

Category Theory: First Homework

Deadline: 18. 11. 2022

Luna Strah, 27222025

Preface:

Throughout this homework I use contravariant composition (so $(f;g)(x) = (fg)(x) = g(f(x))$) on morphisms. Composition (and use) of functors and natural transformations will stay as usual. I also denote the equivalence class of a partial map representative as $[m, f]$.

I will also write $\ell : (m, f) \equiv (m', f')$ (and \sqsubseteq) when I talk about the (unique) map which makes the (in)equality hold.

Problem 1

Show that partial maps in \mathcal{C} form a category. That is, define a category \mathcal{C}_{par} with $|\mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}| = |\mathcal{C}|$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X, Y) =$ the collection of partial maps from X to Y .

Solution We define \mathcal{C}_{par} via $|\mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}| := |\mathcal{C}|$ and for $X, Y \in |\mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}|$ we define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X, Y) := \{[f, m] \subseteq \mathcal{C}(X_0, Y) \times \mathcal{C}(X_0, X) \mid X_0 \in |\mathcal{C}|, m \text{ monic}\}.$$

It remains to define the composition and identity, and show that the identity and associativity laws hold for the above.

Define $1_X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}$ as $[1_X, 1_X]$ and the composite of partial maps $[m, f]$ and $[n, g]$ as follows: taking the pullback of the cospan $X_0 \xrightarrow{f} Y \xleftarrow{n} Y_0$ gives us the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{X_0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y_0 & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ \downarrow \bar{n} & \lrcorner & \downarrow n & & \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & & \\ \downarrow m & & & & \\ X & & & & \end{array}$$

We define $[m, f]; [n, g] := [\bar{n}m, \bar{f}g]$. The map $\bar{n}m$ is monic, as \bar{n} is monic, since it's the pullback of a monic map. It remains to show that composition is well-defined according to \equiv .

Let (m_1, f_1) and (m_2, f_2) be two partial map representatives of the same map. Then the two compositions (via pullbacks) with $[n, g]$ are $[\bar{n}_i m_i, \bar{f}_i g]$. Because $\varphi : (m_1, f_1) \equiv (m_2, f_2)$, we can form the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccccc} P_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_i} & Y_0 & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ \downarrow \bar{n}_i & \lrcorner & \downarrow m & & \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & Y & & \\ \downarrow m_i & & & & \\ X & & & & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccccc} P_1 & & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_1} & & Y_0 \\ & \searrow \psi & & \searrow & \\ & P_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_2} & & Y_0 \\ & \downarrow \bar{n}_2 & \lrcorner & & \\ & X_2 & & & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccccc} P_2 & & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_2} & & Y_0 \\ & \searrow \psi' & & \searrow & \\ & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_1} & & Y_0 \\ & \downarrow \bar{n}_1 & \lrcorner & & \\ & X_1 & & & \end{array} \end{array}$$

The outer squares on the right two diagrams commute, thus we get the (unique) morphisms ψ and ψ' from the pullback square. This gives us the identities $\psi \bar{n}_2 = \bar{n}_1 \varphi$, $\psi \bar{f}_2 = \bar{f}_1$, $\psi' \bar{n}_1 = \bar{n}_2 \varphi^{-1}$, and $\psi' \bar{f}_1 = \bar{f}_2$, which are exactly the required identities to conclude both $(\bar{n}_1 m_1, \bar{f}_1 g) \sqsubseteq (\bar{n}_2 m_2, \bar{f}_2 g)$ and $(\bar{n}_2 m_2, \bar{f}_2 g) \sqsubseteq (\bar{n}_1 m_1, \bar{f}_1 g)$. We can similarly prove that composition is also well-defined on the other argument.

Define the identity morphism on $X \in |\mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}|$ as $[1_X, 1_X]$. Pullback along an identity give us the same morphism, so $[m, f]; [1_X, 1_X] = [1_X; m, f; 1_X] = [m, f]$ and $[1_X, 1_X]; [m, f] = [m; 1_X, 1_X; f] = [m, f]$.

It remains to show associativity. Consider the pullback diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{p} & Z_0 \xrightarrow{h} W \\
 \downarrow \bar{n} & \lrcorner & \downarrow n \\
 P_{XY} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y_0 \xrightarrow{g} Z \\
 \downarrow \bar{m} & \lrcorner & \downarrow m \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \downarrow i & & \\
 X & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 P' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & P'_{YZ} \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} Z_0 \xrightarrow{h} W \\
 \downarrow q & \lrcorner & \downarrow \bar{n}' \lrcorner \downarrow n \\
 & & Y_0 \xrightarrow{g} Z \\
 & & \downarrow m \\
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \downarrow i & & \\
 X & &
 \end{array}$$

We wish to show that $(\overline{nm}i, ph) \equiv (qi, \bar{f}\bar{g}h)$. Consider now the following sub-diagrams of the above:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{p} & Z_0 \\
 \searrow \varphi & & \downarrow n \\
 & P'_{YZ} & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} Z_0 \\
 \downarrow \bar{n}\bar{f} & \lrcorner & \downarrow n' \\
 & Y_0 & \xrightarrow{g} Z
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P'_{YZ} \\
 \searrow \psi & & \downarrow \bar{n}'m \\
 & P' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} P'_{YZ} \\
 \downarrow \overline{nm} & \lrcorner & \downarrow q \\
 & X_0 & \xrightarrow{f} Y
 \end{array}$$

The outer squares are present in the original diagrams, so they commute. Then by pullback laws the maps φ and ψ exist and ψ is a witness for $(\overline{nm}i, ph) \sqsubseteq (qi, \bar{f}\bar{g}h)$ (since $\psi qi = \overline{nm}i$ and $\psi \bar{f}\bar{g}h = \varphi \bar{g}h = ph$).

Problem 2

Define an identity-on-objects faithful functor $I : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}$.

Solution Define the functor as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I : \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}} \\ X &\mapsto X \\ (f : X \rightarrow Y) &\mapsto [1_X, f] : I(X) \rightarrow I(Y). \end{aligned}$$

We need to verify it respects identities and compositions. Let $X, Y, Z \in |\mathcal{C}|$, $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, and $g \in \mathcal{C}(Y, Z)$.

$$\begin{aligned} I(1_X) &= [1_X, 1_X] = 1_X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}, \\ I(f; g) &= [1_X, f; g] = [1_X, f]; [1_Y, g] = I(f); I(g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, I is indeed a functor. Let now f and g both be from $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, such that $I(f) = I(g)$. Then we have $\varphi : (1_X, f) \equiv (1_X, g)$. From the equality $\varphi 1_X = 1_X$ it follows that $\varphi = 1_X$. Then it follows from $\varphi f = g$ that $f = g$ so I is faithful.

We used the fact that a pullback along an identity is again the same morphism. Consider the following diagram, with the inner and outer squares commuting.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & & & & P \\ & \searrow p & & \searrow p & \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{1_X} & X \\ & \searrow h & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{1_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

(Note: The diagram above is a simplified representation of the commutative diagram in the image. The image shows a pullback square with vertices P, X, X, Y. The top vertex is P, the bottom-left is X, the bottom-right is X, and the bottom-most is Y. Arrows: P to X (top-left) is p, P to X (top-right) is p, P to Y (bottom-left) is q, X to X (middle) is 1_X, X to Y (bottom-right) is f, X to Y (bottom-left) is f, Y to Y (bottom) is 1_Y. There is also a diagonal arrow h from P to X.)

Then the required map from P to X as required for a pullback exists (and is p). It is also unique, since if there is another map h such that the triangles commute, then $p = h$ follows from the upper triangle.

Problem 3

Suppose that every object X of \mathcal{C} has a partial map classifier. Show that the operation $X \mapsto \widetilde{X}$ extends to a faithful functor $\widetilde{(-)} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with respect to which the maps $(\eta_X)_{X \in |\mathcal{C}|}$ form the components of a natural transformation $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \widetilde{(-)}$.

Solution

Lemma: The maps η_X are monic.

Proof of lemma. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be such that $f\eta_Y = g\eta_Y$. Then consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & g & & \\
 & \searrow \varphi & & \searrow & \\
 X & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 & \searrow 1_X & \downarrow 1_X & \lrcorner & \downarrow \eta_Y \\
 & & X & \xrightarrow{t_{1_X, f}} & \widetilde{Y}
 \end{array}$$

The inner square is a pullback, since it arises from the partial map classifier of $(1_X, f)$, and the outer square commutes by assumption ($1_X; t_{1_X, f} = f; \eta_Y = g; \eta_Y$). Then by pullback laws there exists a unique $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\varphi; 1_X = 1_X$ and $\varphi; f = g$. From the first equality we get $\varphi = 1_X$ which means that $f = g$ follows and thus, η_Y is a mono. \square

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Define then \tilde{f} to be the map $t_{\eta_X, f}$ arising from the partial map classifier of the partial

map representative (η_X, f) (as shown above, η_X is monic). This makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \downarrow \eta_X & & \downarrow \eta_Y \\
 \widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \widetilde{Y}
 \end{array}$$

commute,

which will satisfy the naturality condition later. Next we have to show $\widetilde{(-)}$ is a functor. We have already defined the functions $F_0 : |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{C}|$ and $F_{1, X, Y} : \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})$ appropriately, so we only need to verify that $\widetilde{1_X} = 1_{\widetilde{X}}$ and $\widetilde{f; g} = \tilde{f}; \tilde{g}$. First consider the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{1_X} & X \\
 \downarrow \eta_X & & \downarrow \eta_X \\
 \widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{1_X}} & \widetilde{X}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{1_X} & X \\
 \downarrow \eta_X & & \downarrow \eta_X \\
 \widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{1_{\widetilde{X}}} & \widetilde{X}
 \end{array}$$

They are both pullbacks, so by uniqueness of $t_{\eta_X, 1_X}$ they are equal, so $\widetilde{1_X} = 1_{\widetilde{X}}$.

Next consider the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\
 \downarrow \eta_X & & \downarrow \eta_Y & & \downarrow \eta_Z \\
 \widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \widetilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & \widetilde{Z}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f; g} & Z \\
 \downarrow \eta_X & & \downarrow \eta_Z \\
 \widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f; g}} & \widetilde{Z}
 \end{array}$$

On the left diagram the inner two squares are pullbacks, thus by the pullback lemma so is the outer square. But then, as the right square is also a pullback diagram, we get $\widetilde{f; g} = \tilde{f}; \tilde{g}$ by uniqueness via the partial map classifier. Together then $\widetilde{(-)}$ is a functor and $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \widetilde{(-)}$ is a natural transformation. \square

Problem 4

Suppose that Y has a partial map classifier. Show that, for any two representatives (m, f) and (m', f') of partial maps from X to Y , it holds that $(m, f) \equiv (m', f')$ if and only if $t_{m,f} = t_{m',f'}$.

Solution

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{f} & & \\
 & \text{X}_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{X}'_0 & \xrightarrow{f'} & \text{Y} \\
 & \downarrow m' & & \downarrow m & \downarrow \eta_Y \\
 & \text{X} & \xrightarrow{1_X} & \text{X} & \xrightarrow{t'} & \tilde{\text{Y}}
 \end{array}$$

Then if $i : (m, f) \equiv (m', f')$ the above diagram commutes. We wish to show the left square is a pullback. Take $X \xleftarrow{p} Z \xrightarrow{q} X'_0$, such that $p = qm$. Then, since i is an iso, qi^{-1} is a morphism from Z to X_0 . Because Y has a partial map classifier that morphism is also unique (as it maps into a pullback), so the left square is also a pullback. Then, by the pullback lemma, the outer square is also a pullback. From there, we conclude that $t = t'$, since t is the unique morphism that makes that square a pullback.

In the other direction, from the assumption that $t = t'$ we see that the outer and right squares are pullbacks, and $i : X_0 \rightarrow X'_0$ exists because X'_0 is a pullback and the diagram (without i) commutes. This i also makes the whole diagram commute. By the pullback lemma we again conclude that the left square is a pullback. Then i is an iso and $i : (m, f) \equiv (m', f')$. \square

Problem 5

Show that an object Y has a partial map classifier if and only if the functor $F := \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(-, Y) \circ I^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is representable. (Here $I^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}^{\text{op}}$ is the opposite-category version of $I : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}$).

Solution

Let us first break down both definitions:

- $\exists \tilde{Y} \in |\mathcal{C}|, \eta_Y : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y} \ni \forall [m, f] \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X, Y). \exists! t_{m,f} \in \mathcal{C}(X, \tilde{Y}) \ni$ the square is a pullback.
- $\exists Z \in |\mathcal{C}|, \alpha : \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(-, Y) \circ I^{\text{op}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, Z) \ni \alpha$ is a natural isomorphism.
 - For all $X \in |\mathcal{C}|$ α_X is an iso
 - For all $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, X')$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \\ \downarrow f & & \uparrow Ff \quad \quad \uparrow f^* \\ X' & & \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X', Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X'}} \mathcal{C}(X', Z) \end{array}$$

where $Ff = [m, g] \mapsto [1_X, f]; [m, g] = [\bar{m}, \bar{f}; g]$.

The equivalence will obviously take $\tilde{Y} = Z$.

(\Rightarrow). Define $\alpha_X[m, f] := t_{m,f}$, the unique map arising from the partial map classifier \tilde{Y} . It is well-defined by problem 4 ($[m, f] = [m', f'] \Rightarrow t_{m,f} = t_{m',f'}$).

Take arbitrary $[m, g] \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X', Y)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, X')$. Then we have $(Ff; \alpha_X)[m, g] = t_{\bar{m}, \bar{f}; g}$ and $(\alpha_{X'}; f^*)[m, g] = f; t_{m,g}$. As before by pullback lemma on the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & X'_0 & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ \downarrow \bar{m} & \lrcorner & \downarrow m & \lrcorner & \downarrow \eta_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' & \xrightarrow{t_{m,g}} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

and uniqueness of $t_{\bar{m}, \bar{f}; g}$ we see that $f; t_{m,g} = t_{\bar{m}, \bar{f}; g}$. This makes the naturality square commute, so α is a natural transformation.

Define $\beta : \mathcal{C}(-, \tilde{Y}) \Rightarrow F$ by $\beta_X h := [p, q]$ where $X \xleftarrow{p} P \xrightarrow{q} Y$ is the pullback of the cospan $X \xrightarrow{h} \tilde{Y} \xleftarrow{\eta_Y} Y$. Then $(\alpha\beta)_X h = t_{p,q} = h$ by uniqueness of $t_{p,q}$ and $(\beta\alpha)_{X'}[m, g] = [m, g]$. Thus, α is a natural isomorphism. \square

(\Leftarrow). Let now $\alpha : \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(-, Y) \circ I^{\text{op}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, Z)$ be a natural isomorphism and $X \xleftarrow{m} X_0 \xrightarrow{f} Y$ be a partial map representative from X to Y . Define $\eta_Y := \alpha_Y[1_Y, 1_Y]$ and $t_{m,f} := \alpha_X[m, f]$.

For the rest of the proof keep the following diagram in mind (note that we do not assert the commutativity or existence of any of the maps indicated by convention):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & P & & \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow p & \searrow & \\ & & X_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \swarrow q & \downarrow m & \downarrow \eta_Y & \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{t_{m,f}} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

First we have to prove the inner square commutes. Consider the following diagrams that arise from the naturality of α :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X_0, Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X_0}} \mathcal{C}(X_0, Z) \\ \downarrow f & \uparrow Ff & \uparrow f^* \\ Y & \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(Y, Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_Y} \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X_0, Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X_0}} \mathcal{C}(X_0, Z) \\ \downarrow m & \uparrow Fm & \uparrow m^* \\ X & \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} \mathcal{C}(X, Z) \end{array}$$

We use commutativity of the diagrams on 1_Y and $[m, f]$ respectively to get $f; \eta_Y = \alpha_{X_0} [1_{X_0}, f]$ and $m; t_{m,f} = \alpha_{X_0} [1_{X_0}, f]$. It then follows that the inner square commutes.

Next we wish to show that it is a pullback. Consider $X \xleftarrow{p} P \xrightarrow{q} Y$ arbitrary, such that $p; \eta_Y = q; t_{m,f}$. By similar two naturality diagrams for p and q as above we get $p; \eta_Y = \alpha_P(Fp(1_Y)) = \alpha_P[1_P, p]$ and $q; t_{m,f} = \alpha_P[\bar{m}, \bar{q}f]$, which are equal by assumption.

(Note: the maps \bar{m} and \bar{q} arise from the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{P} & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & X_0 \\ \downarrow \bar{m} & \lrcorner & \downarrow m \\ P & \xrightarrow{q} & X \end{array})$$

Since α_P is an iso it then follows that $[1_P, p] = [\bar{m}, \bar{q}f]$. Writing out the definition of equivalence we see that \bar{m} is an iso and $\bar{m}p = \bar{q}f$. We can then define $\varphi := \bar{m}^{-1}\bar{q}$.

The pullback square above gives us the equality $\bar{q}m = \bar{m}q$. Putting it all together we get the equalities $\varphi f = \bar{m}^{-1}\bar{q}f = p$ and $\varphi m = \bar{m}^{-1}\bar{q}m = \bar{m}^{-1}\bar{m}q = q$, making the square a pullback.

It remains to show uniqueness of $t_{m,f}$. Let t' be another map for which

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow m & \lrcorner & \downarrow \eta_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{t'} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

is a pullback. Then,

because α_X is iso, we have $t' = \alpha_X[n, g]$ for some $[n, g] \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{par}}(X, \tilde{Y})$. Then by the first part of this proof we

know that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ \downarrow n & \lrcorner & \downarrow \eta_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{t'} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

is also a pullback. Because pullbacks are unique up to isomorphism, we have an iso

$X_0 \rightarrow P$ witnessing $(m, f) \equiv (n, g)$, so $t_{m,f} = \alpha_X[m, f] = \alpha_X[n, g] = t'$. \square