

Ergodicity for singular SDEs driven by fBm

Łukasz Mądry (Université de Bretagne Occidentale)
Joint with: A. Mayorcas (University of Bath)

Young Researchers in Stochastic Analysis and Stochastic Geometric Analysis

Lausanne

22 IX 2025

Setting

$(W_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Gaussian process such that:

$$\mathbb{E} [W_t^H W_s^H] = \frac{1}{2} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H})$$

Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and consider:

$$dX_t = g(X_t)dt + u(X_t)dt + dW_t^H \quad (1)$$

1. $g \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ for $\alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{2H}$ (in particular $\alpha < 0$)
2. confining potential u , $(u(x) - u(y), x - y) \leq -\lambda|x - y|^2$

Problems: well-posedness, invariant measure, uniform in time propagation of chaos

Reminder : $g \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ scales

$$\forall \lambda > 0 \quad g(\lambda x) \simeq \lambda^\alpha g(x)$$

Ergodic theory for singular SDEs

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t g(X_s)ds - \int_0^t X_s ds + W_t$$

$g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$: Cauchy-Lipschitz + coupling

$g \in L^q(B_R(0)) \cap L^\infty(B_R(0)^c)$: For $q > d$, strong well-posedness by Krylov–Röckner, uniqueness of IM by PDE analysis (Zhang-Zhao '18)

$g(x) = \frac{1}{x} \frac{\beta-1}{2}$: Well-posedness on \mathbb{R} for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ (confined Bessel)
mutually singular invariant measures for $\beta \leq 0$ and $\beta \geq 2$.

$g(x) = \nabla(|x|^{-p+1})$: Conditional well-posedness for $d-1 \leq p < d+1$ by reflective singularity, Gibbs measures (Serfaty, Duerinckx and others)

our case - only regularity counts

Our result

$$dx_t = g(x_t)dt + u(x_t)dt + dW_t^H \quad (2)$$

Theorem (M.-Mayorcas '25+)

Let $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $g \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{2H}$, let u be a confining potential satisfying

$$(u(x) - u(y), x - y) \leq -|x - y|^2$$

. Then:

1. The equation (2) is well-posed for all $t \geq 0$.
2. There exists exactly one invariant measure of X_t
3. X has Gaussian tails, i.e. there exists $\kappa_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\forall \kappa < \kappa_0 \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\kappa \left(|X_t|^2 + \|X\|_{C^{H-\delta};[t,t+1]}^2 \right) \right) \right] \lesssim 1$$

Remark - if $H < 1/4$, g can be Dirac delta.

Regularisation by noise

Solution on finite interval $[0, T]$, $T > 0$:

Theorem (Catellier-Gubinelli 16', Galeati-Gubinelli 22' and many others)

If $g \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{2H}$, then

$$dx_t = g(x_t)dt + dW_t^H$$

has a path-by-path unique solution.

Tools: sewing lemmas, regularising properties of Gaussian r.v., Young integration, Girsanov theorem

Key idea, let $E = \mathcal{C}^\beta L_\omega^m$, $\beta > 1/2$, $m \geq 2$:

$$\left\| \int_0^\cdot g(W_r^H + \varphi_r)dr - \int_0^\cdot g(W_r^H + \psi_r)dr \right\|_E \leq C(\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha}) \|\varphi - \psi\|_E$$

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

Let W^H be a standard fractional Brownian motion, ψ a deterministic path and define Y_t as:

$$Y_t^\psi = Y_0 + \int_0^t b(Y_r) dr + W_t^H + \psi_t \quad b(x) = -\lambda x$$

$$Y_t^0 \sim_{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{N} \left(Y_0 e^{-\lambda t}, C_{H,t} \right) \quad C_{H,t} \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} C_{H,\infty}$$

Three key properties:

1. $\sup_{t>0} \|Y_t\|_{L_\omega^m} \lesssim \sqrt{m}$ (tightness)
2. Law continuous in starting point and ψ (strong Feller)
3. $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \mathbb{P}(Y_t \in A) > 0$ (irreducibility)

Nonlinear b - mimic 1),2),3) $\Rightarrow \exists!$ inv. measure (Hairer-Ohashi '07)

+ a technical property of quasi-Markovianity

Tightness - random ODE approach for $g \in \mathcal{C}_b^1$

$$\rho = x - y \quad d\rho_t = g(\rho_t + y_t)dt + u(\rho_t + y_t)dt + y_t dt$$

ρ is a **random ODE**, not an SDE, so that **we do not need Ito calculus** and we can use standard change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d|\rho_t|^2}{dt} &= (\rho_t, g(\rho_t + y_t)) + (\rho_t, u(\rho_t + y_t)) + (\rho_t, y_t) \\ &\leq (\rho_t, g(\rho_t + y_t)) - \lambda |\rho|^2 + (\rho_t, y_t - u(y_t)) \end{aligned}$$

Variation of constants:

$$|\rho_t|^2 \leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} (\rho_r, g(\rho_r + y_r)) dr + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} (\rho_r, |y_r| + |u(y_r)|) dr \quad (3)$$

Gaussian tightness

Let $C > 0$. Via a **modification** of a stochastic sewing lemma

$$\sup_{t>0} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} (\rho_r, g(\rho_r + y_r)) dr \right\|_{L_\omega^m} \lesssim_{\|g\|_{C^\alpha}} 2^{-C} \|\rho\|_{L_\omega^m}^2 + 2^C \sqrt{m} \|\rho\|_{L_\omega^m}$$

1. constant \sqrt{m} - optimal BDG constant
2. balancing $C > 0$ - modifying the sewing proof

With a good choice of $C > 0$:

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty L_\omega^{2m}}^2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty L_\omega^m} + \|g\|_{C^\alpha} + 2^C m + \|Y\|_{L_\omega^m}$$

As a result

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty L_\omega^m} \lesssim \sqrt{m}$$

Uniqueness of invariant measure

Let $(x, t, W^H) \rightarrow \Phi(x, t, W^H)$ be a solution map, where $x_0 = x$.

1. Strong Feller:

1.1 we need to get good bounds on the Jacobian:

$$D_x \Phi(x, t, W^H) = I + \int_0^t (\nabla b)(X_r) D_x \Phi(x, r, W^H) dr$$

Building up on the tightness results:

$$\sup_{t>0} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\kappa \left\| \int_0^{\cdot} (\nabla g)(X_r) dr \right\|_{C^{1+H(\alpha-1)-\delta}}^2 \right) \right]$$

1.2 $\Phi(x, t, W^H + \psi) \rightarrow_{\|\psi\| \rightarrow 0} \Phi(x, t, W^H)$ if ψ deterministic,
proof by interpolation in sewing

2. Irreducibility - by Girsanov

$$\tau \simeq 0 \quad \mathbb{P}(X_\tau \in A) \simeq \mathbb{P}(W_\tau^H \in A)$$

Applications? What's next?

1. **Sampling**: numerical studies to investigate the shape of invariant measure.
2. Shape of invariant measure around the origin

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\kappa |X_t|^\beta \mathbf{1}_{[X_t \neq 0]} \right) \right] \quad \beta < 0$$

What value of β ?

3. **Interacting particle systems**: Uniform in time propagation of chaos and invariant measure of McKean-Vlasov.

$$dx_t^i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N g(x_t^i - x_t^j) dt + u(x_t^i) dt + \epsilon(N) dW_t^{H,i}$$

asymptotics for an invariant measure for well-chosen $\epsilon(N)$?