#### TEACHER REPORT

Name of Teacher	LEE ZHENG YAO, DANIEL
Module	CS1010S-Programming Methodology (TUTORIAL)
Academic Year/Sem	2021/2022 - SEM 1
Department	COMPUTER SCIENCE
Faculty	SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

Raters	Student
Responded	7
Invited	11
Response Ratio	64%

#### Note:

Class Size = Invited; Response Size = Responded; Response Rate = Response Ratio

### A. GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETING THE REPORT

The teacher evaluation report is for developmental purposes and is meant to help identify strengths and areas for improvement. Please consider the following recommendations that will aid in interpreting the results:

- 1. Examine the report by taking note of patterns in order to consider how best to act on the feedback your students have taken the time to provide. Use the reflection section at the end to reflect upon how you might act on the feedback.
- 2. These evaluations stem from student perception and thus constitute one source of evidence among others as to the quality of your teaching. Any response to the feedback should be based on the most representative results rather than on outlying responses.
- 3. Upon getting a general sense as to what has gone well, and which areas may require attention and improvement, it is important to drill down to the related questions. These questions can help guide future action if feedback from students suggest areas for improvement.
- 4. Keep both the likert scale and written comments in mind while reading through the report. High scores (4+) suggest student consensus indicating a strength. On the other hand, low scores (2-) should be considered as an area that requires immediate developmental focus based on student feedback.

## **B. NOMINATION FOR TEACHING AWARDS**

	Response Count
I would like to nominate LEE ZHENG YAO, DANIEL for teaching awards	2

### Comment


- responsive and engaging
- He is a very patient tutor and actively tries his best to help us with any questions we have. He also provides additional work to engage us and help us enhance our learning.

### C. STUDENT FEEDBACK SCORES

## (i) Rating Score

Question		Average Score (TEACHER)		· ·		Faculty Average (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)	
-	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	
Overall, the teacher is effective.		4.9	0.4	4.3	0.8	4.2	0.8

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Dept Average by Activity & Level (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL (Level 1000))	Fac Average by Activity & Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL (Level 1000))	Dept Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL)	Fac Average by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Overall, the teacher is effective.	4.9	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3



Question		Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)		ty Average HOOL OF IPUTING)
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.9	0.4	4.3	0.8	4.2	0.8
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.9	0.4	4.3	0.8	4.2	0.8
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.7	0.5	4.2	8.0	4.2	0.9
Average of Q1-Q3	4.8	0.4	4.2	-	4.2	-

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Dept Average by Activity & Level (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL (Level 1000))	Fac Average by Activity & Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL (Level 1000))	Dept Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL)	Fac Average by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.9	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.9	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Average of Q1-Q3	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3


# **Department Specific Questions**

Question		age Score ACHER)	Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher engaged me in useful interactions that have enhanced my learning.	4.9	0.4	4.2	8.0


Question		Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	
The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.	4.7	0.5	4.2	0.8	

Question		age Score ACHER)	Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher cares about student development and learning.	4.6	0.5	4.3	8.0


#### (ii) Distribution of Responses and Additional Statistics




The teacher engaged me in useful interactions that have enhanced my learning.




The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.




### The teacher cares about student development and learning.




## (iii) Scale Distribution of Responses




The teacher engaged me in useful interactions that have enhanced my learning.



The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.



#### The teacher cares about student development and learning.



### (iv) Rating Scores vs. Gender

Question	М	F	Overall
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.7	5.0	4.9
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.7	5.0	4.9
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.7	4.8	4.7

#### D. STRENGTHS

### What are LEE ZHENG YAO, DANIEL's strengths?

#### Comments

Prepares well for each tutorial, all content covered is always relevant to how we will be tested for the module. Also available for adhoc questions/consults whenever asked.

very fast to respond

NIL

His tutorials value add a lot to the contents covered in lectures and assignments as the materials he prepared are interesting and promotes thinking during lesson time. He also actively engages the class to participate.

very helpful, provides challenging questions and goes through them with the class

He is very patient when answering questions. I appreciate the lecture content recaps that he provides at the start of each tutorial class, and also the more challenging questions that he gives to stretch our minds at the end of each class.

#### E. AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

### What improvements would you suggest to LEE ZHENG YAO, DANIEL?

### Comments

NIL

To manage time in tutorial better as some tutorials felt rushed to cover contents.

Nothing.

## F. SELF-REFLECTION

- 1. When comparing these results to the previous year's results, what areas have shown improvement?
- 2. What areas remain to be improved and what are the necessary steps / actions to do so?
- 3. Are there colleagues who could potentially guide me?
- 4. Are there issues that require departmental or institutional support?