Functional Programming

BY ŁUKASZ STAFINIAK

Email: lukstafi@gmail.com, lukstafi@ii.uni.wroc.pl Web: www.ii.uni.wroc.pl/~lukstafi

Lecture 3: Computation

"Using, Understanding and Unraveling the OCaml Language" Didier Rémy, chapter 1 "The OCaml system" manual, the tutorial part, chapter 1

Function Composition

- The usual way function composition is defined in math is "backward":
 - \circ math: $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$
 - OCaml: let (-|) f g x = f (g x)
 - o F#: let (<<) f g x = f (g x)</pre>
 - \circ Haskell: (.) f g = $\xspace x -> f$ (g x)
- It looks like function application, but needs less parentheses. Do you recall the functions iso1 and iso2 from previous lecture?

```
let iso2 = step11 -| step21 -| step31
```

- A more natural definition of function composition is "forward":
 - \circ OCaml: let (|-) f g x = g (f x)
 - \circ F#: let (>>) f g x = g (f x)
- It follows the order in which computation proceeds.
 - let iso1 = step1r |- step2r |- step3r
- Partial application is e.g. ((+) 1) from last week: we don't pass all arguments a function needs, in result we get a function that requires the remaining arguments. How is it used above?

• Now we define $f^n(x) := (f \circ ... \circ f)(x)$ (f appears n times).

```
let rec power f n = if n <= 0 then (fun x \rightarrow x) else f \rightarrow power f (n-1)
```

Now we define a numerical derivative:

```
let derivative dx f = fun x -> (f(x + . dx) - . f(x)) / . dx
where the intent to use with two arguments is stressed, or for short:
let derivative dx f x = (f(x + . dx) - . f(x)) / . dx
```

 We have (+): int -> int -> int, so cannot use with floating point numbers - operators followed by dot work on float numbers.

```
let pi = 4.0 *. atan 1.0
let sin'' = (power (derivative 1e-5) 3) sin;;
sin'' pi;;
```

Evaluation Rules (reduction semantics)

Programs consist of expressions:

```
variables
a := x
      fun x \rightarrow a
                                 (defined) functions
                                 applications
      aa
    C^0
                                 value constructors of arity 0
   C^n(a,...,a)
                        value constructors of arity n
                                 built-in values (primitives) of a. n
     \mathtt{let} \ x = a \ \mathtt{in} \ a
                                 name bindings (local definitions)
      match a with
        p \rightarrow a \mid \dots \mid p \rightarrow a pattern matching
                                 pattern variables
p := x
    | (p,...,p)
                                 tuple patterns
   \begin{array}{c|c} & C^0 \\ & C^n(p,...,p) \end{array}
                            variant patterns of arity 0
                          variant patterns of arity n
```

- Arity means how many arguments something requires; (and for tuples, the length of a tuple).
- To simplify presentation, we will use a primitive fix to define a limited form of let rec:

```
let rec f x=e_1 in e_2\equiv let f= fix (fun f x->e_1) in e_2
```

Expressions evaluate (i.e. compute) to values:

$$v := \text{fun } x \rightarrow a$$
 (defined) functions
$$| C^n(v_1, ..., v_n)$$
 constructed values
$$| f^n v_1 ... v_k$$
 $k < n$ partially applied primitives

- To substitute a value v for a variable x in expression a we write a[x:=v] it behaves as if every occurrence of x in a was rewritten by v.
 - \circ (But actually the value v is not duplicated.)

• Reduction (i.e. computation) proceeds as follows: first we give redexes

$$(\text{fun } x \text{-} \text{>} a) \, v \; \rightsquigarrow \; a[x := v] \\ \text{let } x = v \; \text{in } a \; \rightsquigarrow \; a[x := v] \\ f^n \, v_1 \ldots v_n \; \rightsquigarrow \; f(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \\ \text{match } v \; \text{with } x \text{-} \text{>} a \; | \; \ldots \; \rightsquigarrow \; a[x := v] \\ \text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \; \text{with} \\ C_2^n(p_1, \ldots, p_k) \text{-} \text{>} a \; | \; \text{pm} \; \rightsquigarrow \; \text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \\ \text{with pm} \\ \text{match } C_1^n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \; \text{with} \\ C_1^n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \text{-} \text{>} a \; | \; \ldots \; \rightsquigarrow \; a[x_1 := v_1; \ldots; x_n := v_n] \\ \end{cases}$$

If n = 0, $C_1^n(v_1, ..., v_n)$ stands for C_1^0 , etc. By $f(v_1, ..., v_n)$ we denote the actual value resulting from computing the primitive. We omit the more complex cases of pattern matching.

• Rule variables: x matches any expression/pattern variable; $a, a_1, ..., a_n$ match any expression; $v, v_1, ..., v_n$ match any value. Substitute them so that the left-hand-side of a rule is your expression, then the right-hand-side is the reduced expression.

• The remaining rules evaluate the arguments in arbitrary order, but keep the order in which let...in and match...with is evaluated.

If $a_i \leadsto a_i'$, then:

$$a_1 \, a_2 \, \rightsquigarrow \, a_1' \, a_2$$
 $a_1 \, a_2 \, \rightsquigarrow \, a_1 \, a_2'$ $C^n(a_1,...,a_i,...,a_n) \, \rightsquigarrow \, C^n(a_1,...,a_i',...,a_n)$ let $x = a_1$ in $a_2 \, \rightsquigarrow \,$ let $x = a_1'$ in a_2 match a_1 with pm $\, \rightsquigarrow \,$ match a_1' with pm

Finally, we give the rule for the primitive fix – it is a binary primitive:

$$\operatorname{fix}^2 v_1 v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 (\operatorname{fix}^2 v_1) v_2$$

Because fix is binary, (fix² v_1) is already a value so it will not be further computed until it is applied inside of v_1 .

Compute some programs using the rules by hand.

Symbolic Derivation Example

Go through the examples from the Lec3.ml file in the toplevel.

```
eval_1_2 \leftarrow 3.00 * x + 2.00 * y + x * x * y
  eval_1_2 < -- x * x * y
    eval_1_2 <-- y
   eval_1_2 --> 2.
   eval_1_2 < -- x * x
      eval_1_2 <-- x
     eval_1_2 --> 1.
     eval_1_2 <-- x
    eval_1_2 --> 1.
    eval_1_2 --> 1.
  eval_1_2 --> 2.
  eval_1_2 < -- 3.00 * x + 2.00 * y
    eval_1_2 < -- 2.00 * y
      eval_1_2 <-- y
     eval_1_2 --> 2.
     eval_1_2 <-- 2.00
     eval_1_2 --> 2.
    eval_1_2 --> 4.
   eval_1_2 < -- 3.00 * x
      eval_1_2 <-- x
     eval_1_2 --> 1.
     eval_1_2 <-- 3.00
     eval_1_2 --> 3.
    eval_1_2 --> 3.
  eval_1_2 --> 7.
eval_1_2 --> 9.
-: float = 9.
```

Tail Calls (and tail recursion)

- Excuse me for not defining what a function call is...
- Computers normally evaluate programs by creating stack frames on the stack for function calls (roughly like indentation levels in the above example).
- A tail call is a function call that is performed last when computing a function.
- Functional language compilers will often insert a "jump" for a tail call instead of creating a stack frame.
- A function is tail recursive if it calls itself, and functions it mutuallyrecursively depends on, only using a tail call.
- Tail recursive functions often have special *accumulator* arguments that store intermediate computation results which in a non-tail-recursive function would just be values of subexpressions.
- The accumulated result is computed in "reverse order" while climbing up the recursion rather than while descending (i.e. returning) from it.

The issue is more complex for lazy programming languages like Haskell.

Compare:

```
# let rec unfold n = if n <= 0 then [] else n :: unfold (n-1);;
val unfold : int -> int list = <fun>
# unfold 100000;;
- : int list =
[100000; 99999; 99998; 99997; 99996; 99995; 99994; 99993; ...]
# unfold 1000000;;
Stack overflow during evaluation (looping recursion?).
# let rec unfold_tcall acc n =
  if n <= 0 then acc else unfold_tcall (n::acc) (n-1);;
 val unfold_tcall : int list -> int -> int list = <fun>
# unfold_tcall [] 100000;;
- : int list =
[1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8; 9; 10; 11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18; ...]
# unfold_tcall [] 1000000;;
- : int list =
[1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8; 9; 10; 11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16; 17; 18; ...]
```

Is it possible to find the depth of a tree using a tail-recursive function?

First Encounter of Continuation Passing Style

We can postpone doing the actual work till the last moment:

Homework

By "traverse a tree" below we mean: write a function that takes a tree and returns a list of values in the nodes of the tree.

- 1. Write a function (of type btree -> int list) that traverses a binary tree: in prefix order first the value stored in a node, then values in all nodes to the left, then values in all nodes to the right;
- 2. in infix order first values in all nodes to the left, then value stored in a node, then values in all nodes to the right (so it is "left-to-right" order);
- 3. in breadth-first order first values in more shallow nodes.
- 4. Turn the function from ex. 1 or 2 into continuation passing style.

- 5. Do the homework from the end of last week slides: write btree_deriv_at.
- 6. Write a function simplify: expression -> expression that simplifies the expression a bit, so that for example the result of simplify (deriv exp dv) looks more like what a human would get computing the derivative of exp with respect to dv.
 - Write a simplify_once function that performs a single step of the simplification, and wrap it using a general fixpoint function that performs an operation until a *fixed point* is reached: given f and x, it computes $f^n(x)$ such that $f^n(x) = f^{n+1}(x)$.