

# Functional Programming

BY ŁUKASZ STAFINIAK

*Email:* lukstafi@gmail.com, lukstafi@ii.uni.wroc.pl

*Web:* [www.ii.uni.wroc.pl/~lukstafi](http://www.ii.uni.wroc.pl/~lukstafi)

## Lecture 4: Functions.

Programming in untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus.

*Introduction to Lambda Calculus* Henk Barendregt, Erik Barendsen

*Lecture Notes on the Lambda Calculus* Peter Selinger

# Review: a “computation by hand” example

Let's compute some larger, recursive program.

Recall that we use `fix` instead of `let rec` to simplify rules for recursion.

Also remember our syntactic conventions:

`fun x y -> e` stands for `fun x -> (fun y -> e)`, etc.

`let rec fix f x = f (fix f) x` Preparations.

`type int_list = Nil | Cons of int * int_list`

We will evaluate (reduce) the following expression.

```
let length =  
  fix (fun f l ->  
    match l with  
    | Nil -> 0  
    | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs) in  
length (Cons (1, (Cons (2, Nil))))
```

```

let length =
  fix (fun f l ->
    match l with
    | Nil -> 0
    | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs) in
length (Cons (1, (Cons (2, Nil))))

```

$$\text{let } x = v \text{ in } a \Downarrow a[x := v]$$

```

fix (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs) (Cons (1, (Cons (2, Nil))))

```

$$\text{fix}^2 v_1 v_2 \Downarrow v_1 (\text{fix}^2 v_1) v_2$$

$$\text{fix}^2 v_1 v_2 \Downarrow v_1 (\text{fix}^2 v_1) v_2$$

```

(fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)
(fix (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)))
(Cons (1, (Cons (2, Nil))))

```

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a'_1 a_2$$

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a'_1 a_2$$

```
(fun l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + (fix (fun f l ->
    match l with
    | Nil -> 0
    | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) xs)
(Cons (1, (Cons (2, Nil)))))
```

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \Downarrow a[x := v]$$

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \Downarrow a[x := v]$$

```
(match Cons (1, (Cons (2, Nil))) with
| Nil -> 0
| Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + (fix (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) xs)
```

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ with} \\ C_2^n(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightarrow a \mid \text{pm} \Downarrow \text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ \hspace{15em} \text{with pm} \end{array}$$

```

match  $C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  with
   $C_2^n(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightarrow a$  | pm  $\Downarrow$  match  $C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ 
                                     with pm

```

```

(match Cons (1, (Cons (2, Nil)))) with
| Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + (fix (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) xs)

```

```

match  $C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  with
   $C_1^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow a$  | ...  $\Downarrow$   $a[x_1 := v_1; \dots; x_n := v_n]$ 

```

`match  $C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  with`

`$C_1^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow a \mid \dots \Downarrow a[x_1 := v_1; \dots; x_n := v_n]$`

`1 + (fix (fun f l ->`

`match l with`

`| Nil -> 0`

`| Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) (Cons (2, Nil))`

$\text{fix}^2 v_1 v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 (\text{fix}^2 v_1) v_2$

$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$



$$\begin{array}{c} \text{fix}^2 v_1 v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 (\text{fix}^2 v_1) v_2 \\ a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2 \end{array}$$

```
1 + (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs))
(fix (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) (Cons (2, Nil))
```

$$\begin{array}{c} (\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v] \\ a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2 \end{array}$$

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$$

```
1 + (fun l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + (fix (fun f l ->
    match l with
    | Nil -> 0
    | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) xs))
(Cons (2, Nil))
```

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$$

```
1 + (match Cons (2, Nil) with
    | Nil -> 0
    | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + (fix (fun f l ->
        match l with
        | Nil -> 0
        | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) xs))
```

match  $C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  with

$C_2^n(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightarrow a \mid \text{pm} \rightsquigarrow \text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$   
with pm

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ with} \\ &\quad C_2^n(p_1, \dots, p_k) \rightarrow a \mid \text{pm} \rightsquigarrow \text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{with pm} \\ &\hspace{10em} a_1 a_2 \quad \Downarrow \quad a_1 a'_2 \end{aligned}$$

```
1 + (match Cons (2, Nil) with
    | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + (fix (fun f l ->
        match l with
        | Nil -> 0
        | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) xs)
```

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ with} \\ &\quad C_1^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow a \mid \dots \Downarrow a[x_1 := v_1; \dots; x_n := v_n] \end{aligned}$$

```

match C1n(v1, ..., vn) with
  C1n(x1, ..., xn) -> a | ...  ~⇒  a[x1 := v1; ...; xn := vn]
                                ↘
                                a1 a2  ↘  a1 a'2

```

```

1 + (1 + (fix (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) Nil)

```

```

fix2 v1 v2 ~⇒ v1 (fix2 v1) v2
                                ↘
                                a1 a2  ↘  a1 a'2
                                ↘
                                a1 a2  ↘  a1 a'2

```

$$\text{fix}^2 v_1 v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 (\text{fix}^2 v_1) v_2$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$$

```
1 + (1 + (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs) (fix (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) Nil)
```

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$a_1 a_2 \Downarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$a_1 a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1 a'_2$$

```
1 + (1 + (fun l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + (fix (fun f l ->
    match l with
    | Nil -> 0
    | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) xs) Nil)
```

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$a_1 a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$a_1 a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1 a'_2$$

```
1 + (1 + (match Nil with
| Nil -> 0
| Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + (fix (fun f l ->
  match l with
  | Nil -> 0
  | Cons (x, xs) -> 1 + f xs)) xs))
```

match  $C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  with

$$C_1^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow a \mid \dots \rightsquigarrow a[x_1 := v_1; \dots; x_n := v_n]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1 a'_2$$

$$a_1 a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1 a'_2$$



match  $C_1^n(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  with

$$C_1^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow a \quad | \quad \dots \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad a[x_1 := v_1; \dots; x_n := v_n]$$

$$a_1 a_2 \quad \Downarrow \quad a_1 a'_2$$

$$a_1 a_2 \quad \Downarrow \quad a_1 a'_2$$

$$1 + (1 + 0)$$

$$f^n v_1 \dots v_n \rightsquigarrow f(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

$$a_1 a_2 \quad \Downarrow \quad a_1 a'_2$$

$$1 + 1$$

$$f^n v_1 \dots v_n \quad \Downarrow \quad f(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

$$2$$

# Language and rules of the untyped $\lambda$ -calculus

- First, let's forget about types.
- Next, let's introduce a shortcut:
  - We write  $\lambda x.a$  for `fun  $x \rightarrow a$` ,  $\lambda xy.a$  for `fun  $x \ y \rightarrow a$` , etc.
- Let's forget about all other constructions, only `fun` and variables.
- The real  $\lambda$ -calculus has a more general reduction:

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a_1) a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1[x := a_2]$$

(called  $\beta$ -reduction) and uses *bound variable renaming* (called  $\alpha$ -conversion), or some other trick, to avoid *variable capture*. But let's not over-complicate things.

- We will look into the  $\beta$ -reduction rule in the **laziness** lecture.
- Why is  $\beta$ -reduction more general than the rule we use?

# Booleans

- Alonzo Church introduced  $\lambda$ -calculus to encode logic.
- There are multiple ways to encode various sorts of data in  $\lambda$ -calculus. Not all of them make sense in a typed setting, i.e. the straightforward encode/decode functions do not type-check for them.
- Define  $c\_true = \lambda x y. x$  and  $c\_false = \lambda x y. y$ .
- Define  $c\_and = \lambda x y. x y c\_false$ . Check that it works!
  - I.e. that  $c\_and\ c\_true\ c\_true = c\_true$ ,  
otherwise  $c\_and\ a\ b = c\_false$ .

```
let c_true = fun x y -> x           "True" is projection on the first argument.
let c_false = fun x y -> y          And "false" on the second argument.
let c_and = fun x y -> x y c_false   If one is false, then return false.
let encode_bool b = if b then c_true else c_false
let decode_bool c = c true false    Test the functions in the toplevel.
```

- Define  $c\_or$  and  $c\_not$  yourself!

# If-then-else and pairs

- We will just use the OCaml syntax from now.

```
let if_then_else = fun b -> b
```

Booleans select the argument!

Remember to play with the functions in the toplevel.

```
let c_pair m n = fun x -> x m n
```

We couple things

```
let c_first = fun p -> p c_true
```

by passing them together.

```
let c_second = fun p -> p c_false
```

Check that it works!

```
let encode_pair enc_fst enc_snd (a, b) =  
  c_pair (enc_fst a) (enc_snd b)
```

```
let decode_pair de_fst de_snd c = c (fun x y -> de_fst x, de_snd y)
```

```
let decode_bool_pair c = decode_pair decode_bool decode_bool c
```

- We can define larger tuples in the same manner:

```
let c_triple l m n = fun x -> x l m n
```

# Pair-encoded natural numbers

- Our first encoding of natural numbers is as the depth of nested pairs whose rightmost leaf is  $\lambda x.x$  and whose left elements are `c_false`.

```
let pn0 = fun x -> x                                Start with the identity function.  
let pn_succ n = c_pair c_false n                      Stack another pair.
```

```
let pn_pred = fun x -> x c_false                      [Explain these functions.]  
let pn_is_zero = fun x -> x c_true
```

We program in untyped lambda calculus as an exercise, and we need encoding / decoding to verify our exercises, so using “magic” for encoding / decoding is “fair game”.

```
let rec encode_pnat n =                                We use Obj.magic to forget types.  
  if n <= 0 then Obj.magic pn0  
  else pn_succ (Obj.magic (encode_pnat (n-1)))          Disregarding types,  
let rec decode_pnat pn =                                these functions are straightforward!  
  if decode_bool (pn_is_zero pn) then 0  
  else 1 + decode_pnat (pn_pred (Obj.magic pn))
```

# Church numerals (natural numbers in Ch. enc.)

- Do you remember our function `power f n`? We will use its variant for a different representation of numbers:

```
let cn0 = fun f x -> x
```

The same as `c_false`.

```
let cn1 = fun f x -> f x
```

Behaves like identity.

```
let cn2 = fun f x -> f (f x)
```

```
let cn3 = fun f x -> f (f (f x))
```

- This is the original Alonzo Church encoding.

```
let cn_succ = fun n f x -> f (n f x)
```

- Define addition, multiplication, comparing to zero, and the predecessor function “-1” for Church numerals.
- Turns out even Alonzo Church couldn’t define predecessor right away! But try to make some progress before you turn to the next slide.
  - His student Stephen Kleene found it.

```

let rec encode_cnat n f =
  if n <= 0 then (fun x -> x) else f -| encode_cnat (n-1) f
let decode_cnat n = n ((+) 1) 0
let cn7 f x = encode_cnat 7 f x
let cn13 f x = encode_cnat 13 f x

let cn_add = fun n m f x -> n f (m f x)
let cn_mult = fun n m f -> n (m f)

let cn_prev n =
  fun f x ->
    n
    (fun g v -> v (g f))
    (fun z->x)
    (fun z->z)

```

We need to *η-expand* these definitions for type-system reasons.  
 (Because OCaml allows *side-effects*.)  
 Put *n* of *f* in front.  
 Repeat *n* times putting *m* of *f* in front.  
 This is the “Church numeral signature”.  
 The only thing we have is an *n*-step loop.  
 We need sth that operates on *f*.  
 We need to ignore the innermost step.  
 We’ve build a “machine” not results – start the machine.

*cn\_is\_zero* left as an exercise.

```
decode_cnat (cn_prev cn3)
```

↷

```
(cn_prev cn3) ((+) 1) 0
```

↷

```
(fun f x ->  
  cn3  
    (fun g v -> v (g f))  
    (fun z->x)  
    (fun z->z)) ((+) 1) 0
```

↷

```
((fun f x -> f (f (f x)))  
  (fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))  
  (fun z->0)  
  (fun z->z))
```

↷



```

((fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))
  ((fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))
    ((fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))
      (fun z->0))))
(fun z->z))

```

↴

```

((fun z->z)
  (((fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))
    ((fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))
      (fun z->0)))) ((+) 1)))

```

↴

```

(fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))
  ((fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))
    (fun z->0)) ((+) 1)

```

↴

```
((+) 1) ((fun g v -> v (g ((+) 1)))  
         (fun z->0) ((+) 1))
```

↘

```
((+) 1) (((+) 1) ((fun z->0) ((+) 1)))
```

↘

```
((+) 1) (((+) 1) (0))
```

↘

```
((+) 1) 1
```

↘

2

# Recursion: Fixpoint Combinator

- Turing's fixpoint combinator:  $\Theta = (\lambda x y. y (x x y)) (\lambda x y. y (x x y))$

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \Theta F \\ &= (\lambda x y. y (x x y)) (\lambda x y. y (x x y)) F \\ &\Rightarrow_{\rightarrow\rightarrow} F ((\lambda x y. y (x x y)) (\lambda x y. y (x x y)) F) \\ &= F (\Theta F) = FN \end{aligned}$$

- Curry's fixpoint combinator:  $Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$

$$\begin{aligned} N &= YF \\ &= (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) F \\ &\Rightarrow_{\rightarrow} (\lambda x. F (x x)) (\lambda x. F (x x)) \\ &\Rightarrow_{\rightarrow} F ((\lambda x. F (x x)) (\lambda x. F (x x))) \\ &\Rightarrow_{\leftarrow} F ((\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) F) \\ &= F (YF) = FN \end{aligned}$$

- Call-by-value *fixpoint* combinator:  $\lambda f'.(\lambda f x.f'(ff) x) (\lambda f x.f'(ff) x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= \text{fix } F \\
&= (\lambda f'.(\lambda f x.f'(ff) x) (\lambda f x.f'(ff) x)) F \\
&\Rightarrow (\lambda f x.F(ff) x) (\lambda f x.F(ff) x) \\
&\Rightarrow \lambda x.F((\lambda f x.F(ff) x) (\lambda f x.F(ff) x)) x \\
&=\leftarrow \lambda x.F((\lambda f'.(\lambda f x.f'(ff) x) (\lambda f x.f'(ff) x)) F) x \\
&= \lambda x.F(\text{fix } F) x = \lambda x.FNx \\
&=_{\eta} FN
\end{aligned}$$

- The  $\lambda$ -terms we have seen above are **fixpoint combinators** – means inside  $\lambda$ -calculus to perform recursion.
- What is the problem with the first two combinators?

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta F &\rightsquigarrow\rightsquigarrow F((\lambda x y.y(xy))(\lambda x y.y(xy)) F) \\
&\rightsquigarrow\rightsquigarrow F(F((\lambda x y.y(xy))(\lambda x y.y(xy)) F)) \\
&\rightsquigarrow\rightsquigarrow F(F(F((\lambda x y.y(xy))(\lambda x y.y(xy)) F))) \\
&\rightsquigarrow\rightsquigarrow \dots
\end{aligned}$$

- Recall the distinction between *expressions* and *values* from the previous lecture *Computation*.
- The reduction rule for  $\lambda$ -calculus is just meant to determine which expressions are considered “equal” – it is highly *non-deterministic*, while on a computer, computation needs to go one way or another.
- Using the general reduction rule of  $\lambda$ -calculus, for a recursive definition, it is always possible to find an infinite reduction sequence (which means that you couldn’t complain when a nasty  $\lambda$ -calculus compiler generates infinite loops for all recursive definitions).
  - Why?
- Therefore, we need more specific rules. For example, most languages use  $(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$ , which is called *call-by-value*, or **eager** computation (because the program *eagerly* computes the arguments before starting to compute the function). (It’s exactly the rule we introduced in *Computation* lecture.)

- What happens with call-by-value fixpoint combinator?

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fix } F &\rightsquigarrow (\lambda f x. F (f f) x) (\lambda f x. F (f f) x) \\ &\rightsquigarrow \lambda x. F ((\lambda f x. F (f f) x) (\lambda f x. F (f f) x)) x\end{aligned}$$

Voila – if we use  $(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a) v \rightsquigarrow a[x := v]$  as the rule rather than  $(\text{fun } x \rightarrow a_1) a_2 \rightsquigarrow a_1[x := a_2]$ , the computation stops. Let's compute the function on some input:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fix } F v &\rightsquigarrow (\lambda f x. F (f f) x) (\lambda f x. F (f f) x) v \\ &\rightsquigarrow (\lambda x. F ((\lambda f x. F (f f) x) (\lambda f x. F (f f) x)) x) v \\ &\rightsquigarrow F ((\lambda f x. F (f f) x) (\lambda f x. F (f f) x)) v \\ &\rightsquigarrow F (\lambda x. F ((\lambda f x. F (f f) x) (\lambda f x. F (f f) x)) x) v \\ &\rightsquigarrow \text{depends on } F\end{aligned}$$

- Why the name *fixpoint*? If you look at our derivations, you'll see that they show what in math can be written as  $x = f(x)$ . Such values  $x$  are called fixpoints of  $f$ . An arithmetic function can have several fixpoints, for example  $f(x) = x^2$  (which  $x$ es are fixpoints?) or no fixpoints, for example  $f(x) = x + 1$ .
- When you define a function (or another object) by recursion, it has very similar meaning: there is a name that is on both sides of  $=$ .
- In  $\lambda$ -calculus, there are functions like  $\Theta$  and  $Y$ , that take *any* function as an argument, and return its fixpoint.
- We turn a specification of a recursive object into a definition, by solving it with respect to the recurring name: deriving  $x = f(x)$  where  $x$  is the recurring name. We then have  $x = \text{fix}(f)$ .

- Let's walk through it for the factorial function (we omit the prefix `cn_` – could be `pn_` if `pn1` was used instead of `cn1` – for numeric functions, and we shorten `if_then_else` into `if_t_e`):

```
fact n = if_t_e (is_zero n) cn1 (mult n (fact (pred n)))  
fact = λn.if_t_e (is_zero n) cn1 (mult n (fact (pred n)))  
fact = (λfn.if_t_e (is_zero n) cn1 (mult n (f (pred n)))) fact  
fact = fix (λfn.if_t_e (is_zero n) cn1 (mult n (f (pred n))))
```

The last specification is a valid definition: we just give a name to a (*ground*, a.k.a. *closed*) expression.

- We have seen how `fix` works already!
  - Compute `fact cn2`.
- What does `fix (fun x -> cn_succ x)` mean?



# Encoding of Lists and Trees

- A list is either empty, which we often call `Empty` or `Nil`, or it consists of an element followed by another list (called “tail”), the other case often called `Cons`.
- Define `nil` =  $\lambda x y. y$  and `cons`  $HT = \lambda x y. x HT$ .
- Add numbers stored inside a list:

$$\text{addlist } l = l (\lambda h t. \text{cn\_add } h (\text{addlist } t)) \text{ cn0}$$

To make a proper definition, we need to apply `fix` to the solution of above equation.

$$\text{addlist} = \text{fix } (\lambda f l. l (\lambda h t. \text{cn\_add } h (f t)) \text{ cn0})$$

- For trees, let's use a different form of binary trees than so far: instead of keeping elements in inner nodes, we will keep elements in leaves.
- Define leaf  $n = \lambda x y. x n$  and node  $LR = \lambda x y. y L R$ .
- Add numbers stored inside a tree:

$$\text{addtree } t = t (\lambda n. n) (\lambda l r. \text{cn\_add} (\text{addtree } l) (\text{addtree } r))$$

and, in solved form:

$$\text{addtree} = \text{fix} (\lambda f t. t (\lambda n. n) (\lambda l r. \text{cn\_add} (f l) (f r)))$$

```

let nil = fun x y -> y
let cons h t = fun x y -> x h t
let addlist l =
    fix (fun f l -> l (fun h t -> cn_add h (f t)) cn0) l
;;
decode_cnat
    (addlist (cons cn1 (cons cn2 (cons cn7 nil)))));;
let leaf n = fun x y -> x n
let node l r = fun x y -> y l r
let addtree t =
    fix (fun f t ->
        t (fun n -> n) (fun l r -> cn_add (f l) (f r))
    ) t
;;
decode_cnat
    (addtree (node (node (leaf cn3) (leaf cn7))
        (leaf cn1))));;

```

- Observe a regularity: when we encode a variant type with  $n$  variants, for each variant we define a function that takes  $n$  arguments.
- If the  $k$ th variant  $C_k$  has  $m_k$  parameters, then the function  $c_k$  that encodes it will have the form:

$$C_k(v_1, \dots, v_{m_k}) \sim c_k v_1 \dots v_{m_k} = \lambda x_1 \dots x_n. x_k v_1 \dots v_{m_k}$$

- The encoded variants serve as a shallow pattern matching with guaranteed exhaustiveness:  $k$ th argument corresponds to  $k$ th branch of pattern matching.

# Looping Recursion

- Let's come back to numbers defined as lengths lists and define addition:

```
let pn_add m n =  
  fix (fun f m n ->  
    if_then_else (pn_is_zero m)  
      n (pn_succ (f (pn_pred m) n))  
  ) m n;;  
decode_pnat (pn_add pn3 pn3);;
```

- Oops... OCaml says:  
Stack overflow during evaluation (looping recursion?).
- What is wrong? Nothing as far as  $\lambda$ -calculus is concerned. But OCaml and F# always compute arguments before calling a function. By definition of `fix`, `f` corresponds to recursively calling `pn_add`. Therefore, `(pn_succ (f (pn_pred m) n))` will be called regardless of what `(pn_is_zero m)` returns!
- Why `addlist` and `addtree` work?

- `addlist` and `addtree` work because their recursive calls are “guarded” by corresponding `fun`. What is inside of `fun` is not computed immediately, only when the function is applied to argument(s).
- To avoid looping recursion, you need to guard all recursive calls. Besides putting them inside `fun`, in OCaml or F# you can also put them in branches of a `match` clause, as long as one of the branches does not have unguarded recursive calls!

- The trick to use with functions like `if_then_else`, is to guard their arguments with `fun x ->`, where `x` is not used, and apply the *result* of `if_then_else` to some dummy value.
  - In OCaml or F# we would guard by `fun () ->`, and then apply to `()`, but we do not have datatypes like `unit` in  $\lambda$ -calculus.

```
let pn_add m n =  
  fix (fun f m n ->  
    (if_then_else (pn_is_zero m)  
      (fun x -> n) (fun x -> pn_succ (f (pn_pred m) n)))  
    id  
  ) m n;;  
decode_pnat (pn_add pn3 pn3);;  
decode_pnat (pn_add pn3 pn7);;
```

# In-class Work and Homework

Define (implement) and verify:

1. `c_or` and `c_not`;
2. exponentiation for Church numerals;
3. is-zero predicate for Church numerals;
4. even-number predicate for Church numerals;
5. multiplication for pair-encoded natural numbers;
6. factorial  $n!$  for pair-encoded natural numbers.
7. Construct  $\lambda$ -terms  $m_0, m_1, \dots$  such that for all  $n$  one has:

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= x \\ m_{n+1} &= m_{n+2} m_n \end{aligned}$$

(where equality is after performing  $\beta$ -reductions).



8. Define (implement) and verify a function computing: the length of a list (in Church numerals);
9. `cn_max` – maximum of two Church numerals;
10. the depth of a tree (in Church numerals).
11. Representing side-effects as an explicitly “passed around” state value, write combinators that represent the imperative constructs:
  - a. `for...to...`
  - b. `for...downto...`
  - c. `while...do...`
  - d. `do...while...`
  - e. `repeat...until...`

Rather than writing a  $\lambda$ -term using the encodings that we've learnt, just implement the functions in OCaml / F#, using built-in `int` and `bool` types. You can use `let rec` instead of `fix`.

- For example, in exercise (a), write a function `let rec for_to f beg_i end_i s =...` where `f` takes arguments `i` ranging from `beg_i` to `end_i`, state `s` at given step, and returns state `s` at next step; the `for_to` function returns the state after the last step.
- And in exercise (c), write a function `let rec while_do p f s =...` where both `p` and `f` take state `s` at given step, and if `p s` returns true, then `f s` is computed to obtain state at next step; the `while_do` function returns the state after the last step.

Do not use the imperative features of OCaml and F#, we will not even cover them in this course!

Despite we will not cover them, it is instructive to see the implementation using the imperative features, to better understand what is actually required of a solution to the last exercise.

```
a) let for_to f beg_i end_i s =  
    let s = ref s in  
    for i = beg_i to end_i do  
        s := f i !s  
    done;  
    !s
```

```
b) let for_downto f beg_i end_i s =  
    let s = ref s in  
    for i = beg_i downto end_i do  
        s := f i !s  
    done;  
    !s
```

```
c) let while_do p f s =  
    let s = ref s in  
    while p !s do  
        s := f !s  
    done;  
    !s  
  
d) let do_while p f s =  
    let s = ref (f s) in  
    while p !s do  
        s := f !s  
    done;  
    !s  
  
e) let repeat_until p f s =  
    let s = ref (f s) in  
    while not (p !s) do  
        s := f !s  
    done;  
    !s
```