

# The Expression Problem

## Code organization, extensibility and reuse

BY ŁUKASZ STAFINIAK

*Email:* lukstafi@gmail.com

*Web:* [www.ii.uni.wroc.pl/~lukstafi](http://www.ii.uni.wroc.pl/~lukstafi)

- Ralf Lämmel lectures on MSDN's Channel 9:  
[The Expression Problem, Haskell's Type Classes](#)
- The old book *Developing Applications with Objective Caml: Comparison of Modules and Objects, Extending Components*
- The new book *Real World OCaml*: [Chapter 11: Objects](#), [Chapter 12: Classes](#)
- Jacques Garrigue's [Code reuse through polymorphic variants](#),  
and [Recursive Modules for Programming](#) with Keiko Nakata
- [Extensible variant types](#)
- Graham Hutton's and Erik Meijer's [Monadic Parser Combinators](#)

- The *Expression Problem*: design an implementation for expressions, where:
  - new variants of expressions can be added (*datatype extensibility*),
  - new operations on the expressions can be added (*functional extensibility*).
- By *extensibility* we mean three conditions:
  - code-level modularization: the new datatype variants, and new operations, are in separate files,
  - separate compilation: the files can be compiled and distributed separately,
  - static type safety: we do not lose the type checking help and guarantees.
- The name comes from an example: extend a language of expressions with new constructs:
  - lambda calculus: variables `Var`,  $\lambda$ -abstractions `Abs`, function applications `App`;
  - arithmetics: variables `Var`, constants `Num`, addition `Add`, multiplication `Mult`; ...and new operations:
  - evaluation `eval`;
  - pretty-printing to strings `string_of`;
  - free variables `free_vars`; ...

- Pattern matching makes functional extensibility easy in functional programming.
- Ensuring datatype extensibility is complicated when using standard variant types.
- For brevity, we will place examples in a single file, but the component type and function definitions are not mutually recursive so can be put in separate modules.
- Non-solution penalty points:
  - Functions implemented for a broader language (e.g. `lexpr_t`) cannot be used with a value from a narrower language (e.g. `expr_t`).
  - Significant memory (and some time) overhead due to so called *tagging*: work of the `wrap` and `unwrap` functions, adding tags e.g. `Lambda` and `Expr`.
  - Some code bloat due to tagging. For example, deep pattern matching needs to be manually unrolled and interspersed with calls to `unwrap`.

Verdict: non-solution, but better than extensible variant types-based approach (next) and direct OOP approach (later).

```
type var = string
```

Variables constitute a sub-language of its own.

We treat this sub-language slightly differently – no need for a dedicated variant.

```
let eval_var wrap sub (s : var) =  
  try List.assoc s sub with Not_found -> wrap s
```

```
type 'a lambda =
```

Here we define the sub-language of  $\lambda$ -expressions.

```
VarL of var | Abs of string * 'a | App of 'a * 'a
```

During evaluation, we need to freshen variables to avoid capture

```
let gensym = let n = ref 0 in fun () -> incr n; "_" ^ string_of_int !n
```

(mistaking distinct variables with the same name).

```
let eval_lambda eval_rec wrap unwrap subst e =
```

```
match unwrap e with
```

Alternatively, unwrapping could use an exception,

```
| Some (VarL v) -> eval_var (fun v -> wrap (VarL v)) subst v
```

```
| Some (App (l1, l2)) ->
```

but we use the option type as it is safer

and more flexible in this context.

```
let l1' = eval_rec subst l1
```

```
and l2' = eval_rec subst l2 in
```

Recursive processing function returns expression

```
(match unwrap l1' with
```

of the completed language, we need

```
| Some (Abs (s, body)) ->
```

to unwrap it into the current sub-language.

```
eval_rec [s, l2'] body
```

The recursive call is already wrapped.

```
| _ -> wrap (App (l1', l2')))
```

Wrap into the completed language.

```
| Some (Abs (s, l1)) ->
```

```
let s' = gensym () in
```

Rename variable to avoid capture ( $\alpha$ -equivalence).

```
wrap (Abs (s', eval_rec ((s, wrap (VarL s'))::subst) l1))
```

```
| None -> e
```

Falling-through when not in the current sub-language.

```
type lambda_t = Lambda_t of lambda_t lambda
```

Defining  $\lambda$ -expressions

as the completed language,

```
let rec eval1 subst =
```

and the corresponding eval function.

```
eval_lambda eval1
  (fun e -> Lambda_t e) (fun (Lambda_t e) -> Some e) subst
```

```
type 'a expr = The sub-language of arithmetic expressions.
  VarE of var | Num of int | Add of 'a * 'a | Mult of 'a * 'a
```

```
let eval_expr eval_rec wrap unwrap subst e =
  match unwrap e with
  | Some (Num _) -> e
  | Some (VarE v) ->
    eval_var (fun x -> wrap (VarE x)) subst v
  | Some (Add (m, n)) ->
    let m' = eval_rec subst m
    and n' = eval_rec subst n in
    (match unwrap m', unwrap n' with Unwrapping to check if the subexpressions
    | Some (Num m'), Some (Num n') -> got computed to values.
      wrap (Num (m' + n'))
    | _ -> wrap (Add (m', n')))) Here m' and n' are wrapped.
  | Some (Mult (m, n)) ->
    let m' = eval_rec subst m
    and n' = eval_rec subst n in
    (match unwrap m', unwrap n' with
    | Some (Num m'), Some (Num n') ->
```

```

    wrap (Num (m' * n'))
  | _ -> wrap (Mult (m', n'))))
| None -> e

```

```

type expr_t = Expr_t of expr_t expr

```

Defining arithmetic expressions

```

let rec eval2 subst =

```

as the completed language,

aka. “tying the recursive knot”.

```

  eval_expr eval2

```

```

  (fun e -> Expr_t e) (fun (Expr_t e) -> Some e) subst

```

```

type 'a lexpr =

```

The language merging  $\lambda$ -expressions and arithmetic expressions,

```

  Lambda of 'a lambda | Expr of 'a expr

```

can also be used as

a sub-language for further extensions.

```

let eval_lexpr eval_rec wrap unwrap subst e =

```

```

  eval_lambda eval_rec

```

```

  (fun e -> wrap (Lambda e))

```

```

  (fun e ->

```

```

    match unwrap e with

```

```

    | Some (Lambda e) -> Some e

```

```

    | _ -> None)

```

```

  subst

```

```

  (eval_expr eval_rec

```

We use the “fall-through” property of eval\_expr

```

    (fun e -> wrap (Expr e))

```

to combine the evaluators.

```
(fun e ->
  match unwrap e with
  | Some (Expr e) -> Some e
  | _ -> None)
subst e)
```

```
type lexpr_t = LExpr_t of lexpr_t lexpr
let rec eval3 subst =
  eval_lexpr eval3
  (fun e -> LExpr_t e)
  (fun (LExpr_t e) -> Some e) subst
```

Tying the recursive knot one last time.

- Exceptions have always formed an extensible variant type in OCaml, whose pattern matching is done using the `try...with` syntax. Since recently, new extensible variant types can be defined. This augments the normal function extensibility of FP with straightforward data extensibility.
- Non-solution penalty points:
  - Giving up exhaustivity checking, which is an important aspect of static type safety.
  - More natural with “single inheritance” extension chains, although merging is possible, and demonstrated in our example.
  - Requires “tying the recursive knot” for functions.

Verdict: pleasant-looking, but the worst approach because of possible bugginess. Unless bug-proneness is not a concern, then the best approach.

```
type expr = ..
```

This is how extensible variant types are defined.

```
type var_name = string
```

```
type expr += Var of string
```

We add a variant case.

```
let eval_var sub = function
```

```
  | Var s as v -> (try List.assoc s sub with Not_found -> v)
```

```
  | e -> e
```



```
let gensym = let n = ref 0 in fun () -> incr n; "_" ^ string_of_int !n
```

```
type expr += Abs of string * expr | App of expr * expr
```

The sub-languages  
are not differentiated by types, a shortcoming of this non-solution.

```
let eval_lambda eval_rec subst = function
| Var _ as v -> eval_var subst v
| App (l1, l2) ->
  let l2' = eval_rec subst l2 in
  (match eval_rec subst l1 with
  | Abs (s, body) ->
    eval_rec [s, l2'] body
  | l1' -> App (l1', l2'))
| Abs (s, l1) ->
  let s' = gensym () in
  Abs (s', eval_rec ((s, Var s')::subst) l1)
| e -> e
```

```
let freevars_lambda freevars_rec = function
| Var v -> [v]
| App (l1, l2) -> freevars_rec l1 @ freevars_rec l2
| Abs (s, l1) ->
  List.filter (fun v -> v <> s) (freevars_rec l1)
| _ -> []
```

```
let rec eval1 subst e = eval_lambda eval1 subst e
let rec freevars1 e = freevars_lambda freevars1 e
let test1 = App (Abs ("x", Var "x"), Var "y")
let e_test = eval1 [] test1
let fv_test = freevars1 test1
```

```
type expr += Num of int | Add of expr * expr | Mult of expr * expr
```

```
let map_expr f = function
  | Add (e1, e2) -> Add (f e1, f e2)
  | Mult (e1, e2) -> Mult (f e1, f e2)
  | e -> e
```

```
let eval_expr eval_rec subst e =
  match map_expr (eval_rec subst) e with
  | Add (Num m, Num n) -> Num (m + n)
  | Mult (Num m, Num n) -> Num (m * n)
  | (Num _ | Add _ | Mult _) as e -> e
  | e -> e
```

```
let freevars_expr freevars_rec = function
  | Num _ -> []
```

```
| Add (e1, e2) | Mult (e1, e2) -> freevars_rec e1 @ freevars_rec e2  
| _ -> []
```

```
let rec eval2 subst e = eval_expr eval2 subst e  
let rec freevars2 e = freevars_expr freevars2 e  
let test2 = Add (Mult (Num 3, Var "x"), Num 1)  
let e_test2 = eval2 [] test2  
let fv_test2 = freevars2 test2
```

```
let eval_lexpr eval_rec subst e =  
  eval_expr eval_rec subst (eval_lambda eval_rec subst e)  
let freevars_lexpr freevars_rec e =  
  freevars_lambda freevars_rec e @ freevars_expr freevars_rec e
```

```
let rec eval3 subst e = eval_lexpr eval3 subst e  
let rec freevars3 e = freevars_lexpr freevars3 e  
let test3 =  
  App (Abs ("x", Add (Mult (Num 3, Var "x"), Num 1)),  
       Num 2)  
let e_test3 = eval3 [] test3  
let fv_test3 = freevars3 test3
```

- OCaml's *objects* are values, somewhat similar to records.
- Viewed from the outside, an OCaml object has only *methods*, identifying the code with which to respond to messages, i.e. method invocations.
- All methods are *late-bound*, the object determines what code is run (i.e. *virtual* in C++ parlance).
- *Subtyping* determines if an object can be used in some context. OCaml has *structural subtyping*: the content of the types concerned decides if an object can be used.
- Parametric polymorphism can be used to infer if an object has the required methods.

`let f x = x#m` Method invocation: object#method.  
`val f : < m : 'a; .. > -> 'a` Type polymorphic in two ways: 'a is the method type,  
 .. means that objects with more methods will be accepted.

- Methods are computed when they are invoked, even if they do not take arguments.
- We define objects inside `object...end` (compare: records `{...}`) using keywords `method` for methods, `val` for constant fields and `val mutable` for mutable fields. Constructor arguments can often be used instead of constant fields:

```
let square w = object
  method area = float_of_int (w * w) method width = w end
```

- Subtyping often needs to be explicit: we write (object :> supertype) or in more complex cases (object : type :> supertype).
  - Technically speaking, subtyping in OCaml always is explicit, and *open types*, containing .., use *row polymorphism* rather than subtyping.

```
let a = object method m = 7 method x = "a" end           Toy example: object types
let b = object method m = 42 method y = "b" end         share some but not all methods.
let l = [a; b]                                           The exact types of the objects do not agree.
```

Error: This expression has type < m : int; y : string >  
 but an expression was expected of type < m : int; x : string >  
 The second object type has no method y

```
let l = [(a :> <m : 'a>); (b :> <m : 'a>)]               But the types share a supertype.
val l : < m : int > list
```

- Variance determines how type parameters behave wrt. subtyping:

- *Invariant parameters* cannot be subtyped:

```
let f x = (x : <m : int; n : float> array :> <m : int> array)
Error: Type < m : int; n : float > array is not a subtype of
      < m : int > array
```

The second *object type* has no *method* n

- *Covariant parameters* are subtyped in the same direction as the type:

```
let f x = (x : <m : int; n : float> list :> <m : int> list)
val f : < m : int; n : float > list -> < m : int > list
```

- *Contravariant parameters* are subtyped in the opposite direction:

```
let f x = (x : <m : int; n : float> -> float :> <m : int> -> float)
```

```
Error: Type < m : int; n : float > -> float is not a subtype of  
      < m : int > -> float
```

```
      Type < m : int > is not a subtype of < m : int; n : float >
```

```
let f x = (x : <m : int> -> float :> <m : int; n : float> -> float)
```

```
val f : (< m : int > -> float) -> < m : int; n : float > -> float
```

- The system of object classes in OCaml is similar to the module system.
  - Object classes are not types. Classes are a way to build object *constructors* – functions that return objects.
  - Classes have their types (compare: modules and signatures).
- In OCaml parlance:
  - late binding is not called anything – all methods are late-bound (in C++ called virtual)
  - a method or field declared to be defined in sub-classes is *virtual* (in C++ called abstract); classes that use virtual methods or fields are also called virtual
  - a method that is only visible in sub-classes is *private* (in C++ called protected)
  - a method not visible outside the class is not called anything (in C++ called private) – provide the type for the class, and omit the method in the class type (compare: module signatures and .mli files)
- OCaml allows multiple inheritance, which can be used to implement *mixins* as virtual / abstract classes.
- Inheritance works somewhat similarly to textual inclusion.
- See the excellent examples in <https://realworldocaml.org/v1/en/html/classes.html>
- You can perform `ocamlc -i Objects.ml` etc. to see inferred object and class types.

- It turns out that although object oriented programming was designed with data extensibility in mind, it is a bad fit for recursive types, like in the expression problem. Below is my attempt at solving our problem using classes – can you do better?
- Non-solution penalty points:
  - Functions implemented for a broader language (e.g. corresponding to `lexpr_t` on other slides) cannot handle values from a narrower one (e.g. corresponding to `expr_t`).
  - Writing a new function requires extending the language.
  - No deep pattern matching.

Verdict: non-solution, better only than the extensible variant types-based approach.

```
type var_name = string
let gensym = let n = ref 0 in fun () -> incr n; "_" ^ string_of_int !n
```

```
class virtual ['lang] evaluable =          The abstract class for objects supporting the eval method.
object                                     For  $\lambda$ -calculus, we need helper functions:
  method virtual eval : (var_name * 'lang) list -> 'lang
  method virtual rename : var_name -> var_name -> 'lang          renaming of free variables,
  method apply (_arg : 'lang)                                      $\beta$ -reduction if possible (fallback otherwise).
    (fallback : unit -> 'lang) (_subst : (var_name * 'lang) list) =
      fallback ()
end
```

```
class ['lang] var (v : var_name) =
```



```

object (self)
  inherit ['lang] evaluable
  val v = v
  method eval subst =
    try List.assoc v subst with Not_found -> self
  method rename v1 v2 =
    if v = v1 then {< v = v2 >} else self
end

```

We name the current object self.

Renaming a variable:

we clone the current object putting the new name.

```

class ['lang] abs (v : var_name) (body : 'lang) =
object (self)
  inherit ['lang] evaluable
  val v = v
  val body = body
  method eval subst =
    let v' = gensym () in
    {< v = v'; body = (body#rename v v')#eval subst >}
  method rename v1 v2 =
    if v = v1 then self
    else {< body = body#rename v1 v2 >}
  method apply arg _ subst =
    body#eval ((v, arg)::subst)
end

```

We do  $\alpha$ -conversion prior to evaluation.

Alternatively, we could evaluate with

substitution of v

by v\_inst v' : 'lang similar to num\_inst below.

Renaming the free variable v1, so no work if v=v1.

```

class ['lang] app (f : 'lang) (arg : 'lang) =
object (self)
  inherit ['lang] evaluable
  val f = f

```

```
val arg = arg
```

```
method eval subst =
```

We use apply to differentiate between  $f = \text{abs}$   
( $\beta$ -redexes) and  $f \neq \text{abs}$ .

```
let arg' = arg#eval subst in
```

```
f#apply arg' (fun () -> {< f = f#eval subst; arg = arg' >}) subst
```

```
method rename v1 v2 =
```

Cloning the object ensures that it will be a subtype of 'lang  
rather than just 'lang app.

```
{< f = f#rename v1 v2; arg = arg#rename v1 v2 >}
```

```
end
```

```
type evaluable_t = evaluable_t evaluable
```

These definitions only add nice-looking types.

```
let new_var1 v : evaluable_t = new var v
```

```
let new_abs1 v (body : evaluable_t) : evaluable_t = new abs v body
```

```
class virtual compute_mixin = object
```

```
method compute : int option = None
```

For evaluating arithmetic expressions we need  
a helper method compute.

```
end
```

```
class ['lang] var_c v = object
```

```
inherit ['lang] var v
```

```
inherit compute_mixin
```

```
end
```

```
class ['lang] abs_c v body = object
```

```
inherit ['lang] abs v body
```

```
inherit compute_mixin
```

```
end
```

```
class ['lang] app_c f arg = object
```

```
inherit ['lang] app f arg
```

```
inherit compute_mixin
```

```
end
```

To use  $\lambda$ -expressions together with arithmetic expressions  
we need to upgrade them with the helper method.

```

class ['lang] num (i : int) =
object (self)
  inherit ['lang] evaluable
  val i = i
  method eval _subst = self
  method rename _ _ = self
  method compute = Some i
end

```

A numerical constant.

```

class virtual ['lang] operation
  (num_inst : int -> 'lang) (n1 : 'lang) (n2 : 'lang) =
object (self)
  inherit ['lang] evaluable
  val n1 = n1
  val n2 = n2
  method eval subst =
    let self' = {< n1 = n1#eval subst; n2 = n2#eval subst >} in
    match self'#compute with
    | Some i -> num_inst i
    | _ -> self'
  method rename v1 v2 = {< n1 = n1#rename v1 v2; n2 = n2#rename v1 v2 >}
end

```

Abstract class for evaluating arithmetic operations.

We need to inject the integer as a constant that is  
a subtype of 'lang.

```

class ['lang] add num_inst n1 n2 =
object (self)
  inherit ['lang] operation num_inst n1 n2
  method compute =
    match n1#compute, n2#compute with

```

If compute is called by eval, as intended,  
then n1 and n2 are already computed.

```

    | Some i1, Some i2 -> Some (i1 + i2)
    | _ -> None
end

```

```

class ['lang] mult num_inst n1 n2 =
object (self)
  inherit ['lang] operation num_inst n1 n2
  method compute =
    match n1#compute, n2#compute with
    | Some i1, Some i2 -> Some (i1 * i2)
    | _ -> None
end

```

```

class virtual ['lang] computable =
object
  inherit ['lang] evaluable
  inherit compute_mixin
end

```

This class is defined merely to provide an object type, we could also define this object type “by hand”.

```

type computable_t = computable_t computable
let new_var2 v : computable_t = new var_c v
let new_abs2 v (body : computable_t) : computable_t = new abs_c v body
let new_app2 v (body : computable_t) : computable_t = new app_c v body
let new_num2 i : computable_t = new num i
let new_add2 (n1 : computable_t) (n2 : computable_t) : computable_t =
  new add new_num2 n1 n2
let new_mult2 (n1 : computable_t) (n2 : computable_t) : computable_t =
  new mult new_num2 n1 n2

```

Nice types for all the constructors.

- The *Visitor Pattern* is an object-oriented programming pattern for turning objects into variants with shallow pattern-matching (i.e. dispatch based on which variant a value is). It replaces data extensibility by operation extensibility.
- I needed to use imperative features (mutable fields), can you do better?
- Penalty points:
  - Heavy code bloat.
  - Side-effects appear to be required.
  - No deep pattern matching.

Verdict: poor solution, better than approaches we considered so far, and worse than approaches we consider next.

<pre> type 'visitor visitable = &lt; accept : 'visitor -&gt; unit &gt; type var_name = string class ['visitor] var (v : var_name) = object (self)   method v = v   method accept : 'visitor -&gt; unit =     fun visitor -&gt; visitor#visitVar self end </pre>	<p>The variants need be visitable.          We store the computation as side effect because of the difficulty          to keep the visitor polymorphic but have the result type          depend on the visitor.</p> <p>The 'visitor will determine the (sub)language          to which a given var variant belongs.</p> <p>The visitor pattern inverts the way          pattern matching proceeds: the variant          selects the pattern matching branch.</p>
---	--

```

let new_var v = (new var v :> 'a visitable)
class ['visitor] abs (v : var_name) (body : 'visitor visitable) =
object (self)
  method v = v
  method body = body
  method accept : 'visitor -> unit =
    fun visitor -> visitor#visitAbs self
end
let new_abs v body = (new abs v body :> 'a visitable)

class ['visitor] app (f : 'visitor visitable) (arg : 'visitor visitable) =
object (self)
  method f = f
  method arg = arg
  method accept : 'visitor -> unit =
    fun visitor -> visitor#visitApp self
end
let new_app f arg = (new app f arg :> 'a visitable)

class virtual ['visitor] lambda_visit =
object
  method virtual visitVar : 'visitor var -> unit
  method virtual visitAbs : 'visitor abs -> unit
  method virtual visitApp : 'visitor app -> unit
end

let gensym = let n = ref 0 in fun () -> incr n; "_" ^ string_of_int !n

```

Visitors need to see the stored data,  
but distinct constructors need to belong to the same type.

This abstract class has two uses:  
it defines the visitors for the sub-language of  $\lambda$ -expressions,  
and it will provide an early check  
that the visitor classes  
implement all the methods.

```

class ['visitor] eval_lambda
  (subst : (var_name * 'visitor visitable) list)
  (result : 'visitor visitable ref) =
object (self)
  inherit ['visitor] lambda_visit
  val mutable subst = subst
  val mutable beta_redex : (var_name * 'visitor visitable) option = None
  method visitVar var =
    beta_redex <- None;
    try result := List.assoc var#v subst
    with Not_found -> result := (var :> 'visitor visitable)
  method visitAbs abs =
    let v' = gensym () in
    let orig_subst = subst in
    subst <- (abs#v, new_var v')::subst;
    (abs#body)#accept self;
    let body' = !result in
    subst <- orig_subst;
    beta_redex <- Some (v', body');
    result := new_abs v' body'
  method visitApp app =
    app#arg#accept self;
    let arg' = !result in
    app#f#accept self;
    let f' = !result in
    match beta_redex with
    | Some (v', body') ->

```

An output argument, but also used internally to store intermediate results.

We avoid threading the argument through the visit methods.

We work around the need to differentiate between abs and non-abs values of app#f inside visitApp.

“Pass” the updated substitution to the recursive call and collect the result of the recursive call.

Indicate that an abs has just been visited.

Pattern-match on app#f.

```

    beta_redex <- None;
    let orig_subst = subst in
    subst <- (v', arg')::subst;
    body'#accept self;
    subst <- orig_subst
  | None -> result := new_app f' arg'
end

```

```

class ['visitor] freevars_lambda (result : var_name list ref) =
object (self)
  inherit ['visitor] lambda_visit
  method visitVar var =
    result := var#v :: !result
  method visitAbs abs =
    (abs#body)#accept self;
    result := List.filter (fun v' -> v' <> abs#v) !result
  method visitApp app =
    app#arg#accept self; app#f#accept self
end

```

We use result as an accumulator.

```

type lambda_visit_t = lambda_visit_t lambda_visit
type lambda_t = lambda_visit_t visitable

```

Visitor for the language of  $\lambda$ -expressions.

```

let eval1 (e : lambda_t) subst : lambda_t =
  let result = ref (new_var "") in
  e#accept (new eval_lambda subst result :> lambda_visit_t);
  !result

```

This initial value will be ignored.



```

let freevars1 (e : lambda_t) =
  let result = ref [] in
  e#accept (new freevars_lambda result);
  !result

```

Initial value of the accumulator.

```

let test1 =
  (new_app (new_abs "x" (new_var "x")) (new_var "y") :> lambda_t)
let e_test = eval1 test1 []
let fv_test = freevars1 test1

```

```

class ['visitor] num (i : int) =
object (self)
  method i = i
  method accept : 'visitor -> unit =
    fun visitor -> visitor#visitNum self
end
let new_num i = (new num i :> 'a visitable)

```

```

class virtual ['visitor] operation
  (arg1 : 'visitor visitable) (arg2 : 'visitor visitable) =
object (self)
  method arg1 = arg1
  method arg2 = arg2
end

```

Shared accessor methods.

```

class ['visitor] add arg1 arg2 =
object (self)
  inherit ['visitor] operation arg1 arg2

```

```

method accept : 'visitor -> unit =
  fun visitor -> visitor#visitAdd self
end
let new_add arg1 arg2 = (new add arg1 arg2 :> 'a visitable)

```

```

class ['visitor] mult arg1 arg2 =
object (self)
  inherit ['visitor] operation arg1 arg2
  method accept : 'visitor -> unit =
    fun visitor -> visitor#visitMult self
end
let new_mult arg1 arg2 = (new mult arg1 arg2 :> 'a visitable)

```

```

class virtual ['visitor] expr_visit =
object
  method virtual visitNum : 'visitor num -> unit
  method virtual visitAdd : 'visitor add -> unit
  method virtual visitMult : 'visitor mult -> unit
end

```

The sub-language of arithmetic expressions.

```

class ['visitor] eval_expr
  (result : 'visitor visitable ref) =
object (self)
  inherit ['visitor] expr_visit
  val mutable num_redex : int option = None
  method visitNum num =
    num_redex <- Some num#i;
    result := (num :> 'visitor visitable)

```

The numeric result, if any.

```

method private visitOperation new_e op e =
  (e#arg1)#accept self;
  let arg1' = !result and i1 = num_redex in
  (e#arg2)#accept self;
  let arg2' = !result and i2 = num_redex in
  match i1, i2 with
  | Some i1, Some i2 ->
    let res = op i1 i2 in
    num_redex <- Some res; result := new_num res
  | _ ->
    num_redex <- None;
    result := new_e arg1' arg2'
method visitAdd add = self#visitOperation new_add ( + ) add
method visitMult mult = self#visitOperation new_mult ( * ) mult
end

```

```

class ['visitor] freevars_expr (result : var_name list ref) =
object (self)
  inherit ['visitor] expr_visit
  method visitNum _ = ()
  method visitAdd add =
    add#arg1#accept self; add#arg2#accept self
  method visitMult mult =
    mult#arg1#accept self; mult#arg2#accept self
end

```

Flow-through class  
for computing free variables.

```

type expr_visit_t = expr_visit_t expr_visit
type expr_t = expr_visit_t visitable

```

The language of arithmetic expressions  
– in this example without variables.

```
let eval2 (e : expr_t) : expr_t =  
  let result = ref (new_num 0) in  
  e#accept (new eval_expr result);  
  !result
```

This initial value will be ignored.

```
let test2 =  
  (new_add (new_mult (new_num 3) (new_num 3)) (new_num 1) :> expr_t)  
let e_test = eval2 test2
```

```
class virtual ['visitor] lexpr_visit =  
object  
  inherit ['visitor] lambda_visit  
  inherit ['visitor] expr_visit  
end
```

Combining the variants / constructors.

```
class ['visitor] eval_lexpr subst result =  
object  
  inherit ['visitor] eval_expr result  
  inherit ['visitor] eval_lambda subst result  
end
```

Combining the “pattern-matching branches”.

```
class ['visitor] freevars_lexpr result =  
object  
  inherit ['visitor] freevars_expr result  
  inherit ['visitor] freevars_lambda result  
end
```

```
type lexpr_visit_t = lexpr_visit_t lexpr_visit
type lexpr_t = lexpr_visit_t visitable
```

The language combining  
 $\lambda$ -expressions and arithmetic expressions.

```
let eval3 (e : lexpr_t) subst : lexpr_t =
  let result = ref (new_num 0) in
  e#accept (new eval_lexpr subst result);
  !result
```

```
let freevars3 (e : lexpr_t) =
  let result = ref [] in
  e#accept (new freevars_lexpr result);
  !result
```

```
let test3 =
  (new_add (new_mult (new_num 3) (new_var "x")) (new_num 1) :> lexpr_t)
let e_test = eval3 test3 []
let fv_test = freevars3 test3
let old_e_test = eval3 (test2 :> lexpr_t) []
let old_fv_test = eval3 (test2 :> lexpr_t) []
```

- Polymorphic variants are to ordinary variants as objects are to records: both enable *open types* and subtyping, both allow different types to share the same components.
  - They are *dual* concepts in that if we replace “product” of records / objects by “sum” (see lecture 2), we get variants / polymorphic variants.

Duality implies many behaviors are opposite.

- While object subtypes have more methods, polymorphic variant subtypes have less tags.
- The > sign means “these tags or more”:

```
let l = ['Int 3; 'Float 4.];;  
val l : [> 'Float of float | 'Int of int ] list = ['Int 3; 'Float 4.]
```

- The < sign means “these tags or less”:

```
let is_positive = function  
  | 'Int    x -> Some (x > 0)  
  | 'Float x -> Some (x > 0.)  
  | 'Not_a_number -> None;;  
val is_positive :  
  [< 'Float of float | 'Int of int | 'Not_a_number ] ->  
  bool option = <fun>
```

- No sign means a closed type (similar to an object type without the ..)

- Both an upper and a lower bound are sometimes inferred, see <https://realworldocaml.org/v1/en/html/variants.html>

`List.filter`

```
(fun x -> match is_positive x with None -> false | Some b -> b) 1;;  
- : [< 'Float of float | 'Int of int | 'Not_a_number > 'Float 'Int ]  
    list =  
['Int 3; 'Float 4.]
```

- Because distinct polymorphic variant types can share the same tags, the solution to the Expression Problem is straightforward.
- Penalty points:
  - The need to “tie the recursive knot” separately both at the type level and the function level. At the function level, an  $\eta$ -expansion is required due to *value recursion* problem. At the type level, the type variable can be confusing.
  - There can be a slight time cost compared to the visitor pattern-based approach: additional dispatch at each level of type aggregation (i.e. merging sub-languages).

Verdict: a flexible and concise solution, second-best place.

```
type var = ['Var of string]
```

```
let eval_var sub ('Var s as v : var) =  
  try List.assoc s sub with Not_found -> v
```

```
type 'a lambda =  
  ['Var of string | 'Abs of string * 'a | 'App of 'a * 'a]
```

```
let gensym = let n = ref 0 in fun () -> incr n; "_" ^ string_of_int !n
```



```
let eval_lambda eval_rec subst : 'a lambda -> 'a = function
| #var as v -> eval_var subst v
| 'App (l1, l2) ->
    let l2' = eval_rec subst l2 in
    (match eval_rec subst l1 with
    | 'Abs (s, body) ->
        eval_rec [s, l2'] body
    | l1' -> 'App (l1', l2'))
| 'Abs (s, l1) ->
    let s' = gensym () in
    'Abs (s', eval_rec ((s, 'Var s')::subst) l1)
```

We could also leave the type open  
rather than closing it to lambda.

```
let freevars_lambda freevars_rec : 'a lambda -> 'b = function
| 'Var v -> [v]
| 'App (l1, l2) -> freevars_rec l1 @ freevars_rec l2
| 'Abs (s, l1) ->
    List.filter (fun v -> v <> s) (freevars_rec l1)
```

```
type lambda_t = lambda_t lambda
```

```
let rec eval1 subst e : lambda_t = eval_lambda eval1 subst e
let rec freevars1 (e : lambda_t) = freevars_lambda freevars1 e
```

```
let test1 = ('App ('Abs ("x", 'Var "x"), 'Var "y") :> lambda_t)
let e_test = eval1 [] test1
let fv_test = freevars1 test1
```

```
type 'a expr =
  ['Var of string | 'Num of int | 'Add of 'a * 'a | 'Mult of 'a * 'a]
```

```
let map_expr (f : _ -> 'a) : 'a expr -> 'a = function
| #var as v -> v
| 'Num _ as n -> n
| 'Add (e1, e2) -> 'Add (f e1, f e2)
| 'Mult (e1, e2) -> 'Mult (f e1, f e2)
```

```
let eval_expr eval_rec subst (e : 'a expr) : 'a =
  match map_expr (eval_rec subst) e with
  | #var as v -> eval_var subst v
  | 'Add ('Num m, 'Num n) -> 'Num (m + n)
  | 'Mult ('Num m, 'Num n) -> 'Num (m * n)
  | e -> e
```

Here and elsewhere, we could also factor-out  
the sub-language of variables.

```
let freevars_expr freevars_rec : 'a expr -> 'b = function
| 'Var v -> [v]
| 'Num _ -> []
```

```
| 'Add (e1, e2) | 'Mult (e1, e2) -> freevars_rec e1 @ freevars_rec e2
```

```
type expr_t = expr_t expr
```

```
let rec eval2 subst e : expr_t = eval_expr eval2 subst e
```

```
let rec freevars2 (e : expr_t) = freevars_expr freevars2 e
```

```
let test2 = ('Add ('Mult ('Num 3, 'Var "x"), 'Num 1) : expr_t)
```

```
let e_test2 = eval2 ["x", 'Num 2] test2
```

```
let fv_test2 = freevars2 test2
```

```
type 'a lexpr = ['a lambda | 'a expr]
```

```
let eval_lexpr eval_rec subst : 'a lexpr -> 'a = function
```

```
| #lambda as x -> eval_lambda eval_rec subst x
```

```
| #expr as x -> eval_expr eval_rec subst x
```

```
let freevars_lexpr freevars_rec : 'a lexpr -> 'b = function
```

```
| #lambda as x -> freevars_lambda freevars_rec x
```

```
| #expr as x -> freevars_expr freevars_rec x
```

```
type lexpr_t = lexpr_t lexpr
```

```
let rec eval3 subst e : lexpr_t = eval_lexpr eval3 subst e
let rec freevars3 (e : lexpr_t) = freevars_lexpr freevars3 e

let test3 =
  ('App ('Abs ("x", 'Add ('Mult ('Num 3, 'Var "x"), 'Num 1)),
        'Num 2) : lexpr_t)
let e_test3 = eval3 [] test3
let fv_test3 = freevars3 test3
let e_old_test = eval3 [] (test2 :> lexpr_t)
let fv_old_test = freevars3 (test2 :> lexpr_t)
```

- Using recursive modules, we can clean-up the confusing or cluttering aspects of tying the recursive knots: type variables, recursive call arguments.
- We need *private types*, which for objects and polymorphic variants means *private rows*.
  - We can conceive of open row types, e.g. `[> 'Int of int | 'String of string]` as using a *row variable*, e.g. `'a`:

```
[ 'Int of int | 'String of string | 'a ]
```

and then of private row types as abstracting the row variable:

```
type t_row  
type t = [ 'Int of int | 'String of string | t_row ]
```

But the actual formalization of private row types is more complex.

- Penalty points:
  - We still need to tie the recursive knots for types, for example `private [ > 'a lambda ] as 'a`.
  - There can be slight time costs due to the use of functors and dispatch on merging of sub-languages.
- Verdict: a clean solution, best place.

```
type var = ['Var of string]
```

```
let eval_var subst ('Var s as v : var) =  
  try List.assoc s subst with Not_found -> v
```

```
type 'a lambda =  
  ['Var of string | 'Abs of string * 'a | 'App of 'a * 'a]
```

```
module type Eval =  
sig type exp val eval : (string * exp) list -> exp -> exp end
```

```
module LF(X : Eval with type exp = private [> 'a lambda] as 'a) =  
struct
```

```
  type exp = X.exp lambda
```

```
  let gensym =  
    let n = ref 0 in fun () -> incr n; "_" ^ string_of_int !n
```

```
  let eval subst : exp -> X.exp = function  
    | #var as v -> eval_var subst v  
    | 'App (l1, l2) ->  
      let l2' = X.eval subst l2 in  
      (match X.eval subst l1 with
```

```

    | 'Abs (s, body) ->
        X.eval [s, l2'] body
    | l1' -> 'App (l1', l2'))
| 'Abs (s, l1) ->
    let s' = gensym () in
    'Abs (s', X.eval ((s, 'Var s')::subst) l1)
end

module rec Lambda : (Eval with type exp = Lambda.exp lambda) =
    LF(Lambda)

module type FreeVars =
sig type exp val freevars : exp -> string list end

module LFVF(X : FreeVars with type exp = private [> 'a lambda] as 'a) =
struct
    type exp = X.exp lambda

    let freevars : exp -> 'b = function
        | 'Var v -> [v]
        | 'App (l1, l2) -> X.freevars l1 @ X.freevars l2
        | 'Abs (s, l1) ->
            List.filter (fun v -> v <> s) (X.freevars l1)
    end
end

```

```

module rec LambdaFV : (FreeVars with type exp = LambdaFV.exp lambda) =
  LFVF(LambdaFV)

let test1 = ('App ('Abs ("x", 'Var "x"), 'Var "y") : Lambda.exp)
let e_test = Lambda.eval [] test1
let fv_test = LambdaFV.freevars test1

type 'a expr =
  ['Var of string | 'Num of int | 'Add of 'a * 'a | 'Mult of 'a * 'a]

module type Operations =
sig include Eval include FreeVars with type exp := exp end

module EF(X : Operations with type exp = private [> 'a expr] as 'a) =
struct
  type exp = X.exp expr

  let map_expr f = function
    | #var as v -> v
    | 'Num _ as n -> n
    | 'Add (e1, e2) -> 'Add (f e1, f e2)
    | 'Mult (e1, e2) -> 'Mult (f e1, f e2)

```



```

let eval subst (e : exp) : X.exp =
  match map_expr (X.eval subst) e with
  | #var as v -> eval_var subst v
  | 'Add ('Num m, 'Num n) -> 'Num (m + n)
  | 'Mult ('Num m, 'Num n) -> 'Num (m * n)
  | e -> e

```

```

let freevars : exp -> 'b = function
  | 'Var v -> [v]
  | 'Num _ -> []
  | 'Add (e1, e2) | 'Mult (e1, e2) -> X.freevars e1 @ X.freevars e2

```

end

```

module rec Expr : (Operations with type exp = Expr.exp expr) =
  EF(Expr)

```

```

let test2 = ('Add ('Mult ('Num 3, 'Var "x"), 'Num 1) : Expr.exp)
let e_test2 = Expr.eval ["x", 'Num 2] test2
let fvs_test2 = Expr.freevars test2

```

```

type 'a lexpr = ['a lambda | 'a expr]

```

```

module LEF(X : Operations with type exp = private [> 'a lexpr] as 'a) =
  struct

```

```
type exp = X.exp lexpr
module LambdaX = LF(X)
module LambdaFVX = LFVF(X)
module ExprX = EF(X)
```

```
let eval subst : exp -> X.exp = function
  | #LambdaX.exp as x -> LambdaX.eval subst x
  | #ExprX.exp as x -> ExprX.eval subst x
```

```
let freevars : exp -> 'b = function
  | #lambda as x -> LambdaFVX.freevars x      Either of #lambda or #LambdaX.exp is fine.
  | #expr as x -> ExprX.freevars x           Either of #expr or #ExprX.exp is fine.
end
```

```
module rec LExpr : (Operations with type exp = LExpr.exp lexpr) =
  LEF(LExpr)
```

```
let test3 =
  ('App ('Abs ("x", 'Add ('Mult ('Num 3, 'Var "x"), 'Num 1)),
    'Num 2) : LExpr.exp)
```

```
let e_test3 = LExpr.eval [] test3
```

```
let fv_test3 = LExpr.freevars test3
```

```
let e_old_test = LExpr.eval [] (test2 :> LExpr.exp)
```

```
let fv_old_test = LExpr.freevars (test2 :> LExpr.exp)
```

- We have done parsing using external languages OCAMLLEX and MENHIR, now we will look at parsers written directly in OCaml.
- Language *combinators* are ways defining languages by composing definitions of smaller languages. For example, the combinators of the *Extended Backus-Naur Form* notation are:
  - concatenation:  $S = A, B$  stands for  $S = \{ab | a \in A, b \in B\}$ ,
  - alternation:  $S = A | B$  stands for  $S = \{a | a \in A \vee a \in B\}$ ,
  - option:  $S = [A]$  stands for  $S = \{\epsilon\} \cup A$ , where  $\epsilon$  is an empty string,
  - repetition:  $S = \{A\}$  stands for  $S = \{\epsilon\} \cup \{as | a \in A, s \in S\}$ ,
  - terminal string:  $S = "a"$  stands for  $S = \{a\}$ .
- Parsers implemented directly in a functional programming paradigm are functions from character streams to the parsed values. Algorithmically they are *recursive descent parsers*.
- *Parser combinators* approach builds parsers as *monad plus* values:
  - Bind: `val (>>=) : 'a parser -> ('a -> 'b parser) -> 'b parser`
    - `p >>= f` is a parser that first parses `p`, and makes the result available for parsing `f`.
  - Return: `val return : 'a -> 'a parser`
    - `return x` parses an empty string, symbolically  $S = \{\epsilon\}$ , and returns `x`.

- MZero: `val fail : 'a parser`
  - `fail` fails to parse anything, symbolically  $S = \emptyset = \{\}$ .
- MPlus: either `val <|> : 'a parser -> 'a parser -> 'a parser`,  
or `val <|> : 'a parser -> 'b parser -> ('a, 'b) choice parser`
  - `p <|> q` tries `p`, and if `p` succeeds, its result is returned, otherwise the parser `q` is used.

The only non-monad-plus operation that has to be built into the monad is some way to consume a single character from the input stream, for example:

- `val satisfy : (char -> bool) -> char parser`
  - `satisfy (fun c -> c = 'a')` consumes the character “a” from the input stream and returns it; if the input stream starts with a different character, this parser fails.
- Ordinary monadic recursive descent parsers **do not allow** *left-recursion*: if a cycle of calls not consuming any character can be entered when a parse failure should occur, the cycle will keep repeating.
  - For example, if we define numbers  $N := D|ND$ , where  $D$  stands for digits, then a stack of uses of the rule  $N \rightarrow ND$  will build up when the next character is not a digit.
  - On the other hand, rules can share common prefixes.

- The parser monad is actually a composition of two monads:
  - the state monad for storing the stream of characters that remain to be parsed,
  - the backtracking monad for handling parse failures and ambiguities.

Alternatively, one can split the state monad into a reader monad with the parsed string, and a state monad with the parsing position.

- Recall Lecture 8, especially slides 54-63.
- On my new OPAM installation of OCaml, I run the parsing example with:

```
ocamlbuild Plugin1.cmxs -pp "camlp4o /home/lukstafi/.opam/4.02.1/lib/monad-custom/pa_monad.cmo"
```

```
ocamlbuild Plugin2.cmxs -pp "camlp4o /home/lukstafi/.opam/4.02.1/lib/monad-custom/pa_monad.cmo"
```

```
ocamlbuild PluginRun.native -lib dynlink -pp "camlp4o ~/.opam/4.02.1/lib/monad-custom/pa_monad.cmo" -- "(3*(6+1))" _build/Plugin1.cmxs _build/Plugin2.cmxs
```

- We experiment with a different approach to *monad-plus*. The merits of this approach (or lack thereof) is left as an exercise. *lazy-monad-plus*:

```
val mplus : 'a monad -> 'a monad Lazy.t -> 'a monad
```

- Excerpts from Monad.ml. First an operation from MonadPlusOps.

```
let msum_map f l =
  List.fold_left
    (fun acc a -> mplus acc (lazy (f a))) mzero l
```

Folding left reversers the apparent order of composition,  
order from l is preserved.

- The implementation of the lazy-monad-plus.

```
type 'a llist = LNil | LCons of 'a * 'a llist Lazy.t
let rec ltake n = function
  | LCons (a, l) when n > 1 -> a::(ltake (n-1) (Lazy.force l))
  | LCons (a, l) when n = 1 -> [a]
  | _ -> []
let rec lappend l1 l2 =
  match l1 with LNil -> Lazy.force l2
  | LCons (hd, tl) -> LCons (hd, lazy (lappend (Lazy.force tl) l2))
let rec lconcat_map f = function
  | LNil -> LNil
  | LCons (a, l) -> lappend (f a) (lazy (lconcat_map f (Lazy.force l)))
module LListM = MonadPlus (struct
  type 'a t = 'a llist
  let bind a b = lconcat_map b a
  let return a = LCons (a, lazy LNil)
  let mzero = LNil
  let mplus = lappend
end)
```

Avoid forcing the tail if not needed.

- File `Parsec.ml`:

```
open Monad

module type PARSE = sig
  type 'a backtracking_monad                                Name for the underlying monad-plus.
  type 'a parsing_state = int -> ('a * int) backtracking_monad    Processing state – position.
  type 'a t = string -> 'a parsing_state                        Reader for the parsed text.
  include MONAD_PLUS_OPS
  val (<|>) : 'a monad -> 'a monad Lazy.t -> 'a monad           A synonym for mplus.
  val run : 'a monad -> 'a t
  val runT : 'a monad -> string -> int -> 'a backtracking_monad
  val satisfy : (char -> bool) -> char monad                    Consume a character of the specified class.
  val end_of_text : unit monad                                  Check for end of the processed text.
end

module ParseT (MP : MONAD_PLUS_OPS) :
  PARSE with type 'a backtracking_monad := 'a MP.monad =
struct
  type 'a backtracking_monad = 'a MP.monad
  type 'a parsing_state = int -> ('a * int) MP.monad
  module M = struct
    type 'a t = string -> 'a parsing_state
    let return a = fun s p -> MP.return (a, p)
    let bind m b = fun s p ->
      MP.bind (m s p) (fun (a, p') -> b a s p')
    let mzero = fun _ _ -> MP.mzero
    let mplus ma mb = fun s p ->
```

```

    MP.mplus (ma s p) (lazy (Lazy.force mb s p))
end
include M
include MonadPlusOps(M)
let (<|>) ma mb = mplus ma mb
let runT m s p = MP.lift fst (m s p)
let satisfy f s p =
    if p < String.length s && f s.[p]
    then MP.return (s.[p], p + 1) else MP.mzero
let end_of_text s p =
    if p >= String.length s then MP.return ((), p) else MP.mzero
end
module type PARSE_OPS = sig
    include PARSE
    val many : 'a monad -> 'a list monad
    val opt : 'a monad -> 'a option monad
    val (?|) : 'a monad -> 'a option monad
    val seq : 'a monad -> 'b monad Lazy.t -> ('a * 'b) monad
    val (<*>) : 'a monad -> 'b monad Lazy.t -> ('a * 'b) monad
    val lowercase : char monad
    val uppercase : char monad
    val digit : char monad
    val alpha : char monad
    val alphanum : char monad
    val literal : string -> unit monad
    val (<<>) : string -> 'a monad -> 'a monad
    val (<>>) : 'a monad -> string -> 'a monad
end

```

Consuming a character means accessing it  
and advancing the parsing position.

Exercise: why laziness here?  
Synonym for seq.

Consume characters of the given string.  
Prefix and postfix keywords.



```

module ParseOps (R : MONAD_PLUS_OPS)
  (P : PARSE with type 'a backtracking_monad := 'a R.monad) :
  PARSE_OPS with type 'a backtracking_monad := 'a R.monad =
struct
  include P
  let rec many p =
    (perform
      r <-- p; rs <-- many p; return (r::rs))
    ++ lazy (return []))
  let opt p = (p >=> (fun x -> return (Some x))) ++ lazy (return None)
  let (?|) p = opt p
  let seq p q = perform
    x <-- p; y <-- Lazy.force q; return (x, y)
  let (<*>) p q = seq p q
  let lowercase = satisfy (fun c -> c >= 'a' && c <= 'z')
  let uppercase = satisfy (fun c -> c >= 'A' && c <= 'Z')
  let digit = satisfy (fun c -> c >= '0' && c <= '9')
  let alpha = lowercase ++ lazy uppercase
  let alphanum = alpha ++ lazy digit
  let literal l =
    let rec loop pos =
      if pos = String.length l then return ()
      else satisfy (fun c -> c = l.[pos]) >>- loop (pos + 1) in
    loop 0
  let (<<>) bra p = literal bra >>- p
  let (<>>) p ket = p >=> (fun x -> literal ket >>- return x)
end

```

- File PluginBase.ml:

```

module ParseM =
  Parsec.ParseOps (Monad.LListM) (Parsec.ParseT (Monad.LListM))
open ParseM

let grammar_rules : (int monad -> int monad) list ref = ref []

let get_language () : int monad =
  let rec result =
    lazy
      (List.fold_left
        (fun acc lang -> acc <|> lazy (lang (Lazy.force result)))
        mzero !grammar_rules) in
    Ensure we parse the whole text.
  perform r <-- Lazy.force result; end_of_text; return r

```

- File PluginRun.ml:

```

let load_plug fname : unit =
  let fname = Dynlink.adapt_filename fname in
  if Sys.file_exists fname then
    try Dynlink.loadfile fname
    with
    | (Dynlink.Error err) as e ->
      Printf.printf "\nERROR loading plugin: %s\n%!"
        (Dynlink.error_message err);
      raise e
    | e -> Printf.printf "\nUnknow error while loading plugin\n%!"
  else (
    Printf.printf "\nPlugin file %s does not exist\n%!" fname;
    exit (-1))

let () =
  for i = 2 to Array.length Sys.argv - 1 do
    load_plug Sys.argv.(i) done;
  let lang = PluginBase.get_language () in
  let result =
    Monad.LListM.run
      (PluginBase.ParseM.runT lang Sys.argv.(1) 0) in
  match Monad.ltake 1 result with
  | [] -> Printf.printf "\nParse error\n%!"
  | r::_ -> Printf.printf "\nResult: %d\n%!" r

```

- File Plugin1.ml:

```
open PluginBase.ParseM
let digit_of_char d = int_of_char d - int_of_char '0'
let number _ =
  let rec num =
    lazy ( (perform
      d <-- digit;
      (n, b) <-- Lazy.force num;
      return (digit_of_char d * b + n, b * 10))
    <|> lazy (digit >=> (fun d -> return (digit_of_char d, 10)))) in
    Lazy.force num >>| fst
let addition lang =
  perform
    literal "("; n1 <-- lang; literal "+"; n2 <-- lang; literal ")";
    return (n1 + n2)
let () = PluginBase.(grammar_rules := number :: addition :: !grammar_rules)
```

Numbers:  $N := DN | D$  where  $D$  is digits.

Addition rule:  $S \rightarrow (S + S)$ .

Requiring a parenthesis ( turns the rule into non-left-recursive.

- File Plugin2.ml:

```
open PluginBase.ParseM
let multiplication lang =
  perform
    literal "("; n1 <-- lang; literal "*"; n2 <-- lang; literal ")";
    return (n1 * n2)
let () = PluginBase.(grammar_rules := multiplication :: !grammar_rules)
```

Multiplication rule:  $S \rightarrow (S * S)$ .