An Extension of the Dirac Equation

Luke Burns

October 7, 2016

1 Introduction

The free Dirac equation in STA is

$$\nabla \psi I \sigma_3 = \hat{p}\psi,\tag{1}$$

where $\psi \in G_{1,3}^+$ such that¹

$$\hat{p}\psi = m\psi\gamma_0. \tag{2}$$

A less restrictive constraint is just that

$$\hat{p}^2 = m^2 \tag{3}$$

be constant. With this lightened restriction, Equation 2 can be replaced with

$$\hat{p}\psi = \psi p_0 \tag{4}$$

where p_0 is a constant vector. This admits a new class of null solutions, where $\hat{p}^2=0$ but $\hat{p}\psi\neq0.^2$

2 Symmetries

The extension of the full Dirac equation, including the electromagnetic gauge field

$$\nabla \psi I \sigma_3 - eA\psi = \psi p_0 \tag{5}$$

is only invariant under the usual replacements $\psi\mapsto\psi e^{\alpha I\sigma_3}$ and $A\mapsto A-\nabla\alpha$ if

$$p_0 \cdot I\sigma_3 = 0. \tag{6}$$

This is an essential feature of Dirac theory, so we'll restrict p_0 preserve it. We require that

$$p_0 = E\gamma_0 + |p|\gamma_3. (7)$$

If $p_0^2 = m^2 \neq 0$, then

$$p_0 = Rm\gamma_0 \widetilde{R} \tag{8}$$

for $R=e^{\gamma_3\gamma_0\alpha/2}$ with α given by $\tanh(\alpha)=|p|/E$. Then $p_0\mapsto \widetilde{R}p_0R$ gives Equation 2. On the other hand, if $p_0^2=0$, then

 $^{^1\}mathrm{See}$ GAP 8.86

 $^{^{2}\}hat{p}$ is used in order to reserve p for $p=Rp_{0}\widetilde{R}$.

$$p_0 = \omega(\gamma_0 + \gamma_3),\tag{9}$$

for $\omega = E = |p|$. Spinors satisfying Equation 4 with $p_0 = \omega(\gamma_0 + \gamma_3)$ are distinct from those satisfying Equation 2. ³

Note that the conservation of the current density J also depends on p_0 .⁴

$$\nabla \cdot J = \langle \nabla \psi \gamma_0 \widetilde{\psi} \rangle + \langle \psi \gamma_0 \dot{\widetilde{\psi}} \dot{\nabla} \rangle \tag{10}$$

$$= 2\langle Is(eA + pe^{-I\beta})\rangle) \tag{11}$$

$$= 2\langle Ie^{-I\beta}sp\rangle \tag{12}$$

$$=2\sin(\beta)s\cdot p\tag{13}$$

where $s=\psi\gamma_3\widetilde{\psi}$ and $pe^{-I\beta}=\psi p_0\psi^{-1}$. See the *Scratch Work* section for more details. This means that

$$\nabla \cdot J = 0 \iff \gamma_3 \cdot p_0 = 0, \tag{14}$$

which holds for $p_0 = m\gamma_0$ and does not hold for $p_0 = \omega(\gamma_0 + \gamma_3)$.

In the context of the normal Dirac equation, the conservation of J is usually taken to mean that single fermions cannot be created or destroyed.⁵ However, this interpretation may not make sense for null p_0 . We'll try to make sense of these solutions next.

3 Scratch work

3.1 $\nabla \cdot J$

$$\nabla \psi = -(eA\psi + \psi p_0)I\sigma_3 \tag{15}$$

$$\nabla \psi \gamma_0 \widetilde{\psi} = -(eA\psi + \psi p_0) I \sigma_3 \gamma_0 \widetilde{\psi} \tag{16}$$

$$= -I(eA\psi + \psi p_0)\gamma_3\widetilde{\psi} \tag{17}$$

$$= -I(eA + pe^{-I\beta})s \tag{18}$$

$$\langle \nabla \psi \gamma_0 \widetilde{\psi} \rangle = -\langle I(eA + pe^{-I\beta}) s \rangle \tag{19}$$

$$= \langle IseA \rangle + \langle Ispe^{-I\beta}) \rangle \tag{20}$$

$$\dot{\widetilde{\psi}}\dot{\nabla} = -(e\widetilde{A\psi}I\sigma_3 + \widetilde{\psi}p_0I\sigma_3) \tag{21}$$

$$= eI\sigma_3\widetilde{\psi}A + I\sigma_3p_0\widetilde{\psi} \tag{22}$$

$$\psi \gamma_0 \dot{\widetilde{\psi}} \dot{\nabla} = \psi \gamma_0 I \sigma_3 \widetilde{\psi} e A + \psi \gamma_0 I \sigma_3 p_0 \widetilde{\psi}$$
 (23)

$$=I(\psi\gamma_3\widetilde{\psi}eA+\psi\gamma_3p_0\widetilde{\psi}) \tag{24}$$

$$= I(seA + s\widetilde{\psi p_0\psi^{-1}}) \tag{25}$$

$$= Is(eA + pe^{-I\beta}) \tag{26}$$

$$\langle \psi \gamma_0 \dot{\widetilde{\psi}} \dot{\nabla} \rangle = \langle IseA \rangle + \langle Ispe^{-I\beta} \rangle$$
 (27)

where $pe^{-I\beta} = \psi p_0 \psi^{-1}$.

³Do these relate to the null solutions of the Dirac equation?

 $^{^{4}}$ GAP 8.93

⁵GAP p283

$$\mathbf{3.1.1} \quad \widetilde{\psi^{-1}} = \widetilde{\psi}^{-1}$$

$$\widetilde{\psi^{-1}} = \widetilde{\rho^{-1/2} e^{-I\beta/2}} \widetilde{R} = \rho^{-1/2} e^{-I\beta/2} R \\ \widetilde{\psi}^{-1} = (\rho^{1/2} e^{I\beta/2} \widetilde{R})^{-1/2} = \rho^{-1/2} e^{-I\beta/2} R$$