Q1

Yes

The cells can be executed without error messages.

Q2

Yes

Every cell has explanation notes.

О3

The code is clean, well-organized, and straightforward which makes it simple to understand.

Q4

They can do more analysis on the outcome.

Q5

Partially

Most of the greened questions have been done, but sadly there are some questions not well answered. Firstly, for the instruction "Please include additional linguistic features to your machine learning model for this task" they said they used CountVectorizer vectorizer and TF-IDF vectorizer, but in the coding, I haven't seen the TF-IDF method. On the other hand, they didn't add other linguistic features in this part. Secondly, they gave the confusion matrix but didn't analysis and summarize it. Lastly, they didn't compare the importance between extra features and outputs of the vectorizer.

Q6

No

In the report, they said they used CountVectorizer vectorizer and TF-IDF vectorizer, but in the coding, I haven't seen the TF-IDF method. On the other hand, they didn't analysis or add other linguistic features in this part

Q7

Yes

They created a confusion matrix.

Q8

Yes

They are English, Swedish, Norwegian and Japanese

Q9

The code is well-organized, neat and logical.

Q10

They can be better if giving more notes on the code and delete the blank cells in the notebook.

Q11
Yes
They well answered the questions.
Q12
Yes
They reached 97%.
Q13
Yes
optimizer': [torch.optim.SGD, torch.optim.Adam],
'module_nonlin':[F.relu, F.tanh],
'modulenum_units': [800,200],
'callbacks_earlystopping_patience':[5,10]
Q14
They answered the questions well, and added the 'T' questions in the notebook to the report.
Q15
The format is a bit messy, if they upload the PDF file instead of Word, and unified the font, it
may be better.
For the content, they can do better if they explain their outcome more specifically in some
questions.
Q16
Yes
163
Q17
Partially
Most questions are solved, but in part 1, some of the questions are half-done.
Q18
0.75