CSC108: Mutability and Functions

Daniel Zingaro University of Toronto

daniel.zingaro@utoronto.ca

November 2014

1 Changing or Returning

Here are two functions that seem to be similar, but have important differences. It's important that you understand the differences between these functions because these differences affect the code you will write to implement the functions.

Function A:

```
def insert_after(L, n1, n2):
    '''(list of int, int, int) -> NoneType
    Insert n2 after each occurrence of n1 in L.
    '''
    Function B:

def insert_after2(L, n1, n2):
    '''(list of int, int, int) -> list of int
    Return a new list consisting of all elements from L,
    additionally with n2 added after each occurrence of n1.

>>> insert_after2([3, 4, 5], 3, 10)
    [3, 10, 4, 5]
    ...
```

Both of these functions have something to do with inserting n2 after each occurrence of n1.

The first difference you should note is in the type contracts. The parameters for both functions are the same, but the types of the return values are different. insert_after does not return anything (indicated by NoneType) and insert_after2 does return something (a list of int). So if insert_after tries to return something, or insert_after2 does not return something, the function would be incorrect.

The fact that one function returns something but the other does not is also reflected in the descriptions in the docstrings. Read the descriptions again for both functions. The description for insert_after refers to inserting elements directly in L. The description for

insert_after2, on the other hand, does not talk about modifying L but instead says that the function should return a new list. So insert_after is supposed to modify L directly and not return anything; insert_after2 is supposed to leave L alone and create and return a new list.

Here is some code for these functions.

```
def insert_after(L, n1, n2):
  ''', (list of int, int, int) -> NoneType
  Insert n2 after each occurrence of n1 in L.
 >>> 1st = [1, 2, 3]
  >>> insert_after(lst, 1, 4)
  >>> lst
  [1, 4, 2, 3]
  , , ,
  i = 0
  while i < len(L):
   if L[i] == n1:
     L.insert(i+1, n2)
     i += 1
    i += 1
def insert_after2(L, n1, n2):
  '''(list of int, int, int) -> list of int
  Return a new list consisting of all elements from L,
  additionally with n2 added after each occurrence of n1.
  >>> insert_after2([3, 4, 5], 3, 10)
  [3, 10, 4, 5]
  ,,,
 new_L = []
  for element in L:
    new_L.append(element)
    if element == n1:
      new_L.append(n2)
 return new_L
```

Note how insert_after uses insert to directly modify L, whereas insert_after2 never modifies L.

Another difference in the docstrings of these functions is the way in which the examples must be written. The docstring examples give the **return value** for the function when it is called with the given parameters. insert_after returns None (not a list), so it would be incorrect to say:

```
>>> insert_after([3, 4, 5], 3, 10)
[3, 10, 4, 5]
```

It is incorrect because [3, 10, 4, 5] is not the return value from insert_after. (Remember that insert_after doesn't return a new list; it modifies the existing list!)

The correct way to include an example in the docstring of a function that modifies a mutable parameter is as follows:

- Make a variable refer to a mutable object
- Call the function on that object
- Type the object's name, and
- Give the result that Python would print for the object

Check the example again for insert_after to see this pattern. Notice that the >>> goes before everything that is to be typed at a shell. In particular, 1st by itself causes the shell to print a representation of the list, and we're expecting it to print the list literal in the last line of the example.

2 Using the Functions

Let's play around in the shell to see the difference between insert_after and insert_after2.

First, insert_after (I have saved both functions in file mutable.py):

```
>>> from mutable import *
>>> lst = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
>>> insert_after(lst, 3, 10)
>>> lst
[1, 2, 3, 10, 4, 5]
>>> lst2 = insert_after(lst, 3, 10)
>>> lst2
>>> print(lst2)
None
>>> lst
[1, 2, 3, 10, 10, 4, 5]
```

Make sure you understand why 1st2 is None. insert_after returns None since there is no return statement. It is therefore incorrect to do:

```
lst = insert_after(lst, ...)
```

Now for insert_after2:

```
>>> lst = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
>>> insert_after2(lst, 3, 10)
[1, 2, 3, 10, 4, 5]
>>> lst
[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
```

```
>>> insert_after2(lst, 3, 10)
[1, 2, 3, 10, 4, 5]
>>> lst
[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
>>> lst2 = insert_after2(lst, 3, 10)
>>> lst2
[1, 2, 3, 10, 4, 5]
>>> lst
[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
```

Here, if we don't assign the return value of <code>insert_after2</code> to a variable, that return value is lost. This is because <code>insert_after2</code> creates a new list rather than modifies the existing list.

3 Exercise

Write an example (careful!) and body for the following function. Note that the word <code>object</code> in the docstring just means that v can be any object (i.e. of any type). So v could be an integer or a string or a list or anything else. The type of v doesn't change your code at all: you just set every key's value to v.

```
def make_all_values_equal(d, v):
    '''(dict, object) -> NoneType
    Modify d so that each of its values equals v.
    ''',
```