

# Comparative Analysis of Priority Queues in Graph Algorithms

First Last  
Department / University  
City, State, Country  
email@domain.com

*Abstract*—Abstract goes here.

*Index Terms*—Dijkstra, Prim, pairing heap, Fibonacci heap, priority queue, experimental analysis

*B. Operation Counts*

*C. Time Breakdown: Extract-Min vs Decrease-Key*

## VI. DISCUSSION

Answer:

- Do Fibonacci heaps provide practical benefits?
- How do pairing heaps compare in practice?
- Which algorithm benefits more (Dijkstra vs Prim)?
- How does graph structure affect performance?
- Why do theory and practice differ?

## VII. THREATS TO VALIDITY

Measurement noise, graph generation bias, implementation constant factors.

## VIII. CONCLUSION

Summary + future work.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Blah Blah Blah.

## II. BACKGROUND

*A. Dijkstra's Algorithm*

*B. Prim's Algorithm*

*C. Priority Queue Operations*

*D. Theoretical Complexity*

Heap Type	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key
Binary Heap			
Pairing Heap			
Fibonacci Heap			

TABLE I

ASYMPTOTIC TIME COMPLEXITIES (FILL IN LATER).

## III. IMPLEMENTATION

*A. System Design*

*B. Graph Representation*

*C. Pairing Heap*

*D. Fibonacci (or Binomial) Heap*

*E. Instrumentation*

## IV. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

*A. Environment*

*B. Graph Types*

- Random graphs (sparse vs dense)
- Grid graphs
- Synthetic worst-case graphs

*C. Metrics Collected*

Blah Blah Blah.

## V. RESULTS

*A. Total Runtime*

Fig. 1. Runtime comparison (placeholder).