

Spinors are for photons too

Lucas Burns

Abstract. Spinors are most frequently used to describe spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles. Here we construct a spinor which describes the electrodynamics and quantum mechanics of a photon in the 3-dimensional geometric algebra G_3 . We then introduce the wave equation $\nabla\psi = ps\psi$, for which this spinor is a solution, where p is the energy-momentum and s is the spin of the photon. This paper aims to demonstrate that spinors can be used to describe spin-1 particles too and to raise questions regarding the connection between the geometry of spinors and the spin of particles.

Keywords. Spinors, Photons, Electrodynamics, Quantum Mechanics, Space-time.

1. Introduction

Geometric algebra endows spinors with a simple geometric interpretation, as rotation and dilation operators. While spinors are most frequently used to describe spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles, it is a straightforward task to use them to describe the simplest of spin-1 particles: the photon.

Photons are massless, spin-1 particles whose energy $E = \hbar\omega$ and momentum $\vec{p} = \hbar\vec{k}$ are equal in magnitude and determined by the angular frequency ω and wave vector \vec{k} , which describe the rate of rotation of circularly polarized electromagnetic fields within the spin plane i perpendicular to its momentum.

The currents of spinor-valued solutions to the second-order wave equation are shown to satisfy the homogeneous Maxwell equations and identified with the electric and magnetic fields of a photon. The familiar energy and momentum operators $i\hbar\partial_t$ and $-i\hbar\vec{\nabla}$ are presented and consolidated into the odd-valued operator $\nabla = e^\mu\partial_\mu$, with which we introduce the first-order spinor wave equation $\nabla\psi = ps\psi$. We conclude with comments and questions about this equation and the connection between spinors and the spin of particles.

Thanks to Rob Owen and Dan Styer.

2. Spacetime in G_3

Throughout this paper, we work with the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ for the 3-dimensional geometric algebra G_3 , and use the notation

$$e_{\mu\nu\dots\gamma} := e_\mu e_\nu \dots e_\gamma \quad (1)$$

$$e_0 := e_{123} \quad (2)$$

$$e^\mu := e_\mu^{-1} \quad (3)$$

for $\mu, \nu, \gamma = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Odd valued multivectors take the place of four-vectors, and the scalar product is used as their inner product. We let $x, k, \nabla \in G_3^-$ be odd valued, where

$$x := e_\mu x_\mu = t e_0 + \vec{x} \quad (4)$$

$$k := e_\mu k_\mu = \omega e_0 + \vec{k} \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla := e^\mu \partial_\mu = e^0 \partial_t + \vec{\nabla} \quad (6)$$

which satisfy

$$x * x = \vec{x}^2 - t^2 \quad (7)$$

$$k * x = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t \quad (8)$$

$$\nabla x = 4 \quad (9)$$

$$\nabla k * x = k, \quad (10)$$

where the scalar product $A * B := \langle AB \rangle_0$ is the scalar part of the product between multivectors $A, B \in G_3$.

Choosing even valued multivectors for x, k, ∇ instead amounts to choosing the opposite metric convention. We also use natural units $c = \hbar = 1$. Any undefined and unlabeled symbol can be taken to be a real-valued scalar.

3. Photons

A description of a photon must include a wave description which satisfies the homogeneous Maxwell equations, so we begin with the wave equation.

3.1. The Wave Equation

Let $\psi : G_3^- \rightarrow G_3^+$. The wave equation

$$\nabla * \nabla \psi(x) = (\nabla^2 - \partial_t^2) \psi(x) = 0, \quad (11)$$

has solutions of the form

$$\psi(x) = \rho_1 e^{ik * x} + \rho_2 e^{-ik * x}, \quad (12)$$

where i is an arbitrary bivector, and the invariant

$$k * k = \vec{k}^2 - \omega^2 = 0. \quad (13)$$

4. The Wave Equation

Let $\psi : G_3^- \rightarrow G_3^+$. The wave equation

$$\nabla * \nabla \psi(x) = (\nabla^2 - \partial_t^2) \psi(x) = 0, \quad (14)$$

has solutions of the form

$$\psi(x) = \rho_1 e^{ik*x} + \rho_2 e^{-ik*x}, \quad (15)$$

where i is an arbitrary bivector, and the invariant $k * k = \vec{k}^2 - \omega^2 = 0$.

5. Electric and Magnetic Fields

Suppose $i = e_{12}$ and $\hat{k} = e_3$. If $\rho_1 = 1$ and $\rho_2 = 0$, then

$$\psi(x) = e^{ik*x} = e^{\hat{k}e_0(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t)} \quad (16)$$

describes a right circularly polarized electromagnetic wave propagating in the \hat{k} direction.

The electric and magnetic fields of the photon are identified as the currents

$$\vec{E} := e_1 \psi = \cos(k * x) e_1 + \sin(k * x) e_2 \quad (17)$$

$$\vec{B} := e_2 \psi = \cos(k * x) e_2 - \sin(k * x) e_1, \quad (18)$$

which satisfy the homogeneous Maxwell equations.

The one-sided multiplication above rotates \vec{E} and \vec{B} with the correct frequency, but to make sense of the general action of ψ on an arbitrary vector, we must make use of the two sided multiplication

$$(\psi^{1/2})^\dagger e_\mu \psi^{1/2}.^1 \quad (19)$$

Note that e_0 and e_3 are unchanged under this action. The currents \vec{E} and \vec{B} fully describe the kinematics of the spinor ψ .

If we had considered $\rho_1 = 0$ and $\rho_2 = 1$, then

$$\psi(x) = e^{-ik*x} = e^{-\hat{k}e_0(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t)} \quad (20)$$

describes a left circularly polarized electromagnetic wave propagating in the \hat{k} direction.

Hence, Equation 15 is a linear superposition of right and left circularly polarized electromagnetic waves.

¹Use of the square root of ψ ensures the currents rotate with the proper frequency.

6. Energy, Momentum, and Spin

The energy of the right-circularly polarized photon in Equation 16 is given by

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = E\psi, \quad (21)$$

and the momentum is given by

$$-i\hbar\vec{\nabla}\psi = \vec{p}\psi. \quad (22)$$

These operators are familiar but are dependent on the spin plane i of the photon. If we had instead looked at the left handed photon given by Equation 20, then we would have unconventional energy and momentum operators given by

$$-i\hbar\partial_t\psi = E\psi \quad (23)$$

and

$$i\hbar\vec{\nabla}\psi = \vec{p}\psi, \quad (24)$$

due to the fact that the spin plane of this photon is $-i$. The seemingly negative energies given by the operator $i\hbar\partial_t$ in Equation 23 are actually positive energies of a left-circularly polarized photon (if i is right-handed).

We can write this all down more compactly and clearly as a first order wave equation. With $\nabla = e^\mu\partial_\mu$ and $\hbar = 1$,

$$\nabla\psi = \nabla(\pm i k * x)\psi = p s \psi, \quad (25)$$

where $p = \hbar k$ is the energy-momentum spinor satisfying $p * p = E^2 - \vec{p}^2 = 0$, and $s = \pm i\hbar$ encodes the spin of the photon.

The spin and energy-momentum of photons are tightly intertwined quantities. For this reason, we break a tradition followed by most relativistic wave equations and move the spin $\pm i\hbar$ from the operator side to the eigenvalue side of the equation.

7. A Note on the Dirac Equation

It is worthwhile to briefly point to some similarities and differences between this equation and the Dirac equation, written in Hestenes's spacetime algebra,

$$\nabla\psi i\sigma_3 = m\psi\gamma_0.[1] \quad (26)$$

A key similarity is that they can both be well described as first-order, eigenvalue equations of energy-momentum operators. Two key differences are (1) the difference in dimensionality of the algebra in which the spinors live, which may place kinematical limitations on Equation 25 and (2) the eigenvalue in Equation 25 is spinor-valued, whereas the eigenvalue in the

Dirac equation is scalar valued, which may place a limitation on the Dirac equation.

Further investigation of the solutions of Equation 25 will occur in a future paper. In particular, are there solutions which describe spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles? If so, how are spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ solutions related to spin-1 solutions?

8. Conclusion

That photons, spin-1 particles, can be so simply described by spinors is not surprising given the geometric interpretation of spinors, and it begs the question, what then characterizes spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles, if not their mathematical representation as spinors?

While the factor of a $\frac{1}{2}$ appearing in Equation 19 is indicative of the nature of spinors, its appearance is an entirely mathematical feature and has no bearing on the physics of the photon described. In particular, we are only forced to write down $\psi^{1/2}$ if we are dealing with rotations of vectors orthogonal to the spin plane.

This is highly suggestive of when a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ might appear as a physical consequence. If a spinor encodes rotation in more than one plane, then we are forced to compute $\psi^{1/2}$ to find the currents, unlike the case of the electromagnetic fields above, but still, this factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ is a mathematical choice. The connection between orthogonality and spin will be explored in depth in a following paper.

References

- [1] David Hestenes, *Zitterbewegung in Quantum Mechanics*, Arizona State University, Arizona 1994.

Lucas Burns
 135 W Lorain St
 OCMR 488
 Oberlin, OH 44074
 USA
 e-mail: lukemburns@gmail.com