# **DATABASE DESIGN**

**(PT1: STAGE 3)** 

#### PART 1:

- The five tables created are Users, Diseases, Symptoms, Diagnosis and Medicines (with another table possible\_diseases which is the output of the advanced query 1)
- CREATE TABLE Users (UserID int NOT NULL PRIMARY KEY, FirstName Varchar(100) NOT NULL, LastName Varchar(100) NOT NULL, Height float, Weight float, Sex Varchar(1), Age int, Race Varchar(100), Email Varchar(100));

CREATE TABLE Diseases (DiseaseID int NOT NULL, DiseaseName Varchar(100) NOT NULL PRIMARY KEY, Rarity int);

CREATE TABLE Symptoms (MatchID int NOT NULL PRIMARY KEY, SymptomName Varchar(100) NOT NULL, DiseaseName Varchar(100), Severity int, FOREIGN KEY(DiseaseName) REFERENCES diseases(DiseaseName));

CREATE TABLE Diagnosis (DiagnosisID int AUTO\_INCREMENT NOT NULL PRIMARY KEY, UserID int NOT NULL, s1 Varchar(100) NOT NULL, s2 Varchar(100), s3 Varchar(100), DiseaseName Varchar(100), FOREIGN KEY (UserID) REFERENCES users(UserID), FOREIGN KEY (DiseaseName) REFERENCES diseases(DiseaseName));

CREATE TABLE Medicines (MedicineID int NOT NULL PRIMARY KEY, MedicineName Varchar(100) NOT NULL, Composition Varchar(500) NOT NULL, Uses Varchar(500) NOT NULL, SideEffects Varchar(1000) NOT NULL, ImageURL Varchar(500) NOT NULL, Manufacturer Varchar(300) NOT NULL, GoodReviewsPercent int NOT NULL, AvgReviewsPercent int NOT NULL, BadReviewsPercent int NOT NULL);

Screenshots of local connection:

```
MySQL JS > \sql
Switching to SQL mode... Commands end with ;
MySQL SQL > \connect root@localhost
Creating a session to 'root@localhost'
Fetching global names for auto-completion... Press ^C to st
Your MySQL connection id is 15 (X protocol)
Server version: 8.0.35 MySQL Community Server - GPL
No default schema selected; type \use <schema> to set one.
MySQL localhost:33060+ ssl SQL > use cs411
Default schema set to `cs411`.
```

```
MySQL localhost:33060+ ssl cs411 SQL > SELECT count(UserID) from Users;
 count(UserID)
          1200
 row in set (0.0028 sec)
MySQL localhost:33060+ ssl cs411 SQL > SELECT count(DiseaseID) from Diseases;
 count(DiseaseID)
              133
 row in set (0.0027 sec)
MySQL localhost:33060+ ssl cs411 SQL > SELECT count(MatchID) from Symptoms;
 count(MatchID)
           1866
 row in set (0.0029 sec)
MySQL localhost:33060+ ssl cs411 SQL > SELECT count(MedicineID) from Medicines;
 count(MedicineID)
             11825
 row in set (0.0402 sec)
MySQL localhost:33060+ ssl cs411 SQL > SELECT count(DiagnosisID) from Diagnosis;
 count(DiagnosisID)
                  5
 row in set (0.0027 sec)
```

3. To fill the tables, we used CSV files for Users, Diseases, Symptoms and Medicines tables (which have been added to the github repo) and hardcoded the Diagnosis table (since it would contain the userID and symptoms given to us when a user wants to find out their potential disease). The tables Users, Diseases and Symptoms have more than 100 rows each. The data from Diseases and Symptoms were made using various real datasets from Kaggle while Users were auto-generated. The code for inserting the data from the CSV files was:

LOAD DATA INFILE 'C:/ProgramData/MySQL/MySQL Server 8.0/Uploads/\*THE CSV FILE\*' INTO TABLE \*NAME OF THE TABLE\* FIELDS TERMINATED BY ',' ENCLOSED BY "" LINES TERMINATED BY '\n' IGNORE 1 ROWS;

To fill the Diagnosis table, we used a random UserID and upto 3 symptoms (but at least 1)

## 4. Query 1:

This query takes upto 3 symptoms from the most recent entry in the Diagnosis table and gives a combined list of all possible diseases that each symptom may suggest. We save this query in a seperate table 'possible diseases' using:

CREATE TABLE possible diseases AS (\*Query 1\*)

## Query 2:

```
SQL > SELECT diseases.DiseaseName FROM diseases JOIN
-> (SELECT DiseaseName, COUNT(DiseaseName) as count FROM possible_diseases GROUP BY DiseaseName
-> HAVING count = (SELECT MAX(incount) FROM (SELECT DiseaseName, COUNT(DiseaseName) as incount
-> FROM possible_diseases GROUP BY DiseaseName) as sub)) as countdis
-> ON countdis.DiseaseName = diseases.DiseaseName order by Rarity DESC;
```

This query gives us the most likely diseases from all possible diseases (by seeing which disease is repeated the most) and since they are ordered by descending rarity, the predicted disease that is entered in the Diagnosis table is the first one. Then we update our diagnosis table with the predicted disease:

UPDATE Diagnosis SET DiseaseName = \*Query 2 LIMIT 1\* WHERE DiagnosisID = (SELECT LAST\_INSERT\_ID());

## Query 3:

This query can tell the user what are the most severe symptoms associated with the predicted disease (to warn them).

## Query 4:

```
    SQL > SELECT symptoms.DiseaseName,COUNT(symptoms.SymptomName) as no_of_symptoms FROM symptoms JOIN
    -> (SELECT diagnosis.DiseaseName FROM diagnosis where diagnosis.DiagnosisID=(SELECT LAST_INSERT_ID())) as predicted_disease
    -> ON predicted_disease.DiseaseName = symptoms.DiseaseName GROUP BY symptoms.DiseaseName;
```

This query can tell the user how many more symptoms their predicted disease has.

5. Here, first the user enters a UserID and symptoms (i.e. most recent entry of the Diagnosis table):

### Output of Query 1 (with LIMIT 15):

```
NysQL localhost:33060+ ssl cs411 SQL (SELECT symptoms. DiseaseName FROM diagnosis where diagnosis.DiagnosisID=(SELECT LAST_INSERT_ID())

- as latest ON symptoms.SymptomName = latest.s1)

- UNION ALL

- (SELECT symptoms.DiseaseName FROM symptoms JOIN

- (SELECT diagnosis.s2 FROM diagnosis where diagnosis.DiagnosisID=(SELECT LAST_INSERT_ID()))

- as latest ON symptoms.SymptomName = latest.s2)

- UNION ALL

- (SELECT symptoms.DiseaseName FROM symptoms JOIN

- (SELECT symptoms.DiseaseName FROM symptoms JOIN

- (SELECT symptoms.DiseaseName FROM symptoms JOIN

- (SELECT diagnosis.s3 FROM diagnosis where diagnosis.DiagnosisID=(SELECT LAST_INSERT_ID()))

- as latest ON symptoms.SymptomName = latest.s3)

- LIMIT 15;

DiseaseName

| DiseaseName | |
| Alzheiner's disease | |
| acquired immuno-deficiency syndrome | |
| Alzheiner's disease | |
| asthma | |
| bronchitis | |
| chronic obstructive airway disease | |
| dementia | |
| endocarditis | |
| failure heart congestive | |
| hemorrhoids | |
| hem
```

Like explained above, Query 1 is saved in possible\_diseases

## Output of Query 2 (Output is less than 15):

Predicted disease is the most common Disease of this list which is the first one (as they are in descending order of rarity), so Diagnosis table is updated.

### Output of Query 3 (Output is less than 15):

## Output of Query 4 (Output is always one row):

### PART 2:

• Query 1:

### Default indexing -

```
-> Append (cost=567 rows=560) (actual time=0.0631..2.15 rows=45 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.062..0.698 rows=22 loops=1)
-> Filter: (symptoms.SymptomName = 'cough') (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.0594..0.691 rows=22 loops=1)
-> Table scan on symptoms (cost=189 rows=1866) (actual time=0.0224..0.555 rows=1866 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.0521..0.775 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Filter: (symptoms.SymptomName = 'chill') (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.0516..0.771 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Table scan on symptoms (cost=189 rows=1866) (actual time=0.0437..0.656 rows=1866 loops=1)
-> Filter: (symptoms.SymptomName = 'pain back') (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.0725..0.667 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Table scan on symptoms (cost=189 rows=1866) (actual time=0.0178..0.488 rows=1866 loops=1)

1 row in set, 3 warnings (0.0043 sec)
Note (code 1249): Select 3 was reduced during optimization
Note (code 1249): Select 6 was reduced during optimization
Note (code 1249): Select 6 was reduced during optimization
Note (code 1249): Select 9 was reduced during optimization
```

### Indexing Symptom(SymptomName) -

```
| -> Append (cost=15.8 rows=45) (actual time=0.0754..0.173 rows=45 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=7.7 rows=22) (actual time=0.0742..0.0833 rows=22 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='cough') (cost=7.7 rows=22) (actual time=0.0724..0.0777 rows=22 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=7.35 rows=21) (actual time=0.048.0.0544 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='chill') (cost=7.35 rows=21) (actual time=0.0474..0.0508 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='chill') (cost=7.75 rows=2) (actual time=0.0474..0.0508 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0283..0.0292 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0283..0.0292 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0283..0.0292 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0283..0.0292 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0474..0.0508 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0483..0.0292 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0474..0.0508 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0474..0.0508 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0483..0.0292 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0483..0.0292 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0483..0.0292 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Index lookup o
```

This gives us a performance gain, changing the total cost from 567 to 15.8 since the cost of finding each of the three symptoms in the join condition with the Symptom table is drastically reduced due to this indexing.

#### Indexing Symptom(SymptomName, DiseaseName) output-

```
| -> Append (cost=10.3 rows=45) (actual time=0.0174,.0.0552 rows=45 loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=4.67 rows=22) (actual time=0.0158..0.0289 rows=22 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='cough') (cost=4.67 rows=22) (actual time=0.0139..0.0245 rows=22 loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=4.5 rows=21) (actual time=0.019..0.0166 rows=21 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='chill') (cost=4.5 rows=21) (actual time=0.013..0.014 rows=21 loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.013..0.014 rows=21 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0039..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0039..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0039..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0039..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0039..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0139..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0139..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0139..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0139..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=1.13 rows=2) (actual time=0.0139..0.0047 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using i
```

This too gives us a performance gain, changing the total cost from 567 to 10.3 since the cost of listing all the diseases linked to the 3 symptoms and unioning them is further reduced due to this indexing.

Indexing Symptom(symptomName) and indexing on Symptom(SymptomName, DiseaseName) -

```
| -> Append (cost=9.87 rows=45) (actual time=0.0154..0.0688 rows=45 loops=1)
| -> Append (cost=9.67 rows=22) (actual time=0.0144..0.0235 rows=22 loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=4.67 rows=22) (actual time=0.0184..0.0235 rows=22 loops=1)
| -> Covering index lookup on symptoms using idx_2 (SymptomName='cough') (cost=4.67 rows=22) (actual time=0.0188..0.0185 rows=22 loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0139..0.012 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Stream results (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0199..0.0212 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Index lookup on symptoms using idx_1 (SymptomName='pain back') (cost=0.7 rows=2) (actual time=0.0195..0.0205 rows=2 loops=1)
```

This gives us the most performance gain due to a combination of the 2 factors above.

#### Indexing Diagnosis(s1, s2, s3) -

```
| -> Append (cost=567 rows=560) (actual time=0.0491..1.56 rows=45 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.0475..0.543 rows=22 loops=1)
-> Filter: (symptoms.SymptomName = 'cough') (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.0431..0.534 rows=22 loops=1)
-> Table scan on symptoms (cost=189 rows=1866) (actual time=0.0289..0.425 rows=1866 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.06..0.514 rows=21 loops=1)
-> Filter: (symptoms.SymptomName = 'chill') (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.0596..0.407 rows=1866 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=189 rows=1879 (actual time=0.0573..0.405 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.0573..0.405 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Filter: (symptoms.SymptomName = 'pain back') (cost=189 rows=187) (actual time=0.057..0.404 rows=2 loops=1)
-> Table scan on symptoms (cost=189 rows=1866) (actual time=0.0206..0.385 rows=1866 loops=1)

1 row in set, 3 warnings (0.0030 sec)
Note (code 1249): Select 3 was reduced during optimization
Note (code 1249): Select 6 was reduced during optimization
Note (code 1249): Select 9 was reduced during optimization
Note (code 1249): Select 9 was reduced during optimization
```

This does not cause any change in performance since we only access the most recent entry from the diagnosis table so since there is only one record available anyway, indexing it does not affect performance.

<u>Final Index Design</u>: The final index design we selected was Indexing Symptom(symptomName) and indexing on Symptom(SymptomName, DiseaseName) as cost of finding each of the three symptoms in the join condition is most reduced for this design.

# • Query 2:

## Default indexing -

```
| -> Spring diseases Review (SEC (section) times (SEC) as (SEC) as
```

## Indexing possible diseases(diseaseName) -

This gives us a loss in performance, since it increases the cost of aggregation of the DiseaseName in possible\_diseases.

## Indexing Disease(rarity) -

```
>> Stream results (cost=23.7 rows=0) (actual time=0.204. 0.27W rows=8 loops=1)

-> Netted loop inner join (cost=23.7 srows=0) (actual time=0.220. 0.2.7 rows=8 loops=1)

-> Filter: (countdis.DiseaseMame is not null) (cost=0.168.7.56 rows=105) (actual time=0.237..0.238 rows=8 loops=1)

-> Table scan on countdis (cost=2.6.2.5 rows=0) (actual time=0.237..0.238 rows=8 loops=1)

-> Natorinc (count=0.60 rows=0.60 rows=0
```

This does not cause any change in performance since rarity is only used to order the final list of likely diseases, not select them so indexing it does not affect performance.

<u>Final Index Design</u>: The final index design we selected was the default indexing as the only relevant attribute for this query is Diseases(DiseaseName) which is a Primary Key and so is already indexed.

• Query 3 :

### Default-

```
| -> Mested loop inner join (cost=73.4 rows=191) (actual time=2.87..2.9 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Filter: (sc.DiseaseName = (select #3)) and (s.Severity is not null)] (cost=6.65 rows=19) (actual time=0.84461..0.8639 rows=19 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on s using DiseaseName(cost=0.4 rows=1) (cost=6.65 rows=19) (actual time=0.0441..0.8587 rows=19 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable)
-> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=53.3e-6..80e-6 rows=1 loops=45)
-> Filter: (severity_disease.DiseaseName = (select #3)) (cost=350..2.56 rows=10) (actual time=0.149..0.149 rows=0.158 loops=19)
-> Covering index lookup on severity_disease using quita_key00 (biseaseName=(sete #3)) (actual time=0.149..0.149 rows=10) (cost=389..391 rows=10) (actual time=0.149..0.149 rows=0.158 loops=19)
-> Materialize (cost=80..302 rows=13..2 rows=133) (actual time=0.123..2.67 rows=133 loops=1)
-> Cost=300 rows=100 ro
```

### Indexing Symptoms(SymptomName) output-

```
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=73.4 rows=191) (actual time=2.76..2.8 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Filter: ((s. DiseaseName = (select #3)) and (s. Severity is not null)) (cost=6.65 rows=19) (actual time=0.0952..0.8694 rows=19 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on s using DiseaseName (DiseaseName.Select #3)) (cost=6.65 rows=19) (actual time=0.0498..0.856 rows=19 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable) rows=1) (actual time=0.0498..0.856 rows=19 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable) rows=1) (actual time=0.1408..0.100 rows=0.158 loops=19)
-> Filter: (severity disease DiseaseName (cost=380 rows=10) (actual time=0.143..0.100 rows=0.158 loops=19)
-> Covering index lookup on severity disease using cauto keybe (DiseaseNames(select #3), maxs=5.Severity) (cost=389..391 rows=10) (actual time=0.143..0.143 rows=0.158 loops=19)
-> Naterialize (cost=380 s.389 rows=133) (actual time=2.69..2 (0.9 rows=133 loops=1)
-> Group aggregate: max(symptoms.Severity) (cost=397 rows=133 loops=1)
-> Index scan on symptoms using DiseaseName (cost=189 rows=1866) (actual time=0.117..2.1 rows=1866 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable)
-> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0..0 rows=1) (actual time=55.6e-6..91.1e-6 rows=1 loops=45)
```

This does not change the performance of the query as we can see that the cost at every step remains the same. This is because our query only displays SymptomName and does not use it in any computation.

## Indexing Symptoms(DiseaseName, Severity)

```
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=11.1 rows=20.7) (actual time=0.952..0.958 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Fitter: ((severity_disease.biseaseMame = (select #3)) and (severity_disease.maxs is not null)) (cost=125..3.85 rows=11) (actual time=0.935..0.936 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Covering index lookup on severity_disease using ratuo_key0 (DiseaseMame=(select #3)) (cost=137..141 rows=11) (actual time=0.933..0.934 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Covering index skip scan for grouping on symptoms using idx_1 (cost=123 rows=134) (actual time=0.0244..0.824 rows=133 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable)
-> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1.80) (actual time=0.0153..0.0202 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Filter: (s.DiseaseMame = (select #3)) (cost=0.407 rows=1.88) (actual time=0.0153..0.0202 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable)
-> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=0.0153..0.0202 rows=1.88) (actual time=0.0146..0.0187 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable)
-> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.0 rows=1) (actual time=77.8e-6..111e-6 rows=1 loops=9)
```

This gave us a great performance gain as the nested loop which was joined with the Symptoms table (predicted\_disease) could be computed with less cost as well as the join itself since this indexing allows for faster data access.

### Indexing Symptoms(DiseaseName, Severity, SymptomName)

```
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=16.3 rows=20.7) (actual time=1.14..1.15 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Filter: ((severity_disease_DiseaseHame = (select #3)) and (severity_disease_maxs is not null)) (cost=166..3.85 rows=11) (actual time=1.13..1.13 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Covering index Notwup on severity_disease_using_actub_(eygs_0.0) (cost=185..188 rows=11) (actual time=1.12..1.13 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Naterialize (cost=184..184 rows=124) (actual time=1.12..1.12 rows=133 loops=1)
-> Covering index Nskip scan for grouping on symptoms using idx_1 (cost=1717 rows=134) (actual time=0.0241..0.993 rows=133 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable)
-> Pows fetched before execution (cost=0.8 rows=1) (actual time=0.0121..0.0175 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Filter: (s.DiseaseName = (select #3)) (cost=0.962 rows=1.88) (actual time=0.0121..0.0175 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Covering index lookup on s using idx_1 (DiseaseName=(select #3), Severity=severity_disease.maxs) (cost=0.962 rows=1.88) (actual time=0.0112..0.0153 rows=3 loops=1)
-> Select #3 (subquery in condition; uncacheable)
-> Rows fetched before execution (cost=0.8 rows=1) (actual time=100e-6..144e-6 rows=1 loops=9)
-> Trow in set. 1 warning (0.0028 sec)
```

This indexing does increase performance when compared to the default query but when compared to indexing Symptoms(DiseaseName, Severity) the performance decreases. This is because indexing SymptomName as well increases the cost of the join.

<u>Final Index Design</u>: The final index design we selected was indexing Symptoms(DiseaseName, Severity) since it gave us the greatest performance gain (cost of the nested loop and the join decreased).

• Query 4:

#### Default-

# Indexing Symptoms(SymptomName)-

This does not change the performance of the query as we can see that the cost at every step remains the same. This is because our query only displays the aggregate of SymptomName so the indexing doesn't matter.

## Indexing Symptoms(SymptomName, DiseaseName)-

The indexing does not change performance due to the same reason as above. Since our query's join and group by condition is focused only on DiseaseName, the performance is the same.

## Indexing Symptoms(DiseaseName, SymptomName)-

This indexing improves the performance of our query. This is because the cost of grouping is decreased and this indexing allows for faster data access.

<u>Final Index Design</u>: The final index design we selected was indexing Symptoms(DiseaseName, SymptomName) as it improved the efficiency of our query the most. The cost of grouping was decreased and this indexing allows for the fastest data access.