

Page whatever

1 Show that wk is the smallest topology on \mathcal{X} such that each x^* in \mathcal{X}^*

Must show that an arbitrary open set in wk can be generated by some collection of sets of the form $x^{*-1}(V)$.

Start with an arbitrary open set U . Since X with wk is a locally convex set, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in \mathcal{X} : p_j(x - x_0) < \varepsilon_j\} \subseteq U$ for some finite list of ε and p , where $p_{x^*} = |\langle x, x^* \rangle|$.

$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in \mathcal{X} : p_j(x - x_0) < \varepsilon_j\}$ is open and the intersection of pre-images of open sets from \mathbb{F} (why?).

Since U is generated by the subbase of preimages of the collection of x^* and U is arbitrary, all open sets of wk are generated in this way, thus is the smallest possible topology.

2 Show that wk* is the smallest topology on \mathcal{X}^* such that for each x in \mathcal{X} , $x^* \mapsto \langle x, x^* \rangle$

This purported topology σ is generated by open sets that are preimages of open sets of functions of the form $x^* \mapsto \langle x, x^* \rangle$. By continuity of composition, they are also the preimages of open sets of functions of the form $x^* \mapsto |\langle x, x^* \rangle|$. Open sets of \mathbb{R} are generated by open balls, so the pre-images are generated by sets of the form $|\langle x, x^* \rangle| < \varepsilon$.

A set U of \mathcal{X}^* is weakly open if and only if for every x_0^* in U there is an ε and there are x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathcal{X} such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x^* \in \mathcal{X}^* : |\langle x_i, x^* - x_0^* \rangle| < \varepsilon_j\} \subseteq U$$

Every such set U can be generated as part of σ and therefore is the smallest topology

3 Prove Theorem 1.3

Theorem 1.3 is proven in the text!

4 Let \mathcal{X} be a complex LCS and let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ denote the collection of all continuous real linear functionals on \mathcal{X} . Use the elements of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ to define seminorms on \mathcal{X} and let $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*)$ be the corresponding topology. Show that $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*) = \sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*)$

Goal is to show that an open set in $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)$ is open in $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*)$ and vice versa.

Since $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ is a strict subset of \mathcal{X}^* , $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)$ (since $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)$ are those functions that make all linear functionals continuous, including the real linear functionals.)

Thus we need to show that $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*) \subset \sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*)$

Take the functions $f_r, f_i : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ that map the complex numbers to their real, imaginary parts, respectively.

Open sets around x_0 in $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)$ are generated by sets of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in \mathcal{X} : |\langle x - x_0, x_k^* \rangle| < \varepsilon_k\}$ for some finite set of x_k^* and ε_k . To simplify consider open sets generated by a single complex semi-norm x_0^* . We will show that these open sets are also generated by two real semi-norms, x_0^* composed with f_r and f_i .

Firstly, observe that the open sets on the complex plane as a 1-dimensional complex vector space are the same as the open sets in \mathbb{R}^2 as a 2-dimensional real vector space since the homeomorphism between them simply ignores the complex structure (is this sufficient?). Since there's a unique combination of real part and imaginary part for each complex function, the images of the corresponding functions will be the same, hence the pre-images will be the same.

The same will be true given the finite intersection of sets generated by a finite number of seminorms. Thus any open set in $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)$ is also open in $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^*)$

5 If $A \subseteq \mathcal{X} \dots$

5.1 A° is convex and balanced

$A^\circ \equiv \{x^* \in \mathcal{X}^* : |\langle a, x^* \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for all } a \text{ in } A\}$

To show that A° is balanced, given x^* in A° and $|\alpha| \leq 1$, we have to show that αx^* is also in A° .

If $|\langle a, x^* \rangle| \leq 1$, then $|\langle a, \alpha x^* \rangle| = |\alpha| |\langle a, x^* \rangle| \leq 1$, hence αx^* is in A°

To show that A° is convex, we have to show that given arbitrary x_1^* and x_2^* in A° , then an arbitrarily chosen x_3 in $\{tx_1^* + (1-t)x_2^* : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is also in A° , say $t_3x_1^* + (1-t_3)x_2^*$ (where t_3 was chosen arbitrarily in $0 \leq t \leq 1$).

Hence we need to prove that, for all a in A , $|\langle a, t_3x_1^* + (1-t_3)x_2^* \rangle| \leq 1$

If $|\langle a, x_1^* \rangle| \leq 1$ and $|\langle a, x_2^* \rangle| \leq 1$, then simply by multiplication of both sides $t_3|\langle a, x_1^* \rangle| \leq t_3$ and $(1 - t_3)|\langle a, x_2^* \rangle| \leq 1 - t_3$ since $0 \leq t_3 \leq 1$ (to not flip the signs). Adding the inequalities we get $t_3|\langle a, x_1^* \rangle| + (1 - t_3)|\langle a, x_2^* \rangle| \leq 1$.

SOMEHOW: prove $|\langle a, t_3x_1^* + (1 - t_3)x_2^* \rangle| \leq t_3|\langle a, x_1^* \rangle| + (1 - t_3)|\langle a, x_2^* \rangle|$ (Cauchy inequality + convexity of the reals?)

5.2 If $A_1 \subseteq A$, then $A^\circ \subseteq A_1^\circ$

If $x^* \in A^\circ$, then $|\langle a, x^* \rangle| \leq 1$ for all a in A . Since $A_1 \subseteq A$, $|\langle a, x^* \rangle| \leq 1$ for all a in A_1 as well. Therefore $x^* \in A_1^\circ$. Since x^* was chosen arbitrarily, $A^\circ \subseteq A_1^\circ$.

6 If $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, show that A is weakly bounded if and only if A° is absorbing in \mathcal{X}^*

Assume A is weakly bounded.

For every open set U containing 0, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon A \subseteq U$ (see definition 2.5 in IV. §2, pg 106). Take one such open set U_0 and its associated ε_0 . There exists x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* and $\hat{\varepsilon}_0$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in \mathcal{X} : |\langle x, x_k^* \rangle| < \hat{\varepsilon}\} \subseteq U_0$$

hmmm, still stuck here.

For an arbitrary x^* , we have to show that $|\langle a, tx^* \rangle| \leq 1$ for all a in A and $0 \leq t < \varepsilon$.