes, and business strategies and models. In addition, educational institutions and programs (e.g. the “d-school” at Stanford University; Design Ethnography program at University of Dundee, Applied Anthropology programs at California State University at San José and North Texas State) are dedicated to teaching design thinking to address a myriad of problems beyond the design of products. Ethnographers are now involved in projects and contexts that span a range of problems including the design of the next e-mail application, business models to reach small and medium businesses with IT services, customer services for retail banking, integrated health care services, and Internet delivered social networking services.

45.10.1 PRODUCTS

The application of ethnography to product design has received the most attention in the literature partly because many of the pioneers in the field worked in corporate research

organizations of major technology companies (e.g., Xerox, Apple, and HP). In addition, early commercial applications of ethnographically-informed design often focused on the design of consumer products, from cleaning products to automobiles to toys (Elab, Doblin group, Sonic Rim). It is not surprising therefore that many view product design, whether high-tech products like personal digital assistants and online calendar applications or everyday consumer products like breakfast cereals or cold remedies, as the primary application of ethnographic research (Squires and Byrne 2002).

45.10.2 EXPERIENCES

The publication of the *Experience Economy* (Pine and Gilmore 1999) marked a shift in design focus to include the experiences that products and other artifacts enabled. Pine and Gilmore argued that the real challenge for businesses is creating engaging experiences for both consumers and corporate customers. The admonishment by a number of business gurus to pay more attention to the customer in the design of products also contributed to this expanded focus. Customers, it turned out, cared less about the products themselves and more about what the products enabled them to do or experience. Businesses became concerned with delivering quality experiences in which the products took on more of a supporting role. The canonical example often cited for this shift to an experience economy is Starbucks, where what is being sold is not simply a cup of coffee, but the experience of buying and consuming the coffee at Starbucks, including the elaborate choices available, the wireless access provided in the stores, the exclusive access to trendy music, and so on. In many commercial contexts user experience design has become the new moniker for the application user-centered design approaches, including ethnographically-informed design, to the development of new products and services.

45.10.3 SERVICES

The service sector has come to dominate much of the world economy and increasingly new services are the site of significant change in the way we work and play (e.g., online dating services, GPS tracking services, business process outsourcing services). Many innovative services are enabled by new technologies that provide the platforms* on which new service relationships are built. However, the service is marketed and not the technology that facilitates its delivery. Ethnographically-informed design strategies are now being applied to service design (Kimbell and Seidel 2008; Jones and Samaliois 2008; Mager and King 2009; Thomke 2003). In addition, recent advances in Web 2.0 technologies have created opportunities for the development of a wide range of services, including public services to improve government, health care and community services, and commercial services to enable firms to connect with their customers (c.f. Scola-Streckenbach 2008; Dittrich et al. 2003).

* See, for example, service-oriented architectures.

Kimbell (2009) argues that service design developed in conjunction with changes in design practice brought about by the widespread use of networked media technologies. The “outputs” of designs were expanded beyond stand alone technologies to include the “arrangement of interfaces to distributed devices.” And through these interfaces new services were being delivered. On this view the focus of design was on the services delivered and less on the individual devices that enabled the delivery. Many small firms and in-house research and design departments now offer service design along with product and experience design.

45.10.4 ORGANIZATIONAL PROCESSES

Workflow systems have become ubiquitous within many organizations, orchestrating everything from employee travel-reimbursement processes to customer online-purchasing procedures. With this comes the opportunity to inform the design of these technology-enabled organizational processes through the study of existing work practices and processes. Here again the design focus is not so much on the underlying technologies (e.g., SAP, Siebel) that manage the workflow, but on the processes themselves. This is not to say that these studies will have no impact on the underlying technologies—for example, making them more flexible or end user configurable. But the design focus is on the workflow requirements, how people will interact with these systems and will be supported in executing processes (Bowers, Button, and Sharrock 1995; Dourish 2001; Randall, Rouncefield, and Hughes 1995).

45.10.5 BUSINESS STRATEGIES AND MODELS

Ethnographic research is also playing a role in the design of business strategies and models. Organizations are realizing that their competitive advantage is only partly related to the quality of their products and services. Equally important are the business strategies, including channels to the market, relationships with business partners, and the composition of employees. Many new business models have emerged in the last decade that capture new revenue streams such as advertising (e.g., Google, Yahoo!), selling software as a service (e.g., salesforce.com), and facilitating networks of sellers and buyers or customers and providers (e.g., eBay, regional IT distributors). Ethnographic research is contributing to the design of these new business models.

45.11 MAKING ETHNOGRAPHY MATTER: COMMUNICATING AND APPLYING ETHNOGRAPHIC INSIGHTS TO DESIGN

This section outlines some of the ways in which the insights derived from ethnographic work can be represented and communicated in order to effectively inspire and guide the design of products and services. These ways of representing and communicating what is learned are intended as examples

of how ethnographic work can be made relevant for design. However, before we outline some of these representational forms and practices we should consider the possible purposes of our representational activities.

45.12 ENHANCING THE WORKING MODELS OF DEVELOPERS

In order to design a product or service for people, designers must have at least an implicit working view of the people who will interact with the system. Such working frameworks and perspectives may include assumptions about a range of essential characteristics of the people who will engage with the product or service and the contexts in which they will do so (Newman 1998). Indeed, some would argue that successful design requires a high degree of “empathy” with the target population (e.g., Leonard and Rayport 1997; Koskinen, Battarbee, and Mattelmäki 2005). Implicit and/or explicit assumptions or knowledge about “users” may be formed through some combination of direct experience (e.g., interacting with and/or observing people in the target population) and secondary learning (talking with others about the target group, viewing videotapes of target activities, reading, analogy to other directly experienced groups, etc.). However formed, the working “models” of designers/developers may be of varying levels of complexity, robustness, coherence, consistency, and viability. The broad, deep, and contextualized understanding provided by ethnographic research can enrich the design team’s implicit working models.

45.13 SUPPORTING INNOVATION

The design of products and services for people obviously poses a range of potential creative challenges at varying levels of complexity. What problems should be solved? What should be built? What kinds of experiences should be supported or enabled? What features and functions would be useful, compelling, and satisfying for a particular group of people in a particular domain or context? How can current or emerging technological capabilities be used to enhance a particular group’s experiences, or to solve a particular problem? Even if there are clear parameters defining the functionality that will be built (e.g., a set of “requirements”), design teams must still generate a compelling, easy to use, useful, and satisfying way of delivering that functionality. By providing an understanding of the human domain (patterns of relationship, systems of meaning, organizational structure, guiding principles or rules, etc.), ethnography can promote creativity that matters (Robinson and Hackett 1997)—relevant innovations that create new, realizable opportunities.

45.14 EVALUATING AND PRIORITIZING IDEAS

Design teams not only face the challenge of generating innovative ideas and concepts, but also the equally important task of evaluating and prioritizing ideas and options that arise from various sources (e.g., business stakeholders, end

users, development teams). Although there are obviously many evaluative methods (e.g., scenario-based user testing, etc.), models derived from ethnographic research and analysis (e.g., scenarios, mental models, process models, personas, etc.) can provide a critical lens through which development teams can evaluate and prioritize ideas based on how they may fit into or change people’s experiences. The need for evaluation and prioritization may occur at various points throughout the development process, ranging from decisions about features and functions, broad directions for design concepts, and so forth.

45.15 SHARED REFERENCE POINTS

The learning derived from ethnographic analysis, particularly when represented as explicit representations and models, can serve as an experiential guidepost for individual designers and design teams throughout the development process. Even though these representations do not prescribe or specify what should be done, they can aid developers by focusing attention on essential aspects of an experience, highlighting variations in the experiences, and limiting exploration of experiential “dead ends.” In other words, they can provide a general structure and direction within which a team can develop a shared understanding and focus its creative energies.

45.16 INFORMING USER ADOPTION STRATEGIES AND PLANS

Ethnographic insights not only inform the design of products and services, but they also guide the generation of effective strategies for promoting adoption of solutions. Understanding the current state, how people operate and view their experiences today can enable the identification of experiential and social barriers inhibiting adoption. This understanding also can point to the levers or factors that can be used to overcome barriers to change and accelerate adoption. Particular designs will invite or require changes in user behavior and experience which can be better anticipated if the current state is well understood. Ethnographic understanding enables designers and change agents to move beyond the general factors outlined in some of the major models of user adoption (e.g., Rogers 2003 diffusion model) to specific insights and contextual factors that are meaningful and important to specific sets of users. For example, cross-cultural ethnographic research on current work practices conducted by the second author in a large global enterprise prior to the rollout of a new business process and an associated web-based tool, highlighted the differential impact that the solution would have on users in varied geo-cultural settings. In this case, users in Japan would be asked to shift from a highly cumbersome manual process, primitive offline tools and artifacts, and an unreliable and confusing collaborative process to what for them would be a highly streamlined, labor saving set of tools and work practices. In contrast, users in key North American settings would be asked to shift from a highly automated, simple, and familiar process to one that involved learning a new tool, and a new

process that added extra work steps. General communications to promoting the new tools and processes as “easy to use” and “labor saving,” would clearly have fallen on deaf ears in North America while potentially resonating with users in Japan.

45.17 REPRESENTATIONS AND MODELS

Whether the focus is on designing products, experiences, services, processes, or business strategies, the researcher must find ways to ensure that ethnographically derived insights effectively inform design innovations and decisions. Researchers can help make connections between ethnography and design in many ways. At the most basic level, this is achieved through active engagement, integration, and collaboration of researchers and designers.* Subsequent to conducting ethnographic inquiries, researchers can engage with design teams by acting as user proxies (e.g., helping to formulate and/or review design concepts in scenario-based reviews, providing feedback regarding relevant user expectations and behaviors as they relate to design concepts and decisions, etc.). Conversely, the active and direct involvement of designers in key elements of ethnographic fieldwork (e.g., participating in observations and interviews, collaborative analysis sessions, reviewing video and audio recordings and user artifacts, etc.) can enrich their understanding of the people who will interact with and use the solutions they design.

Although these forms of engagement are valuable, they limit the ability of teams to take full advantage of ethnographically derived understandings. They are restricted in the impact to the scope of the direct interactions between ethnographers and designers. This can be particularly limiting when designing multifaceted solutions, working with large and/or distributed design and development teams.

45.17.1 THE VALUE OF REPRESENTATIONS AND MODELS

To increase the impact of ethnographic research, explicit representations or models can be created which distill and communicate essential insights about people’s experiences in forms that can be applied to design problems and decisions. Although the definition of model can be the subject of debate (as can the distinction between representation and model), for our purposes we are using the term to refer to explicit, simplified representations of how people organize and construct experiences and operate in relevant domains. The important point here is that well-constructed representations which communicate effectively can help connect everyday patterns of activity and experience with design solutions. More specifically, representations and models are tools that can serve a number of purposes including enhancing the working models of designers/developers, supporting innovation and creativity, evaluating and prioritizing ideas and concepts, and providing shared reference points for design teams.

* As noted earlier, the ethnographer should develop an understanding of the types of design decisions that the design team will need to make and a sense of what they need to know to inform those decisions.

45.17.2 TYPES OF REPRESENTATIONS AND MODELS

Representations and models can vary, ranging from personas and scenarios to more abstract mental models. The number, type, and form of models vary as a function of what is being designed, the audience, and the constraints on the design process (e.g., Chapters 42 and 43). For example, teams designing organizational tools may find it useful to model work environments and detailed task sequences; teams designing learning tools and programs may want to represent particular skill domains, as well as learning processes.

Practitioners have developed a variety of representations and models to inform the design-and-development process. For example, Beyer and Holtzblatt (1998) described a set of five work models (flow model, cultural model, sequence model, physical model, and artifact model) to reflect different aspects of a work domain. Pruitt and Grudin (2003) articulated the value (and risks) of personas to inform the design process, while Carroll (2000) described the value of scenarios.

The varying scope, form, complexity, and function of different types of models are illustrated in following examples.

45.17.2.1 Experience Models

The model presented in Figure 45.2, is one of several developed in the context of ethnographic research and analysis for a financial services company serving individual investors. This company aimed to develop web applications that would facilitate customers’ active engagement in the investment process with particular financial instruments. The model was intended to articulate and visualize a financial development process as well as the varied meanings of “money.” This particular model highlighted the role of “practice” in developing the confidence and knowledge to become engaged in the investment process, and the iterative/recurrent nature of the process, as people learned to deal with new financial instruments and domains (e.g., securities, bonds, options, etc.). Moreover, it illustrated the distinctions that people make between “real,” “play,” and “foundational” money and the relationship between these categories, investment behavior, and financial development. To oversimplify a bit, people are more fully engaged and active in the investment process when they view the assets/investments as “real” (e.g., money that is used to address their current and emerging needs, pay bills, etc.) rather than as “play” (e.g., stock options that are perceived as intangible and somewhat imaginary) or “foundational” (e.g., savings for the future that are left “untouched”). As people have an opportunity to “practice” and develop their knowledge, they may move from construing a particular financial instrument or activity as “play” to “real.” These notions suggested that web applications in this domain should not be focused on simply providing a wealth of financial information or a plethora of tools. Instead, these patterns helped to foster the generation of numerous ideas about ways to engage people in playful learning in the financial domain, with the aim of facilitating the financial development process.




FIGURE 45.2 Experience model of financial development zones.

45.17.2.2 Process Models

Process models attempt to represent how a dynamic experience “works” and/or unfolds over time. They can range in focus from relatively circumscribed task-flow models that outline how an individual completes a specific task, to broader characterizations of more holistic change processes (e.g., health care behavior change, technology adoption, etc.). For example, a health services company aimed to develop an “electronic medical record system” (combining client server applications with web-based “portals”). This system would, among other things, increase the efficiency and effectiveness of their medical practice, enable patients to view their health records online, and ultimately empower patients and foster a proactive approach to wellness and health care (both by clinicians and patients).

At the outset of the engagement, the health services company had generated a rather long requirements list (several hundred features and functions) and a particular view of the structure and function of the web components of the system. It was clear that the budget for this initiative was not sufficient to build a system that met all of the initial “requirements.” Perhaps more importantly, it was unclear which components would ultimately add the most value for the various stakeholders (clinicians, patients, the business owners, etc.). Ethnographic research examining the experiences of and relationships between clinicians and patients in context (in clinic settings and in homes) provided the means of prioritizing and evaluating potential features, functions, and design concepts.

Experience models of varying levels of complexity regarding the health management process were developed. For example, one of the simpler models (see Figure 45.3)




FIGURE 45.3 Stages of readiness model.

described how individuals, in the process of adopting an active/proactive stance in relation to health issues, move through varying “stages of readiness.” A more comprehensive, integrative model highlighted the ways in which various factors interact in influencing a person to take action in addressing a health issue and mapped the role of various health care-related activities (e.g., monitoring, motivating, learning, sharing, building rapport) in various stages of readiness. The combination of these models enabled the team to identify the most important opportunities for facilitating progression towards a proactive orientation to health, and provided guidance in identifying ways to provide messages and experiences tailored to a person’s stage and readiness.

45.17.2.3 Personas

One of the primary challenges in developing interactive systems is to design them so that they meet the needs of varying users, who may play different roles, engage in varied tasks, have different motivations and strategies, and so forth. Profiles or personas are abstract representations of the users of a solution (Pruitt and Grudin 2003; Pruitt and Adlin 2006) that may be informed through ethnographic studies. Personas can help development teams understand and anticipate how certain types of people may experience and interact with technology solutions or services. For example, Figure 45.4 shows a simple persona developed to guide the design of interactive tools promoting the adoption of various financial and health benefit programs in a large enterprise. Note that the persona focuses characteristics (attitudes, life stages, scenarios, etc.) that are most relevant to the person's experiences in managing financial and health-related concerns.

The value of personas can be enhanced by making them visible and dynamically present for design and development teams (e.g., posters displayed in project rooms, multimedia representations that are reviewed with development teams, role-playing scenarios and walkthroughs based on profiles, etc.). Rich and dynamic representations of essential characteristics of individuals can serve as a common frame of reference for communication and a tangible reminder to development teams regarding the people for whom they are designing the system. Moreover, personas can be used systematically in a range of ways to help teams make design


decisions. For example, Pruitt and Grudin (2003) described specific techniques they have used to systematically apply personas to aid in feature prioritization decisions.

45.17.2.4 Scenarios

Scenarios are another way ethnographic research findings can be portrayed (Carroll 2000; Nardi 1992; Sonderegger et al. 2000; Chapter 48 of this book). Scenarios illustrate experiences and actions as they unfold in specific contexts or situations (Figure 45.5) and can be documented in various forms ranging from narratives to annotated visual flow diagrams. They may highlight interactions (with computer systems, people, business entities, etc.), decisions processes, activity sequences, influencing factors, and so forth. They also may illustrate the different ways in which varied groups or types of people experience and navigate through similar situations. Analysis of scenarios can foster the identification of areas of difficulty ("pain points") and experiential gaps (or opportunities), that may be addressed or enhanced through various design solutions. When integrated with personas, they can illustrate how different target audiences navigate through the same situation, which in turn can suggest ways in which solutions can and should be adapted for varying target audiences.

45.17.2.5 Service Blueprints

As service design has become a more important arena for the application of ethnographic approaches, designers and researchers have looked for ways of representing services.

<p>Health Status and Orientation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suffers from chronic back pain Wife's cancer in remission Young children healthy Health orientation & behavior: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "The Dr says there's not much he can do about my back. I take my pain meds and deal with it. I don't have any other options." "My situation isn't nearly as important as my wife's. I want to make sure she gets the absolute best care." 	<p>Frank Midman: Family Man, Mid Career</p>  <p>Basic Profile</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Union worker on the factory floor Been with WorldClass Co. his entire career 37 years old, Married, 3 kids 	<p>Financial Goals & Orientation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key financial goals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue making mortgage payments Save to buy a bigger house & kids' college funds Retirement orientation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "I'd love to retire early. I'm contributing 8% to my Savings Plan to get the full company match. That plus my pension should make that possible." "What will my life be like when I retire?" Insurance orientation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "I have enough insurance to pay off my mortgage and put my kids through school if anything happened to me." "I might have an accident at work ... maybe I need to buy more insurance for that?"
--	---	--

Sample Persona

FIGURE 45.4 Financial and health benefit program design persona.




FIGURE 45.6 Service blueprint elements.

Service Blueprinting is a service design tool and a way of representing people, interactions, organizations, and artifacts that make up a service. The approach first introduced to enable innovation in services (Shostack 1984, 1987, and 1993) has evolved and become a standard way of describing services, introducing change in how the service is delivered, or designing radically new services. Service blueprinting was initially introduced as a process control technique (Bitner, Ostrom, and Morgan 2008) for services that provided more precision than verbal descriptions and could therefore identify possible failure points. Service blueprinting is now focused on improving the service experience, noting just those places where the service recipient comes into contact with the provider or provider organization. Central to the service blueprinting representation is a distinction between front stage and back stage or those aspects of the service that involve or require interaction with the customer and those that occur behind the scenes, in the provider organization. Importantly service blueprinting highlights the customer's role in providing the service and as such focuses attention on the experiences of "users" of the service. Service blueprinting shares similarities to both process models and scenarios in that the representation focuses on the often linear unfolding of a service (e.g. from the time you walk into a bank, approach the teller, complete your transaction, and depart). Service blueprinting allows service designers to focus on where the customer interfaces with the service provider and to connect those interactions with the activities taking place outside their view, but nonetheless critical to providing the service (see Figure 45.6).

45.17.2.6 Mental Models

The concept of a "mental model" has a long history in cognitive science and has been utilized in a variety of ways in HCI and interaction design (e.g., Gentner and Stevens 1983; Johnson-Laird 1983, 1996). For example, Norman (1983) used the term mental model to refer to the "internal conceptualizations that people form of the things with




FIGURE 45.7 Simple mental model of car buying criteria.

which they interact." More broadly, mental models can be defined as representations of how people make sense of and think about an experience or a product or service. Although ethnography does not enable one to directly "observe" how people create meaning, it does aim to develop views onto how the people studied understand and make sense of their world. In the current context, interpretation and representation of ethnographic findings in the form of mental models can inform the design of products and services by highlighting the key conceptual facets of experiences, the categories that people apply, the questions they ask, the principles they apply, the ways they think and flow through problems and information. The insights reflected in such models can help shape numerous aspects of a product or service including the organization and architecture, the nomenclature and labels, and the interaction design.

For example, a simple mental model representing the key questions and criteria that people apply when looking for a car (see Figure 45.7) can inform the entry points and exploration criteria (e.g., faceted navigation categories and criteria) presented to users of an automotive classified website. A mental model representing the primary and secondary questions that design engineers think about when selecting and designing parts or assemblies for new vehicles (see Figure 45.8) can similarly inform the design of a discovery application aimed at helping find the best parts for their products. Engineers are aided in their exploration and decision making through the selection and presentation of important data sources organized by meaningful categories such as form, fit, function, environmental compliance, cost, reliability, and lifespan. These provide search and discovery entry points and refinement and exploration criteria.

45.17.2.7 Mock-Ups and Prototypes

Representational artifacts, be they paper prototypes, mock-ups, or working prototypes, can play an important mediating role in connecting use requirements and design possibilities. When informed by studies of practice, these design representations respecify practices and activities in ways that are recognizable to practitioners. The prototypes go beyond simple




FIGURE 45.8 Design engineers' mental model for selecting and designing new vehicles.

demonstrations of functionality to incorporate materials from participants' sites, embody envisioned new technological possibilities, convey design ideas in relation to existing practices, and reveal requirements for new practices. **Prototyping practices** as such recover and invent use requirements and technological possibilities that make sense each in relation to the other (Suchman, Blomberg, and Trigg 2002). In addition these representational artifacts facilitate the communication of what has been learned about technologies-in-use to the larger research and technology-development communities.

In an ethnographic study of engineering practice at a state Department of Highways, design prototypes critically deepened the researchers understanding of the requirements of the work of document filing and retrieval (the focus of the study). At each step, from early design discussions with practitioners, to the creation of paper "mock-ups" of possible interfaces to the online project files, and finally to installing a running system at the worksite, the researchers became more aware of the work's exigencies. For example, in recognition of some of the difficulties that engineers experienced with their filing system various alternative document-coding strategies that augmented the existing filing system were designed. Through successive rounds, in which engineers were asked to code documents using mocked-up coding forms (both paper-based and online) the researchers' understanding of the requirements of the work deepened. Eventually, the search and browsing interfaces evolved to be more finely tuned to the requirements of the engineers' work (e.g., Trigg, Blomberg, and Suchman 1999).

45.17.3 CAVEAT REGARDING REPRESENTATIONS AND MODELS

Although representations and models are valuable tools for connecting ethnographic understanding and design, they also can have negative effects. Although grounded in observations and other forms of ethnographic inquiry, models are always a selective interpretation and construction of experience. Thus, while representations and models can focus attention on and illuminate important aspects of experience, they can also become reified stereotypes and constraints that inhibit design possibilities. Ongoing inquiry, a critical perspective, and a willingness to evolve the representations in the face of new learning are essential to maintain the viability and value of models for design.

45.18 RELATION TO OTHER QUALITATIVE APPROACHES AND PERSPECTIVES

The ethnographic approach has strong connections to and affinities with other approaches that have contributed to the development of the field of HCI, namely distributed cognition, activity theory, ethnomethodology, and participatory design. In addition, the connections between ethnography and usability testing have grown stronger in recent years with some innovative approaches to combining user testing with observations and interviewing in more naturalistic settings. There is not space here to go into depth on any of these approaches. Our aim is simply to highlight relations between these approaches and ethnography, and provide a way to distinguish between them.

Distributed cognition (sometimes referred to as social or situated cognition) was first introduced to the HCI community by Lave (1988) and Hutchins (1995). Distributed cognition located cognition in social and material processes. When it was introduced, it challenged the dominant paradigm within HCI, that cognition primarily involved the psychological and mental processes of individuals. The connection between distributed cognition and ethnography is not only in the insistence that our understanding of human activity be located outside individual mental processes, in human interaction, but also in the conviction that to gain an understanding of human activity, ethnographic, field-based methodologies are required.

Activity theory also shares with ethnography a commitment to field-based research methodologies. In addition, there is the shared view that behavior (activity) should be a primary focus of investigation and theorizing, and a recognition that objects (artifacts) are key components in descriptive and explanatory accounts of human experience (e.g., Engeström 2000; Nardi 1996).

Ethnomethodology is often used interchangeably with ethnography in HCI literature. This is not only because the terms are etymologically similar, but also because many of the social scientists contributing to the field of HCI have adopted an ethnomethodological approach (e.g., Bentley et al. 1992; Button and Harper 1996; Crabtree 2000; Hughes, Randall, and Shapiro 1993; Hughes et al. 1994; Hughes, Rodden, and Anderson 1995) with its focus on locally and interactionally produced accountable phenomena. Ethnomethodology's particular set of commitments (e.g., Heritage 1984) are not shared however by everyone working within the ethnographic paradigm.

Participatory design does not have its roots in qualitative social science research, but instead developed as a political and social movement, and as a design approach committed to directly involving end users in the design of new technologies (See Chapter 49; also Schuler and Namioka [1993]; Kensing and Blomberg [1998]). Within the HCI context, participatory design has shed some of its political and social-action underpinnings, and often is viewed primarily as a set of methods and techniques for involving users in design. Its connection to ethnography is in the commitment to involve study participants in the research, and in the value placed on participants' knowledge of their own practices. Also in recent years, those working in the field of participatory design have incorporated ethnographic techniques (e.g., Crabtree 1998; Kensing, Simonsen, and Bødker 1999) as a way of jointly constructing with participants knowledge of local practices.

Traditional usability testing, with an emphasis on controlled studies and directed scenario-task based testing of design artifacts is often regarded as antithetical to an ethnographic approach. However, over the years, many have begun to "reframe" usability testing (Buur and Bødker 2000) and integrate methods and approaches derived from ethnography and participatory design into usability testing, blurring the boundaries. For example, Kantner, Sova, and Rosenbaum (2003) describe "field usability testing," conducting testing in context with users in their own environments, working on

their own goals with their own artifacts and "task objects" as a means of learning about people's everyday activities and needs, as well as gaining insight into how people might interact with and use complex products in the contexts of their own lives. The continued evolution of pervasive and mobile computing provides additional incentive to explore how people interact with products and services in context. Field testing and virtual observations and interactions with people as they use technologies in the context of their ongoing mobile routines and activities (e.g., Gallant 2006), may actually become the norm as interacting with stationary artifacts becomes a smaller part of the HCI ecosystem and as recording and communication devices become increasingly ubiquitous (e.g., mobile phones equipped with video cameras and GPS tracking capabilities).

The key lesson for both "ethnographers" and "usability testers" is that combining observing and inviting users to interact with design artifacts in the context of their natural environments and everyday activities can be both efficient and valuable. Balancing open ended exploration and observation with personally meaningful, in context scenario-based assessments can yield extremely useful insights about how to make products and services more effective and "usable," how people operate and make sense of human computer interfaces, how people improvise and use new technologies and solutions in unexpected ways, and how potential barriers and facilitators shape user adoption.

45.19 ETHNOGRAPHY IN ACTION

The following two case studies show how an ethnographic approach was applied in the design of a program to change health-related behaviors and to reconfiguring service interactions in IT outsourcing services. The two cases point to the role of ethnographic research in rethinking basic assumptions about what motivates and enables employee choices in one case and the place of IT performance data in building and sustaining client-provider relationships in the other. In addition, specific design recommendations followed from these two studies.

45.19.1 CASE STUDY 1: DESIGNING A PROGRAM AND WEBSITE TO CHANGE HEALTH CARE BEHAVIORS

A large global company, providing health insurance coverage to over 60,000 of its employees in the United States, developed a multifaceted program to reduce its health care costs and optimize the health and productivity of its workforce. The major goals were to provide reliable health care information and to promote better health care decisions. The program provided a number of online and offline resources for employees (e.g., a 24-hour medical hotline, a research team that would provide gather and summarize treatment outcome research findings for severe medical conditions, online access to a leading edge medical information/content website, etc.). The company initially promoted the program through a series of face-to-face workshops designed to convey the limitations




FIGURE 45.9 Healthcare decision-making model.

of standard medical practice, encourage a consumer-oriented approach to health care, and make people aware of resources provided by the company.

After the initial launch, the team became concerned that the health program resources, including the website were being underutilized by employees, limiting the potential impact and value for both employees and the company. In this context, the team initiated a study to evaluate the current program and website as well as to establish clear user models and strategic frameworks to guide website/program redesign efforts. To meet the project objectives, the research team conducted ethnographic inquiries combined with scenario-based exercises. In order to extend the participant sample as well as deal with practical constraints (very limited time and resources), the team conducted some of the interviews and assessments remotely, via telephone and web conferencing tools. Ethnographic inquiries focused on understanding the varied ways that people managed their health care (and/or the health care of family members), including their overall orientations to health and wellness, relationships and interactions with health care providers (and other family members), and their health care–decision-making processes. The latter included understanding the online and offline resources and tools that people used and the major health care scenarios they addressed. After exploring and profiling participants' health care experiences, they were asked to work through an actual health care decision scenario, while being invited to engage with the program resources and website.

Based on these inquiries, the research team developed a number of experience models including a set of personas highlighting key variations in health care orientation and behavior that the program/website design team would have to accommodate; a simple typology of health-related scenarios (e.g., managing severe and chronic medical conditions, dealing with common everyday health care issues, and “wellness”/risk reduction); scenario flow models documenting how varied types of people made decisions (Figure 45.9) and used a range of resources to address key health scenarios.

These models along with other resources generated numerous insights about limitations of the current website and program, opportunities for program/website enhancement, and design recommendations. For example, user profiles and scenario models showed how the program was fragmented and did not effectively align with people's key health scenarios, forcing an individual to painfully sift through resource information and descriptions to figure out which resources might be most relevant and useful in a specific scenario. In addition, the program and the website did not adequately address “wellness”/risk reduction scenarios which represented a significant concern for almost all employee segments and presented an important opportunity for the company to promote a proactive and preventative approach to healthcare.

In order to connect the user insights with the program/website design, the team articulated a number of design principles and a specific scenario-based design framework (Figure 45.10). This framework highlighted the value of




FIGURE 45.10 Sample experience design framework.

organizing the website (and other program elements) based on key healthcare scenarios, aligning and prioritizing resources and inviting specific modes of action that were most important in each scenario, and enabling relevant “cross-scenario” awareness and behavior that would provide value to users and support program objectives (e.g., a person who came to the website to learn about current research findings on the effectiveness of an experimental treatment for diabetes, might also be invited to explore the value of changes in diet or exercise to manage diabetes, etc.). In addition, the framework highlighted the importance of embedding strategic messages regarding health care (e.g., importance of evidence-based medicine, proactively taking charge of one’s health and health care, etc.) and implicit invitations to change health care behaviors throughout the site design.

The ethnographic research led the team to rethink a number of major assumptions, which in turn led to redesign of program strategies, resources, and the website. From a program perspective, the research highlighted the fact that the vast majority of employees had already adopted many consumer attitudes and behaviors and were leveraging a number of trusted health resources (in contrast to initial assumptions of limited “consumerism”). This led the team to reconsider the positioning of specific program resources, shift strategic messaging, and generate novel program strategies including behavioral “rewards” programs that supported proactive and preventative behaviors. The initial research inquiry also led the team to implement a continuous assessment program to continue to monitor program impact and changes in employee experiences and behaviors.

45.19.2 CASE STUDY 2: SERVICE PROVIDER-CLIENT INTERACTIONS: ENABLING SUSTAINABLE RELATIONSHIPS IN IT OUTSOURCING SERVICES

The design of a web-based portal to facilitate interactions between service providers and their clients (see Figure 45.11) was the focus of an ethnographic study examining the communication and knowledge sharing practices of executive level employees in both the service provider and the client organizations (Blomberg 2008a). This research was directed at service innovation to establish and maintain sustainable client-provider relationships in IT outsourcing services. In particular the portal development effort was initiated to




FIGURE 45.11 Portal facilitated client-provider interactions.

increase client access to service performance metrics and, in so doing, allow greater information transparency (Blomberg 2008b). A primary way in which clients and providers track IT performance is through Service Level Agreements (SLAs), which detail contractual relationships between service provider and client, and describe the metrics that will be used to regulate and monitor the performance of the delivery teams (Long-Tae, Jong-Wook, and Woon-Ki Hong 2001; Marilly et al. 2002). The SLA specifies the level of service the customer can expect from the provider. Service Level Attainment metrics are tracked each month, and failure to perform as expected can result in penalties that the provider must pay. Typically the service provider is obliged to provide monthly reports that describe actual performance metrics in relation to the attainment levels specified in SLA. A key element of the initial portal design was the provision for presenting to the client “real-time” IT performance metrics as detailed in the SLA. This design direction led to an ethnographic study on how IT service performance metrics were currently made available to executive level clients.

Interviews and observations of IT executives from the provider and client organizations highlighted how performance information was communicated via either face-to-face meetings or teleconferences where executives reviewed the performance numbers and arrived at “negotiated” understandings of what accounted for the numbers and what should be done to address any shortcomings. Through these interactions service providers and clients arrived at the “meanings” of the performance metrics which enabled them to develop both immediate and longer term plans to mitigate problems and, as appropriate, expand the scope of the engagement.

It became clear that providing accurate, real-time performance information alone would do little to enable effective communication and might instead undermine trust between executives from the client and provider organizations. For example, knowing that response times for help desk calls were behind targets was not as important as understanding the causes of the slower response times and having confidence that steps were being taken to address the situation. Logging onto the portal to access performance information without the opportunity to understand the meanings behind these data could create confusion and unnecessary concern on the part of the client.

This research finding led to the recommendation that real-time interactions between clients and providers be explicitly supported, including during face-to-face meetings. The design specification had not included provisions for downloading performance data to a spreadsheet or other applications so that it could be easily shared and referenced in meetings between the executives. It was in these interactions that the meanings of performance metrics were negotiated and changes to address performance issues were agreed.

The study also showed how IT performance measures and reporting formats evolved over time in response to adjustments in the service contract or in response to requests from

the client to visualize performance data in different formats (e.g. bar charts instead of, or in addition to, tables). These changes facilitated discussions between providers and clients when specific IT decisions were under deliberation. The providers were motivated to comply with these client requests, even if they were not specified in the contract, to strengthen their relationship with the client and ultimately the long term health of the account. This led to the recommendation that changing the way performance data were presented should be within the control of the service delivery teams. The design specification had not included this capability, instead requiring that the code be rewritten by those who developed the original portal.

In these ways our design recommendations centered on enhancements to the portal technology that would better support interactions that facilitated the negotiation of the meaning of performance data, enabled changes in the reporting needs of the client and provider, and more seamlessly integrated the portal reporting format and interactions taking place face-to-face or via teleconference. The study showed that making performance metrics available alone would not achieve the objectives of portal no matter how accurate the data were and how accessible they were in real time.

45.20 CONCLUSION

Ethnographic studies have become an important tool for designers and development teams designing new information and communication technologies and new IT-enabled services. Today in academic, institutional, and corporate settings there is the realization that understanding the everyday realities of people living and working in a wide range of environments and engaged in a myriad of activities is essential for creating technologies and services that provide engaging and productive experiences for their users.* Emerging from recent research and practical experience is the recognition that representational tools (models, personas, scenarios, mock-ups and prototypes, service blueprints, etc.) and design-and-development practices (collaborative data analysis, video review sessions, etc.) are necessary for connecting ethnographic studies and technology design. Insights from ethnographic studies do not map directly onto design specifications or straightforwardly generate “user” requirements. Instead ethnographic studies must be connected and integrated with design agendas and practices. Those wishing to leverage the potential of ethnographic studies should not only understand what motivates the approach and is at its foundation (e.g., natural settings, holistic, descriptive, members’ point of view), but also should recognize the importance of creating the conditions in which design can take advantage of ethnographic insights.

* For a discussion of the relation between ethnography and design, see also Anderson (1994), Grudin and Grintner (1995), Rogers and Bellotti (1997), and Shapiro (1994).

REFERENCES

- Agar, M. 1996. *The Professional Stranger*. 2nd ed. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Anderson, K., and T. Lovejoy. 2005. *Proceedings of EPIC 2005*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Anderson, R. J. 1994. Representations and requirements: The value of ethnography in system design. *Hum Comput Interact* 9:151–82.
- Appadurai, A., ed. 1988. *The Social Life of Things: Commodities in Cultural Perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Babbie, E. 1990. *Survey Research Methods*. 2nd ed. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Bell, G. 2004. Insights into Asia: 19 Cities, 7 Countries, 2 Years—What people Really Want from Technology. Technology@Intel Magazine. Intel Corp.
- Bentley, R., J. A. Hughes, D. Randall, T. Rodden, P. Sawyer, D. Shapiro, and I. Sommerville. 1992. Ethnographically-informed system design for air traffic control. In *Proceedings of Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 123–9. New York: ACM Press.
- Bernard, H. R. 1995. *Research Methods in Anthropology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. 2nd ed. London: Altamira Press.
- Berry, M., and M. Hamilton. 2006. Mobile computing, visual diaries, learning and communication: Changes to the communicative ecology of design students through mobile computing. In *Proceedings of the 8th Australian Conference on Computing Education*. Darlinghurst, Australia: Australian Computer Society.
- Beyer, H., and K. Holtzblatt. 1998. *Contextual Design: Defining Customer-Centered Systems*. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- Bitner, M. J., A. L. Ostrom, and F. N. Morgan. 2008. Service blueprinting: A practical technique for service innovation. *Calif Manage Rev* 50:3.
- Blomberg, J. 1987. Social interaction and office communication: Effects on user's evaluation of new technologies. In *Technology and the Transformation of White Collar Work*, ed. R. Kraut, 195–210. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Blomberg, J. 1988. The variable impact of computer technologies on the organization of work activities. In *Computer-Supported Cooperative Work: A Book of Readings*, ed. I. Greif, 771–82. San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Blomberg, J. 1995. Ethnography: Aligning field studies of work and system design. In *Perspectives on HCI: Diverse Approaches*, ed. A. F. Monk and N. Gilbert, 175–97. London: Academic Press LTD.
- Blomberg, J. 2008a. On participation and service innovation. In *(Re-) Searching a Digital Bauhaus*, ed. T. Binder, J. Löwgren, and L. Malmberg, 121–44. London: Springer.
- Blomberg, J. 2008b. Negotiating meaning of shared information in service system encounters. *Eur Manag J* 23:213–22.
- Blomberg, J., J. Giacomini, A. Mosher, and P. Swenton-Wall. 1991. Ethnographic field methods and their relation to design. In *Participatory Design: Perspectives on Systems Design*, ed. D. Schuler and A. Namioka, 123–55. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Blomberg, J., L. Suchman, and R. Trigg. 1996. Reflections on a work-oriented design project. *Hum Comput Interact* 11:237–65.
- Blomberg, J., and R. Trigg. 2000. Co-constructing the relevance of work practice for CSCW Design: A case study of translation and mediation. Occasional Papers from the Work Practice Laboratory. *Blekinge Inst Technol* 1:1–23.
- Bowers, J., G. Button, and W. Sharrock. 1995. Workflow from within and without: Technology and cooperative work on the print industry shopfloor. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on European Conference on Computer-Supported*. Stockholm, Sweden. 51–66. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Briggs, C. 1983. *Learning How to Ask: A Sociolinguistic Appraisal of the Role of the Interview in Social Science Research*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Brun-Cotton, F., and P. Wall. 1995. Using video to re-present the user. *Commun ACM* 38:61–71.
- Button, G., and R. Harper. 1996. The relevance of 'work-practice' for design. *Comput Support Coop Work* 5:263–80.
- Buur, J., and S. Bødker. 2000. From usability lab to "design collaboratorium": Reframing usability practice. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on Designing Interactive Systems: Processes, Practices, Methods, and Techniques*. 297–307. Brooklyn, NY: ACM.
- Carroll, J. M. 2000. *Making Use: Scenario-Based Design of Human-Computer Interactions*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Carter, S., and J. Mankoff. 2005. When participants do the capturing: The role of media in diary studies. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 899–908. Portland, Oregon: ACM.
- Cefkin, M., ed. 2009. *Ethnographers at Work: New Social Science Research In and of Industry*. New York: Berghahn Books.
- Clifford, J. 1988. *The Predicament of Culture: Twentieth-Century Ethnography, Literature, and Art*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Clifford, J., and G. Marcus, eds. 1986. *Writing Culture: The Poetics and Politics of Ethnography*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Cohen, K. 2005. Who we talk about when we talk about users. In *Proceedings of EPIC*, 9–30. Arlington, VA: American Anthropological Association.
- Coleman, S., and P. von Hellermann, eds. 2011. *Multi-Sited Ethnography: Problems and Possibilities in Translocation of Research Methods*. New York: Routledge.
- Comaroff, J., and J. Comaroff. 1992. *Studies in the Ethnographic Imagination*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Corral-Verduga, V. 1997. Dual 'realities' of conservation behavior: Self reports vs. observations of re-use and recycling behavior. *J Environ Psychol* 17:135–45.
- Crabtree, A. 1998. Ethnography in participatory design. In *Proceedings of the Participatory Design Conference*, 93–105. Seattle, WA: Palo Alto, CA: CPSR.
- Crabtree, A. 2000. Ethnomethodologically informed ethnography and information system design. *J Am Soc Inf Sci* 51:666–82.
- D'Andrade, R. G. 1995. *The Development of Cognitive Anthropology*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Dittrich, Y., A. Ekelin, P. Elovaara, S. Eriksén, and C. Hansson. 2003. Making e-Government happen Everyday co-development of services, citizenship and technology. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'03) - Track 5*.
- Dourish, P. 2001. Process descriptions as organizational accounting devices: The dual use of workflow technologies. In *Proceedings of the 2001 International ACM SIGGROUP Conference on Supporting Group Work*, 52–60. Boulder, CO.

- Engeström, Y. 2000. From individual action to collective activity and back: Developmental work research as an interventionist methodology. In *Workplace Studies: Recovering Work Practice and Informing System Design*, ed. P. Luff, J. Hindmarsh, and C. Heath, 150–66. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Falzon, M. 2009. *Multi-Sited Ethnography: Theory, Praxis and Locality in Contemporary Research*. Aldershot: Ashgate Pub.
- Fluehr-Lobban, C., ed. 1991. *Ethics and the Profession of Anthropology: Dialogue for a New Era*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Foucault, B. E., R. S. Russell, and G. Bell. 2004. Techniques for researching and designing global products in an unstable world: A case study. In *CHI'04 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. New York: ACM.
- Gallant, L. M. 2006. An ethnography of communication approach to mobile product testing. *Pers Ubiquitous Comput* 10(5):325–32.
- Gentner, D., and A. Stevens. 1983. *Mental Models*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Gillham, R. 2005. Diary studies as a tool for efficient cross-cultural design. In *Proceedings of International Workshop on Internationalisation of Products and Services (IWIPS)*, 57–65. Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Green, E. C. 2001. Can qualitative research produce reliable quantitative findings? *Field Methods* 13:1–19.
- Green, S., P. Harvey, and H. Knox. 2005. Scales of place and networks: An ethnography of the imperative to connect through information and communication technologies. *Hum Organiz* 46:805–26.
- Grief, I., ed. 1988. *Computer-Supported Cooperative Work: A Book of Readings*. San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Grudin, J., and R. E. Grinter. 1995. Ethnography and design. *Comput Support Coop Work* 3:55–9.
- Gubrium, J. F., and J. A. Holstein, eds. 2002. *Handbook of Interview Research: Context and Method*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication.
- Guest, G. 2000. Using Guttman scaling to rank wealth: Integrating quantitative and qualitative data. *Field Methods* 12:346–57.
- Hannerz, U. 2003. Being there...and there...and there! *Ethnography* 4:201–16.
- Harding, S. 1986. *The Science Question in Feminism*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Heritage, J. 1984. *Garfinkel and Ethnomethodology*. Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.
- Horst, H., and D. Miller. 2005. From kinship to link-up: Cell phones and social networking in Jamaica. *Hum Organiz* 46:755–78.
- Hughes, J. A., D. Randall, and D. Shapiro. 1993. From ethnographic record to system design: Some experiences from the field. *Comput Support Coop Work* 1:123–47.
- Hughes, J., V. King, T. Rodden, and H. Anderson. 1994. Moving out of the control room: Ethnography in systems design. In *Proc. CSCW'94*, 429–438. Chapel Hill, NC: ACM Press.
- Hughes, J. A., T. Rodden, and H. Anderson. 1995. The role of ethnography in interactive system design. *ACM Interact* 2:56–65.
- Hutchins, E. 1995. *Cognition in the Wild*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Johnson, J. C. 1990. Selecting ethnographic informants. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Johnson, J. C., and D. C. Griffith. 1998. Visual data: Collection, analysis, and representation. In *Using Methods in the Field: A Practical Introduction and Casebook*, ed. V. DeMunck and E. Sobo, 211–28. Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira.
- Johnson, J. C., M. Ironsmith, A. L. Whitcher, G. M. Poteat, and C. Snow. 1997. The development of social networks in pre-school children. *Early Educ Dev* 8:389–406.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. 1983, 1996. *Mental Models*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Jones, M., and F. Samaloniis. 2008. From small ideas to radical service innovation. *Des Manage Rev* 19:20–7.
- Jordan, B., and L. Suchman. 1990. Interactional troubles in face-to-face survey interviews. *J Am Stat Assoc* 85(409):232–53.
- Kantner, L. 2001. Assessing website usability from server log files. In *Design by People, for People: Essays on Usability*, ed. R. Branaghan, 245–62. Chicago, IL: Usability Professionals Association.
- Kantner, L., D. H. Sova, and S. Rosenbaum. 2003. Alternative methods for field usability research. In *Proceedings SIGDOC Annual International Conference on Documentation*, 68–72. San Francisco, CA.
- Karasti, H. 2001. Bridging work practice and system design—integrating systemic analysis, appreciative intervention, and practitioner participation. *Comput Support Coop Work Int J* 10:167–98.
- Kensing, F., and J. Blomberg. 1998. Participatory design: Issues and concerns. *Comput Support Coop Work* 7:163–5.
- Kensing, F., J. Simonsen, and K. Bødker. 1999. MUST—a method for participatory design. *Hum Comput Interact* 13:167–98.
- Kieliszewski, C. A., J. H. Bailey, and J. Blomberg. 2010. A service practice approach: People, activities and information in highly collaborative knowledge-based service systems. In *Handbook of Service Science*, ed. P. P. Maglio, C. A. Kieliszewski, and J. C. Spohrer. U.S.: Springer.
- Kimbell, L. 2009. The turn to service design. In *Design and Creativity Policy, Management and Practice*, ed. G. Julier and L. Moor, 157–73. Oxford: Berg.
- Kimbell, L., and V. P. Seidel. 2008. Designing for Services—Multidisciplinary Perspectives: Proceedings from the Exploratory Project on Designing for Services in Science and Technology-based Enterprises, Saïd Business School. <http://www.scribd.com/doc/72888892/Designing-for-Services-Multidisciplinary-Perspectives>. Accessed November 30, 2011.
- Koskinen, I., K. Battarbee, and T. Mattelmäki. 2005. *Emphatic Design: User Experience in Product Design*. Helsinki: IT Press.
- Latour, B. 1987. *Science in Action: How to Follow Scientists and Engineers Through Society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Latour, B., and S. Woolgar. 1986. *Laboratory Life: The Construction of Scientific Facts*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Lave, J. 1988. *Cognition and Practice*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Leonard, D., and J. F. Rayport. 1997. Sparking innovation through empathic design. *Harv Bus Rev* 75:102–13.
- Long-Tae, P., B. Jong-Wook, and J. Woon-Ki Hong. 2001. Management of service level agreements for multimedia Internet service using a utility model. *Commun Mag IEEE* 39:100–6.
- Mager, B., and O. King. 2009. Methods and processes of service design. *Touchpoint* 1:20–8.
- Malone, T. 1983. How do people organize their desks? Implications for the design of office information systems. *ACM Trans Inf Syst* 1:99–112.
- Marcus, G. E. 1995. Ethnography in/of the world system: The emergence of multi-sited ethnography. *Annu Rev0020Anthropol* 24:95–117.
- Marcus, G., and M. Fischer. 1986. *Anthropology as Cultural Critique: An Experimental Moment in the Human Sciences*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Marilyn, E., O. Martinot, S. Betge-Brezetz, and G. Deleigue. 2002. Requirements for service level agreement management. In *IEEE Workshop on IP Operations and Management*, 57–62.
- Mason, B., and B. Dicks. 1999. "The digital ethnographer," *Cybersociology* 6, http://www.cybersociology.com/files/6_1_virtualethnographer.html. Accessed November 30, 2011.
- Masten, D., and T. Plowman. 2003. Digital ethnography: The next wave in understanding the consumer experience. *Des Manage J* 14:75–84.
- Moore, R. J. 2004. Managing troubles in answering survey questions: Respondents' uses of projective reporting. *Soc Psychol Q* 67:50–69.
- Murthy, D. 2008. Digital ethnography: An examination of the use of new technologies for social research. *Sociology* 42:837–55.
- Nader, L. 1974. Up the anthropologist—perspectives gained from studying up. In *Reinventing Anthropology*, ed. D. Hymes, 284–311. New York: Vintage.
- Nardi, B. 1992. The use of scenarios in design. *SIGCHI Bull* 24:13–4.
- Nardi, B. 1996. *Context and Consciousness: Activity Theory and Human-Computer Interaction*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Nardi, B., and J. Miller. 1990. An ethnographic study of distributed problem solving in spreadsheet development. In *Proceedings of Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 197–208. New York: ACM Press.
- Nardi, B. A., D. J. Schiano, and M. Gumbrecht. 2004. Blogging as social activity, or, would you let 900 million people read your diary? In *Proceedings of the 2004 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*. New York: ACM.
- Newman, S. E. 1998. Here, there, and nowhere at All: Distribution, negotiation, and virtuality in postmodern engineering and ethnography. *Knowl Soc* 11:235–67.
- Nielsen, J., and T. K. Landauer. 1993. A mathematical model of the finding of usability problems. In *Proceedings of ACM INTERCHI'93 Conference*, 206–13. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: ACM Press.
- Norman, D. 1983. Some observations on mental models. In *Mental Models*, ed. D. Gentner and A. Stevens. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Palen, L., and M. Salzman. 2002. Voice-mail diary studies for naturalistic data capture under mobile conditions. In *Proceedings of Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)*, 87–95. New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Perkins, R. 2001. Remote usability evaluation over the Internet. In *Design by People, for People: Essays on Usability*, ed. R. Branaghan, 153–62. Bloomington, IL: Usability Professionals Association.
- Pickering, A., ed. 1980. *Science as Practice and Culture*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Pine, J., and J. Gilmore. 1999. *The Experience Economy: Work is Theater and Every Business a Stage*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Polanyi, M. 1966. *The Tacit Dimension*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Pruitt, J., and T. Adlin. 2006. *The Persona Lifecycle: Keeping People in Mind Throughout Product Design*. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann Pub.
- Pruitt, J., and J. Grudin. 2003. Personas: Practice and theory. In *Proceedings of Designing for User Experience*, 1–15. New York: ACM Press.
- Randall, D., M. Rouncefield, and J. Hughes, J. 1995. Chalk and cheese: BPR and ethnomethodologically informed ethnography on CSCW. In *Proceedings E-CSCW*, 325–40. Stockholm, Sweden: ACM Press.
- Rathje, W. L., and C. C. Murphy. 1991. *Rubbish! The Archaeology of Garbage*. New York: HarperCollins.
- Rheingold, H. 2000. *Virtual Community: Homesteading on the Electronic Frontier*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rich, M., S. Lamola, C. Amory, and L. Schneider. 2000. Asthma in life context: Video intervention/prevention assessment (VIA). *Pediatrics* 105:469–77.
- Robinson, R. E. 1994. The origin of cool things. In *Proceedings of the American Center for Design Conference on Design that Packs a Wallop: Understanding the Power of Strategic Design*, 5–10. New York: American Center for Design.
- Robinson, R. E., and J. P. Hackett. 1997. Creating the conditions of creativity. *Des Manage J* 8:10–6.
- Rodden, T., and H. Anderson. 1994. Moving out from the control room: Ethnography in system design. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, ed. R. Furuta and C. Neuwirth, 429–39. New York: ACM Press.
- Rogers, E. M. 2003. *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Free Press.
- Rogers, Y., and V. Bellotti. 1997. How can ethnography help? *Interactions* 4:58–63.
- Romney, A. K., W. H. Batchelder, and S. C. Weller. 1986. Culture as consensus: A theory of culture and informant accuracy. *Am Anthropol* 88:313–38.
- Sachs, P. 1995. Transforming work: Collaboration, learning, and design. *Commun ACM* 38:36–44.
- Said, E. 1978. *Orientalism*. New York: Pantheon.
- Salant, P., and D. A. Dillman. 1994. *How to Conduct Your Own Survey*. New York: Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Schmidt, K., and L. Bannon. 1992. Taking CSCW seriously: Supporting articulation work. *Comput Support Coop Work* 1:7–40.
- Schuler, D., and A. Namioka, eds. 1993. *Participatory Design: Principles and Practices*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Scola-Streckenbach, S. 2008. Experience-based Information: The role of Web-based Patient Networks in Consumer Health Information Services. *J Consum Health Internet* 12:216–36.
- Scott, J. P. 2000. *Social Network Analysis: A Handbook*. 2nd ed. London: Sage Publication.
- Shapiro, D. 1994. The limits of ethnography: Combining social sciences for CSCW. In *Proceeding of Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 417–28. New York: ACM Press.
- Shostack, G. L. 1984. Designing services that deliver. *Harv Bus Rev* 62:133–9.
- Shostack, G. L. 1987. Service positioning through structural change. *J Mark* 59:34–43.
- Shostack, G. L. 1993. How to design a service. *Eur J Mark* 16:49–63.
- Smith, D. 1987. *The Everyday World as Problematic: A Feminist Sociology*. Boston, MA: Northwestern University Press.
- Sonderegger, P., H. Manning, C. Charron, and S. Roshan. 2000. Scenario design. In Forrester Report, December 2000.
- Squires, S., and B. Byrne, eds. 2002. *Creating Breakthrough Ideas: The Collaboration of Anthropologists and Designers in the Product Development Industry*. Westport, CT: Bergin & Garvey.
- Suchman, L. 1983. Office procedures as practical action: Models of work and system design. *ACM Trans Office Inf Syst* 1:320–8.
- Suchman, L. 1999. Embodied practices of engineering work [Special issue]. *Mind Cult Act* 7:4–18.
- Suchman, L., J. Blomberg, and R. Trigg. 1999. Reconstructing technologies as social practice. *Am Sci* 43:392–408.
- Suchman, L., and R. Trigg. 1991. Understanding practice: Video as a medium for reflection and design. In *Design at Work: Cooperative Design of Computer Systems*, ed. J. Greenbaum and M. Kyng, 65–89. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.