

**1 Let  $A$  be a commutative ring. Let  $M$  be a module, and  $N$  a submodule. Let  $N = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_r$  be a primary decomposition of  $N$ . Let  $\bar{Q}_i = Q_i/N$ . Show that  $0 = \bar{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{Q}_r$  is a primary decomposition of  $0$  in  $M/N$ . State and prove the converse.**

1. Each  $\bar{Q}_i$  is primary

Given  $a \in A$ ,  $a_{M/Q_i}$  is either injective or nilpotent, we must show that, given  $a \in A$ ,  $a_{(M/N)/(Q_i/N)}$  is either injective or nilpotent.

The function  $a_{M/Q_i}$  is a particular function from  $M/Q_i$  to itself. Via the isomorphism  $\sigma : M/Q_i \rightarrow (M/N)/(Q_i/N)$ , define  $\hat{a}$  as a function from  $(M/N)/(Q_i/N)$  to itself (Is just quoting the isomorphism theorem sufficient here?)

Since they are both multiplication by  $a$ ,  $a_{(M/N)/(Q_i/N)}$  and  $\hat{a}$  are the same function on  $(M/N)/(Q_i/N)$ . Thus if  $a_{M/Q_i}$  is injective (resp. nilpotent) then  $a_{(M/N)/(Q_i/N)}$  is injective (resp. nilpotent).

2. Their intersection is  $0 = \bar{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{Q}_r$

Assume this is false.

Take element  $a \neq (0) \in \bar{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{Q}_r$ . (Better way to write this?) The pre-image of  $a$  under the canonical homomorphism  $M \rightarrow M/N$  is also not in  $N$ , since  $N$  is exactly the kernel of this homomorphism.

However it  $a$  is in each  $\bar{Q}_i$  so its preimage has to be in  $Q_i$ , so has to be in  $N$ , a contradiction.

3. If  $0$  is primary decom, then  $N$  is primary decomp

Showing that  $Q_i$  is primary given that  $\bar{Q}_i$  is primary is identical to part 1 by following the isomorphism  $M/Q_i \cong (M/N)/(Q_i/N)$  the other direction.

The proof that the intersection is  $N$  is also directly analogous to part 2, shown here:

Assume it is false.

Take some  $x$  not in  $N$  but in  $N = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_r$ . Under the canonical homomorphism the image of  $x$  is in each of  $\bar{Q}_i$ , thus is  $0$ . However this is a contradiction since the image of  $x$  is non-zero.

**2 Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal and  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  be ideals of  $A$ . If  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , show that  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  or  $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ .**

If  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$ , prove that  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$

Pick  $a$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $b$  in  $\mathfrak{b}$  but not in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime,  $ab$  cannot be in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**3 Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a primary ideal. Let  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  be ideals, and assume  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{b}$  is finitely generated. Show that  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{q}$  or there exists some positive integer  $n$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}^n \subset \mathfrak{q}$ .**

If  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subset \mathfrak{q}$  and there is no integer  $n$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}^n \subset \mathfrak{q}$ , prove that  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \not\subset \mathfrak{q}$

Pick  $a$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$  but not in  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Pick  $b$  in  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that there is no integer  $n$  that  $b^n$  is in  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}$  is primary.... hmm can't seem to bring this one together.

**4 Let  $A$  be Noetherian and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideal. Show that there exists some  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}^n \subset \mathfrak{q}$**

$\mathfrak{q}$  being  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary means that the radical of  $\mathfrak{q}$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} = \{r \in A \mid r^n \in \mathfrak{q}\} = \mathfrak{p}$

This means for every  $p$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , there's an  $n$  such that  $p^n$  is in  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Note that if  $p^n$  is in  $\mathfrak{q}$ , then  $p^N$  where  $N > n$  is also in  $\mathfrak{q}$  (ideal absorbs multiplication from the ring).

Now just need to show there is a finite such  $n$ . This can be seen by observing that  $A$  Noetherian, so eventually raising to a power has to "stabilize", so there is some finite smallest  $n$  at which this occurs.

8. Let  $A$  be a local ring. Show that any idempotent  $\neq 0$  in  $A$  is necessary the unit element.

We have to show that  $e * e = e \Rightarrow e = 1$

If  $e$  is a unit, we're done (Left multiplication by  $e^{-1}$  shows  $e = 1$ ).

So assume  $e$  is not a unit. Then it must be in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  (otherwise it would generate a proper ideal not contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ ).