

# 1 Show the relationship between Commutative Noetherian Rings (CNDs) and the class inclusions on wikipedia

Class	Relationship to Noetherian Rings
Commutative Rings	$\supset$
Integral Domains	Incomparable
Integrally closed domains	Incomparable
GCD domains	Incomparable
Unique Factorization domains	Incomparable
Principle Ideal Domains	$\subset$
Euclidean Domains	$\subset$
Fields	$\subset$

**1.1 Let  $(X_0, X_2, \dots, X_{n+1})$  be a finite sequence of sets such that  $X_{i+1} \subset X_i$  for all  $i$  where  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and a set  $Y$  such that  $X_0 \supset Y$  and  $X_{n+1} \subset Y$ . Then there exists integers  $j, k, l \geq 0$  such that  $j + k + l = n$  and the first  $j$  sets of  $X$  contain  $Y$ , the next  $k$  are incomparable to  $Y$  in the sense that they intersect but neither is the subset of the other, and the last  $l$  are contained by  $Y$**

Two non-identical sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are in one of four relations: subset, superset, incomparable, and disjoint. If  $X_i$  is a subset or disjoint of  $Y$ , then  $X_{i+1}$  must be a subset or disjoint respectively. If  $X_i$  is a superset of  $Y$ , then  $X_{i+1}$  could be any four options. And if  $X_i$  is incomparable with  $Y$ , then all but superset are options.

Putting this together, we start with a superset followed by zero or more supersets, then we then transition to zero or more incomparables. Because we know we end with a subset, there can be no disjoint, since once  $X_i$  is disjoint all sets after it must be disjoint.

## 1.2 1 follows the setup of 1.1

$X_0$  is Commutative Rings,  $Y$  is Noetherian Rings,  $n = 6$ , and  $X_7$  is Fields. Clearly a Commutative Noetherian Ring is a commutative ring, so  $X_0 \subset Y$ . A field is a commutative Noetherian Ring since in a Noetherian Ring every ideal is finitely generated, and in a field the only two ideals are  $(0)$  and  $(1)$ , both generated by a single element.

## 1.3 In the language of 1.1, $j = 0$ , $k = 4$ , and $l = 2$

To show this, we just need to show that Integral Domains and UFDs are Incomparable, and that PIDs are subsets, since those are the transitions.

## 1.4 Integral Domains and CNDs are Incomparable

The zero ring is Noetherian, since its only ideal is  $(0)$ . However it is not an Integral Domain, as it is explicitly excluded in the definition.

The ring of the integers is Noetherian since each of its ideals are generated by a single element, and it is an Integral Domain since any two non-zero elements multiplied together is non-zero.

The polynomial ring over countably infinite unknowns is an integral domain, since any two non-zero polynomials of degree  $n$  and  $m$  multiplied together will have degree  $n + m$ , and therefore not be zero. However, it is not Noetherian, since the chain of strictly inclusive ideals  $(X_1), (X_1, X_2), (X_1, X_2, X_3), \dots$  does not terminate.

## 1.5 Unique Factorization Domains and CNDs are Incomparable

UFDs and CNDs are not disjoint and CNDs are not a subset of UFDs for the same reason above. What remains to show is that there exists a UFD that is not Noetherian.

The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is not a UFD since 6 can be written as  $2 \times 3$  or  $(1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ . However  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is Noetherian since its Krull dimension is 2 (how to prove this?)

## 1.6 Principle Ideal Domains are CNDs

PIDs are commutative, and each ideal is generated by a single element, hence finitely generated.