math 456 hw 4

lgeel

March 2022

Question 3 1

```
This code is in R:
xbar=c()
for (n in 1:10000) {
xbar[n]=mean(rnorm(n,mean=0,sd=1))
}
n=1:10000
plot(xbar,n)
This code generates 10000 samples from a normal distribution
for (n in 1:10000){
xbar[n] = mean(rcauchy(n))
n=1:10000
plot(xbar,n)
This code generates 10000 samples from a cauchy distribution.
```

The reason the two plots look so different is because the shape of the normal

distribution is bell shaped and the cauchy distribution is uniform distribution.

Question 4 $\mathbf{2}$

```
PART A
This code is in R:
n \leftarrow 10000
nsums \leftarrow 1000
Xn \leftarrow array(dim = nsums)
Y \leftarrow array(dim = n)
for(iin1:nsums)
Y \leftarrow rbinom(n, 1, 0.5)
Y \leftarrow 2 * Y - 1
Xn[i] \leftarrow sum(Y)
```

```
mean(Xn) var(Xn)
```

The expected value of Xn is 0. We can prove this because $E(Xn) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(Yi) = 0$ The variance value of Xn is n. We can prove this because $Var(Xn) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(Yi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(Yi$

PART B

The calculations in part a explain why the runs look very different even though they were generated the same way because different values of n were used. When n is a low number it will look very different from when n is 10,000.

3 Question 5

After plotting Xn for $n=1,\,5,\,25,\,100,\,I$ noticed that as Xn increases, the mean and variance get closer to the expected value and the expected variance. When n is 1 and 5 the mean isn't always going to be close to 1/2 but when it's 25 and 100 it's far closer. Same goes for the variance. As n increases, the variance gets closer to $\frac{1}{12}$.