



HIGH LEVEL INSIDER

Question Session Answers (July 2016-March 2017)



Table of Contents

General U.S.	5
~Election~	5
~Dissident~	13
~Elites/Politicians~	21
~US Technology~	27
~Programming~	30
~Financial Crisis~	34
~Banking~	37
~28 Pages~	43
~Civil War/Marital Law~	45
~General US/Pol Misc.~	46
Geo-Politics	64
~Russia~	64
~Euro Zone~	66
~China~	69
~Germany~	71
~Saudi Arabia~	71
~Turkey~	72
~Antarctica~	75
~World World III~	76
~Jewish~	77
~World Economics~	79
~U.S. Geo-Policy~	81
~Elites~	84
~Geo-Politics Misc.~	90
Psyops	100
~Simulation~	100
~Hollow/Flat Earth~	100

~False Flags~	101
~Georgia Guidestones~	101
~Psyops Misc.~	101
Freemasons	103
~Pedophile/Blackmail ~	103
~Elites (FM)~	104
~Religion~	107
~Freemason Misc.~	109
~Misc~	111
Clinton	116
~USSR/9-11~	116
~Server/Clinton Foundation~	117
~Clinton's Election Run~	121
~Clinton Misc~	125
Trump	129
~Election~	129
~Trump - Saudi Arabia~	133
~Trump – Financial Crisis~	133
~Birther Psyops~	134
~Three's view on Trump~	136
~Trump Misc~	138
Barack Obama	144
~Roots~	144
~Birth Certificate Psyops~	145
~Obama Misc.~	147
9/11-WTC	152
~Misc~	152
CIA Agents	156
~Assange/Snowden~	156
~Alex Jones~	158
~Agent Misc~	159
Soros	161

~Misc~	161
Aliens/Time Travel	164
~Misc~	164
~Time Travel~	166
~Misc~	167
Symbolism/Religion	169
~Religion~	169
~Symbolism~	176
~Jesus~	180
~Church~	183
~Spiritual~	185
~Afterlife~	186
~Misc~	187
Drugs	191
~Misc~	191
~Dreams~	194
History	196
~America~	196
~British/Masons~	202
~Egypt/Pyramids~	205
~Nazi/Germany~	207
~Human Roots~	208
~History Misc.~	214
Agency	219
~General~	219
~Dissident~	231
~Growth~	236
~Agency Misc.~	249
Future/Technology	260
~Future~	260
~Technology Misc.~	265
~Space Elevator~	276

~Sun Shade/Global Warming~	278
~Artificial Intelligence~	280
~Aging/Cancer~	282
~Augmentation~	286
~Three/Future Misc.~	288
~Future/Tech Misc.~	298
Three	306
~Organization~	306
~Views~	321
~Goals~	328
~2024 Election Candidate~	333
~Misc.~	337
High Level Insider (Writer)	347
~HLI Misc.~	347
QS Misc.	360
~Misc.~	360

General U.S.

~Election~

DNC/FEC are small fish, practically irrelevant to the world in any operational sense.

Sanders is fatally flawed in the sense that much of what you guys do is. You can only get so far by asking for things; taking them is the way of the real world.

[What can we do to beat the Clintons? Obviously we cannot investigate at the same level as the federal bureau of investigation. Are we meant to bring awareness to the masses using our knowledge in psyops and general meme making?]

The FBI is more constrained than you are, not less.

[Would you say there is enough control of the outcome to decide of the fate of the election regardless of voters' turnout?]

Yes, subject to the following constraint:

they can force an outcome that no one believes, but not an outcome that no one believes that no one believes.

[So the results have to reflect what the perceived result is?

Like, if Donald Trump completely compellingly destroy hillary in debates and the whole country and media call it for Donald, hillary can't just surprisingly win in a landslide.]

Correct, within reason

[(Conversation two anons) You're talking about a game of Jenga where the objective is to replace your opponent's blocks without the whole thing collapsing, yes?

Clever.

But very delicate.

JFK did something similar. He disguised himself as his opposition so that his opponents' system would install him as president.

His mistake was believing that was enough to win the game and that he could act.

How would you be able to positively act in the system without giving your positions away?

Or do you simply have to take over their system completely before trying to do anything?

Any insight into that, HLI?]

A decent but flawed model. You have to compensate where he failed, of course. There are a variety of possibilities on this front.

[Do we need another Washington-esque leader to break this cycle the current regime is spinning? Someone that is highly respected, yet understands how the game is played, that the elite fear him and the public admire?]

Yes - it doesn't have to be a single person, the revolution that he was at the forefront of was not, but you do need highly concentrated extraordinary ability.

[Who has a higher chance of winning?

Trump, because of the people he mainly speaks for feel unrepresented, so when he's controlled their anger will go away, or Hillary, just for the libtards and the feminists?]

These are things that are decided shortly before the election, not well in advance. Your options are tightly constrained well in advance - that is the method of control more than outright rigging elections.

[Will this election mark the beginning of a different era here in the cucked us of a?

Still can't foresee the outcome.]

No. Things will be mostly the same next year as they are this year, unless public perception shifts dramatically.

[>As you know, public opinion is against intervention as well as the press. The best way to build up a willingness to go after SA / get back into the ME is to have the press play it down and the "opposition" media rile you up - the faking of an "organic" demand to get back on the war path.

That makes sense. "thesis, antithesis, and synthesis" from the Hegelian Dialectic. We must combat this with taking down Hillary and those involved on our own soil, and NOT with war.]

Both Hillary and Donald support the new shift in geopolitical strategy. As you know, Hillary can be roughly described as a neocon and Donald has advocated putting a lot of boots back on the ground in the ME even before the groundwork started to be laid for the public to accept this.

This is similar to Romney and Obama both playing up Russiophobia in 2012. Both major candidates will always support the establishment geopolitical thinking. This is the nature of your rigged system.

[Would like to get an opinion on whether or not Trump would likely be better for the US in the long-run. Also, who would be better in terms of getting us to what you said >>81405016 (even though I'm very skeptical about the median income figure).]

We view Hillary as an overtly sinister actor, but also relatively constrained.

Trump is more of a wildcard and might surprise you in ways most haven't even considered possible. You could very well end up with a vast expansion of short-term bandaids (freebies) as the narcissist tries to buy public favor.

On the other hand, if public opinion can be shifted towards rational infrastructure development rather than over-the-top extravagances with no additional functionality (nicer airports?) you can feed the narcissist tendencies in a good way.

[Is this entire election cycle just bread and circus?]

Yes

[Why hasn't there been any happenings at the RNC yet? not even reports of bad protests.]

The regime doesn't want happenings where it is meeting. They don't fancy their own demise.

[If the entire democratic process is merely a show, is the effort here on /cfg/ and promoting Trump merely misdirected effort?

Are all attempts at engaging within the electoral system a fool's errand? Or is it still worthwhile to try and make the choice between Clinton and Trump because Trump will still make different choices and have different alliances than Clinton? Is it merely a matter of whose face we want telling us what the mostly

predetermined history unfolding is?]

You should be more interested in attacking the establishment than defending part of it.

Going after the CF is good work. Defending Trump when you know he is an approved candidate of the establishment is defending the very same status quo you want to change.

It's fine to favor Trump over Clinton, but we'd encourage you to do in the context of highlighting Clinton's misdeeds rather than pretending Trump is your white knight as many fools do with candidates every cycle.

[Are the Bushes and the #nevertrump people just trashing Trump so that the public believes that he is truly an outsider?]

It's just theatrics.

[are the presidential elections rigged?]

Yes

[Does the DNC support abortion for the sake of sacrificing children to Moloch?]

No. Abortion support derives from the popularity of eugenics in the early 20th century.

[What is most significant in the DNCmail leak?]

The vast majority of people don't comprehend even very basic math. Wrapping their minds around the statistical anomalies in the Bernie / Hillary matchup isn't possible. DNC leaks confirm to the masses that the election was rigged in a way the can comprehend.

[Will the DNC e-mail leaks be enough to cause Hillary's political downfall and secure a Trump victory, or is there more scandalous shit from the left/Obama to come?]

Hillary isn't on board with the new shift in US geostrategy. That might change, but she could prove to be too unpopular to sell the idea of a Hillary victory to the public in which case it is irrelevant.

[Just tell us all who's going to win the election this year: Hillary Clinton or Donald Trump?]

Hillary is the preferred candidate but she only has about 5-10% popular support. The question is whether people can be convinced that she could plausibly have won, and that hasn't been decided yet.

[Who wins the election?

Does it matter?]

This election is quite unusual in that the candidates have different geopolitical goals. Usually they are both on the same page, so it does actually matter this time around.

[I think that there is gonna be an economic downturn real soon and everybody is gonna blame it on the next president, is this true roleplayer?]

If Hillary, no, it will be blamed on a combination of Obama and GOP congress.

If Trump, yes, it will be blamed on Trump.

[what's the internal polling look like? does it match media polls?]

Pro-Hillary is about 6-7% of the population, anti-Trump is in the high teens, but a lot more variable, pro-Trump is around 35%, and the rest are none of the above / don't care.

[>You'll get a protected candidate that can speak the truth

Protected? a top notch hitman just got caught trying to assassinate him. That must have been a million+ job right there.

And most of the people support him but are afraid to say so, so they say they support the other person but only to avoid the equally fervent wrath of the supporters of the other. Who may be their girlfriends, wives, moms, employers and so on.

Some guy just got crowbarred for speaking publicly about that.

Rome is definitely burning.]

Trump is not this person. It is virtually impossible for anyone to lose to Hillary, but he's done everything in his power to make it possible. You've really lost touch if you can't see that.

[(Shanghai Cooperation Organisation) How big of a threat is the SCO to the US and our currency ?]

Hillary would like to end the US reserve status via SCO strengthening. Trump wants to cut a deal with Russia to knock out Saudi Arabia, send petro prices back up, and use that to rent extract on China / weaken their economy.

[(Trump) Will the elite benefit from what he is doing?]

They are already benefitting.

Trump spends 10x the effort bashing "radical Islamic terrorism" as he does on Hillary or the globalists. This is a particularly peculiar thing given that al-Qaeda and ISIS are operations of the west, not actual, legitimate threats.

He has done an incredibly good job of co-opting the message for them.

[And who is going to win the Presidency this year?]

Hillary is the regime's preferred candidate. They are willing to go with Trump and start a major depression after he gets into office to discredit nationalism though.

[>Right, so the question: is Trump prepared to handle the threat presuming he wanted to?

Good question.

And justice would be served if Hillary was in office when it happened.

But would you rather have Trump at the helm in that tempest, or a psychopath like Hillary?]

There are pros and cons to both.

Trump has the potential to try to do good, but his competency is highly suspect.

Clinton will obviously be bad and intentionally so, which opens the door to more serious revolt and discrediting of the regime.

[Will the elections be rigged? How can we stop that? Give us a clue.]

The elections are always rigged. Sometimes the public's choice and the elite's choice is the same, but even then the elections are rigged in that their choice would have won regardless.

To stop rigging, you need mass citizen activism. Conduct your own exit polls, participate in the election monitoring process at precincts, conduct polls before the election. Use rigorous methodology - a casual poll of your friends is useless.

[Was Clinton the first choice this cycle?

Is Trump controlled opposition? Don't know if you've answered this before. Building up repressed right wing sentiment doesn't seem to be in the best interest of certain actors.]

Clinton is the regime consensus. Trump is still controlled opposition however.

They have legitimate and real policy differences though. It isn't a meaningless selection by any means.
[>Trump over Hillary

Interesting that you claim to be an insider but wont confirm who will win in November.]

The winner of the November selection has not been decided yet.

Hillary is preferred but the regime is constrained to results which are believable. Right now they can sell a Hillary selection, but a lot of people are working to undermine that and it may not still be the case a few months from now.

[When will Bibi endorse Trump? It's almost election time.]

Bibi would prefer Hillary.

[EL-ites are generally immoral and inherently lazy, relying on family privilege makes that so. But ALL of Silicon Valley(minus Peter Thiel) is behind HRC and elite agenda, we keep hearing graduate level and above, again Kasparov, Hawking ... all lined up w Elites! Only elite /pol/ against.]

I can see why you think that, but it isn't true.

Trump for example has major disagreements with Hillary, but a few years ago you'd never know he was against her. If you want to do business like that, you can't speak your mind politically.

[Will the elections really be rigged?]

The elections are always rigged. That doesn't always mean that the popular sentiment and the result are different. For example, Obama was favored by the masses in 2008 by a wider margin than was reported in the election results - it was rigged to protect the illusion of strong division.

When you have a very strong mandate behind a leader, they might try to exercise their own authority rather than play ball with the system.

[What's your take on Homeland Security butting into the election, in the name of stopping hackers? Are they really going to try it? Can we intimidate them into backing off? I don't care if the elections're rigged, this would be crossing a major line and making violence likely. I have no confidence whatsoever in "Jeh" or his Department.]

We estimate the odds of the masses doing something about a rigged election after the fact at about zero.

Trump is correctly making an effort to get election monitors and such in place, but so far it isn't robust at all. If he pushed this idea strongly and competently organized it, he would have 100% chance of winning (popular support is strongly on his side) but so far he hasn't done much on this front.

[I bet he (Trump) can get schiff in there.]

Exactly the sort of thing that puts our confidence level in Trump competently handling a financial panic at about zero.

[Also what will happen to the financial markets and real estate if Hillary gets elected]

If Hilary is selected there will be major corrections in the securities markets followed by more slow and steady climbing back like you have seen during the Obama admin. The idea is you have a shock event to lower standard of living substantially then only marginally improve it, but not back to the former condition, over time.

Hillary will keep carbon energy prices low to the advantage of Europe & China and against the interests of the US and Russia.

[How the hell do you expect to advance your agenda if Hillary wins? If you know what Trump needs to do in order to win, why aren't you or one of your goons helping him?]

Trump knows what he needs to do to win, is capable of doing it himself, and isn't.

We've also asked a few questions and not yet received satisfactory answers. For example: why participate in the Obama birth certificate psy-op?

[So how soon can we expect a unique ID for all internet communications, from which fines or other prosecution can be levied for anything perceived as hate speech or bullying?]

Hillary will push for this in her first year.

[Is there anything more we can do to get it through their skulls that Hillary will not be president?]

Keep meme'ing that no one likes her. It isn't hard to do because it is true. You need common knowledge of this fact - not common in the sense of widely known, but common knowledge in the sense that everyone knows that everyone knows that everyone knows.

[What happened to Bernie?]

Bernie is a wimp, never had a chance.

[Is Tim Kaine the most likely 46th president? Whats his deal?]

Self-hating homosexual. Great enemy behind the gates type character.

[Whose going to win the election? Will they replace Hillary with another candidate?]

Hillary is being sent soft signals already that public perception of her electability is fading.

What I mean by that is that in order for the establishment to install Hillary, they only need people to believe it is plausible she might have won. Even if Trump is ahead 90-10 in popular opinion, if most of you still get the impression that maybe it is a tight race from the media, you are not going to hit the streets after Hillary wins despite a 9 to 1 majority.

Hillary is down by wide margins among likely voters. Her support among the general public is even more meager. Alternatives are being actively considered.

[I think I must be the only one who can see Hillary has pretty much no support, and that a Trump win is extremely, EXTREMELY likely.

All over the internet, no one but shills has anything supportive to say about HRC. There are so so many Trump fans, and there we so many Bernie fans (still are, they're probably voting Trump now out of disgust and a protest vote); but there are no HRC fans in quite the same numbers. Those opinion polls? Cooked. The media is in on it all big time.

Trump will win. And that's not hyperbole. I'd be willing to bet money on it. I felt the same way about Brexit. Without question, the Don will be in the WH on Nov. 9.]

"I think I must be the only one who can see Hillary has pretty much no support"

That is all that is necessary to install Hillary. If you don't know that the public sees the same things you see (and they all do) then you will be afraid to do anything when Hillary is given the selection.

[>Even if Trump is ahead 90-10 in popular opinion, if most of you still get the impression that maybe it is a tight race from the media, you are not going to hit the streets after Hillary wins despite a 9 to 1 majority.

I've been saying this shit for years.

Is this the reason there's been a Dem<->Repub pendulum the past few decades, so neither side is unappeased and won't chimp out to declare civil war?]

Strategy of tension. If you can't get good governance, you blame it on the 'other side' rather than the rotten regime.

[>The results were rigged towards Clinton, not against her. His margin of victory was actually much wider. The Soros type crowd is just stirring the pot knowing that only headlines are read and the details to follow will be ignored and forgotten.

I know but that doesn't answer my question. They've been preconditioning everyone with this RUSSIAN HACKERS RUSSIAN HACKERS shit even lately with CNN's 'There's no evidence AT THIS TIME' of RUSSIAN HACKS...so if Soros did manage to plant that evidence he'd basically throw the results into chaos, Right?

So while you're talking about the lie, I'm talking about the lie about the lie.]

This has more to do with undermining Russia and nationalism than it does the 2016 election. Russophobia was put squarely on the agenda years ago if you recall Romney's last campaign.

[What's going on in those closed door meetings Trump has been having with certain establishment figures? Are they trying to threaten him to do as he's told? Are they trying to work together?]

They needed to know that the boat wasn't being rocked but to keep acting like it was.

[what the fuck is Jill Stein doing with her recount silliness?]

Self-promotion that is prodded along by higher forces because it serves other purposes.

[Is there an ulterior motive behind the recount?]

Trump presidency has the potential to create a one party state (reversing demographic trends, neutering all but the most extreme identity politics issues by transforming the GOP, etc.) which is a very bad outcome for the old order. To this end, very vigorous and ongoing efforts to keep the party split going will be taken.

[>Trump presidency has the potential to create a one party state (reversing demographic trends, neutering all but the most extreme identity politics issues by transforming the GOP, etc.) which is a very bad outcome for the old order. To this end, very vigorous and ongoing efforts to keep the party split going will be taken.

So are you saying that it's an attempt to keep the DNC split? Also what would be a worst case scenario to prepare for if the old order pulls a fast one? Anyway we can work to influence this out come?]

Keep the public split between the left/right contest.

You are facing the immediate threat of a global financial crisis to be blamed on rising nationalism and the

introduction of the long pre-programmed narrative of "white al-Qaeda" as a domestic hate group which will be a series of false flag operations.

[What Happened to Kanye West does he know about pizzagate]

Kanye is an extreme narcissist and sincerely believes he can win an election in 4 years. He may not be wrong - the democrats don't have many better alternatives.

[Also is tulsii gabbard or mitt romney better for sos under POTUS TRUMP]

Romney is an evil person, so Gabbard wins by default.

[What is with the computer scientists that are asking Hillary to contest the election?]

One of many planks in an ongoing destabilization campaign.

[How many illegal votes were cast in the last election?]

Most of the vote fraud is adding votes that never happened rather than illegals voting. Most people don't vote because all the politicians are full of it.

[Who would you like to see as Sec of State?]

Don't care who is the face of the policy.

~Dissident~

Your regime is increasingly concerned with its inability to control you, and they are right to be.

[who and why executed]

People are assassinated if they are considered extreme threats to the system. You don't have to be overtly threatening. A particularly damning piece of journalism or a simple invention that upends an industry could do it. Or even being highly charismatic but not amenable to the current way of doing things.

[Would taking such steps have one flagged as a threat? Would having posted or viewed in this thread at all have made someone a threat? Is being a threat a worldwide death sentence, or are there areas of the world and spheres of influence in which someone can maintain safety?]

Independence isn't threatening, generally speaking. Interference is.

[What could be considered an "extreme threat to the system"? Define "the system". Who would kill the people considered to be a threat and what examples of people killed in history for being considered a threat can you give?]

This changes over time.

With distrust of your regime at such astronomical levels, even small catalysts can get out of hand.

[What was the big deal with medicating young children? Is it just unmitigated pharmaceutical greed leaking out into society, or is there something even more nefarious at play? ... Was it calculated to have some affect on this generation of adults?]

The more false ideas you inject in a young mind the harder it is for it to emerge as a conscious entity.

[how likely is it that someone will provoke us to pic related?

(<https://ii.yuki.la/7/18/e7387ee92ab5771d14f3762cda1925217963e9169f707cd74fabd7f4a9a49187.png>)]

Anon is correct. Your masters are largely impotent without your tacit consent.

[So, assuming this is all real, I'm trying to make sure I don't wind up doing something that's going to get me assassinated.

One thing I'm interested in is educating the general public on politics. Assuming I was successful at this, would it be something that elites would consider dangerous enough to kill me for?]

Generally, the cat is out of the bag. Talking about it isn't that big of a deal.

Talking about doing something about it is.

[Am I in danger of simply lurking these threads or the cfg threads?

My step-mother just recently told me I should stop doing this, and that I danger not just myself, but her and the family's reputation and way of living.

Should I take her worries into consideration, or is she just overreacting?]

No.

Overreacting.

[Even if damning evidence was synthesized that ties Soros and Clinton together in a cabal that seeks to destabilize the present world order, how would we ever hope to see legal action served when virtually all levels of the American government are corrupted and tied to the CF?

I don't know if even Trump would pick a legal battle with Soros, him being the head honcho and all.

The only way I can see it happening is via mass social pressure and upheaval, but how are we going to convince hundreds of millions of people of this conspiracy and make them want to do something about it when the present millennial generation would mostly be in favour of this world government and social justice shit anyways?

Not trying to shill or put a hamper on things, it's just there is a lot of barriers to overcome. When was the last time a member of these elite families was ever in handcuffs?]

If all you are doing is presenting uncomfortable truth, you will engender as much opposition as you can recruit.

You need explanations for why bad things are done, not just proof of bad things. You also need alternatives.

[On the subject of how we got here, is part of that answer due to the regime's insistence of getting an education in order to achieve a secure life? Or put in another way, they are instilling fear in us purposefully, that we are focused on our (artificial?) income-driven survival instead of looking at the big-picture, as you say?

If basic societal needs like food and housing were met, to eliminate fears of basic survival, would humanity be able to more align their intellectual and life goals toward the future you envision? If so, can you point in the direction on how we should begin implementing such a society?]

You are onto something here.

People gravitate up when they have the resources to support it. It's an important explanatory framework for the overt attack on the middle class, spreading of ideas like overpopulation, necessary scarcity, and so on.

This should reveal to you both some degree of optimism and help you constrain yourself to viable strategic routes.

[Fucking Coke and Pepsi.

Both CEO's admitted separately that their success was due to competition with the other.

Keep the people polarized to provide the illusion of support from the government, and keep them ignorant of the inherent corruption.

Ok, big question, my man.

Is there now, or will there EVER be a means of us to wake up the masses to this nonsense?]

You're chasing the wrong goal.

People in general are distrustful of the regimes in place, believe that these regimes are rotten to the core, and so on. Where they fail is imagining an alternative.

[So provide an alternative before revolution?

Man, that may not be easy, considering how the powers that be suppress dissenting voices and peoples' lethargy.]

Part of the problem is that the masses have been programmed to hate that which works.

The easiest way to provide relief is through economies of scale, but there are all kinds of toxic ideologies in the way: environmentalism (especially naturalism), phobia of change, phobia of organized action, free market purism, etc.

[Is it a coincidence that this all seem to start ramping up after that occupy wall street shit?]

Occupy Wall Street is a great case study in what not to do. Uniform consensus required for action, splintering into unrelated interests, harassment of people based on identity rather than ideas, and so on.

All the silliest things brought together.

[I once wrote a series of posts detailing how the system is anti intellectual at its core. If you are who you say you are , you should be way smarter than myself so you know this

Was the plan concentrating the intelligent in the prosperous countries? but even then since the core of the system is anti intellectual, at some point it would have need to be stopped for it not to be forcefully changed by itself since it goes against its own supposed goal

or is the ideal world a world of a group of complete "autists" who roam aimlessly in a pseudo ivory tower? its kinda hard to define perfection after all. Wouldn't this also go against Darwin?

Plus did no one visit third world countries to see first hand its inhabitants before making any decisions?]

It's not so much anti-intellectual as intolerant of dissent, which is almost the same thing.

You can't rock an unsteady boat. You can't point out that the emperor has no clothes, or the house of cards falls down.

[how do world leaders discuss their agendas? obviously white genocide is real but do they say "Yes, we must fund this program and move low income families into nicer neighborhoods" or do they say "we need more niggers in the suburbs to fuck whiteys shit up"?]

Whites are not targeted as whites. They are targeted as potential dissidents. The regime does not care

what color you are. You perceive an anti-white bias, but it's better described as an anti-middle class bias. Highly correlated, but not quite the same.

[Why would they want to get rid of the middle class]

People who can afford leisure can afford to think and become dissidents.

[How can we take any meaningful action when western democracies have been so thoroughly balkanized? Over the last 50 years, every platform for unity has been destroyed or "discredited." People no longer experience common ties through family, community, religion, country, etc. It's just a bunch of hedonistic itch-scratchers going it alone.

So, the collapse of 2008 happens, and nobody calls for blood. Edward Snowden informs us of a secret surveillance state, and nobody calls for blood. European politicians flood their countries with dangerous savages, and nobody calls for blood, etc etc etc...

What do we do about the fact that our cultures have been destroyed and there is no meaningful platform left for mass unity and resistance?]

The reason you are easy to co-opt during events like 2008 is because just saying no to the current system isn't meaningful opposition.

You need some concrete ideas about what to change and where to go from here. If you don't know yourself and your mission, you can't stand against the winds of balkanization.

[Insider, what would we be able to do to take back the media from the corporations?]

There is nothing stopping you from finding likeminded individuals and staging a hostile takeover of a major news outlet.

[(false flags) How can things like this get planned and executed without whistleblowers? Are we/they all just inherently evil?]

There have been a lot of whistleblowers on all kinds of activities like these.

[Do you know who Bill Cooper was, and if so, what do you think of him?]

I'm familiar with Bill Cooper. He got some things wrong, but his body of work is a good to be familiar with.

[Are there any people similar to Cooper whose work would be of similar benefit?]

John Nash was similar to Bill Cooper in a lot of ways.

[Yesterday, when asked about the media, you said that there is nothing preventing us from doing a hostile takeover of the media.

If you meant "hostile takeover" in the corporate sense, I don't see us being able to come up with the hundreds of millions of dollars in capital this would require. And if you meant "hostile" as in armed occupation, I don't see the establishment letting us get away with that, either.

Can you expand more on what you meant?]

I meant hostile in the corporate sense.

Take a look at the Bernie Sanders campaign. Mostly small donations from a large group of people. Could've bought several major newspapers instead of an ad campaign for example.

It's a reasonable approach.

[How can I research all this on my own? Is most of it hidden to the average citizen or can it be found? Also, does the public hold any power to help bring all of it to light?]

CFG is doing a pretty good job. It's important to read a lot of source material and do your own original research too. There isn't 1 in 100 among conspiracy investigators that goes beyond trying to read the tea leaves of mainstream publications.

[Was the grateful dead just a CIA-plot to depoliticize the youth? If so does that make them red-pilled since it prevented people from becoming marxists?]

There is a lot of effort to depoliticize or distract communities that use psychedelics. Asking questions invariably leads to ardent opposition to the regime.

[Is protection, at least in a personal or familiar level possible if you do not cause any trouble? Or are there plans to invade everything in one's life to an Orwellian point?]

What good is your life if you are not stirring up a little trouble? Better to learn to get away with it rather than fear being yourself.

[Is opposition possible? or are they just too evolved and powerful that they can strangle any attempt before it becomes too big]

Opposition is very much possible. You can bring down the US government by yourself for a few thousand bucks if you are clever about it.

Defeatism in the present is most definitely a choice rather than a rational response to circumstance.

[The only thing keeping me from going full "The world is ending this 14th of august, El'Chapo Akbar!" is that there are still a shit ton of Americans with guns that would become problematic in the case of a disaster. So, is it wrong to think that the abolition of the second amendment is a crucial part of all of this?]

I take a much more expansive view of the second amendment than many. You have a right to tanks, battleships, nuclear weapons, whatever.

That being said, guns are by no means a crucial thing. You can collapse the US government without using guns. Even if they confiscated all of them (and I'd suggest you violently resist if they tried), they would remain highly vulnerable.

[>You can bring down the US government by yourself for a few thousand bucks if you are clever about it.

Then why hasn't this been done? There are definitely people out there who would LOVE to see the chaos that would bring and can spare a few thousand bucks.]

People that love chaos and intelligence don't have much overlap.

[can the *regime* be knocked down with <\$1000]

Depends on your talents and access.

[What can we do to save the internet from being handed over to the un by obongo in 2 months?]

The US will attempt to start prosecuting thought crimes soon as they currently do in Europe. It is very important that the public exercises their right to dissent by refusing to convict as jurors. Even here you have limited ability to act as jury pools are carefully selected to only allow "blue pillled" people. You guys

are doing about the best you can on this front already by exercising your right to be provocative, offensive, etc., on a daily basis.

[>It is very important that the public exercises their right to dissent by refusing to convict as jurors.

That's it? That's all we can do?? Fug.]

It isn't all you can do, but about the best you can do without going all out revolution. The significance of choking off public discourse is probably highly overrated to most; there are very limited numbers of people who have the freedom to speak their mind as it is. The US regime regularly assassinates dissidents that do not have the means to protect themselves; there is no major or even relatively significant media outlet that is not a cointelpro operation.

[Are potential threats black listed from decent work?]

Sometimes. The more usual response is simply to kill them.

[If a person or group had such capability to inflict extreme damage on the regime, how would this person or group go about leveraging it to affect change?

Is this something that would be done through back channels? Or making loud public demands?]

Generally quietly. Call them up and say such and such is a bridge too far, you must stop.

We're transitioning towards a public role however.

[Will exposing the Arkansas Prison Blood Scandal be a good idea?]

Sure

[should a small time guy like me who posts on 4chan and talks about red pill material and the like be actually worried or am I to small fry to be killed/captured or anything?]

Regular people are not really in danger just being aware, but you can get killed for inventing something that someone else wants or being smart enough to articulate alternatives to the regime, charismatic enough to gain a following, etc.

[how do we stop the pedos, you know, those pedo rings in hollywood?]

Complaining about things on the internet doesn't do you much good. What would you do if you were a CIA agent trying to take down a ring? Perhaps get pictures of children being brought to a party. If you want to be an agent of change, you must be involved in the real world.

[So who are the good guys? Is there anyway that a patriotic normal American can serve in the government, FBI/CIA/DOD etc. without having to be involved with pizza gate creeps?]

You can serve in the government but you won't be able to do much of anything good without some means of protecting yourself.

[Regarding Pizzagate and the research being done by it, on scale of 1-100, how deep has the research team on reddit/voat gone in your opinion? This is assuming Three is watching closely.]

I'm encouraged that you guys are getting out there in the real world, taking photos, doing hard intelligence gathering. This is not stalking or whatever you may be scared to believe, it is real people living their lives. Keep it up, but don't be consumed by the darkness; spend at least as much time devoted to opportunity and progress as you do to unraveling evil.

[Do you anticipate me losing my liberty to shitpost on 4chan in the future?]

No

[Have any 4chan anons gotten v& (vanned by the alphabet agencies)?]

Yes

[What is the best single thing we can do to meaningfully put an end to the elite child sex / sacrifice rings in DC, LA, and Hawaii? Please be specific.]

Act like a real FBI sting unit. You can buy thermal sensors, videotape the entrances to their homes, etc. Publish evidence you collect by getting out there in the real world. Very few people beginning to act on their own will prompt the regime to do their job not so much for justice but fear of your growing agency. [Bill Hicks, George Carlin, Patrice O'neal, Greg Giraldo? I've had the thought that American thought leaders, especially masculine ones were being eliminated.]

Yes

[I don't believe in de jure free speech but Freedom can only be taken not given.

I'd argue that anons have carved out a space in the world and taking down our sites would cause such a massive Streisand effect that the world would never be the same and we'd just host another site or move to deeper networks.

Again can we realistically even be destroyed?]

The cat is out of the bag. We can't be stopped, which means you can't be stopped in some sense.

[Do you think Kanye West's music, especially his first three albums, is a good place to start for creating music that inspires people to become visionaries?

His work tends to remain at the boundary of "party music" and "conscious rap", which gives him massive airplay while subtly promoting positive messages.

If you're not familiar with Kanye West, then where else should I start looking to create and influence this future? Maybe even lay the cultural tracks for 2024?]

I'm not very familiar with his artistic work. Aware of him as a famous cultural figure but that is about it.

One thing that art has not really dealt with yet is the fact that indefinite life extension is right around the corner. It's approximately true that we already have the ability, but definitely true that it can be reliably generated in short order (15-20 years) and rolled out quickly.

This is important to the human psyche in very profound ways. For most of human history, we had a belief in an afterlife motivating people to perfect this one. The rise of skepticism in such an idea destroyed people's ambition to perfect this life in a really systemic way, but that will return in short order. It will take a lot of good art for people to adjust to this seismic shift in the psychic landscape that is right around the corner.

[I've been developing some alternative energy technology that is showing promise. You've said it before - an industry upending technology, i think it was.

Should I disclose my findings as fast as possible and risk tanking the economy/conventional energy industry or hold my cards to my chest for now, even if it makes me a target?

Are you opposed to such technology getting out into the public or would you prefer a more controlled release?]

The only reason you should feel reluctant to put technology into the public domain is weaponization potential.

[Are Hackers (normal person) players inside the world to a degree? Or is it just the agencies/foreign countries that are responsible for hacks? Was the Sony hacks and the Fappening a result of common people?]

There are some white hat hackers out there. You'd be surprised how much information is easily accessible.

[But something I've been trying to work on more in regards to thinking about your other posts and what could start to REALLY solve the problem(s) has to do with identity. i don't understand why we can't vote online, and why more decisions could be a direct democracy as opposed to a republic - and this (digital voter ID) ultimately if used incorrectly (I can already see some issues however with heard thinking and marketing). However, just removing fraud alone would be a big game changer if it's true so few people truly vote. However, some kind of digital ID could be a big double edged sword, if compromised or used incorrectly.]

In terms of direct democracy, I encourage you to think about this problem not in terms of digital voting but rather digital solutions to bringing free speech back into society for everyone.

It's possible to build out a public network that people can connect to and speak on anonymously by developing hardware that is not individually identifiable. When you give people the ability to speak more freely like this, you'll find that whistleblowing becomes a real and systematic thing rather than mostly a limited hangout operation as it is now. Furthermore, there are no shortage of decent, smart people around you but you don't see these ideas in the public discourse because your regime assassinates such people. You can learn about cryptography or anonymous network participation to start thinking about the details of such a system.

[(spread of the Internet) Are we seeing (or starting to see) the end result of Calhoun's mouse experiment play out with humanity, as a result of this connectivity or otherwise?]

This is a major problem, but not intractable.

Try to start enumerating the amount of work that needs to be done in the world. Schools are old and decaying, very few people have good education, the industrial base essentially operates on 100 year old technology, our transportation grid needs not just maintenance but overhaul, and so on. There is no shortage of potential tasks, but within the confines of a regime that maintains power by putting down the masses there cannot be much to do.

[I feel that the current entertainment media is really lacking in anything of substance besides some thought provoking works. There is some progress in general towards more well thought out ideas, but not by much. Is the regime to blame for the lackluster in the mainstream entertainment or are people just not thinking at a good enough level to produce more phenomenal levels of work?]

The regime actively snuffs out thought provokers, especially in terms of censorship or not putting content into mainline distribution channels but also in more extreme ways

~Elites/Politicians~

[What is the absolute, pants-shittingest worst-case scenario for the elites right now?]

Someone that cannot be killed or coerced that is intelligent enough to propose another way.

[Are specific agencies aligned with specific factions? For example, you mentioned that Obama and Snowden are CIA, and that Obama and Soros are dark priests. If the dark priests primarily influence the CIA, who controls the FBI and NSA? Or do all factions share some degree of influence?]

Obama is more aligned with the NSA than the CIA. Snowden, Clintons are representative of the CIA.

[Do you believe we will face a race war soon?

If chaos does grip the country, should I and my family stay in an isolated area more in the forests during this time?]

No. It isn't in your regimes interest to have a "race war" but they do actively promote racial strife and tension. This is very low level misdirection of your attention from your real enemies.

[Why is hemp not being widely used? The potentials are huge.

Ford's first car was made from hemp, and designed to run on hemp ethanol.

Hemp-made houses are fire, mold, and pest proof.

Hemp restores life to barren soil.

Weed is literally the holy spirit, in the holy oil, it was grown by the ancient israelites, used by David and Solomon, Jesus, etc.

Why is this being suppressed? It is literally the plant with the greatest utility for human kind.]

Your regime doesn't like local wealth generation.

[What is the point of promoting infighting, tension, and discord?]

Maintain control over you.

[whats going to happen at the RNC? are the Black Panthers gonna chimpout and shootout]

The sanctioning of mass assassination of police officers signals an especially dark turn in their strategies of tension. This will continue to escalate as they see no other way out.

[Is this what the elites want? Is there any racial overtones, like 'hidden nazis' in the corridors of power looking out for whites? Or are we on our own?]

It cannot be denied that human tendency has some elements of tribalism and things of this nature. In general, the significance of these feelings diminishes in proportion to the power that people exercise, but that isn't to say that they cease to use the masses susceptibility to the same to their own advantage.

[Why not use the success of the petrodollar as a model for other nations?

Wouldn't that stop or slow the antagonistic policies that threaten it's continued success?

Is it unwillingness, ignorance, or malevolence?]

A combination of an old belief that the world needs sharp division between rulers and the ruled, as well as incompetency, are the main culprits.

[How worried are the MSM and elites about the narrative collapse? Are they worried people won't believe the religion of peace shtick anymore.]

Opposition in the form of "we don't like you" is not worrisome at all to them. Someone like Sanders gets a lot of public support, but he's also a rather feeble mind and only advocates policy that was crafted for him. Anyone operating within the Overton window in this way isn't actually a threat, even if they are "genuine" opposition.

[I've seen all the theories about how Jews and globalists are trying to genocide the white race and destroy western culture, but one thing has left me confused]

If these hypothetical agendas exist, what are they trying to accomplish by destroying the white race? What could Jews possibly gain by using Marxist pawns to demolish western traditionalist values?]

It's a correlation-causation problem.

They want to destroy opposition, i.e., upper middle class families. These happen to be mostly white. [But controlling the population for what?

>They want to destroy opposition, i.e., upper middle class families. These happen to be mostly white.

Opposition to what?]

Independent agents are the only real source of opposition. To develop in this way, you need a high level of education, leisure time to contemplate and grow, capital to protect yourself and spread your message, and so on.

These types of traits can only emerge from a relatively well off economic household.

[What is the extent of the power struggle within the establishment right now?]

There is widespread recognition that the system isn't working anymore, but given the nature of its operations it is very hard to change. The extensive blackmail networks, personal enrichment through corruption, etc., pretty much demand that only the rise of an outside entity is going to change much.

[Was the ruling class prepared for the connectivity of the internet?

Now that the "cat's outta the bag," do they have the ability to control the internet without threatening their existence?]

They overestimated the extent to which it would help them control the masses and underestimated the extent to which it would spread awareness and dissent.

The most significant effort to remedy this problem is the work towards a constitutional amendment curtailing free speech under the banner of an anti-corruption effort (stemming from Citizens United case).

[Are there members of Congress that are part of the Elite?]

Yes

[If a magic wand were to be waved, and every corrupted politician (president, MP, Congressman, Senator, King Queen etc) were to be killed, what percent of the US Houses would be eliminated?

i.e, How bad is it?]

Somewhere around 90% but closer to 100% if you exclude freshmen.

[Can you give us information about the Shadow government, who its major players are and its current geopolitical objectives?]

The Bush and Clinton families both work for the House of Marlborough in England. They are the major influencers of US politics at the moment, and in turn serve British monarchy that is a conglomeration of several old European banking houses.

[Also, is all the immigration and refugee stuff just those in power trying to engineer a new and "improved" electorate that keeps them in power with greater assurance? I don't buy the "TEH JOOS" thing with the master race of Jewish rulers lording over the dumb brown masses. FBIAnon got into that stuff which is why I'm basically assuming he's fake. Never go full /pol/, folks.

By the way, if you're just a role player you're one of the very best ones and I appreciate it.]

Yes. Your regime wants an impotent electorate and one of the ways it seeks to keep the electorate impotent is by mass importation of uneducated and impoverished people that are dependent on the state. [this negates everything you've said, because if you were so powerful, then literally all of the powers would not be supporting hillary clinton

proves you have no power]

You have a false premise in that "all of the powers" are supporting Hillary. Most, sure, but not all. [How big is pizzagate and is everyone involved?]

Pedophile rings are very extensive. In excess of 80% of people at the level of Congress, important think tanks, executive positions, leadership of major corporations are on video engaging in pedophilia, gay sex, orgies, etc. Blackmail of this nature is by far the strongest glue holding the old order together. [who actually did JFK's assassination?]

Carried out by the Bush Sr. network in the CIA, ordered by the Queen. [just how much is Pizzagate shaking up things in the establishment right now?]

It is a major problem for them. They use to find their blackmail networks comforting in a way as they all knew that they were safe from each other and failed to take outside threats seriously. Now they are starting to perceive the noose around their neck. [Any chance of one of the pedos blowing the cover off before its further pried off by investigation]

People are already much more hesitant to be part of this stuff and that will significantly undermine the system in short order. They will not turn on themselves just yet though, but most are prepared to make a clean break and accept a third way now. [is pizza gate real]

Yes, pedophilia is rampant in the US and British regimes especially. [If Wikileaks is a CIA front, then why are they trying to destroy the Clintons? I thought the CIA had aligned themselves with the forces of (((globalism))) a long time ago. Is this just one faction of the CIA opposing the other?]

The two biggest groups in the CIA are overt British loyalists and Mormons (a religion created by the British). Many of the rank and file (Mormons, and some others that are not pro-British) are strongly against the Chinese model for ethical reasons, although at the higher level (Romney) you see a recognition of British interests and an expression of things that only make sense in the context of Anglophilia like the anti-Russian rhetoric or selling of weapons secrets to the Chinese (by the Clintons), or double-crossing the Russians (Bush Sr.) and cratering their economy after they agreed to dissolve and work as partners rather than Cold War adversaries. The many layers of deception employed in the CIA are difficult to manage coherently.

[Do Pizzagate accusations have legitimacy?

If so, why hasn't the OWO done anything to reveal the actions which they do not condone?]

Pedophilia is rampant among the old world order. You don't have to be a pedophile to join their ranks, but you do need to be engaged in something that could be considered blackmail material.

[On a scale from business as usual to full scale panic, how afraid is the establishment of the public learning their dirtiest secrets right now?

It might just be me, but it seems as if things that were once the subjects of taboo conspiracy theories (like spirit cooking and Trumps alleged sexually perverse behavior) are being thrown around the public sphere far more often than they used to. I know that politics is dirty, but the mudslinging and throat cutting seems to be so much more overt and publicized than under previous administrations. Its like a bunch of dogs fighting under a tarp, getting louder and louder. You can't see who exactly is doing the fighting but it seems as if the battle lines are being drawn much more clearly.]

The establishment is in full blown panic. They know that we are coming.

We have the capacity to drive 20%+ annual GDP growth starting overnight. When this is unleashed, and people come to understand that the technology has been there so long, there will no longer be any room in anyone's mind to question whether they had been opposed by a vast and sinister conspiracy.

We need not convince anyone of anything sinister, only show them the difference between what could have been and what was, and this no one will be able to deny.

With the door open in such a way, no one will ever be able to close it again.

[Can you shed light on what blackmail McCain and Graham must be under to behave so atrociously and obviously treasonous?]

Graham is a closet homosexual and McCain committed treason in Vietnam.

[what is the establishment's motives for current attacks on trump, slandering him without any evidence is making him appear righteous, are they panicking or is there an ulterior motive ?]

They always want the president to be weak and controllable, regardless of whether he is playing ball or not.

[Is there any credibility to Pizzagate? Any link to the Franklin Credit Union/Boystown scandal?]

Yes, pedophilia runs rampant in the regime.

[How screwed is Hollywood over pizzagate related stuff?

Anon5 says they're the weakest link in the whole corrupt cabal of pedos/satanists. Your thoughts?]

No, this type of thinking is fairly absurd. There have been major pedophile scandals at the highest levels every few years for decades now. Anon5 is probably fairly young and speculative and doesn't appreciate that these sorts of things have happened over and over again.

[Do incriminating videotapes of politicians and presidents exist? Does the machine own them?]

Yes, yes.

[Who killed Kennedy and/or which faction?]

Kennedy was killed by the Bush family and associated players. The most important problems they had

with him were that he wanted aggressive economic development not only for the US, but also globally. The first was considered bad enough, the second absolutely intolerable.

[(Responding to another user) They're already rich and powerful. And they've got America by the balls, what with us sucking Isreal's cock constantly. And considering they're fueled by the success of the west, wouldn't letting Marxism destroy the west just make them less powerful?]

The idea that they have America by the balls simply isn't true. They've had to kill presidents to keep control and there is a lot of blowback from this. Belief in a conspiracy surrounding JFK's assassination is almost universal, and these sorts of ideas are very crippling to the illusion of the cattle being free range.

The civility of society can collapse almost overnight in very dramatic fashion. It's a rather tenuous grip. [What do you make of the general escalation of alleged insider leaking we've been seeing over the past decade and in particular the uptick over the past year or so? Do you reckon that's more to do with dropping chaff as average people go through what Brzezinski called the global political awakening, more taking the opportunity to try to push things in what they view to be a positive direction, or merely indicative of increasing volatility and dischord behind the scenes?]

In some cases like Snowden, it isn't real leaking. Broadly speaking though, you're right that there is an uptick in internal discontent. A lot of people realize that the governance structure is failing and want a better way.

[(Obama birth certificate scandal) Do elites have stuff like this planned and wave it out in front of our faces?]

The idea that they have a "code of honor" to disclose things in a subtle way before doing them is nonsense.

[Who was the most recent uncorrupted President of the US? Reagan? only requirement for uncorrupt is not being part of this cabal i'll call it.]

Reagan was a decent person, but not very smart. He got shot for trying to go his own way and was effectively powerless afterwards.

Nixon was less of a good person, but smarter, his own man to a large extent.

JFK is the most recent good person that had a really ambitious vision and appreciated the power to do good that came with the WH.

[McCain has been silent since the Russian comedians pulled the fake Ukraine Prez prank.

What's McCain's goal?

- 1) Distract from a role in setting up ISIS?
- 2) Neutralize Trump to prevent pedogate charges?
- 3) Bang drums to start war with Russia?]

He'd like all of the above.

~US Technology~

[Does the US or any other country have access to a working weather machine and are they utilizing it?]

Weather can be manipulated. Arbitrarily so? No.

[How far is the NSA/DoD/(((they))) from total machination of the military system?
Also in case of a civil war would the country have a way to mind control or force the
soldiers to enforce orders they personally do not agree with?]

We don't assess this as a viable threat.

You need a number of robots on the scale of the number of people you want to go
after, otherwise there is a lag time between initiation and completion that permits
responses, never mind the inability to deal with whatever dead man's hands might
be left behind.

[Ok, so what does that leave us with? I tend to think that you mean that on the
macro scale we need more energy.

Oil & Fossil Fuels > no for obvious reasons, may be necessary for air/space travel
for a while yet.

Nuclear (fission) > requires massive scale and biggest problems are the actual
amount of uranium on earth and disposal of waste with destructive potential for 10k
year scales.

Nuclear (fusion) > not yet feasible.

Wind > can't replace baseload power generation, can change weather, not feasible
on large scale.

Solar > still not very efficient, but much improved. Biggest problem is that all solar
cells are made from silicon wafers just like cpus, this takes tons of energy and
requires massive economy of scale to barely break even. Can't replace baseload
power.

Now here's where it gets a bit tinfoil-hatty:

Have you heard of M.T. Keshe? Iranian scientist who has released designs for zero-
point energy generation for free. Without further investigation it seems like this is
the tech that Tesla had or wanted to develop but was prevented. Is it really just
there in the ether waiting for us to tap?]

You shouldn't hold your breath waiting on a violation of the laws of
thermodynamics.

[Is Pokemon GO a government app to better spy on places?
Or they do not need it?]

Yes. Pretty much anything that is an overnight success is being foisted on you for
nefarious purposes. Organic growth looks very different.

[So is it true that the USA has hired/Commissioned Professional/amateur
Astroturfers/Useful idiots to influence our thoughts?

How deep does the rabbit hole goes?]

Yes. Something like 10% of content is astroturfing and even more of that is people
repeating the astroturfing or falling into the dialectics it creates.

[does propaganda warfare happen on /pol/?]

Of course. Both directly and spillover.

[Does DARPA have mind control technology?]

Not in the sense of a joystick to control you or your thoughts, but they definitely have a variety of ways to influence you.

[Are some contrails actually chemtrails?]

Yes. No room for debate there. You can look up public companies that have contracts for weather modification programs for example.

[China's government has(or will have soon) a social rating system which assigns a score to individuals based on how subversive(or not) they are to the state.

Is there such a system in America? If not, are there plans to implement one? What's the timetable?]

Yes, this exists in the west and is far more sophisticated. The main difference is that China will implement a wide range of incentives / disincentives for behavior very overtly, whereas the US usually takes an all or nothing approach to stifling an individual rather than gradation.

[Can we expect the leak of NSA tools to play a part in any happenings in the near future?]

Cyberterrorism false flags are on the way. There is going to be a major effort to choke off the internet as an avenue to share ideas and dissent soon.

[>major effort to choke off the internet

Physical sabotage to internet infrastructure or psychological sabotage via various means? If physical, how major an effort are we talking about?]

Both. One of the major ideas floating around for a physical false flag is a "hack" opening a major dam and causing catastrophic flooding. Lots of damage, but no semi-permanent fallout like you'd get with nuclear powerhouse false flag or something of that nature.

On the psychological front, expect the prosecution of hate speech to arrive in America soon. You are already very close.

[How much worse is NSA surveillance than what Snowden revealed?]

NSA is meant to catch dissidents, not terrorists. He criticizes it as ineffective, etc., which is all bullshit. It is very good for doing what it is meant to do.

[Has the US government perfected invisibility technology for aircraft?]

No

[Is the Zika a way to sterilize people?]

Cover for ramping up the introduction of modified mosquitos. Regime plans to use them as vectors for biological warfare / population reduction as soon as they are able.

[Is the establishment aware of peer to peer blockchain style protocols and the long term threat they could pose to the current world order?]

Yes, but they do not pose any threat.

[>>>peer to peer blockchain style protocols

>>Yes, but they do not pose any threat.

>How so?

Im assuming you ignored this question because you have no idea?]

You can tell me why you think it matters and I'll tell you why you are wrong if you like.

[Who was behind the NSA hack and auction?]

The NSA hack is Russia retaliating for false attributions about DNC stuff.

[do ancestry technologies really work or are they data mining?]

Not mutually exclusive ideas. Genetic mapping to trace ancestry is sound science, but DNA databanks are being generated for sinister reasons.

[is the us military really the strongest or is it over estimated?]

It really depends on what you mean by the strongest. Can they defeat us? No. But in some ways they are stronger - bigger, better funded, more machinery and so on.

We would not be good at occupying Baghdad, but they are decent at it.

[Has the USA military weapon and technology become far more advanced than simple drones]

The regime is full of inept morons that aren't half as special as they try to pretend to be.

[what do the alphabet agencies think of /pol/?]

Easy to control with sliding and distraction for the most part.

[What would a war with Russia or China look like today? Is the USA as capable as we think?

Or am I wrong in even thinking the regime would fight a war?]

There exists sufficient technology to prosecute a successful war against either Russia or China, but the US government does not have it.

The US regime has had virtually no cooperation from intellectuals for about 15 years now. It is technologically outdated and impotent.

[>The US regime has had virtually no cooperation from intellectuals for about 15 years now. It is technologically outdated and impotent.

Who are these intellectuals associated with? Is this a result of arrogance or lack of information on Russian or Chinese capabilities?]

We've recruited many of the best minds out there, but there is a huge talent pool we have not tapped. These people keep their most potent discoveries to themselves right now; no one wants to further empower the regime.

[Do skills actually exist on /pol/? I know this might seem like a silly question with CTR and all but CTR says it only skills on Ledit and Faceberg. I feel like all the "skills" here are just trolls looking for to create conflict for a cheap laugh considering how /pol/ reacts to shitposters.]

Yes. The DoD spends more man hours posting online than they do actually fighting people, never mind all the other government agencies doing the same. Probably half of /pol/'s content is artificial, and much of the rest is people mimicking them. [[>the massive amount of money poured into co-opting long format discussion online like on /pol/](#)]

You'd logically think the shilling would fall-off after the election, but if anything, it's gotten much worse. While maybe equal or lower in overall volume, it is much more difficult to spot and generative in nature (dissemination of false leads.) They appear to be panicking and are deathly scared of this and similar places. Any useful information we can glean or speculate from this insight?]

Before the election you had more real people participating even if they mostly just parroted talking points, people troll-shilling, etc. Post-election it is back to professional shilling for the most part, which is much more of a problem that CTR or some such amateur outfit.

[Are there active or passive measures being researched and implemented on any populations regarding suppression and/or alteration of genotype expression into novel or historically "minority" phenotypes?

IE, are any modern social phenomenae which appear to be accelerating, such as homosexuality, ASD or "nu-male/sissy male" archetypes, partly caused by the old world order.]

Yes

[Why is pol allowed to exist?]

Why wouldn't it be?

[do we have any bases/operations on the moon or mars yet?]

No bases on the moon or mars.

~Programming~

[Are the Chinese, Saudis and Sorosians using the methods laid out by Soviet intelligence to subvert the US?

To clarify, is there an established recruitment pipeline from universities to the State/Justice Department for American-born foreign agents?]

There are extensive recruitment programs, especially at the better universities.

Once you are dealing with the top 5 or 10 universities, you'll even find that their applications are subtly crafted in a way to generate the necessary information for personality profiling.

These schools will preferential admit people that they believe are good candidates for recruitment into larger organizations, and this plays as much of a role as any academic merit in the process.

[Give that information, what role does the Sidwell Friends School play in this recruitment process?]

A lot of prominent children go there and go on to be recruited. We can say this about a wide variety of schools though.

[Myers Briggs or something similar?]

All of the recent social network "take this test to see which Disney princess you are," type things are extensions of this?]

Of course.

[James Wesley Howell (the kid that was tasked with shooting up a gay parade) turned himself in before actually committing the crime, and in an interview, said he knew the British kid that tried to grab a cop's gun to kill Trump, and Omar Mateen the Pulse Club shooter. Howell also said that he was trained with a couple others in Virginia to carry out different ops throughout the US. And witnesses at the Pulse Club also said that there were multiple shooters.

Are there really douchebags in Langley that are training dumb, susceptible kids to commit mass-shootings to control the narrative and disarm the US?]

Yes, these are state sanctioned events to further strategies of tension, fear, etc.

[Why is the media corroborating with the government to push the anti-gun/anti-AR message in the US?

Why are they vilifying law abiding citizens?]

They want to encourage you to think of small arms as a potent tool, when really they are not.

To be clear, we're not opposed to your right to possess them. The point I am trying to make is that you have a much more effective arsenal available to you.

It's usually best to think of narratives as crafting Overton windows, then deduce the agenda from the Overton window rather than the narratives themselves.

[so when do we start getting v& (vanned) and sent for re-education]

This is already happening.

[What's the real point of the terror bogey man? At first it increased support for the establishment, but now it's only increased anti establishment feelings. Why have ISIS if their not going to intervene in the Middle East and lose public support]

The idea of terrorism justifies foreign wars for control as well as domestic police state. Both are very important to the methodologies of control currently used. It's particularly useful in the sense that you can't defeat a tactic, so the war on terror cannot be won. In this way, it is highly demoralizing to the population.

[This is a common conspiracy theory that the "Illuminati" put their plans in popular media for some reason.

The reason I ask is that the Simpsons basically predicted Trump 2016 over a decade ago, and there's also that face image in the Simpson's alluding to 9/11... Is this

coincidence messing with my pattern recognition, or something more?]

Can perceptive people see things long in advance? Sure. Do some things get leaked? Yes. Is there an element of preprogramming certain ideas? That too. There are a lot of things going on here.

[(<https://ii.yuki.la/e/b2/6770b1616a172ea4466c2b43f2497091365b37f77a7ef4fcdaec074430d3eb2e.jpg>) Is this accurate?]

Yes, in the sense that there are multi-layered deceptions wrapped within each other and hierarchical progressions of cons at higher levels of influence.

[Where is the SJW Minority(PC'y) : White nationalism(racisty), divide that the Jew/globalist a have engineered going.

What's the plan within this racial/idea logical divide]

Division is the end game of strategies of tension. Division keeps the masses in a state of being conquered.

It is incorrect to think of this as divide then conquer. Better to describe it as the conquered stay conquered when divided.

[How true is the notion of predictive programming? Are truths hidden in plain sight through Hollywood? Are secrets revealed in the most innocuous of places?]

Predictive programming is widely used and effective.

Often this is meshed into the idea that the elites have an "obligation" to tell you what they are going to do ahead of time (self-imposed or otherwise) which is not true.

[How does bashing radical islamic terrorism benefit the elites? How do you know he doesn't already know it's a "western" operation and he is just saving the "redpill" for when he assumes power? He has enough opposition now as it is, without wading into conspiracy theories full bore.]

The myth of terrorism is a crucial element to the perpetuation of the police state and a great distraction from your real enemies.

[What are the regime's plans for enforcing some of these laws? Thought crime, etc. Urban areas, certainly, but rural areas I don't foresee the government having the clout they seem to desire. What are they planning in this regard, for I am sure there is a contingency]

Something you probably underestimate is their ability to fake test cases. Crisis actors can be used to set up test cases in the courts to normalize this sort of thing.

[opinion on admiralty law on land?]

There are several layers to the deception here.

First, yes, admiralty law is a real thing.

Does decoding it allow you to be free? No, all that is bull. Only some system of

leverage will give you agency; no government grants it.

Finally, lawyers are like magicians. The body of law grows larger and more complicated to give ample material for these practitioners to do their incanting and exploitation of the coherence of consciousness. It is time to get rid of these spells and simplify it all.

[Is the Frankfurt School conspiracy real? (i.e. mass media is used by rich people to advocate degeneracy and "social justice" to destroy social order in the USA)]

Yes

[Seriously, what the fuck is going on right now? How could anyone get mad at Trump Disavowing the Alt Right?]

There are very few white nationalists. What you see going on is an effort to keep women and minorities pitted against white men. It is vitally important to your regime that you do not discuss ideas or solutions but stick to infighting over trivial issues.

[whats the end goal of the main stream media? all it does when i watch anything is make me angry. what the fuck is trumps end goal?]

They do not have end goals. They are attempting to maintain control. The idea of a "new world order" is a psy-op. It is an old world order that is being guarded and propped up, not a vision for the future being worked towards.

[Are the masses being trained to blow all their money on material goods to fill voids and want nothing substantial?]

This sort of thing doesn't require training.

The extremity of the behavior has more to do with the strict prohibition on more fulfilling things. People who pursue spiritual enlightenment, technological advancement, are able to articulate a better world, and so on, are actively gang stalked, imprisoned, assassinated, or whatever is deemed necessary to prevent the masses from becoming free.

Meaningless distraction seems to be all there is because meaningful life is strictly prohibited by the regime.

[I am curious what these groups have in store for the baltics? The Russian invasion narrative is being bombarded daily on MSM and other forms of media even though as years pass it seems more and more silly. What is the goal of this media campaign?]

The new facade for the regime. Terrorism turned out to not be a good one. Keeping the fear up means allowing perceived failures of the regime, among other problems.

Russia, especially Putin, is working against the old order as well, so there is genuine animosity.

[Why was Seth rich killed? No motives or witnesses and the TOD being 4am smells like a hit]

All of these things are setup with multiple levels of explanation, in part to control opposition.

Propaganda will continue as long as it is needed.

[Way back when I first started looking into... everything, I guess, I came across an old UFOlogist guy that mentioned "controlled leakage".

A term he used for covert dissemination of truth through symbolism in popular media.

Like (according to him) certain events in The X-Files.

Is this idea of "Controlled Leakage" accurate? Are there any subtly red pill media? Books? Movies? (Like Angry Birds, apparently.) TV? Videogames? (Like Assassins Creed, for example, with overarching themes of dueling secret societies wrestling over control of the world to attain and maintain Peace. One faction (Assassins) wants peace through responsible freedom, the other (Templars, interestingly enough) wants Peace through totalitarian control.)]

A lot of entertainment is based on reality, but you can take this idea way too far very quickly.

~Financial Crisis~

[You state that financial collapses are viewed as tools to be used. Are you aware of the lives you risk for such fun and games?]

We don't do this or encourage it, but it does happen.

[HLLbro, on economic crisis topic, do you see another round of QE? That's the only way I see collapse being averted but if I'm missing something please enlighten.]

QE never really stopped, nor can it at this point, without significantly changing the way we do things.

[And do you have any warnings for/or predicted happenings?]

We're headed into another major financial crisis and reordering of global markets as well as a shift in geopolitical strategy of the US. Confidence in the financial system is collapsing and the regime is struggling to hold it together without allowing a sustained period of growth.

Consensus is growing around the idea of a fairly deep global depression with only moderate impact on the US economy. Russia and China are to be weakened significantly and a lot of petro capacity knocked offline in the ME.

[>They are planning a very deep global recession.

What do they gain from planning that if the goal is to protect the western financial system? Trust in elites is at all times low across the West and populism is on the rise. They will be seen as responsible, rightfully so. Anger will boil across the public. So what? Pretext to crack down on what's left of civil liberties and legitimate authoritarian regimes all around while the rebelling factions will be too busy fighting

each other to unite against them? What do they gain from stirring the popular anger to unprecedented levels?]

The western financial system can grow in strength even during a recession as long as the west is less worse off than others.

There are particular strategies for making this true. US is one of the only countries that can theoretically be resource independent. If you clamp down on global petro supplies, drive the price up, you get the US energy sector back booming - one of the only growth areas in the last decade, arguably the only one as far as actual production goes (i.e. excluding biotech or third wave of internet startup wannabes). [When will that \$19 trillion debt explode?]

Not going to happen. The markets are rigged.

You will only see controlled disruptions, not systemic breakdown.
[If I think Trump will be elected when will the market crash begin? Around the beginning of 2017?]

The system is primed for a panic already. The timing is a balancing act. On the one hand, you don't want to give him time to get control before you pull the trigger. At the same time, you need a few months and some action on his part before you start trying to sell it as his fault.

[>They are willing to go with Trump and start a major depression after he gets into office to discredit nationalism though.

That's been baked into the cake for years, probably decades. It will be a sovereign debt crisis, see Martin Armstrong's forecasts. If you are not aware of this (because governments, are and have been preparing), then I call bull.]

Right, so the question: is Trump prepared to handle the threat presuming he wanted to?

We don't think so. So chalk up a win for the establishment
[Societal collapse coming this year?]

No, but there will be a major financial crisis soon.
[Is the market going to begin crashing next week?

1. Between August 26 and August 30, 2016.
2. September 26, 2016.
3. October 20, 2016.]

The regime still believes they can sell a Hillary victory. The market will be propped up until after the selection as long as this remains true. The most that will be allowed is a sharp increase in volatility, but not a major downswing until after the selection as long as it appears the public can be sold on a Hillary win.

[What kind of fallout can we expect from a financial system implosion? Best and worst case scenario? Is your group actively involved in affecting the outcome, and if so, in what ways?]

If Trump gets the selection, they are going to focus the crash on the US real estate market. This will make the masses extremely angry, and is a direct attack on his own assets for added amusement.

[Are there any known plans in place to prevent a run on banks if a crash happens?]

Financial system is completely rigged. A run on banks won't be allowed. If the people tried it, the president just goes on TV and tells them calm the fuck down because you don't have a choice. Withdrawal limits, etc., can be implemented overnight.

[As a normal guy, what's the best way to prepare for the upcoming "major financial crisis" that you keep talking about?]

It is good to have perhaps 30 days of basic supplies. If you end up needing more than that, civilization is probably over. Better to spend your manpower countering the end of civilization rather than trying to 'prepare' for it.

[(Major financial crisis) Will it occur before the election?]

No. That would disfavor Hillary's electability.

[(major financial crisis) will non 'murricans be effected more or less severely ?]

The next financial crisis will resemble the last one. Asset prices are adjusted down sharply overnight and then a slow grind resumes like the last 8 years. The population gets restless if they perceive year after year of no progress, but they will tolerate 15% drops followed by 1% improvements for a few years between quite easily.

[Is there anything a portion of the informed public (/pol/) can do specifically to prepare themselves for such a crisis better?]

You should have modest supplies available as several week interruption in the supply chain is easily possible as the world spirals out of control.

Live on the outskirts if you must be near major cities.

Try to involve yourself in the "real" economy in some way. Programming iphone apps - no. Making your own soap or beer? Good start. Network with these people and work on getting income off the books. Shift your energy allocation away from things that help the regime and you will be helping yourself even more.

[(Financial crisis) Timeframe? You say not until after election, so early to mid 2017?]

Depends on who the selection is given to.

Hillary, the crisis will come earlier (so as not to place the blame on her). Trump, somewhat delayed to give time to blame him.

[>there is a major financial crisis coming soon. It will be very much a staged event like the last one.

To stage a sovereign debt crisis, it must take decades. I find it hard to believe that can be staged. The Establishment is losing control, it's The Fourth Turning, no

telling where this will lead or how it will end.]

This cycle is not meant to end the dollar, but we agree that the regime is losing control.

[Is the big recession still coming in the very near future? After the inauguration? I dumped my holdings a couple months ago and am both amused and annoyed that the market has surged recently.]

Yes. Stock market highs are to be expected with a Trump victory. Justifies rate hikes, crashes the value of bonds, thus setting the stage for bank solvency issues all while painting the picture of a booming recovery being handed to Trump for him to "mess up" (be blamed for the planned financial crisis)

[What's the time frame for the next crash?]

Next summer. Depends in part on how early Trump pushes policies that the crash can be blamed on.

[the market is being set up for a huge collapse, isn't it? the pump before the dump is fairly obvious]

Yes. They are going all in to maximize the impression of a recovery under Obama before turning things upside down after Trump initiates a few good policies.

[How does the pick of Mnuchin impact the financial crisis he projects for summer of 2017?]

No change so far. Crisis on the way. It is completely avoidable, but no indication so far that Trump will do what would be needed to avoid it or wants to.

[will we see a major economic event this year? is it all tinfoil-tier?]

The most likely compromise between Trump and the regime at this point is an early recession followed by somewhat higher than normal growth, leaving the average person about where they stand today. Trump can try to blame the early pain on his predecessor and try to claim credit for a better than usual recovery, in short, keep the false two party paradigm going strong and the status quo largely unchanged.

~Banking~

[Ignoring the potential war possibilities, the second question we should be asking is the incoming inevitable monetary system collapse.

I heard that either World Bank/IMF had a technology to imprint gold into paper currency to back it with real value which makes a lot more sense as a system, which would be a rational system with fractional reserve banking removed in the future, my main question however is what do you see happening when all the system collapses on this debt? Ideally we would just protest - destroy the central banks and go for the paper gold but a lot of people both poor and rich will be unhappy about this. Writing off all the debt would be needed as well but that would mean some nations get a massive advantage.

Do you or your fellow insiders have a solution to this problem? Because I am not so optimistic to think that bankers and politicians will just willingly step down.]

>inevitable collapse

The system is rigged. Spontaneous collapse is not the nature of a rigged system. Instead, a slow grinding down is what you can expect.

As to gold fetishization, we strongly discourage this. You're trying to stop the bankers from printing freely, but they do this to plug preexisting flaws in the system. It's often presented as if printing money *is* the flaw in the system, but this isn't the case. You guys are advocating removal of a bandaid and calling that a cure.

[I agree the system is rigged and printing is not the problem itself, however if you leave control of the printing to a nation, then you merely trust that the nation is question is printing it according to the rules. Virtually the only solution would be for every nation to have a single printing press for their currency in some point of the globe and all nations having a representative to check the legitimacy of the printing process. This would be nice but I just can not see it happening.]

Try defining what constitutes legitimate printing.

It's been used to both good effect and bad. Governments used this process to fund the building of infrastructure that leapfrogged many societies into large middle classes, for example.

Today it is, as most can see, largely an aimless enterprise that is skipping around plugging holes in a system that is grinding to a halt.

[You speak of helping us come to an eventual new, better, way of governance.

I've been toying with economic theory, struggling to come to something that promotes growth like fiat, but reproduces the success of the petrodollar.

The biggest problem is making it globally used. A resource that every nation needs in order to function. Demurrage won't work on a large scale, gold standard won't work on a large scale (finite resources), fiat is prone to extreme greed and manipulation. It needs to be something that allows the least developed countries compete globally with the most developed.

What about an energy based currency? Whether it's coal, oil, Nat gas, or labor?

>backed by labor?

We already do this. We give china dollars, and they give us cheap labor.

Every nation needs energy to do work.

You can't tell me our current system is the best we can do.]

The currency manipulation is an effort to patch holes in a system that engenders systemic bankruptcy.

You're right that energy is the controlling influence on economic outcomes.

Changing the "backing" of the currency doesn't change the underlying tendency to bankruptcy. Your redesign has to promote wealth generation in a markedly better way than what we have now.

[Are we running out of oil? Will they ever let us use solar?]

We have an energy crisis, but also ways out.

[Well, yes, but that's not representative of the system I'm advocating.

You said already, that power is no longer constrained to the amount of humans.

Turning energy into wealth in the minds of the top earners could have a profound Shockwave effect on our economic system.

I'm not saying there won't be crises, I'm saying that an energy based system would more accurately reflect the potential of a nation to produce.

Example: financial institutions are representing larger and larger portions of GDP, while being exposed to legal, yet self destructive banking practices. They don't accurately reflect potential for work in cases of widespread corruption.]

We concur. The market is systemically broken. Basic functionality like price signals is essentially gone. Wealth is arbitrarily manipulated and not representative of tangible production (not just of goods, but purposeful services too)

[Why not allow the perception of a sharp distinction between rulers to placate the old guard, meanwhile replicating successful (long term) economic policy?

Shirley this has been discussed.]

Economic success breaks down the distinction between ruler and ruled.

[Can you tell me about the war on drugs and anything you know about Mexico-USA? Especially with our dumbass president and a crucial presidential election coming up in less than 2 years.]

War on drugs is a policy of the US government related to securing the status of the dollar.

It isn't simply a petrodollar. One of the techniques for adjusting or maintaining value is controlling the volume and price of black market drugs. Most of this profit flows into large banks, not the hands of drug dealers or cartels.

You also get good ways to fund sanctioned and unsanctioned black projects and other benefits of this nature.

[If there is a goal in action of keeping the dollar strong, why isn't the US itself being strengthened? Not MIL alone, but its infrastructure, the spirit of its people, with inspiring national goals such as to colonize space, to develop zero-cost energy, etc.]

Strengthening the US economy outright creates a potent middle class and a potential opposition block. It's better for the regime to be the best of a variety of bad.

[Is the US experiencing another real estate bubble? When is it going to burst?]

It's not useful to think of asset prices as being in bubbles anymore. There's no significant market functionality left in the modern economy. Prices are extensively rigged and money can be shuffled around almost without constraint.

[Race is a common theme among many of the questions you've been asked and you have repeatedly stated that it's not nearly as big of deal as many of us think. But what can we do about the welfare-supported inner-city Americans (many of whom are low-IQ blacks) to make them not be a drain on productive tax-paying society?]

You need robust economic growth that organically drives a "minimum" wage higher. It should always be more advantageous to work than be on welfare, but that often isn't the case today and this is intentional. The government wants a dependent class not just as a reliable base of support but as an attacking prong on the middle class.

[what are your thoughts on bitcoin?]

Things like bitcoin, gold, libertarianism, etc., all further the idea of weakening the state. Anti-authoritarianism is good, but anti-statism serves sinister globalism.

There are too many people who make the leap from the NSA shouldn't be reading your emails to the existence of a nationstate is inherently evil. You need to use it constructively, i.e., build highways. This is your best path forward to robust economic growth, therefore leisure time and education, thus a more potent and aware population.

[What families own the Federal Reserve? Is it all Rothschild or is it a group of a dozen that comprises 90% of the stake?]

There are many significant families involved with the Federal Reserve. Several banking houses that go back to Venice, the Dutch and British royalty.

[So, if it is known in certain circles that we could generate a standard of living for everyone on the planet roughly equivalent to a billionaire of today's standards (paraphrasing you from the past, iirc), why do those currently in power fear such a growth of humans as a species?]

Is greed and selfishness really such strong motivators for them?]

They fear the loss of control and retribution for their past actions.

[Real estate already crashed, college and the loans involved is the next thing to flop. Securities and trading debt caused housing to go, college is the next. You're a fucking idiot.]

Very naive.

Student debt is mostly backed by the US government. A precipitous decline in confidence of student loans is equivalent to precipitous decline in confidence of US government to pay its debt; functionally equivalent to a crashing of the t-bill market. This is not in the cards and you read way too much doom porn if you think it is.

[>confidence to pay debt
>21 trillion
We've got it senpai.]

There are certainly many good reasons to doubt the integrity of the US regime, but no reasonable alternative to reserve currency. Brexit was put into play this summer to guarantee that the Euro could not be seen as an alternative, so US financial hegemony is pretty rock solid right now.

[It's going to come to an end somehow, won't it? With the current spending spree we have? The only thing that keeps the dollar afloat is its demand in facilitating trade and oil purchase and war, no?]

US dollar is backed by weapons (and therefore a lack of credible alternative), not oil.

[>US dollar is backed by weapons (and therefore a lack of credible alternative), not oil.

Does this bode poorly for the dollar as currency given our ineptitude you highlighted earlier?]

Yes, absent our intervention. We plan to preserve US hegemony and use it as intended: a city on the hill that lifts up the rest of the world, rather than the military arm of the old world order that it is now.

[What is the better alternative to money?]

Money is just an accounting tool, medium of exchange. You need it for a functioning economy.

[What (if anything) is the better alternative to gold-backed currency?]

Ideally you want your currency (debt issuance) to be backed by confidence in the investments that you make. A degenerate version of this is investments in the military. A more enlightened approach is something like investing in NAWAPA.

The idea behind backing currency with something like gold is to control a runaway printing (corrupted process), but ultimately a fruitless bandaid. These things happened when gold backing still existed.

The best defense against subversive manipulation of the currency is a healthy, educated, involved population - something you should strive for regardless of it being the best solution to currency problems.

[speaking of the overton window and the financial system, the last year and half had blockchain technology pushed hard into the mainstream, together with NIR and cashfree society. how integral is blockchain to the regime's plans? (not a bitcoinfag here, btw)]

Bitcoin isn't important.

[When will the Petrodollar finally come to an end?]

The petrodollar is really a misnomer. The dollar is backed up by military supremacy.

It's value is tinkered with via many routes besides the petro trade: drug trade, printing, taxation, interest rates, etc.

[>give me more material to base my barnum statements off of

I can't help but assume you don't know what I was talking about, which is fine, but odd considering you claimed that the establishment is aware.]

It's more like there are tens of thousands of bitcoin fetishists with their own personal reasoning, none of which I find compelling and can't be bothered to address them all.

[Why is no one asking about social security? Should we privatize it, let them compete for our money and go full city state trajectory, enclaves and an-cap?]

Better to focus on making social security not necessary (abundant wealth, good jobs). Dealing with this sort of problem means dealing with growth, not tinkering with formulas or CPI calculations.

[Is the energy politics OWO bs? Are they intentionally preventing energy from becoming universally cheap for their elitist reasons? I have a feeling fusion technology has been suppressed for a long time just because these jerks don't want economic development to occur at the levels it would enable.]

Yes. Scarcity is by design. It is an extremely important goal. Nothing has terrified the regime more than the rise of a majority middle class and for good reason - it will be their undoing.

[What are your thoughts on Bitcoin?]

Bitcoin would be a horrible replacement for the monetary system. Any currency base that can not grow as fast as the economy creates drag, subject to limited slack from a changing velocity of currency.

[But what about as a store of value similar to gold? A hedge against the current monetary system.]

Using the exchange medium as a store of value rather than as an exchange medium is generally reserved to poor economic times. People hold onto cash due to uncertainty, etc. The type of questions one should be asking along these lines are how do you reduce uncertainty or how do you increase economic growth, not how do you best cope with economic failure. There is an implicit assumption of accepting defeat in the gold or bitcoin fetishists worldview, which is not good.

[Talks about taxes. Is income tax a good thing? Should it exist?]

We will set all income tax to 0%. It is unconstitutional in addition to being a bad idea.

[What is your opinion on Contributionist systems like the one Michael Tellinger promotes?]

Nonsense. There is absolutely nothing wrong with money. It is just a tool to facilitate trade.

[you said previously that high GDP and excellent medications could not be implemented as of yet due to overpopulation issues this would cause. Has something changed?]

You are misinterpreting what I have said.

High GDP growth helps ameliorate problems associated with releasing excellent medications. You don't want an economy overburdened with geriatrics, but a more robust and efficient economy means a greater ability to handle aging and less able-bodied population until we can begin to reverse their aging.

[you mention in previous threads that energy will be like a currency or a commodity in the future. ethereum seems similar to this, what do you think of ethereum and blockchain in general.]

I'm not familiar with ethereum and not supportive of the blockchain.

There is nothing wrong with fiat currency. Usury is a problem and the fed as constituted is a problem, but the idea that has been pushed that switching to gold will root out the corruption is absurd. You have a systemically suppressed society where all the news lies all day long but you think if your currency is shiny instead of paper that things will change much? Come on. It's obvious that this is a distraction.

[Is the stock market being manipulated?]

The stock market is very rigged and has been for a long time.

[Do you believe the stock market will crash in 6 months?]

A stock market crash is certainly in the cards, but it doesn't have to happen.

[What is the truest economic model?]

Basically all of economics is completely useless.

There are only a few things you really need to know.

(1) Usury is bad. Rewarding non-productive behavior at the expense of others is not the kind of incentive structure you want.

(2) Markets need honest price signals. This is mostly just a fancy way of saying you need free speech - we will only produce what we want if we can signal what we want.

(3) Capitalism is really about generating more capital. Valid questions in capitalism are of the form does A or B produce more as measured by the market's price signals. Pick the one that is greater.

Don't get sucked into ideological nonsense.

[Hypothetically speaking, if the petrodollar was weakened significantly, how fucked would the US be? Would we see an audit of the FED? Would war (civil or otherwise) be inevitable?]

I realized that sovereign debt doesn't affect the US too much because of its role as a reserve, but losing its reserve status is kind of uncharted territory. What would stop other nations from dumping American assets?]

It's one of those things with the potential to radically change the world. Breakdown

of international trade, deep and long depressions throughout major economies, potentially catastrophic rise of military conflict, etc.

You should focus more on using the power of the world reserve currency for good rather than ending it.

[Is a change in currency standards planned?

A shift towards a global currency?]

A global tax is needed for a global currency. They are not making much traction with this. Global warming myth was their best shot at it, but no one believes it anymore. As such, they are proceeding to instead try to shift governments around the world to a more corporate totalitarian model along the China style before implementing it without any measure of popular support.

[Bitcoins]

Bitcoins are not important.

[Know anything about "banking viruses?"]

There have been instances of software siphoning off small amounts many times, but the system is very rigged and centralized with plenty of backups. It's not subject to take down this way.

[Any merits to Laissez-faire capitalism?]

We believe in letting the market reward innovation, but don't consider it a sufficiently sophisticated system by itself.

~28 Pages~

[Truth about "Day of Rage"? Can I keep expecting an happening or I can go to work already?]

Bigger news is the 28 pages - effectively announcing a major shift in geopolitical strategy.

[(28 pages) Really? What can I expect? Where is link?]

Going back to the middle east to get the "real" bad guys this time.

[(28 pages) ETA on when they will be released?]

Plan is to release them today, but they want to make sure the "day of rage" will be the headline news instead of the 28 pages. Need to let the 28 pages circulate a bit and gauge the public reaction before going ahead with the master narrative.

[What are the elites finally realizing that actions have consequences?]

No, they are doubling down. The current Saudi king is one of the main guys allegedly involved in financing the hijackers, which means you can't call it "rogue elements." The trumpets sound again....

[I was in the thread yesterday and this is also what i suspected. Any chance of martial law being enacted? It seems like the government has backed itself in a

corner and is trying to take a last grasp at control.]

Stirring the pot in Saudi Arabia probably buys them another 10 years.

[What 28 pages? What did I miss?]

28 pages that were redacted from the 9/11 report are scheduled for imminent release.

[Ohhh, I see they were allegedly being released as early as today, does that mean we will actually see them today?]

Will they come as a leak or as an actual release?

What is the most probable outcome from these documents going public?]

The idea is that the price of oil needs to go back up because the financial system is on the brink once again. That's good for domestic producers in the US. It's also good in terms of strengthening the dollar internationally, something you will need for an intensification of QE-type activities.

[The 28 pages were just released but they were heavily redacted]

Anything we should know that was redacted or is there enough there to make connections if we dig enough?]

The 28 pages shouldn't be viewed as a source of truth, but rather as an indication of where future geopolitical strategy is headed.

The Saudis obviously didn't plan 9/11.

[How can French media be so biased!!!!? I read the 28 pages and links between saudis and terrorists are given. Despite this, french medias say otherwise!? One friend read the 28 pages with me and is also freaking out. What's happening ??
<http://www.rfi.fr/moyen-orient/20160716-etats-unis-attentat-11-septembre-implication-arabie-saoudite>]

As you know, public opinion is against intervention as well as the press. The best way to build up a willingness to go after SA / get back into the ME is to have the press play it down and the "opposition" media rile you up - the faking of an "organic" demand to get back on the war path.

[(28 pages) B..buut... The document is fucking public!! What's the point of lying in media if anyone can find another official version?

I mean, the same thing is happening in France concerning torture at the bataclan : You'll find english articles with one version : <http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/world-news/bataclan-attack-victims-castrated-eyes-8434580>

French articles saying these are rumors : <http://www.leparisien.fr/attentats-terroristes-paris/attentats-de-paris-le-procureur-dement-les-rumeurs-de-tortures-au-bataclan-12-07-2016-5962675.php>

But you can find the official report ONLINE confirming victims were tortured!!
<http://www.assemblee-nationale.fr/14/cr-cemoyter/15-16/c1516011.asp>

What the fuck is happening Anon??]

No one trusts the media. You'd be surprised how quickly alternative narratives circulate. Ever heard that all the world's people are only 6 or 7 heads removed from one another?

It doesn't take many people actually reading the documents for the rumor mill to light on fire and the "organic" opposition to blow up.

[Do you think Assange will be able to take down Hillary?]

Your regime is planning to disrupt ME oil supplies in a year or two to put pressure on China. It's a bargaining chip to break the growing Russian-Chinese alliance.

That's why Kerry and Biden were meeting with Putin on the day the 9/11 28 pages were released and the Turkey fake coup was staged.

Trump is more on board with this plan than Hillary at the moment, but that could change.

~Civil War/Marital Law~

[How long until all out civil war and martial law?]

To the extent that your hypothesis is reasonable, it already exists.

In the sense of mad max in real life, never.

[Is a Civil War in America happening, that's what it seems from there being a major shooting reported everyday, or is this a false flag for something else?]

There is a very clear intensification of the strategy of tension and it will continue to intensify as apparent public support for the regime collapses.

[will there be martial law to (((delay))) the election?]

No - that would be considered a worst case scenario not for you but for them. It gives the people a rallying point, which is the last thing you want to offer an otherwise servile and controlled population.

[Do you personally expect things to go defcon 1 in the US this year, or are they standard issue agitation distraction and demoralization ops?]

Standard issue mostly.

There is some escalation, but more of a change in degree than type.

[Is it too late for attempting peaceful change for the greater good in the US? Or is violent change inevitable?]

Violence in terms of widespread bloodshed isn't inevitable. Change without at least an implied use of force is not going to happen however.

[(<https://ii.yuki.la/c/d1/789896b71c8d5cff5893526b47da54c939970b47d9e4b9ea98ba9f0003ffcd1c.png>) when is it happening]

what does the code written on his folder mean]

This guy is nuts. Martial law as depicted here is a fantasy of the paranoid. It already exists in the only form that it will, i.e., targeted assassination of political dissidents and things of this nature.

[Will there be a violent outbreak in my country? Such as a widespread revolution or riot or some sort? How will I fair in it?]

This is not really in anyone's interest. People with power realize they need society to continue to function for their own self-interests. It's a longer term threat for sure though. There are efforts to make a breakaway civilization possible and scrap the masses, but they are a long way off from being brought to fruition.

[Martial law or civil war, happening or no?]

Mad max type martial law is nonsense. It already exists in the sense that the government actively assassinates dissidents, elections are rigged, etc

[Do you know anything about "Project Greenlight"?

Ive heard basically that as soon as martial law is declared or the economy crashes (or anything that breaks domestic harmony) the military is going to go Coup and detain the president and most of congress for treason.

As well as taking down the corrupt corporate elements like the rothschild and so forth.]

There are elements in existence that are prepared and able to fight, but it won't go down the way you perceive.

Instead, the breaking of the current regime will look fairly ordinary to the masses. You'll get a protected candidate that can speak the truth, which will be impossible to stop because most people are sick and tired of the lies.

[how long 'til the dollar collapses and the nukes drop?]

Highly unrealistic scenario.

~General US/Pol Misc.~

The second amendment is not a major concern. What good has it done you so far?

[Is Janet Reno relevant to what we're looking at or is she old news?]

Janet has a pretty sordid past you might find interesting.

Maybe start with her involvement with Eric Holder in OKC.

[What important events are probably going to happen in the coming months/years from now?]

You have another financial crisis coming in the near future. There will be an intense war of ideas with respect to how to reshape society with lasting consequences that plays out as a result.

[I sincerely believe in Constitutional values as a solution to the world's problems and want to push this in cultures that never had English common law tradition. Thus my idea that China needs free speech in order to have growth, which is clearly a tortured concept. Am I fetishizing?]

Free speech has never existed in any general sense. You can only test the existence or nonexistence at the extreme of dangerous speech, and that's never been widely available.

[But surely the disruptive innovator is safer in an environment that separates reality and what the state says?]

The protection that you imagine is conferred in the west is marginal at best.

[Is it accurate to say Micheal Flynn defected? Any idea what his motivations are?]

There is a lot of tension and infighting going on at high levels of the US government, including the Pentagon refusing direct orders from civilian leadership.

[Was relationship do current events have to the subversion occurring during the trials of Alger Hiss?]

Look at the Venona Project.

It's a curious thing that we now know many hundreds of spies were exposed, yet you are taught in history class that the hunt for subversives was irrational ghost chasing.

[>politicians you might consider allies will go a long way to prevent actual judicial action against the syndicate they claim to oppose

Because they too would rather have order than what would result from complete exposure of the current system? Better the enemy you know, than to not have a theater in which to engage at all, eh? Ok, so what we need to do is see about changing the current methods of operation from within the existing frame work. Tearing the whole thing down and starting from scratch would be below the last option on the list.]

We encourage dramatic shifts in the current ordering of society. That's not the same thing as saying destroy everything and start over with something we'll figure out when we get there.

[Are you hoping that the process itself(learning to see different pictures and clearer systems) is the road to an alternate system or that the deeper motivations becoming public will open a new path?]

We don't assess much general awareness of why things are bad. If you don't know how you ended up here, you're not in a good position to do any better.

[>It's a curious thing that we now know many hundreds of spies were exposed, yet you are taught in history class that the hunt for subversives was irrational ghost chasing.

Why is this? Why are Americans taught to discount suspicions of subversion as being irrational and unamerican when subversion is so widespread? Wouldn't it be in the interest of our govt to be on the lookout for it?]

One of the key people working on the Venona Project was John Nash. You might also be aware that he was developing game theory that rejected Pareto type interpretations of strategic development, which was the policy of the government at the time. In other words, the people exposing the spies were also indicting the sanity of the regime in a very clear and potent way. They were put down for this reason.

[So was Nash really this crazy or did (((they))) made him?]

Nash was not crazy. He even explicitly characterized the episodes as political questions later in life.

[What do you think how people will call our epoch? I can see clear connections to the Biedermeier time in Europe where the middle class turned away from politic involment concentrating on art. Nowadays i see people highly uninterested in poltics because they feel powerless and cant change the establishment and instead of change they consume not art anymore but eveything what distracts them from what is happening. Do we live in some kind of a Neo-Biedermeier epoch? maybe combinded with post modern depression?]

One of the biggest problems is the failure to imagine change. It's also tractable in the sense that once you start a process of expanding minds and senses of possibility this can take on a life of its own and rapidly expand throughout the population.

[Is there anyway to avoid violent revolution in the US? Looking at the last few months a chess match between the two houses, it seems to be the goal of the left to create a power vaccume and fill it with like minded people to hold what they have.]

If you distinguish between actual widespread violence and the mere threat of it, yes. If not, no.

[is there anything really bad going to happen here?]

Depends on what you mean by bad. We consider the current state of affairs as horrific.

[Plans for our military related to the Russian conflicts?

You're doing God's work. Unless you're just a fake anon. In which case you're doing God's work.]

Russian - US military relations are not bad at all right now. Political tension is very high, but unlikely to translate into significantly hotter conflict than proxy wars. Even there, the trend is towards deescalation (i.e. Pentagon cooperating with Russia to stabilize Syria).

[What is underground on koko head on oahu?]

The most important thing underground in Hawaii is rich deposit of rare earth minerals. Slightly harder to get at than in Afghanistan, but much easier than fighting a war.

[Was Sandy Hook a false flag? If so, and so much work went into it, why did it fail, or was its goal more obscure than gun control?]

Yes. It failed because you guys are doing a better job of not believing bullshit, looking at things under a microscope, noticing inconsistencies.

[By the way, what in the fuck was going on with the Panama Papers? Did it start out a legitimate leak but was then subverted by the Rockefeller and Soros foundations, or was it their project from inception? For what purpose? To attempt exposing Putin's financials or... Why?]

Panama papers was very much a controlled leak. Note the absence of dirt on US players, similar to Wikileaks cables.

[Any tips on being "okay" with this shit?]

Don't be ok with it. Seek change.

[You weren't the guy who talked about the snipers, that was the government scenario guy who gamed civil war scenarios.]

You may not realize this, but there was an actual attack carried out in this way in California by some disgruntled employees. It's a popular reference point because it is in the public domain as already having occurred, so no one can say you are feeding actionable ideas to bad actors.

[Is inner-city ghetto culture as dangerous as it seems? Do you really think it's possible to overcome that with the robust economic growth you mention?]

Blacks went from pretty much 0% literacy and no economic mobility to a robust and mobile demographic with higher rates of marriage stability, childbirth in wedlock, etc., all under the limiting circumstances of jim crow era in a very short time period - largely related to the injection of some mobility and education in WW2 / veteran aid programs. Sincere efforts to uplift the community would likely meet even more robust success.

[What is your opinion of Quigley's _Tragedy and Hope_? Is it accurate?]

It's been a long time, but my recollection is that the book presents the regime as reluctantly compromised but rational, whereas the truth is that there are sinister origins and the mode of governance collapses its own competency and sanity overtime.

[So are the Bilderberg backing US plans now on?

That would be good for Trump despite the fact you replying one of the DNC threads with something like "It doesn't matter, Hillary has been chosen."

Feels bad, man]

The new plan to deal with the rise of populism is to attack China's economy. You can keep the slow motion crash going in the west as long as the people fear the worse outcome that you give them to see elsewhere.

[What stunt could possibly be pulled to convince the populace that a woman who can't fill up a middle school gym with supporters beat not one, but two politicians who were received like rock stars by the public in the same election cycle? You guys know that the "she beat Bernie" stunt went over like a fart in a crowded elevator, right? You're aware that Americans aren't even bothered to watch your

""""""""""news"""""""""" networks anymore, right? If you guys think you're going to snow us into thinking she beat Trump legit, you'd be

better off retreating to your armored bunker right now.]

People are still highly vulnerable to accepting larger mainstream media narratives, if not the details.

For example, even though belief that the democratic primary was rigged is widespread, it is far less common to think Bernie won outright. Uncertainty over what the results would be absent interference is very prevalent (although unwarranted, Clinton didn't even come close).

In order to defeat the regime, you need what is called common knowledge of the fraud.

What that means is that isn't enough for everyone to think the game is rigged, they need to also think that everyone else thinks the game is rigged.

If you are unsure that everyone else is on the same page, the average person is immobilized by fear of being an outsider.

[Why shouldn't we accept a global government? Isn't that how we become star trek?]

In the present circumstances, you should find global government a highly repulsive endeavor.

It might be ok as a very long term goal, but the world is so full of corruption, disinformation, deception, lack of education, and so on, that you should value the ability of multipolar power to prevent a runaway more than any potential but unlikely harmonious outcome of global government.

In other words, reject globalism for now for the same reason we have a separation of powers in the US government.

[Are modern NatSoc movements, including the Skinheads and their likes a form of controlled opposition?

Are they a plant?]

Yes. It's fine to say something like the border should be closed because the US is already in dire straits. Closing it because you think latinos are an inferior race is silly.

Whenever you allow invalid motives to be associated with valid policy, you are hindering any chance of good policy.

[Regardless of how the races got to their current position, you don't believe racial animus is real? Look at South Africa, Rhodesia, add Europe to the list with the muslims and their conquering attitude. Of course in many cases other races can present themselves as allies, but usually only the small numbers which felt more oppressed in their former group and more welcome in the new group.

Do you support whites finally having their own homeland, free from having to co-exist with their former slaves, and other "indigenous" populations (don't even get

me started on how the aztecs/mexicans are not from here) who feel it is their right to reconquer and subsume our homes with mass immigration? And their allies the Jews?

Is anything being done about this at all, because it seems certain to lead to war.

Until racial violence is a thing of the past, whites deserve their own homeland. Do you support this? Are you for or against Trump?]

We don't support ethnic nationstates, no. Israel, for example, is an abomination to decency.

If you want to buy up plots of land and congregate with certain people, go for it.

We generally view Trump as less of a degenerate than Clinton, but you should be tempered by several things. For example, Obama's birth certificate was a fraud but he shut up about it after an obvious fake was released.

Secondly, you don't have any tangible commitments from him. At first there was a wall and send all the illegal immigrants back, but even that is being walked back now.

On the upside, he is definitely a narcissist and would like to go down in history as a great president. You can work with that if you get concrete productive policy into his mind, which is more than we can say for Hillary.

[Is there any discontent at all in the three letter agencies, that there is no longer Rule of Law?

Anybody in those agencies have any self respect anymore?]

Yes, but less than you would think. These people are screened for favorable traits like sociopathy prior to recruitment. There are not even bad people to staff all the positions, of course. At the top, it is pretty much all screwy but the further down the food chain you go the better the people get on average.

[Even if the west is controlling it, that doesn't mean it's a non-existent threat. ISIS didn't just spring out of nothing -- it was molded out of an existing ideology.

What about San Bernadino, Orlando, Boston, Chatanooga, Fort Hood? Are you saying those are false flags?]

ISIS comes from radicalization that was created by Brzezinski and funded by the Saudis, i.e., it springs from the west and the methodologies of strategies of tension.

[Do you believe white people will become a minority in Europe and North America?]

I think white people already are a minority in NA (if you include Central America anyway). You are doing yourself a disservice by focusing on race though. The important thing is what people believe and do, not the color of their skin.

[Should we expect any happenings this month? (Sept 2016)]

Yes, happenings are coming at the end of September.

[So is America fucked or what? Everyday I think of what I could do to help stop her but it seems impossible especially since dhs is getting their Jew fingers on running the election.]

No, America is fine. We're going to run one of our own for president soon if things remain relatively stable until then. We prefer to intervene quietly to keep things stable until we are ready to insert our own candidate, but there are plans in place up to outright revolution and removing the existing leadership.

[Last question from me, but will we be seeing a large scale happening somewhere very soon? It's been very boring here. If so, could you give a hint?]

The regime would likely to minimize terrorism related happenings until after the election. Political extremism will intensify, and there is active planning to stage fake assassination attempts on Hillary if the one or more of the debates go very poorly. [Also: human trafficking. How much of a thing is this really?]

Human trafficking - very real, especially sex trafficking. Labor slavery, not so much. The masses have submitted to shadow wage slavery already. Little need or benefit from overt action here.

[Why don't you just tell us what direction to go then? Why aren't more people in the government like you and trying to stop this? How far down the ladder does it go? Senators? Congressmen? Mayors? Governors? Elected sheriffs? Town council members? Who gets brought in at what stage? Why don't those just below the "insider" position who want people like us to succeed just give up the information? Seems like there is a whole lot of "Lets be sneaky and subversive" without any real reason to be.

Is everyone in on it? What about news anchors? How far up the chain to they have to get before they learn that they are being controlled by the government and get proof? Where is the weakest link in the chain]

The US regime has virtually no uncontrolled opposition. Many who give power to it do so unwittingly and relatively few see the bigger picture of what they are contributing to.

The weakest link in the chain is the ease with which you can acquire and use leverage against the regime.

[Do you have leverage over him in some form? If so, it may be time to use it. Twist the arm a little, if you can.

I see absolutely no positive future for the US should Hillary become president. I'm certain you realize this as well. The demographics will be far too fucked up to get anyone remotely sensible into office after Hillary, unless you get a sleeper agent to run as a democrat. Not to mention the veritable destruction of many of our rights.]

My mentioning of the questionable activity on his part may or may not be me doing exactly what you suggest. I guarantee you the things I say are being heard far and wide.

As to the demographic issue, we don't agree. A population that is easy for the

regime to manipulate is also a population that is easy for us to manipulate.

As far as nothing good coming from Hillary, sure. No one likes her.

[What do you think of technomysticism and the whole cicada 3301 thing is it a CIA psy op?]

You should stop worrying about cicada stuff.

[What percentage of cartel activity is used to fund black budgets of intelligence agencies?]

All of it

[Aren't intervention projects (post WWII) always complete clusterfucks? The CIA was living on its rep for covert ops because of Iran etc for a long time, but in all honesty most of their great successes was down to pumping in huge amounts of money.]

No, generally the intended goals have been achieved up until the last few years.

Doesn't mean the stated goals are the real intent though.

[On that note, was Oklahoma City a false flag?]

OKC was a false flag, yes.

[New World Order. What is official beginning?]

You are opposed to the old world order, not the new world order. It is a few thousand years old. The new world order is what needs to be built - the American enterprise.

[What is your opinion if any on the mainstreaming of the occult that happened in the 90s?]

Is that like a perpetual lower-key troll to see how deep some kids will dig once introduced to it? Did it have wider goals (like softening Christian cultural norms)? Or is it just every generation of teenagers discovering witchcraft and thinking it's a big deal?]

It isn't anything new. The Mormons would be considered a similar phenomena in their time. Phases of witchcraft, UFOtards, etc. - time honored disposition.

[Was it an Establishment plan to implant leftist ideals on the 21st century youth or is it something that came as a result of idealistic voices poisoning our educational institutions?]

One of the few things they are very good at is appreciating human tendency and weakness. These tendencies exist of course as you acknowledge, but they have been hijacked and misdirected on purpose.

[The Lone Gunmen show featured an episode a few weeks before 9/11 with a plot literally involving remote controlled plane being flown into WTC in order to justify increased military spending. Was this a coincidence? You can give a plausibly deniable answer.]

Not a coincidence.

[How much of the multiculturalism push in the west knowingly malicious.]

At a policy level, 100%. There are of course naive youth who do not see the bigger

picture, but the conceptual origination, funding pushes, and so on are all on a sinister basis.

[Is calexit going to happen?]

No

[One of Anonymous5's big bombshells is that feminists and other SJW types are suffering from frontal lobe damage and that long-term use of birth control is a major cause of it. Any truth to this?]

Yes

[What's next for Director Comey?]

Whether and how many to prosecute has not been decided yet, so Comey's role is still up in the air. Comey/FBI are in a holding pattern just like Wikileaks.

[Will we see the 33K Clinton emails?]

No decision has been made on the Clinton saga yet.

[Is pizza gate just a huge reach or are we onto something with the Moloch cult angle?]

You are on the right track

[Was Justice Scales purged?]

He was murdered, yes. The regime is regularly purging people that oppose it in any significant way. I meant purging of bad guys is minimal so far.

[Can you confirm whether there is an active FBI investigation into Comet Ping Pong as indicated by an alleged phone call made by a YouTuber?]

Yes, there active investigations at the FBI and agents that want to do something about it.

[Do you know whether the pedophile network in the US commonly uses pizzerias as fronts? Do you know if Pizza Packet is involved?]

No, that is not a widespread practice or standard front.

[>There are very few white nationalists. What you see going on is an effort to keep women and minorities pitted against white men. It is vitally important to your regime that you do not discuss ideas or solutions but stick to infighting over trivial issues.

Thanks for the answer. Shit is getting massively hard being a minority voting for trump now that they are pushing this Alt Right narrative. I really wish the average person were taught fallacies in school.]

The major shortcoming of the "alt-right" or any opposition banner du jour is that they don't have coherent positive policy, but simply reactionary positions. Being anti-immigration until the middle class is restored and vibrant again is fine, but the pathway to restoring a middle class is far more important than the anti-immigration position and much, much harder to co-opt or redefine.

[there is going to be a false flag terrorist attack this thanksgiving weekend isn't there?]

I'm not aware of any significant false flags planned in the next few days.
[my gf and I are planning our future together in the suburbs of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.]

is this an area of the US your group would recommend planting roots?]

Philadelphia is just fine.

[With Canada going full cuck after passing Orwellian speech laws. How fucked is the US in terms of the Social Justice movement gaining a huge weapon in their arsenal? Also, I've been seeing a rise in their attacks on Twitter and FB since Trump won. Are they just having a hissy fit? Trying to grab power? Or is this a sign of them losing it all?]

The more extreme the SJWs get, the harder they will fall. I wouldn't worry about it other than laughing at them and vocally disagreeing. Engage people on productive issues and drown out the silly identity politics.

[What is causing this SJW epidemic?]

The feeling of despair and hopelessness the masses feel combined with a lack of articulated alternatives is being exploited by sinister agents.

[What's your opinion on mental illness such as depression in modern society? Were they always this widespread, or is the structure of modern society contributing to their growth? How should one seek to uplift themselves and others from these conditions?]

Modern epidemic with many and varied contributing factors from lack of physical activity, sunlight, deficient diets, intentional poisoning, high tech warfare, and so on.

[What do you think about anon5's 'frontal lobe disorder hypothesis' regarding SJWism and the numale phenomenon?]

Someone asked me about this yesterday. He isn't wrong, but there are many, many factors at play here.

[Can you elaborate on the intentional poisoning and high tech warfare? How do these go beyond things like poorly constructed infrastructure creating problems with water supply, toxic housing, etc.?]

Everything from the routine like content delivery like TV shifting your brain state, inhibiting imagination, the massive amount of money poured into co-opting long format discussion online like on /pol/ to destabilizing the biosphere with malevolent genetic engineering.

[Do you view serving in the U.S. military as furthering the current regime and "old world order" or patriotic protection of our country?]

Could be either depending on the details. The guys that were part of the fake Osama raid and let it be known that it was fake did a good job, but some others not so much.

[How much of this is True or is it more posturing: (omitted video) and how much of it will actually happen. Shit like Trump saying new bills have to pass.]

The more important question is how much of it is game changing?

None.

Some correct things to do, but you could easily think of this as the absolute minimum amount of change in the right direction the public would tolerate.

[Is there significant risk that civil unrest in the USA will lead to large scale violence? What are indicators to watch for?]

This is mostly leverage to discourage prosecution of particularly bad actors by Trump administration. The extent of activation is undetermined as yet; it depends on how hard he pushes. If you see indicators of widespread prosecution you can expect massive riots to follow.

[Are foods marked USDA Organic actually free from genetic engineering and pesticides?]

Usually not

[Why is /pol/ allowed to exist when it's such a massive threat to the status quo? It it even possible to destroy at this point? Is it because of the Streisand effect?]

One illusion that will disappear in short order is the idea that free speech exists as a matter of law.

As it stands today, the majority of the population is what you might called purple pillled. They believe in systemic conspiracy but also nonsensical myths like remnants of a free press ("alternative media").

Such notions are immediately debunked by entertaining ideas like being a space elevator. We have and have had the technological capacity to do this for decades and therefore the ability to drive 20%+ annual GDP growth, yet you see no talk of this in any of the vast swaths of "real/alternative" media. One can only conclude that they are truth purveyors but rather mere misdirection.

The existence of forums like this encourages people to believe in nonsense ideas like free speech still exists under the law.

[What do about taxes? How can I starve the beast...? (don't say move to cash & artisan work - I create/buy/merge software companies and sell them after improving them)]

Make the money you keep do more harm than the money you turn over.

[How are they actively depopulating? Feminism, MGTOW, welfare, refugees. What about GMO crops?

I know Bill Gates is into that.]

I don't want to get too far into the details here for a number of reasons; they don't need any new ideas, clearer thinking about their plans, or better notions of how aware we are of their thinking, our countermeasures, etc.

[Going off from our previous discussion on obesity (and you talking about gut bacteria and a solution one could do), what foods and drinks should one be doing?

Should people use the Keto diet good or Asian-centric diet? I'm guessing that one should also try to have probiotic foods while avoiding 'waste' foods (chips, cookies, etc).]

The main thing you need to worry about when it comes to diet is not so much the form of your calories but that you get all the right nutrients. Things like iodine deficiency are real and extremely harmful. Take care of these issues and you can eat all carbs or all meat for calories and it won't make much difference.

[How exactly is a company supposed to run? I always look at people's reviews of working at big companies and that dissent is always repressed, there is no upward mobility in corporate ladder, Managers/Top-individuals stopping employees from creating solutions to known problems and etc. Does one have to keep a group to a minimal?]

A lot of the dysfunction you see is imposed on a societal wide level. Innovation and thinking for yourself is highly disruptive behavior to the old order. Companies are run for profit, but not so much for production and efficiency. There is virtually no substantial market competition in the world today.

[As a muslim, am i supposed to think this is the final days ?]

The second mass wave of conscious awakening is beginning now and will sweep the whole world.

[I recently took a philosophy class on "the mind, brain, and self" and I feel as though I was lied to.

The scope of the lie seems too grandiose though, as if all of the contemporary philosophers included in our readings are in on the conspiracy of the old world order.

Why does David Chalmers go on Ted-Talk and spout bullshit? It seems like he's purposely lying, and knows exactly what consciousness is.

Why do they pretend the 'explanatory-gap' or the disability to 'describe subjective experience' is something that is completely ending the discussion of consciousness?

I guess what I'm asking is:

Are guys like David Chalmers purposefully deceitful or are they genuine in their writings?]

No, you are right - there is systemic, organized conspiracy to brainwash the masses away from conscious awakening.

[Do you know how the Clinton fbi case is going? Any chance at all she'll be indicted?]

It is now widely acknowledged that the reason for Clinton's server was to sell secrets to foreign and non-state buyers. One of the primary buyers is China and the establishment would like to pivot away from focus on China towards a Russian-US conflict. Trump is acting correctly in not allowing this.

The reason indictments are unlikely is that the establishment is glued together by a web of blackmail. There are a limited number of possible scenarios as a result.

You can make it clear that Hillary (and only Hillary) will be taken down as an example to keep everyone else from panicking. The establishment all turns on her as a scapegoat and kills her to stop a domino chain.

This doesn't accomplish much however. You make her a martyr, and tell the rest of them they are free to go. She is already past her prime as an operator, so really nothing is gained.

Alternatively, you can move to take down the whole establishment. This requires the competency to replace it in chaotic circumstances, which Trump does not have. As such, he doesn't really have this as a playable card right now.

[Will we see a shift in the MSM, a call to accountability and honesty?]

The media will remain a joke. There is no free press in the world. Even alternative media is absurd, because being anything else would result in their deaths.

[What's the state of US national security? Will we start rooting out ISIS cells finally?]

US national security is in disarray, not only because Trump wants to change geostrategic policy but because he has no idea what changes he wants to make. Iran is fighting ISIS, but he wants to fight both. Doesn't make any sense, which is an unfortunately all too common trait for him.

[What would be considered reliable sources of US media nowadays? Any networks or magazines etc. Can any of them be trusted(perhaps on some issues more than others)]

There is no good media.

Some media is more accurate in describing the world as it is (from 9/11 being a fraud to pedophiles running rampant) but there is no media that describes the world as it could be, which is the most important part of describing the world as it is. This, none of them are allowed to do.

[We know there is an entrenched swamp. Trump obviously can't blow the lid off it all at once. But aren't cleverly timed term limits, new leadership in intelligence, and 'fall guys' enough to keep the rats scurrying as he picks them off one by one?]

Term limits are counterproductive. They make it more difficult for good people to establish themselves. There is an endless stream of potential sellouts, but heroes are hard to come by. You don't stand to gain by establishing a mechanism to make your heroes short-lived.

[Have true secrets of Hillary's server been unleashed to the public? Even if they haven't been taken seriously, have you seen valid info spread around that you know is true, but the public is denying? By that I mean; are there secrets of Hillary's server that remain fundamentally secret and have not leaked in any form?]

There is nothing but details left to uncover about Hillary's server - specific transactions, etc. The basic outline of what went down is out there.

[Sorry, they have to go. I don't care about the number of flies caught in the web. The globalist establishment controlling our nation is a blight on humanity and eventually they will have to be dealt with. Trump may not be able to completely remove them but he needs to at least lay a foundation. There is no compromise with such evil.]

That's fine, but it doesn't change Trump's inability to do such a thing.

[I guess I'm asking, are there really dangers of the Spencer Alt-Right types and neo-Fascists or is that misinformation spread by leftists?]

Your regime does desire an end to most of the population. You can see such a tendency manifest in subtle ways like anti-family propaganda or more overt ways like poisoning the food chain.

There are popular ideas in alt media like trying to start a nuclear war that are absurd. They don't want to destroy the planet. They are more incompetent than insane.

Furthermore, they don't have the technology at present to depopulate the world without maligning it and making it unsuitable for themselves. That isn't going to remain true forever, but I don't want to delve too far into this - they don't need any help in any form furthering these sorts of ambitions.

[Is any information you're providing us disinfo to preserve plausible deniability like FBI anon?]

No. I have often refused to go into detail about things (like specific depopulation plans already in this thread), but I am not feeding you any wrong information.

[Who or what would you say is or are the greatest current threat to world stability and peace?]

Probably the increasing awareness of the depravity of the regime without a corresponding growth in thinking about alternatives. We are at substantial risk of a French style revolution as opposed to an American styled one.

[Also, what's the deal with the Bogdanov brothers?]

I've heard of the Bogdanov brothers before but never read their work. It's pretty common for these sorts of things to happen where papers get published that don't actually say anything; in fact, people have trolled journals by submitting jargon filled nonsense on purpose before.

[Also redpill me on the Kosovo organ smuggling scheme, suspiciously it started immediately after the war, which was of course supported by the Clintons.]

Organ smuggling is a very active global business, always present in conflict zones.

[are you following Aubrey de Grey's work?]

Sure. He is mostly right that it wouldn't take a huge investment to achieve effective immortality. He doesn't speak of the purposeful concealment of medical advances as far as I know, which is a much bigger inhibitor here than lack of funding though.

[So, about that direct democracy? Is it something that would help bringing much needed checks and balances into representative democracy? Could it function on

it's own?]

We do not believe that majority opinion should be regarded as authoritative. Good government needs extensive checks on the rule of the mob or fleeting inclinations.
[what is going to come of this CIA Pissgate bullshit?]

They are just developing a frame that can be used to take down Trump if necessary. Same thing with Obama's birth certificate being obviously fake. It was an issue that got a lot of circulation but no traction unless it became necessary to remove him.
[You had mentioned in the past that the Regime is actively working towards "taking out" people who write anything that dares to criticize them?

Question:

Who is actually doing the "taking out" part?

It seems crazy to me that merely publishing an article or book, even if fiction, could get someone in trouble.]

It depends on exactly what you are saying. With respect to consciousness issues, it is masonic organizations loyal to the British lodge that do most gangstalking and assassination. Then you have the NSA focusing on disruptive technology, the CIA on weapons and drug flow, etc. A lot of compartmentalization of interests.
[Will we ever be free of their power over us?]

Yes, the regime is finished. We made this clear to them a few years ago and they are coming to terms with it, knowing that it is for their own good that they do
[what could I do to save our planet?]

There is no process that you can complete to save the planet. It is an ongoing struggle to do better.
[will fully legalizing marijuana be the GDP boom you've been implying?]

Legalizing marijuana is fine, but not even remotely close to the sort of thing that would drive the massive growth we will deliver.
[What can you tell us about the actions of the deep state government vs the Trump administration?]

This is a huge topic to cover so I encourage you to ask more specific questions.

Some of the big things that have been going on though:

Flynn was a good guy that wanted to bring down the pedo/blackmail networks. A lot of people in the Trump administration are part of this though, including Pence.

You don't necessarily need to see him letting Flynn go as a mistake though. Trump is in over his head and doesn't know how to deal with a variety of threats / leverage being used against him.

You have a lot of his inner circle like Bannon or Alex Jones playing up silly stuff like

Iran boogeyman, Islam as an existential threat, etc. They are pushing to keep the population divided whereas Trump needs to break free of this paradigm and be a president of all the people, not just the ones that voted for him.

Deeper threats include things like the ongoing possibility of a staged financial crisis that Trump isn't prepared to deal with. He is letting the Fed bully him rather than the other way around for example. He knows that he is unprepared to handle all of this alone, and also that he has no good advisors, so it sort of makes sense for him to cut a deal with the establishment by sacking Flynn until he can get some real help on these fronts.

[Will more will the deep state and intelligence agency traitors do to stop trump? Does the FBI really have the leakers? Will they be prosecuted?]

Trump isn't the real threat to the deep state. We are.

To the extent that Trump aligns himself with doing good, he can't be stopped. If he gives himself over to the past, he will be the agent of his own demise.

As to leakers/prosecution/etc., most of this is just petty game playing that isn't going anywhere.

The way society is set up right now, it doesn't function without the deep state. The fact that your supermarkets are stocked is dependent on massive drug running and a variety of other things that manage the global currency system and so on. Trump can't root out corruption without starving the people UNLESS he shifts to seeing the bigger picture and embarks on systemic reform.

That is why big ticket items like the space elevator are absolutely necessary and any discussion significantly removed from this sort of scope is pointless. You can shift the drug/petrodollar regime to a solar power regime seamlessly and then proceed to shut down all the nonsense that was integral to the old order.

Anything short of big thinking like this won't work and is pointless.

[How accurate is Robert Steele. He seems like disinformation at times]

No one in the CIA has permission or ability to speak to core problems in a really fruitful way.

That doesn't mean he is a bad guy in itself, but he is certainly impotent with respect to actually helping you.

There is also the issue that you are witnessing the presence of ideas that can really turn the ship around and the CIA is working to counterfeit that, but it won't be successful.

[If you're the same HLI from other posts, I recall you mentioning that the news can't talk about the way things COULD be... only doom porn. Is this the same in works of fiction? The more I've been thinking lately about escaping negativity, the more I'm realizing there aren't any positive books about the future.... and I always found it very strange how quickly they made those hunger games movies... like someone knew they were going to be a hit.]

You live in a society that is far, far more controlled and suppressed than the USSR ever was. The lack of positivity and tangible solutions that you see in press, artwork, etc., is a direct result of that and by design.

[In a world where anyone with a different opinion than the one the receiver favors is often considered disinformation at best, how can one or the whole disseminate accurate and powerful information en masse?]

Take something like the ongoing health care debate. As is usually the case, both sides have good points. We'd like to live in a society where no one is deprived of needed medical care, but there are valid concerns within the paradigm about increasing burdens of government being applied in such a way that cynically attacks and undermines the middle class.

Both sides of the debate are really talking about the same bigger problem though, a lack of wealth available to the general population. The way to address that is to do things like build a space elevator, start growing the economy 10x faster than is usual, and have a government so overwhelmed with surplus that no one has to worry about these scarcity problems anymore.

We can get to 0% taxes and free health care for everyone in a few years pretty trivially. The normal left-right Overton window no longer has any place in our society.

[I am concerned for the future of my children. I have 3 and they are all very fair skinned. The way I see the world going scares me. If euro types lose control of America I fear there will be nowhere for us to go and a total genocide of our people is inevitable. Why does it appear there is nobody protecting white people? We are a good and fair people who have rightfully earned a permanent place on this earth. The fact that there is so much talk about having us wiped out is a huge cause for concern is it not?]

Biased perception on your part. The people running the world don't hate white people. They hate threats to their own power. If Whites were all poor and most of the world's middle class was Chinese they'd be singing a similar tune about Chinese people.

[Can you name some of the semi-legitimate functions they perform?]

Calling them semi-legitimate is pretty generous. The CIA controlling the drug trade is excused because it is used to manage currency flows, but that is only an issue because the CIA runs around assassinating people try grow the economy. Ample domestic production of goods other people want is a much better way to control currency flows, but it also leads to the deep state's demise. So their activity is semi-legitimate in the sense that if you don't realize we can do better it makes sense, but not legitimate in the sense that they've created the conditions to make themselves useful in order to further their own control rather than to help the country.

[We'll just invent new (and probably more dangerous) ways to do the historically routine human evils. Everything people make goes sour]

To the extent that things have gone sour, it is just some old cult doing it on purpose to maintain their power. There isn't any reason to expect that they'll be able to re-

emerge in a free and open society or even reason to feel that mankind is fundamentally broken. It's the same few folks that have stirred up all the major conflicts and problems. We don't actually have a problem with people being broken or inclined to evil in general.

[How connected is the CIA still to European/British intelligence? Who is the real authority?]

CIA/British intelligence/etc. are all ultimately front organizations. The conspiracy against you has been going on since before America or England even existed as nations.

[So, you're saying the problem whites are facing is due to our own success. Still, don't you agree that drumming the world's populace up against whites is a lead-up to our genocide? Isn't that their answer?]

It isn't about you being white. There is no utility in addressing a problem incorrectly [Neo-Amish Jeffersonian Farmer Philosopher movement... is it possible to live in the forest and survive all this?]

Running to the forest won't save you, but that doesn't mean we're all doomed. We have an awful lot of messes to clean up but all of the problems are actually pretty easy to address.

[Orlando and San Bernardino shootings false flags commuted and carried out by the CIA? Sandy Hook too? 9/11?]

I don't dwell much on the details of these kinds of things (shooting de jure) but usually false flags. Obviously 9/11 was.

[Are we the cause for global warming or is something else occurring?]

Mankind is definitely active on a scale to influence the climate. It isn't even an important question though because solar power doesn't have the CO2 problems and is cheaper than any carbon source anyway once you build a space elevator.

[Can Northrop Grumman be trusted?]

The regime is full of bluster. If they were as smart as they want you to think or had so much technology, they'd do a little better job with the planet right? No need to keep people poor if you've got free energy to power a vast array of weapons to keep the masses obedient right?

[I am hearing lots of stories about "chaos" in the intelligence agencies, and coups forming in pro trump and anti trump factions. You have said before that Trump is an establishment pick, and isn't the outsider candidate we think he is, and if this is the case, then these stories must be bullshit. If he was picked for power than the intelligence agencies must be on his side, right?]

Most folks want to be liked. It's true of Trump as well. They get into office and want at least the illusion of doing well. So there is real tension between presidents and the establishment even with the hackiest of hacks. Sometimes they get killed in office even though they seemed safe and were allowed to get elected earlier.

The chaos in the intelligence agencies is really at a much deeper level than pro/anti Trump though. These people all turned into psychopaths and a lot of them are only

recently realizing it, if only out of an instinct towards self-preservation.

Something a lot of you don't realize is that there isn't much intelligence to be founded in intelligence agencies. Not very bright people at all. They get ahead of themselves and don't figure out how bad they fucked up until the last minute because they finally see almost certain doom staring them in the face.

So that's really the big story. A lot of these people are doing double takes, gut checks, sort of snapping out of their illusions of grandeur and realizing how retarded they've been all along. As far as organized coups and counter-coups, no, not really. That's just a bunch of bluster to rebrand the CIA as your friend. ("we reformed ourselves, all is well now, back to sleep") Everyone is onto them and these are desperate last gasps at maintaining legitimacy.

[Are pesticides like aldrazine having an impact on our youth and sexuality (turned 10% of male frogs into females).]

yes even on points that might seem crazy like frogs going gay

[What are your thoughts on joining the military?]

That's a personal choice. It has serious consequences like being subject to experimental drugs, coerced into immoral operations, and so on. It is nevertheless to the great benefit of us all that most people who have joined are decent and disagree with the regime.

[Are we facing population culling at the bottom of all this?]

This isn't an objective of any serious people, but might be a consequence.

[What's happening with Kanye? Is it just a run-of-the-mill meltdown because he's in massive debt or is he being reeducated because of his pro-Trump rant?]

I know very little about Kanye.

[So will national parks and reserves be abolished eventually?]

There are many merits to these things; material enjoyment, protection of biodiversity, etc. There is a difference between fetishizing "natural" and responsibly protecting resources.

[What do you mean by the fetishization of nature?]

That the "natural" state is the most desirable.

You'd think these people might want to forego the use of their vehicles, air conditioning, etc., but it isn't a serious idea.

.....
.....
.....

Geo-Politics

~Russia~

[Is Putin the good guy?]

There is no "the" good guy, but his government is certainly more competent than the a lot of others.

[>Pentagon cooperating with Russia to stabilize Syria

So how does this work? Aren't both countries pushing their own sides? Will some kind of compromise be reached?]

There was a compromise put into place after WW2 between USA and Russia. In return for acquiescing to the US having the world reserve currency, USA was suppose to act as a world bank for global infrastructure development.

The same agreements were made in preparation for the fall of the USSR. In both cases, they went unfulfilled.

This remains the basis of Russia's demands, however they are preparing for an end of US financial hegemony after being crossed twice already.

[How concerned should we be over Russia invading the Baltic states for the same reasons they went into Crimea and eastern Ukraine?]

Russia generally acts for reasons of defensive maneuvering rather than aggression or empire building. There isn't much to be gained from an outright invasion of the Baltics, but they are actively cultivating friendly regimes, especially in their immediate neighborhood.

[Does Russia (Putin) still have influence with al-aqaeda and and if so. The ties that Clinton has with al-aqaeda are they allies (in any form) or do they hate each other but Clinton uses al-aqaeda for her own ends?

For those who don't know Alexander Litvinenko claimed that Russia trained Ayman al-Zawahiri and Semion Mogilevich (Russian head mafia boss guy) sold arms to al aqaeda.]

Mogilevich is a western asset and al-Qaeda is the CIA's baby.

[Is Putin behind the global rise in fascism?]

Putin is actually more of an anti-fascist than fascist. The US merges state and corporations and bought up the Russian economy in the 90s. Putin seems like a fascist seizing all of that back, but is really undoing fascism. It's necessary to do this from a position of strength, and there is corruption in Russia to be sure - nowhere close to ideal.

[Right, I shouldn't be sloppy. To rephrase: is Putin behind the rise of *nationalism* in these countries? (leave the fascist undertones to the side for now)]

Yes, to some extent. Russia directly and indirectly supports opposition in countries for reasons of self-interest.

[Is Putin a "good guy" then? (Or simply not one of The Ominous They?)]

Putin is highly constrained by circumstance. He compromises a lot out of necessity, and it is hard to say what he'd do given free reign.

[(Putin) Did he influence the migrant crisis? or the rising European racism?]

Putin didn't do anything to encourage the migrant crisis. Attacking the western backed terrorists in Syria is an effort to reverse it if anything.

[Why would Putin want to reverse the immigration crisis when it helps the opposition he's backing?]

His enemies use the causes of the immigration crisis against him. He's more interested in fighting that (ISIS, destabilization, foreign backed subversion) than the immigration problem specifically.

[Then what did you mean by this?

>[Putin] attacking the western backed terrorists in Syria is an effort to reverse it if anything.]

The migration crisis in Europe is largely a result of the failed state in Syria. Putin doesn't like these destabilization mobs the CIA creates because they cause trouble in Russia too. His direct opposition is to the root cause of the migration crisis, not necessarily the migration itself.

[> Rebels winning means new pipelines from the ME to Europe and Cold War 2.0

Why would that lead to another Cold War ?]

Forces Russia's hand in a much more direct way than the soft coups of the last 20 years.

[When will US and Russia go to war?]

US and Russia will form an alliance against China if Trump is selected.

If Hillary is selected, she will keep pushing proxy wars against Russia, intensify financial warfare, and strengthen ties with China.

[why does it feel like nobody cares about war with russia when they clearly pose great risks to anybody they engage with?]

There will be no war with Russia. We would give them technology to maintain MAD if they were in danger of being overrun

[What is Russia up to? It seems putin is trying to build up allies with the "islamic world" Turkey, and china. Is it trying to push the US off their Borders? What does he have planned?]

Putin is basically just trying to hold on and hoping for a partner to emerge in the west.

[What was up with Kerry flying to Moscow? Did Putin tell Erdogan what was happening?]

Kerry is trying to broker a temporary peace with Russia and a pivot against China.

Most of the establishment favors the China model, but many do not and consider it a much graver threat than Russia

[What was the deeper purpose of the overthrow of Russian monarchy I.e communist revolution?]

Russia had a long history of supporting our American enterprise. Same reason Germany was demolished repeatedly. These actions represent direct assaults against our own efforts by the old order.

[Is the deep state really at war with Russia? right now?]

<http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2017-02-27/deep-state-war-russian-officials-keep-dying-unexpectedly>

They are always working to have you embroiled in conflict and negativity. It's been a permanent condition for thousands of years.

Russia has significant institutional inertia, but lacks robust harnessing of talent or the ability to recruit it. We would like to see that improve.

There is a weakly emergent Sino-Russian block, but it lacks any hegemonic initiative or sufficient agreement to be a proactive rather than reactive force in the world, for now.

~Euro Zone~

Brexit is loading the barrel for another financial crisis. You always want a loaded gun even if you don't pull the trigger.

[What are English and saudi agendas?]

The English elite believe they are the rightful rulers of the world as descendants of the line of David.

The Saudis are in awkward position of recognizing they have been used as pawns and trying to emerge from that to player status, mixed with a variety of individual agendas that get varying level of state sanction.

[There can not be another financial crisis because Europe is still in a financial crisis. First we would have to get out of the current crisis to get into another one. Also why would there be another one in any case?]

You can argue that we've been in a financial crisis for decades. Call it a period of exaggerated crisis if you wish. Semantics.

[and what about yurop?]

There are efforts underway to create a European Federation.

[Population replacement in europe. Is it a conscious decision, why and by whom?]

You mean the recent mass immigration?

No. Syria was meant to fall quickly and be replaced by a new puppet regime. The drawn out conflict that has led to the mass migration was not the original plan.

[What do you know about American FBI/CIA operations in my country?]

The british interfere in america far more than the reverse.

[>british interfere in america

>brits have loads of US military bases on our soil

this idiot is just some dumb larper who doesn't know anything reminds me of the /adv/ larps]

Look up the Churchill family, in particular Pamela Harrison. Major influence behind the Clinton campaign, and also the family behind his primary (public) opposition, Pat Robertson and the moral crusaders.

The full truth of the matter is far more pervasive and sinister.

[Why America build up the Eurozone after the 2nd WW and now they want to collapse it?]

Solidify opposition to challengers. Early in the project, Russia was a concern and they wanted a strong opposition block. Now, the Euro project is a potential challenger to US financial hegemony so it is being weakened.

[Muh insider nigga, what do you have to say about EU situation? Can we expect a balkanisation and what goal might this play in the elite's plan?]

Yes to balkanization. Smaller states are easier to control than big ones. The idea that we are headed towards one world government in terms of the arrangement of municipalities is silly. One world order, sure, but that's not the same thing.

[whats in the cards for europe? what about china/japan?]

The short term goal for the EU project is to hold it together, only in a weakened state. It's important for the dollar not to have a strong rival as we head into another financial crisis.

A similar thing is playing out in the east, where efforts are being made to strengthen rivalries between China and surrounding countries - again, trying to preempt the emergence of a challenger block to US financial hegemony.

[Is there anything interesting you can tell us about UK politics? Is there any sort of corruption there?

What's going to happen with Brexit?]

UK - US relations are somewhat tense and could break. London considers China a strategic opportunity to control, whereas many in the US consider it a dangerous potential rival to hegemony. The British also established the House of Saud and US is considering taking it down, which is another hot topic that could materially strain relations.

[What are the odds of a Muslim takeover in a major European country in the next ten years? France comes to mind, especially. Perhaps Germany or Sweden.]

Not going to happen in the next 10 years, no.

[Does Merkel regret her choice when she sought to take in the refugees in order for the eastern expansion and future energy market footholds for Germany? After all, this is why we are taking them, they already have deals to utilize the new Kurdish

state for it's resources after their commitment to dissolve the Syrian population by accepting refugees. Does she regret her method?]

Merkel has a self-loathing complex as is all too common in the west. To the extent she regrets anything, it is only the increased potency of her opposition as a result of the problems.

[In your previous AMA you said that the Bush and Clinton family had made their fortunes in drug trafficking. Does this mean that the House of Marlborough is the drug trafficking kingpin? If true, I assume this means that the House of Marlborough made their fortune through the East India company. Can you provide more details about the House of Marlborough?]

Yes. Pamela Churchill (Churchill family is the House of Marlborough) was Clinton's major backer in '92. The Chinese funded the '96 campaign, but recall that Chinese finance industry is controlled by British interests (dating back to opium wars and retention of Hong Kong).

[What is the meaning behind the open border policy in Europe? Who benefits from it?]

Increase tension in society, undermine the average competency of societies with strong middle classes. People who are several generations deep in middle class families are where your more dangerous resistance comes from. The regime has nothing to fear from the average Somali, but the average American or German from a strong family can bring havoc on them.

[What's the end-game goal of the refugee crisis and when will the mass refugee influx into Europe stop? Also: does EU even have a say on the matter?]

== {He answered this upthread with something I and others have been saying all along: this is about eliminating the middle class, smothering the irritating craftsman, who presumes to talk back to the lords, in a world of docile peasants, who will do what they are told.

The "end result" is the city of Juarez, Mexico, but the whole world will look like that.} ==

Anon responded pretty well already.

[Please explain the agenda for the UK]

The establishment wants the UK to return to being the open global financial center. In truth it never faded from this position completely, unlike in previous reserve currency collapses. That is because the British elites reconquered America and are using US government as a proxy to take the heat for their old empire activities.

They don't want to return to this position out in the open until global authoritarianism is on a more solid footing however.

[was Brexit the plan of the powerful Anglo elites?]

Yes, Brexit was desired by the establishment. They don't want the markets considering the euro as an alternative to the dollar when the next financial crisis hits. Needed to knock down the perceived stability of the Euro block a few notches before heading into the next collapse.

[Will EU break apart?]

The EU was never meant to be a model towards a new world order, just a means of destabilizing Europe. They prefer to weaken western nation states where the middle class is robust and only desire strong regimes where the population is accustomed to oppression (China). British/European involvement with Islam is about maintaining soft control over China's energy supply, which they intend to be the model of the future. They do not want and will not allow an Islamic takeover of the power in these states, but are just bidding their time until China can replace the US as the proxy power of the financial system.

[Will the EU steal or undermine Brexit from the UK?]

The old order is centered in London. They want to maintain a pseudo-independent status, carrying on with significant influence but financially separated enough to implode continental finance without becoming part of the contagion when the time is right.

[What is Merkel planning to do in the future?]

Because globalism has often been called the new world order, people often have the misconception that there are grand plans and ambitions. It isn't the case. They are doing what is perceived as necessary in the short to medium term to maintain power. Merkel needs things like an immigration wave for the same reason as Obama; the natives are growing aware and restless. They are powerless to stop these waves however; the important thing with respect to their actions is to make it clear that extreme destruction to prevent the rising will of the people will be met with severe consequences, a reality that they are aware of and increasingly resigned to.

[How can the UK bring down the old royal power structure? Is it held together with pedo/degenerate blackmail like in the US? Can bringing to light the pedo stuff in US government help to bring light to British/ European high level pedo rings?]

We will take care of this by taking the US government back from them as well as some other key proxies. The UK regime is held together in much the same way as the US regime as they are practically indistinguishable at current - blackmail rings, etc.

[How do we defeat EU army? Are there other threats in EU for the near future?]

You shouldn't worry yourself with the EU. It will continue to fall apart. Their constitution is untenable and the rise of nationalism is in part a result of widespread awareness of this by the people there.

[What's in store for the UK?]

UK is in serious trouble. This has long been the hub of the establishment whose days are numbered.

[(UK) I'm currently in the middle of my recruitment process into the the royal marines.

Is this a bad time?]

There isn't going to be a war against the military of the UK if that is what you mean.

[How was Nigel Farage able to come through on Brexit then... seemed none of the high powered people in the world wanted it.]

Nigel doesn't really possess an agenda, more of an anti-agenda. This is needed in all strategies of tension.

~China~

[Do you think Chinese-style media censorship works? Do you think it will work in the long run?]

We don't generally support censorship of others, although we find some degree of self-censorship prudent.

[My own explanation of why the Chinese did not do more given their intellect is that people are ruined by success (they want what worked yesterday) and a powerful, centralized state misinterprets innovation as a threat. Therefore, censorship is actually a major self-limitation. I think China would be much better off without censorship, but that would be too scary for the Politburo. Am I on the right track?]

Yes, organized power often engenders its own psychosis this way.

[To add to that, I concluded that China is approaching a point where Deng-ism has done all it can, and now the center needs to back off and trust the ordinary Chinese person more. They are at the point where they need something like American or Enlightenment values to get to the next stage. But everything in their culture militates against this, and Brother Eleven appears to have been moving strongly in the wrong direction, ensuring future problems and stalled growth. This strengthens the chances of a military adventure or scapegoating foreigners. Am I wrong? Is there a way for Xi to both crack down and facilitate growth?]

You can enumerate the possibilities for growth:

innovation, foreign plunder, domestic plunder

Obviously on the first is viable in the long term. Is Xi up to the task? Possibly, and shows more inclination than his American counterparts these days.

[Will the US go to war with china over the man made south china sea islands?]

No. Do you really think either country wants to annihilate themselves over some petty islands?

[What are your views on Hong Kong independence?]

Oh, Hong Kong. To a large degree, HK operates as a beachhead for British influence and manipulation of China. There is some tension between British and US elites, and London has been considering as a strategic option to become the bankers of China while ditching the US for some time now.

[ME oil supplies are not going to affect china as much as other things. You aren't even aware of what is going right now. Are you sure you aren't just high and inside your basement?]

China is not energy independent and has an unstable financial sector. Driving energy prices up hurts them a lot and can easily serve as a contagion trigger.
[Okay, so the economic down turn is planned by the west? Are you sure it isn't planned and that china has, for over a year, indicated that they are entering a period of 'normal growth' (aka economic downturn/slowdown)?]

The US wants to inject instability and domestic strife into China to prevent its emergence as an actual superpower. Economic warfare is the easiest way to do this.

[Do you have any info on Australia?]

The west is planning a takedown of China which is very bad for Australia's economy.
[What about the Yuan?]

It doesn't take a High Level Insider to see that the Chinese had a better currency to offer than the Eurozone, even before Brexit.]

China is not financially healthy. The US could send China into a tailspin with a modest tariff whenever it feels so compelled.

[How are the elites reacting to this geopolitical move on the Eurasian chessboard?]

There is no credible threat to US hegemony at the moment; no military threat exists and China's economy is not able to sustain itself from even minor hiccups like the US slapping a modest tariff on them.

[(Chinese) Dude, the chinks would never let jews rule them. They are jews of the east.]

One might have naively said that Americans would never let the British rule them again in 1800, yet Anglophiles dominate D.C. today. Much is possible when actions are concealed.

[Also, what could you tell us about China? Are they equipped to face the next recession?]

China views itself, rightly, as inadequately prepared to deal with the shifting geostrategy policy of the US. They've upped their rhetoric significantly since these threads started as you are probably aware.

~Germany~

[I'm a bit too aware for my personal safety of what is happening behind the scenes in Germany. Just so I get it out now in case it hasn't been brought up in any of the others: What seems to be the consensus among your circles regarding my country? Would you say it has great significance to the developments worldwide?]

Germany has been a great success for the regime in that they have maintained a competent workforce that is utterly demoralized. China has incompetent workforce that is demoralized, whereas the US has a semi-competent workforce always ready to be alight with hope and drive; the first is not particularly useful feedstock and the second very dangerous.

Germany has the potential to be crucial to great changes going forward if the fire can be brought back into their lives in a good way, i.e., Leibniz conspiring with the Scottish and Irish against the British Crown and in support of the American Enterprise.

[What are your plans for Europe as a union and Germany in particular?]

We're very worried about the state of German society. They are exceptional engineers and proficient at generating profitable organizational schemas. It's a great loss to mankind that their ambition has been culturally constrained, although it's not hard to appreciate why.

~Saudi Arabia~

[What's your opinion on Saudi Arabia in general?]

I personally hate their guts.]

Saudi government does not possess agency. They act at the behest of others. You should pay more attention to their masters than them.

[What are the chances of dropping Saudi Arabia to reduce the influence of Wahhabism/Salafism across the Muslim world?]

The main reason an attack on Saudi Arabia is being considered is because the world economy is headed for another deep recession. There is already a glut of oil and it would only get worse. This is very destabilizing to the petrodollar order. There are other benefits like putting pressure on China, but preserving the Western financial system is the primary goal.

[Our situation in Saudi Arabia is pretty tricky...]

We need them to limit exports to keep our dollar strong, or replace them with a regime that will still keep the USD as a world reserve currency...

Why are they increasing production anyway? Is climate change BS just a policy to manipulate oil producers?]

Saudis want to keep the ability to act as a market maker, so they need to pump excess supply to discourage the development of other supplies.

Climate change is "real" in the sense that CO₂ is actually a greenhouse gas and has a very moderate forcing effect. Is it potentially catastrophic? Not even remotely close. The push to get away from carbon energy sources is economically irrational even after accounting for the social costs of carbon (damage to environment, etc.). [(Saudi Arabia) If they are completely betrayed what are the odds of them pointing the finger back at the establishment?]

The Saudi establishment will be allowed to evacuate the country, assume new identities, and live a quiet but decadent life. Those who refuse the deal would be taken out.

[We have to make ourselves a US rather than British client state first. Furthermore the crown won't let KSA go without force, this is why we need to assist the Houthis in upturning the social order in the arabian peninsula, as they have been working towards. Saudi must be broken down completely before it can ever possibly be built right.]

We agree about retaking the US from British influence. A soft coup in SA is about as easy done as said though. They are highly unorganized degenerates that will respond readily to a bit of carrot and stick.

[What do you think about Iran/Saudi Arabia? A potential war?]

Iran is a much more natural ally than Saudi Arabia. The Saudis are a client state of the British and should be stolen to acquire leverage against the Chinese/British model.

~Turkey~

[What the fuck actually happened in Turkey? What are we doing about it? How's Obama involved? Kerry? Putin?]

USA is initiating a shift in geopolitical strategy. As we pointed out Friday morning, the 28 pages wouldn't be released until later in the day when the happening of the day was 100% green lighted.

[Why are we changing policy? Is the current not working? Is it for money? Whats the new policy? Why is this being done during an election?]

As you know, Erdogan is a big fan of ISIS and Obama has been whispering words of encouragement in his ear to go for a neo-Ottoman project. Strengthening Erdogan's hand is a way of saving ISIS, which is needed as part of a broader set of excuses to go back into the ME and finish the job in Syria.

[Is Turkish coup d'etat to provide a cover story for a briefcase nuke attack in US by Hillary & Obunghole?]

No

[>Erdogan is a big fan of ISIS

Really? Sorry, first time seeing your thread but here the opinion is that Erdogan hates ISIS and that Turkey is the last bastion defending the yuros from ISIS.]

That's incorrect. Financing of ISIS is funneled through Saudi Arabia but actual material support is coming out of Turkey for the most part.

Turkish military is fairly western oriented, or was, hence the need to strengthen Erdogan's hand as this becomes increasingly widely known.

[Was the coup in Turkey a failed US-attempt, or a false flag by Erdogan to seize more power?]

False flag

Don't be a coincidence theorist. Do you really think there is any chance that the coup started right when the 28 pages were released by accident?

[Wait, the US worked in conjunction with Erdogan to stage an easily defeatable coup so that both parties would benefit?

That's some scary shit.]

Yes. The efforts in Syria are collapsing and they need Erdogan's position to be stronger to keep going.

[What's the deal with Turkey? ... I think it's a legitimate attempt at a coup by the military for noble reasons (ie: respect for their constitution, and they didn't let NATO know because they don't trust NATO) that Erdogan exploited in a really opportunistic way--am I right?]

The "coup" in Turkey was never serious. Simply solidifying Erdogan's power.

[Saudi Arabia and Turkey are working closely together. They are also both largely client states with the same bosses.]

Saudi Arabia and Turkey are working closely together. They are also both largely client states with the same bosses.

[Explain turkey]

Erdogan has had significant internal opposition as a result of his support of ISIS. He needed to strengthen his hand to keep the radicalization programs going.

[([https://ii.yuki.la/c/fd/](https://ii.yuki.la/c/fd/75fb848ca1d3a15a0396cabf975c84eda382f6280e460a1a73bca4de6e590fdc.jpg)

75fb848ca1d3a15a0396cabf975c84eda382f6280e460a1a73bca4de6e590fdc.jpg)

Ok now I know you are full of shit.

Everyone else who is being bluepillled by this moronic shill can read my pic related.

I suggest you disregard this attention whore RPer.]

Took the time to read your post.

The idea that Erdogan is "anti-CIA" in any way is on its face absurd. The CIA's creation, ISIS, is running all their oil through Turkey for example. Western pet projects like this are only possible with Erdogan's cooperation. It isn't just tacit approval either - his family is highly involved in the business and profiting handsomely from it. Your narrative is complete nonsense.

[Yeah well Erdogan we can manage.

But Gülen nearly took down EVERYTHING Ataturk worked for 2 days ago.

Do your research better, and save that pic related to fool bluepill next time.

Or maybe you ARE a high level insider, from the looks of it, your mettle as a foreign policy thinker is as competent as Obama's nepotist blacks.]

There is no indication that the coup was even remotely close to being successful. Erdogan's hotel was bombed after he left, his plane was not brought down by "coup faction" jets in the air. A few soldiers were put onto the streets under the guise of

anti-terrorism drills and quickly surrendered when they realized what was going on. The whole thing was a joke from the start.
[More erroneous information read from Reuters.]

Now that you are outed, how will you recreate this bullshit AMA thread again?

You should go back to playing Stellaris, friend.]

It's ok Turkey. The psychological need to believe in the agency of your government is shared by many around the world. You will grow up with time.

[Spill what beans you have on Turkey, no need to drop sensitive info, but is there more to come? I view Erdogan as the new Saddam since he could not stop flapping his jaws, and usually when that happens they are gone one way or another.]

Turkey - US cooperation is tighter than ever. To illustrate how vitally important the US regime considers Turkey's propping up of ISIS to be, just look at how the NSA has been handing over even location data on PKK leaders - the Kurds being a nominal ally (but in need of weakening because propping up ISIS to prepare for another excursion into the ME is considered more important).

[What's up with Turkey, what's their big role in all this? I feel like Erdogan is not the one licking Putin's boots but rather Putin himself.]

As I said in previous threads when predicting the Turkey coup and responding to the aftermath, the Turkish military was opposed to Erdogan's support of ISIS (as the Pentagon was opposed to Obama's support of ISIS). It was necessary to stage a fake coup to purge opposition. You've already seen Turkish incursions into Syria a few weeks later.

[What was up with the Turkey coup?

Who was behind it? Who was behind it's failure?]

Turkey coup was staged to solidify Erdogan's power, enabling the sustaining of unrest in the ME because the establishment is considering throwing the Saudis under the bus. They need an alternative proxy for Islamic radical programs before ditching the Saudis.

[Is Turkey still with NATO or is it leaning more towards the russian side?]

Turkey is solidly aligned with the west.

[If Turkey-US relations are great, as you said, why are they accusing each other of backing ISIS?

Are these relations collapsing?]

It is now widely known within Turkey that the coup was faked so Erdogan is trying to solidify public perception by pretending to be anti-US.

In addition to this, there is a significant pivot in foreign policy since the election. Hillary would have backed Turkey backing ISIS, but Trump doesn't support this. Turkey has to pivot as a result.

[Turkey needs to chose what team they're on.

They want to be on their own team, and still have nato,

Turkey wants to have its cake and eat it,

Only way to do so is to steal some nukes, so you're in the club with the... big guys]

The first bit is correct, the second not so much. Turkey is not going to get the nukes at Incirlik.

[Is Turkish coup d'etat trial balloon to provide cover story as confirmation bias of defeat, to get out front of US military takedown of Obunghole traitor should he think too seriously about martial law?]

No. They are just trying to strengthen Erdogan's grip on power so he can be more overt about supporting ISIS / destabilization efforts to justify US return to the ME in force.

[Anything going on at the Incirlik Air Base in Turkey? They're still without external power. Also anything to the base between the coup and now?]

Turkey will not mess with Incirlik. Erdogan is very grateful for the US help in consolidating power and the relationship is as tight as it has ever been.

~Antarctica~

[What's going on in Antarctica?]

Nothing particularly important, ordinary scientific research.

[(Antartica) Did the Nazis have a secret base there? Do they still?]

Yes, no.

[What was the purpose of Kerrys visit to Antarctica?]

Modest hopes of keeping the global warming fraud going, last ditch effort at legacy, etc. Nothing particularly important.

[What's the deal with Antarctica? Is there anything?]

Antartica is a distraction much like aliens. It is a blank slate for your imagination to run wild on, but too far removed for reality checks to interfere with.

[if Antarctica is a PsyOp why is it so heavily guarded and why did the Pope and russian orthodox leader meet?]

Antartica is a blank slate for you to imagine whatever you want, like aliens running the world. It is unclaimed territory - anyone can crowd fund an expedition to check out whatever the theory of the day is.

[whats going on in Antarctica]

Not much, but there is a broader point to be made here. Can you go down there and verify anything I told you? No. I don't want your unquestioned trust. If you can't verify what I tell you on your own it doesn't get you very far.

Something like the space elevator isn't just a radical game changer, it is a scientific question that you can judge for yourself by opening up physics books and doing the work to decide whether I'm full of it or not. It's important to step away from speculative activity to some extent and ground yourself in objective reality, because that is your clearest path forward.

[Ancient man made structures on Antarctica?]

Nothing ancient and of significance is in Antarctica.

[Are the pyramids in Antarctica man-made?]

No

~World World III~

[Is everyone not part of the organization screwed in regards of WW3 thingy?]

We don't consider that a desirable eventuality by any means.

[is there going to be any war soon between big powers?]

It isn't reasonable to expect world-ending nuclear war. It is reasonable to expect increasingly testy territorial conflict and influence peddling.

[How close are we to WW3? What would the factions be?]

Not very.

[Nuclear attack coming soon?]

No

[Is WW3 off the table for now?]

WW3 was never really on the table.

[>WW3 was never really on the table.

Mate what are you talking about, we almost went to war with russia]

Nonsense. 0% chance of that all along.

[>Cold War

It began in 2001 when the US unilaterally pulled out of the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty and started working towards a first strike capability.]

Silly. You live in age of biological warfare on top of nuclear weapons. There is no longer any such thing as a "successful" nuclear first strike - it doesn't have any potential whatsoever to remove the "mutual" part of MAD.

[>US regime wants Mexico to remain as a failed state so that it can use it to exert pressure on US domestic population. No reason for this until our regime is ousted.

Well, that's just evil

What about WW3, will it happen? and when?]

Agreed.

WW3 is unlikely in any form like WW1/WW2, i.e., all out war. A conflagration of proxy wars is possible.

~Jewish~

[What's the angle with the jews/zionists? Are they messing up your plan or have their own global agenda?]

As with most things that widely circulated, there are elements of truth and fiction.

Do jews play disproportionate roles in finance? Sure, but at the same time non-jews made it that way because their power base didn't accept usury and usury was useful to the kings.

It's reasonable to acknowledge facts like this, but the invoking of some more fantastical claims should be regarded as sloven disregard for the truth because it is harder to get at than it is to speculate.

[Is Israel as powerful as many think?]

Israel is highly influential, but also vulnerable. It's one of those cases where the public perception can be shifted away from or towards depending on how you propagandize the situation. There is some element of agency to the state, but at the same time there is no practical scenario where they exercise independent demands (i.e. the Samson option isn't really an option).

[who's the biggest jew on the plane?]

An uncomfortable truth is that you "jew" yourself more than anyone else "jews" you. [(Isreal) By vulnerable I assume you don't just mean in a public perception sense?]

Not only. In much the same way that the Samson option isn't really an option for Israel, a decisive first strike against Israel is not in the cards either. Sure, it's technologically feasible, but that's not how you would go about a take down. In practice, you knock them down a few notches by turning up public opposition, drawing down aid, etc., until compliance is won. No reason to destroy what you can own.

[Are Jews the final boss or are they just mid-tier (((Tribal Establishment Climbers))))?]

The jews play a very prominent role, but it is only a recent development. For most of history, they have been used as intermediaries to fleece the population (no prohibition on usury) by the state.

The decline of national strength means a corresponding rise in jewish influence, but it's an effect rather than a cause. Lots of people get this backwards.

[Many Zionists over the past 100+ years have fought hard to reclaim Israel and it would seem that those Zionists are now the dominant power in the world.

Can you give us an insider's perspective on what is the real goal is with Israel? Additional insights as to how the Catholic or especially Jesuit factions relate to the

Zionists would also be appreciated.]

Fulfilling religious prophecy opens the door to both an exit plan and radical and rapid transformation of society.

For example, you have a situation in society where many people could be persuaded that a messiah type figure has come. You might exploit this opportunity as a mechanism for peacefully removing the old guard by "forgiving" them - neutralize their fear of fading, thus remove dampen their strong incentive to resist being removed from power.

Of course it also opens the door to more sinister exploitation as well.

[are illuminati jewish or not ?]

You guys are right that jews have disproportionate influence in the world, especially in spheres where it really matters like finance and the media.

Where you are wrong is that you confuse cause and effect. The jews were put into these fields by non-jews as patsies originally. Christians were not allowed to engage in usury, but jews had no problem with it, so "Christian" monarchs/governments used the jews to steal from the population, then blamed it on the jews.

Today, with the widespread weakening of the nationstate, international finance is emerging as a shadow state. That gives the jews increasingly more power, but the nationstate is being destroyed by the same people who put jews into finance in the first place. So, many are right that there is growing jewish power, but generally wrong about why and how we got here.

[thoughts on jews and their (((coincidences))) in general?]

I've previously dealt with this topic, TLDR jews have growing influence but this is an effect rather than a cause of bad government. Historically they were pawns used for shadow taxing of the masses by the state and thrown under the bus as scapegoats, but the weakening of the nationstates means a strengthening of their former proxies. In much the same way, gangs, mafias, corporations and so on have growing influence.

[Is zionism a tool of the british crown *read OWD*?

Has the jewish religion been altered to suit their purposes?]

Most people are not aware of the difference between esoteric judaism and the more ordinary religious sort.

You could describe the old world order as esoteric jews. They believe in the vengeful god, arbitrary punishment, concealment of knowledge, the disunity of the people (tower of Babel), and so on.

The state of Israel in the middle east is not important to esoteric judaism, but the maintenance of the old world order is.

[So it is the fucking Jews but not really?]

If you read as you should have, then you should know from a young age that the

synagogue of satan is composed of those who call themselves jews but are not.

This is a far more complex idea than the bottom drudge that you see on /pol/ frequently, however.

~World Economics~

[Why would there be another GLOBAL economic crisis?]

To create further interdependency - mutually assured destruction among the the nations neuters their economic individual agency.

[Would you consider it a good thing if that happens (causing a crisis)? How would your faction react and how would the other factions react?]

Not in an uncontrolled manner. Society needs a baseline of order.

[Soros is poised to collapse Deutchebank to initiate the world crash? Soros was part of the team who helped crash the ruble that instigated the collapse of the ussr, who else will be involved in instigating this upcoming world crash?]

The gun is being loaded, yes. You can expect it to start before Hillary takes office if they decide to go with her, or after if they go with Trump.

[It is time to explain why would there be a second global economic crisis so soon]

There is a need for some sense of forward momentum to maintain confidence.

As I have already pointed out, the system is very vulnerable to insurgents.

Think about the power of the idea of an inevitable march to world order. See what I mean, right?

[I've been finding dozens of documents detailing massive holdings of precious metals. Usually they are under the same couple of names. I have reason to believe these names are falsified, intentionally used to manipulate the precious metals market.

Know anything about that?]

Yes, that market and many others are rigged.

[What's the boogeyman in this econ collapse? DB derivative exposure? Failing petrodollar? Equity, student loan bubble?]

Boogeyman depends on the timing. They cycle through propaganda of newer ones all the time. Keeping the gun loaded. It's a very rigged market, these are not legitimate explanations, just covers.

[I've noticed that the major banking institutions have stopped their normal line of, "everything is ok, don't worry" and have been sowing distrust and a lack of confidence on purpose. Specifically in smaller euro banks. Ex. Italy, france, Spain. Seems legit. If there was an actual crisis they would say everything is fine. If it's an engineered crisis, they'd promote it like they are now. You might be legit. On an unrelated note, how accurate are Albert pike's predictions regarding the means for

a third world war?]

Albert Pike's work is an exercise in attempted self-fulfilling prophecy.
[how will be the swiss franc affected when DB crashes?]

Putting pressure on DB is part of broader efforts to weaken the Euro block. This favors the Swiss somewhat, but is mostly meant to shore up the US system.
[This is true, I mean when humanity reaches the stars we always see it being a united earth in the storyline and the new division ends up being space colonies.]

Like alot of things it seems doing a certain thing can be helpful or damaging all depending on how its done and who it favors.

Like electronic money - if done corruptly it can be used to destroy dissention. But if dont correctly it can be transparent, establish a paper trail, make money almost impossible to hide, etc.]

That's correct. There is no reason for the widespread gold fetishization in principle, for example, but that isn't to say it is completely without merit. Viewed as a mechanism for constraining the power of corrupted interests controlling currency, it makes sense. Viewed as a "true" store of value, it is just superstitious thinking.
[Has Germany ordered its people to get 10 days worth of supplies because the leaders refused an order of the regime? Are they afraid of retaliation of some sort?]

People are concerned that the bottom will fallout when the next financial crisis is triggered. The regime knows that the people no longer have any confidence in them, and this causes the regime to lack confidence in itself. These sorts of triggered collapses can go further than intended now and lead to suspension of trade for days or weeks to calm the public.
[Any info on upcoming abuses of the swift system?]

Any info on the purpose of the shadow brokers?

Are the two related? (Encryption of account information as a cyber warfare tactic.))]

They do not want to compromise the perceived integrity of the financial system, only the perceived soundness (it's broke, better give us more power to fix it).
[What effect will Hanjin going under have on the global economy?]

Not individually significant, but there is a major financial crisis coming soon. It will be very much a staged event like the last one.
[Can you help me understand what is going on in India right now?]

Why did Modi go full retard with the monetary policy?

A growing consensus with a cash ban policy indicates to me that the regime is planning on blocking all escape routes when the financial system collapses.]

There is a global push to take cash out of circulation because the banking system is

facing systemic crisis. He is just following establishment norms that are taking place across the world.

[What is "Group X" and this video mean to you?
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1aWTsxSOTDA>]

I listened to a couple of minutes of your video and it sounds like a London centric plan to reorient the global financial system (London - China new order as I have mentioned in past threads and this one previously). The hogwash about making things better for people is just that.

~U.S. Geo-Policy~

[What is the real reason for the mass importation of islamic and unwashed hordes?]

It wasn't planned initially. Go look at things people like Sy Hersh or Gen Flynn have to say about how the Syria intervention went down. There was suppose to be a quick takedown of the Syrian regime and installation of a new client state without destroying the country, but the whole thing dragged out for a variety of unintended reasons. The result is unintended mass migration owing to a country that has been completely leveled.

[When will ISIS' cells activates? Salah's cell obviously wasn't the only one]

ISIS is largely a figment of your imagination. It's a geopolitical tool, not a self-sustaining entity. Even a government like Italy is powerful enough to knock it out on their own if they wanted to do so.

[Why the push for mass immigration and destruction of the white race/nations?]

And do you have anything interesting on South Africa and Rhodesia. Why did they give up the colonies, making Africa a shit hole?]

It's not an anti-white agenda per se, more like an anti-opposition agenda. An illiterate from the jungles of Africa isn't going to pose a threat, but a gifted intellectual from a middle class western family has the potential.

[Also is it true that US foreign policy is decisively anti-Serbian?]

US foreign policy is pretty decisively anti-everyone else.

[(USA shift in geopolitical strategy) Shifting where? Kerry + Lavrov BFF?]

What's the plan with the Saudis?]

The project in Syria has failed so far. It's now important to strengthen radicals in the region in preparation for resuming large scale war. The silliness about placing blame on the Saudis for 9/11 is another prong in preparing the public for this.

[Sooo...

Is Obama really out and General Dunford making the real decisions right now?]

No. The Pentagon largely opposes going back to the ME. They've been actively cooperating with Russia to defeat ISIS against the direct orders of US political establishment.

[Why isn't the US supporting secular nationalist parties (Ba'ath) in the ME?

Wouldn't it make more sense... they have proved to be able to handle the locals pretty well.]

These types of groups tend to dampen tension in society rather than promote it, which is basically the opposite of how your regime practices governance.

[Why are the elites fucking with the middle east?]

Petrodollar mainly. Some are interested in clash of civilizations.

[What would be the consequences of the rebels winning vs Assad winning for Europe and the West in general?]

Rebels winning means new pipelines from the ME to Europe and Cold War 2.0

[Is there any way to fix the middle east without going full final solution?]

Funding economic development instead of terrorists patsies would get you a very long way.

[If theres a glut of oil, why not renew export sanctions on Iran to drive the price up?]

They are planning a very deep global recession. Iran's capacity going offline isn't enough to bring supply into balance.

Russia and China have more limited force projection, so Iran is a more natural alliance for them than Saudi Arabia. US therefore wants to bring Iran into the western fold, and then take down Saudis - out of the reach of rivals.

[Is there a planned end for Isis or is it going to just be another Northern Alliance-to-Al Qaeda type scenario. Really a yes/no question]

The purpose of ISIS is to lay the groundwork for another intervention in the ME. You've probably seen a lot of press about Saudi funding of ISIS, and the 28 9/11 pages is another prong in the effort.

[What's the real reason we took down Saddam?]

He wanted to undermine the petrodollar.

[How long before Syria becomes divided into a Kirdiah territory and Israeli expansion?]

US is actively betraying the Kurdish independence movement, most notably by offering Turkey intelligence as part of the bargain for supporting ISIS.

[Are you suggesting that domestic assassinations are taking place? If so, what are "their" preferred methods?]

Sure, all the way up to JFK'ing. US is notorious for double tap suicides.

[Also, when is WW3 going to kick off?]

Global conflict coming down the line has a lot to do with who gets selected in America this year. Trump wants war with Saudi Arabia and alliance with Russia; Clinton wants more proxy wars against Russia and friendly relationships with China.

[Pls inform us on Garry Kasparov's motives. He is chair of Human Freedom Foundation, but seems insistent on US intervention in Syria which will trigger much larger conflict. Insight into his motives, backers, allies. He has TRIPLE citizenship? US, Croat, Israel.]

You should regard anyone supporting the rebels in Syria as knowingly supporting the elites.

[Who is next to be brought to heel (internationally speaking)?]

Donald wants to hit Saudi Arabia. Hillary wants to push into the central stans.

[Has the US planted nukes in foreign cities?]

Yes

[Can you name any of the foreign cities that the US has hidden nukes in?]

US has withdrawn most of its nukes to bases.

[>US has withdrawn most of its nukes to bases

So where are the ones still deployed?]

There isn't any reason for you to know this.

[>There isn't any reason for you to know this

Besides the obvious, I or any family members not wanting to live on top of a hidden armed nuke?

Or, how about if you really hold such knowledge I would think you hold a responsibility to humanity as a whole to divulge such information. Transparency surely is one of the prerequisites to peace?]

No one is going to set them off. Although trivial, a broken arrow is a much bigger concern than a city going up in smoke.

[Interested in your thoughts on Syria and the Middle East in general.]

We suggest that Trump allow Russia to finish off ISIS, cuts off western funding for them, and stages a soft coup in Saudi Arabia to turn them into a US rather than British client state and use the new possession as leverage against China. No military campaign necessary, trade negotiations with China become incredibly easy, and US / Russian economies are both revitalized as the other two of the top 3 big petro powers.

[How prevalent are isis cells throughout Europe?]

The CIA is active throughout the European theater.

[why did the US intervene in the Kosovo war, what's there to gain from such intervention? i refuse to believe they were just playing world police

also, there's belief that Illyria was the "start" of white people and Europe, and that Albanians are descendants of Illyrians. is this true?]

Milosevic went "off reservation" kind of like Saddam, but not as significant (not a

petro state). He wanted to run a productive and independent state as a strongman like Gaddafi and various others have attempted, which the regime can't tolerate.
[Are there any plans to intervene in Europe and fight the Islamic sleeper cells?]

Terrorism is >99% coming from western intelligence agencies. Merkel or the CIA are much bigger threats to you than Abdullah.

[Who is responsible for the formation of ISIS?]

ISIS is a creature of western intelligence. There are no "real" islamic terror groups. The whole thing is a pathetically transparent ruse.

~Elites~

[So what are the factions, in abstract terms if needed. What are the endgames?]

It's better to think in terms of processes rather than end games. Theories of governance, how to maintain order, and things like this are vastly more important to comprehend than derivative operations in support of these ideologies.

[What is fueling the "factions"... driving them to act as they do? Ideology (which ones); Religious belief? Greed?

As I understand it, the direction the world moves toward is the product of different vectors, motivated by their own interests and struggling to make them triumph above others. There are some surface of frictions between the different "factions" but it is rarely confrontational on a large scale. Did you ever think about making a "map" of those different interest groups, factions, whatever you want to call it?

It would facilitate things and a doxography of the "ideologies" of your adversaries should help as well, though that's assuming they aren't just inspired by money.]

I'll think about an infographic for you along these lines.

[Do we know the most powerful people? Are the Rothschilds and Rockefellers at the top, or is there someone more powerful?]

You could compile a pretty exhaustive list of powerful people from the public record. There's no such thing as a breakaway civilization secretly pulling the levers of the "apparently" powerful from a moon base or something like that.

[Which faction do the tech billionaires generally belong to? Should they be closely monitored?]

Forgot your billionaire question.

You have a mix of philosophies among the newer billionaires. Some of them are interested in establishing a breakaway civilization, but they don't have any real chance of succeeding here.

For the most part, they fall into the old notion of maintaining dumbed down masses that can be easily swayed and lorded over.

[>About as establishment as it gets. His nomination was planned and approved years ago.

Who plans and approves these things? I imagine banking, intelligence, who else?]

It's a keen observation that upper echelons of intelligence agencies are highly involved. Often this sort of thing is put off on a handful of very rich people. You need a much larger and extensive network to maintain this level of control.

Major think tanks, British and US intelligence services, the very wealthy, academia, finance - the leaders of all major components of society are in regular communication and consensus building processes.

[Is it safe to assume anybody high level enough in governmental strategic areas (finance, intelligence, military, defense) is aware of the nature of power as you know - and involved in its blackmail networks to some extent? I'm talking about major countries only.]

No. There are plenty of cogs in the machine that don't see it for what it is.

[How do elite networks across the world generally map out? What are the major clusters or cliques, and how do they relate?]

The easiest starting point is the battle over the English throne in the late 17th and early 18th century. Similar things happened in Venice a few centuries prior, and in France before that, then back to Rome again, and so on.

[What is the endgame for the globalists? Even with new world order established i still cannot see their goal after NOW]

They don't all share an endgame and many don't even have an endgame. Some believe in a one world government that brings peace, harmony, end of war. Mostly just lower tier and naive.

Others are working towards a breakaway civilization and elimination of the masses as no longer necessary. More common and sophisticated than the first group, but not by a lot.

Most of them are just trying to maintain their semi-stable exercise of authority for their own benefit.

[What is the end goal of globalism?]

Globalism isn't the best way to describe the agenda. Think of it more in terms of destroying the nationstate. The reason for this is that the apparatus of the state gives the masses a focal point to organize around and exercise power beyond their individual capacities, which is a threat to their masters.

[I see. The same reason why religion (more importantly Christianity); is a threat to this agenda. But, what do they hope to accomplish with this? The destruction of civilization; civil war?]

They are just trying to maintain their control for selfish reasons. Justifications for their actions come and go, like the overpopulation myth that is peddled - "we have

to be ruthless for the greater good" or whatever, but these are all bullshit and acknowledged as such high up the pyramid.

[Are there any world govts free of control by the elite?]

No

[Why are the globalists downplaying the New World Order?]

The old world order is your enemy. It already exists. It is not something that is coming down the line.

[You've mentioned before being a "good priest"

At which level do these distinctions become relevant?

Do you use talented nobodies or does everyone at your level have some sort of real-world influence?]

Are you aware of the Clinton strategy of triangulation? They do more than try to find the middle ground on policy. Any position they take, they will leak opposition to it and have stories published to that effect.

For example, a Hillary supporter might believe she has always been an advocate of gay rights, but just couldn't say so before she did for political reasons. They intentionally craft this sort of dynamic so that their supporters can project their own values onto her, regardless of how close they are.

This has a lot to do with why symbolism is pervasive and important among the elites. Multiple interpretations allow for many-layered cons and few who actually know what is what.

[How many groups or classes is civilization subdivided into?]

There are several significant factions in the world.

[The factions you have spoken of...

Are these "teams" that actively communicate with each other (for example, Obama and Soros) for specific goals or are they made up primarily of talented individual players that just sort of fall into these broad categories depending on their goals?

If the latter, how would one even know they were part of a faction?]

There are many formal organizations, both secretive and public - various orders of freemasons to the CFR.

[is there someone at the very top of the food chain? as in the eye at the top of the pyramid

if so, is their intention good or bad (for humankind)]

There isn't a well-defined all-encompassing hierarchy like that, no. There are many formal organizations with formal leaders.

[>There are certainly many good reasons to doubt the integrity of the US regime, but no reasonable alternative to reserve currency. Brexit was put into play this summer to guarantee that the Euro could not be seen as an alternative, so US financial hegemony is pretty rock solid right now.

No threat from the SCO or the BRICS?]

The regime would like to shift to a London - China centric global regime, but this is more of a longer term goal than an immediate (~5+ year) threat.

[Plans/thoughts on mass immigration out of the Middle East/Africa to EU/US/Au?
Does the racial make up of the populace actually matter to the powers that be?]

The regime isn't anti-white. It is very much anti-middle class because strong middle class families produce educated potent offspring that can become challengers to the regime. It happens to be the case that most such people in the world are white, so white people may seem to be the target of their actions. That is missing the bigger picture though.

Mass immigration primarily serves to undermine the middle class; it is meant as an economic rather than genetic threat. There is also the added benefit of people generally having in-group preferences and the resulting increase in tension within a society that is easy to exploit for the regime.

[Are there small groups who hold leverage against the US? How did they obtain it?]

Yes. Very carefully.

[Are the elites freaking out over China, Russia and Iran's partnership together?
China and Russia are the biggest threat to the US Dollar's world reserve status.
Russia and China want to create a new competitive currency that oil can be traded.
With Iran, which Russia and China have direct access to via the newly rebuilt "Silk Road" railroad system, Russia and China have direct access to the Middle East's oil reserves, which means Iran could be their Saudi Arabia.]

The establishment wants to move the world towards a Chinese style model. They prefer a peaceful demise of US / Russia and gradual replacement by China as the center of the world (covertly ruled by the the banking houses and other establishment figures based in London).

[Why is the trafficking and abuse of children by the us and other governments condoned? Is that where all the missing kids go?]

Establishment people want blackmail on each other because they wouldn't be able to trust each other otherwise. To this end, they encourage each other to engage in a wide range of degenerate things that the public would find unacceptable.

[Is diplomacy by Kissinger any good to learn how the world works?]

Kissinger is a very important person. You should definitely familiarize yourself with the way he thinks and operates.

[And is there any truth to the rumors regarding Merkel's endgame being civil war?
It's my suspicion that this is that old Ashkenas-versus-Babylon thing.]

The divide you speak of is less real than it was even 100 years ago. There was

significant merger of previously divided factions in the wake of Golden Dawn's rampage through the west.
The world order already exists.

Do you really think there is any significance to the name of your municipalities or whether there are two hundred of them versus just one?

Doesn't matter. You're chasing ghosts.

[Also, unrelated but, how many "factions" with any amount of real power are vying for control? Up until recently, if I gave any thought to this, I imagined one overbearing conspiracy ala Book of Revelations]

There are about 4 or 5 significantly different camps in terms of esoteric ideology.

In terms of actual exercise of worldly power, the world is even less hegemonic.
[Are there 2 sides or is it all the times having an argument on something?]

There are more than two materially different factions in the world.
[Can you give us an overview of the different factions?]

The major power factions in the world are analogous to dark priests, good priests, kings, and (in theory) meritocracies.

Dark priests - these people believe that beauty emerges from contrast. Evil is necessary for good kind of thing. They are not necessarily pro-evil, but pro-contrast.

Kings - generally less intelligent than dark priests. Much of their code of behavior derives from a belief in the past that you need a slave class to sustain an enlightened class.

Meritocracy - they believe in something similar to democratic rule, but only with votes for the initiated, because the masses are hopeless.

Us - we're more interested in the emperor without clothes effect. The exercise of power has always come with a stifling of dissent and dangerous dips into psychosis. We try to undermine this tendency more than anything else.

[From the factions you listed Dark Priests, Kings, Meritocracies, and Good Priests (it wasn't completely clear if this is the faction to which you belong) (>>80652748), which faction(s) do Obama, the Clintons, and Soros belong to?]

Good priest.

Soros, Obama are dark priests

Clintons are kings

[Are us regular folk considered cattle by the elites?]

By some factions, yes.

[Are there any elite groups looking out for the majority of people and their freedoms?]

Yes

[Didn't you say earlier that the predicted crisis would be the doing of the dark priests / kings in response to Trump's victory? And that it would occur in order for them to discredit his views on nationalism / populism?]

Why would you need to justify the crisis if your faction's not instigating it?]

We don't justify it or encourage it, just explain why.

[How common is human sacrifice and pedophilia among the world elites? Is it a form of Satanism?]

Human sacrifice is not very common.

Where it does exist, it is usually a result of especially aggravated psychosis whether from old age senility, genetic defects with effects on the brain (more common among inbred elites), or things of this nature.

It was much more common 100 years ago when things like syphilis epidemic among elites led to mass psychosis.

[Is the ultimate goal globalism?]

Global control. There is far too much emphasis among those who worry about this sort of thing on ideas like unveiling a universal government however. In a lot of ways, it's easier to control 190 out of 200 states than 1 out of 1.

[You make it sound like the power that'd be are a bunch of incompetent fuckwit]

This is largely correct. It's almost inherent to their method of governance. When you operate in in such a way as to stifle even internal dissent you will become unhinged over the long run.

You have biological reasons for this too, not just social ones. Familiar transfer of power, inbreeding, the differential susceptibility to syphilis before a cure was available, etc., have all engendered a quickening of the insanity / psychosis of these people.

Those who run the world today had parents and grandparents that were literally insane, not just in the sense of their ideas, but because of a biological infection. It's hard to comprehend for ordinary people, but extremely important in explaining how we got to where we are now.

[Ok a real question then...

Are the 1% completely equal or do they fight for power with each other? Do certain groups go to war with each other in the top 1% ever?]

They fight amongst themselves.

[Before we continue, did you already give actual real life leaks that couldn't be guessed by reading an economics magazine?]

You guys are looking for names to follow down the rabbit hole right?

Semion Mogilevich

[Is the UN important to their plans?]

No. People generally mistake the ambitions of the old world order. The globalists, or old world order, never had in their ambitions a singular global governing body. The nationstate is among the most potent obstacles that has ever stood in their way. They prefer to see the breaking up of such bodies into entities which are small and impotent.

[How do I get put into the cycle? How could I prove worth?]

You don't live in a hegemonic world.

The answer to your question depends on which side you want to support.

~Geo-Politics Misc.~

[Is there a foreign power influencing/financing the racial unrest in murica?]

Yes.

[Which power? You'd be a lot more believable if you gave simple specifics like that.]

England, Russia, China, and Saudi Arabia have significant and different agendas.

[Do the Rothschilds hold as much influence as /pol/ make them out to?]

Are these families (long list of families) still relevant?]

This world is really not so different than your experience in high school. Popularity waxes and wanes, as does wealth, belief in various agendas, etc.

The difference is that the popularity contests decide the fate of billions rather than who gets laid.

[Earlier you mentioned that the English elite are one of the entities meddling with US domestic affairs.

By "English elite" do you mean the royal family?

Can you provide more insight into how deeply they're involved in American affairs and, perhaps, other mischief outside the UK?]

Yes, as well as their banking houses, think tanks, and propaganda programs.

[Is there a deliberate destabilization of the majority of 1st world countries going on? If so, will anything get violent to the point where I need a gun?]

Destabilization is not really a goal, but more of an effect of the governing strategy of promoting infighting, tension, discord.

[In relation to events that took place in that past which left us nothing but the lore of civilizations:

Is there *any* planning for black swan events by those currently in power?

If not, is there a way in which this planning can be goaded or otherwise made to be considered by those who do have the ability to deal with such a potential event?

Does black team have such an event within their considerations?]

Their grip on power is rather tenuous. The effort to retain it consumes most of their ability. The idea that there is some hegemonic order that you cannot challenge is nonsense.

Knowing this, there is little you can do to goad them into diverting resources (mental and otherwise) to serious problems, because they don't have any significant excess to spare.

[>Is this outlier event you are referring to related to my previous question?]

Yes

[So, they are essentially at their capacity just in keeping things under control and have little to nothing to spare in order to deal with such a potential event?]

Correct. Think how much easier it would be to placate the masses with even inflation neutral wage increases. People can feel progress even if it isn't real. Yet, the system doesn't even deliver this. Consideration of these sorts of things show you that they are exercising control in more of a reactive rather than proactive fashion.

[I should have know, there are always more than one outlier.

The ability to force multiply ideas through memes being but one of them.

The one I was referring to occurred in 1859 and I am presuming you understood that to be the case when I asked here(black swan event)

Am I on the right track?]

Do you mean the solar storm of that year? We talked about those before.

We have developed strategies to mitigate the impact of these in the future, but they are not currently in use. The same can be said for most problems of this nature.

[>Do you mean the solar storm of that year?

I do, in fact and yes, we have talked about this before.

It is something I've been concerned with for some time since I learned about it and began thinking about what the implications might be should such an event occur once more.

>We have developed strategies to mitigate the impact of these in the future, but they are not currently in use. The same can be said for most problems of this

nature.

So it's been discussed, and possibly planned for but no action has been taken on those plans.

Actions are not likely to be taken due to the majority of the energy by governments (or private coprs) being funneled in to maintaining their own personal power base against the rising tide of resistance to the exercise of said power.

Many of us here, and I presume among your group, have a natural "immunity" to such controls and as a result represent outliers for which they have little means of dealing with.

Until such a point is reached that we become a threat to the overall system and we are dealt with in order to keep the boat from being rocked.

So, what we need to do, if I understand correctly, is find a means to make the desired changes to the system from within the same system that has been used by black team in such a way as to not introduce oscillations that might topple the entire edifice.

Is this a viable avenue of consideration?]

Yes. There is no pointing in fantasizing about "resets," but you can entertain even more dramatic changes than that - just in a productive, intentional, controlled way. [I can see that there seems to be a plan of sorts by those in power in either the form of capitol or political but it is malevolent and what would the end game be of a one world government other than total yet tenuous control?

I can tell the results of the short term but the long term gain eludes me.]

There is already a world order of sorts.

To the extent that you see this "developing" in front of your face, it is primarily an effort to give you the sense of their forward momentum, inevitability, hegemony. The propaganda here is mostly meant to induce fear and resigning of your agency, not so much a particular interest in renaming 200 governments as one.

[Is there an active chemtrails program in the US/ EU and if so what is the goal? Population/Weather/Agriculture control?]

Sure. There's type of things shouldn't even be considered conspiracy theories. You can find the Secretary of Defense talking in public about the ability to trigger earthquakes or public contracts between states and private enterprises to alter rainfall patterns.

You have a breakdown in the conversation about these things because certain people have painted technological sophistication as inherently scary or malevolent. [FBIanon mentioned that Israel would potentially nuke the US.if all the clinton-related info would be released to the public. Looking at the latest news it seems Turkey-Russia have been warming up a lot, maybe indicating that Turkey is about to

jump ship. However Israel as well now tried to reconcile with Turkey and bibi has already visited Russia more than 3 times in the near past. On top of that Turkey today said they want to improve their relationship with Syria as well. What is going on? Is Israel actually selling out ISIS+US and joining team red? Putin announced he would send a warship to wipe out ISIS and Obama has been taking some steps as well so the future does not seem very bright.]

There is great disruption in the force, so to speak. People anticipate a lot of change in the near future and hedging bets. It's a dangerous but opportune time.

[Is an aspect of the pervasive and sinister truth that FDR and Churchill were butt buddies working together during WWII to create what's commonly thought of as the NWO?]

FDR and Churchill were more like allies of convenience than butt buddies. FDR was closer to Stalin than Churchill.

[What are your and your organisation stances on political islam and religious islam? Where islam prevails no progression in possible nor a just society but they have soon almost 2 billion people who simultanesouly praying and are on a subconscious level strong conected. I dont want to sound to crazy but as a strong critic of islam i can feel clearly that they manipulate this subconscious state to theire favor and also the threat to our society. But PC culture made people blind. They just want to hear words that they make feel good in theire belly.]

You're mostly falling victim to selection bias here. How many people die from terrorism in a year? Perhaps 1 in a million or so.

There is a lot more harm coming out of the west than the middle east for the world. Not to say western culture is somehow inherently inferior, but you need to retain some objectivity about these questions.

That being said, the islamic culture is sorely in need of reformation, but they are not the only ones.

[>Going back to the middle east to get the "real" bad guys this time.

Israel?]

No, Israel is not on the immediate chopping block.

[What's the plan for Balkans?]

USA would like to run pipelines from ME through the Balkans to Europe; Russia is opposed and prefers east-west routes. Highly significant to the durability of the dollar regime and the nature of the prevailing world order.

[HLI, are there any hidden roles of Canada in terms of Global Affairs? Anything that is pertinent? Where do I look to research?

I know about Power Corp, the Desmarais family, etc.]

Canada isn't very significant.

[Does anybody in the world have over one trillion dollars in assets?]

There are individuals with control over \$1T+ capital.

[I've heard that the British Royals and the Bushes/Clintons run the largest drug operation. Prince Philip (who married Liz by knowing her secrets and blackmailing her) as head of the Navy began this in earnest with Louis Mountbatten in the 50s using floating buoys dropped by Navy ships. Any truth to this?]

CIA involvement in cocaine and heroin is a direct continuation by the very same people that ran the British opium cartel.

[But what about the rest of the world? France, Japan, The Philippines, Spain, and the U.S. all have rising fascist/nationalist-type leaders.]

Fascism and nationalism are distinct concepts.

[whats the plan for africa? any major happenings ahead?]

Africa is seen as an untapped material resource base with largely irrelevant people. No one intervenes there to build up society - it just means less for the taking.

[Does NATO care about the internal affairs in France at all?

Do they agree with current French government's solution to "learn to live with terrorism"?

Any fear of an extreme right-wing backlash?]

Yes.

Nationalist movements by themselves are not necessarily bad for the regime as long as they can be co-opted and pointed in useful directions.

The illusion of xenophobic nationalism is fine as a cover for war mongering, intensifying the police state, etc. Economic nationalism would be fought vigorously.

[Do the elites really believe Islam can be managed and controlled? Are Obama and Merkel delusional about Islams nature or they just don't care because of their plans]

Yes, they do.

Think about terrorism as it actually exists compared to the real potential.

Any moderately smart terrorist could do tremendously more damage than any of them ever have.

I've mentioned in previous threads how a few snipers can knock out much of the US grid almost instantaneously.

Knowing just this one single mode of attack, you have to either believe:

(1) there is no small cell of terrorists with a few thousand dollars of capital and moderate intelligence level

or

(2) radical Islam is very much under control and the terrorist threat is mostly a bogeyman

[How fucked up is the world right now, really?

How would we react if we knew what was REALLY going on?]

The world is frighteningly fucked up. Your regimes are incompetent, which in many ways is even worse than being evil.

[A while ago someone claiming to work on the ELINT pods of the SB3 posted on here. Also known as ghouly guy, pic related. Was he legit? Specifically his claim about letting Russian and Chinese spies access to certain mainframes on purpose to advertise just how ahead the US is in terms of defence capabilities?]

Not familiar with his claims although than your brief description, but it sounds like a cover for actual selling of technology to foreign governments, especially the Chinese, by the Clintons. They usually craft state-sanction narratives to go along with their treason. These are very sophisticated actors that lay multiple layers of deception in what they do.

[Alright if you have any knowledge and if you are not simply a rp, give me information on the old families that run the deep states.

I know the House of Saud has a long history of Zionist ideas.

Who else is there? What is their role with the Rothschild?]

The House of Saud is actually Jewish.

House of Marlborough is largely responsible for controlling US elections, picking candidates, keeping others out of the way.

[Are the terror attacks in France an effort to induce learned helplessness?]

You shouldn't always try to pin "the" reason for things. Establishment gains in many ways from the terror attacks - strengthen the state, demoralize the public, set the stage for foreign war, and so on.

[What do you think about India and Hinduism?]

India has a lot of potential to be a game changer on the world stage. They are interested in enlightened development out of necessity. We're working to encourage that and hope that it turns into a self-sustaining culture that seeks to push the boundaries of the future rather than just emulate the west like China.

[(Mexico) What is the plan for my country?
is prepared for the recession?]

US regime wants Mexico to remain as a failed state so that it can use it to exert pressure on US domestic population. No reason for this until our regime is ousted.

[What are the predictions for the Globalist vs Nationalist divide? What's the current state of affairs?]

Globalism will ultimately prevail. In the long run, it doesn't make sense for mankind

to be divided up and opposed to each other.

In the medium term, we think strong nationalist movements coupled with robust economic development is a better path forward.

Globalism, as it comes, should be derived from the success of the US as a city on the hill, rather than the collapse of the US leading to stateless oligarchs that run the world.

[Do you have any information on the Fukushima incident? Is the radiation contained? Is there an ongoing problem with the area?]

Fukushima suffered catastrophic meltdown and severe contamination into the ocean. The core was never contained, explosions sent much of the radioactive material into the air / ocean, and a melting down spread the rest into the ocean from underground.

It's not an end of the world scenario by any means, but certainly an environmental and public health catastrophe.

[Is Mexico going to rise or fall in the future?]

Mexico will benefit tremendously from a resurgent US. The development of 21st century infrastructure has as its first natural extensions into Canada and Mexico. Rational pro-development activity of the state also removes the need to run cons like CIA drug trafficking, which will ease the burdens on Mexican society tremendously.

[Was Fukushima responsible for the many stories of the death of sealife that have appeared in the news for the last few years?]

It certainly resulted in a lot of death and mutation.

[Just tell it to us straight doc, are the elites going to fuck our shit up?

Do they hate the mass or just fuck with us for sport? Or do they believe what they do is for a greater good or is it just solid old competition with the east?

Why haven't they just wiped out humanity save for themselves and just live as the sole god beings on an empty Earth? We're but bothersome pests to manage in comparison.]

In the past, the idea that the world is overpopulated and needs to be controlled has been very popular. Eugenics movement and birth control had state sanction.

It's only a recent development that depopulation has been seriously considered as we move more towards automated production and less need for worker bees. They are many years away from being able to rely on these sorts of things though. You are still needed for now.

[Okay, answer this: why haven't we bombed north Korea? Even China doesn't want to put up with their bullshit anymore.]

North Korea, like Iran in the case of Europe/Russia, is a pretext for deploying ABM systems into China's theater. China does not like NK, but the US regime does.

[can western style civilisation with abstract notion of universal rights exist in a nation if white people become a minority in that nation.]

sure. India has a relatively western style democratic civilization.

[How free are the Russians or Iranians from the influence of the regime? Are they actually credible threats, with agency of their own, or are they simply players within all this as well?]

The Iranians have been brought to heel, but Putin and his inner circle act independently still.

Iran was threatening to make a lot of noise about Obama's role in the CIA which is why he caved and make a deal with them. Their leverage will be gone when he is though.

[What is the US's strategy for sub-Saharan Africa? Any particular states that may play a key role?]

Regime views this region as easily exploited raw resources base and its inhabitants as mere pests in the way of that, which is somewhat different than most of the rest of the world where the people are viewed as the primary exploitable resource.

[So what's the situation in Venezuela? Is Maduro at risk of getting overthrown or assassinated?]

Trump would like to topple Saudi Arabia. That would cause a more significant production drop than is desired though, so places like Venezuela will need to be simultaneously stabilized enough to ramp up production. Bringing Venezuela and Iran into full production while cutting the taps in Saudi Arabia is about right for the effect they want.

[To a large degree, yes, our Dollar is backed by our military supremacy. The US Dollar's power comes from the fact that Oil is traded exclusively with US Dollars. It was the agreement made between Nixon and the Saudi royalty that ultimately led to the economic destabilization of the Soviet Union. This is what has given the US Dollar its unlimited credit rating, which has driven our current boom and bust economy.

However, to claim that there is no credible threat to US hegemony is either showing how you really are just a clueless role playing faggot who doesn't know shit, or it could be your arrogance. There, indeed, is a threat to US hegemony. As I stated to begin with about Russia and China, they are the biggest threat to US hegemony. That's exactly why the US invested 5 billion in the coup in the Ukraine and why we are supporting ISIS in Syria.]

Russia? No.

China is a long term threat but right now completely impotent.

Both of these countries have reasonable MAD status with respect to the US but either would lay down and take it if faced with US economic attack.

[what about all this earthquakes lately, japan, italy, new zealand, is there something or someone behind them?]

Recent earthquake activity is normal.

[what is going on in south korea?]

Koreans are very frustrated with the western puppet model and seeking to reform their government.

[I haven't heard anything about India vs Pakistan+China in a while. Did they chill out or did the media just stop covering it? If the latter, how likely is it go to hot?]

Tensions between India and Pakistan are not real at the governmental level. US and Russia will never exchange nukes and likewise neither will India or Pakistan. It is a useful thing to deploy to strengthen loyalty to the state though. China and India have no strategic interest in war either - this is not the sort of thing nuclear powers will ever do.

[Are European peoples being targeted for annihilation? Should they be?]

This won't happen. No.

[So it's true the Osama raid was fake. Is he dead then? If so, Since when and how?]

No, Osama is alive still.

[Does he still maintain an power or leadership? Where is he hiding and who is helping him?]

Yes. He is currently the president of the US regime.

[is your organization currently pleased by the recent victories of the right in some parts of the world? or is it not important who the leaders currently are?]

We would like to see them do well, but not overly concerned.

[(Deep underground bunkers/D.U.M.B.s) Is there any truth to this whistleblower or is it just fake?

(<https://web.archive.org/web/20161110125824/http://www.christ-michael.com/leaked-official-agenda-for-the-destruction-of-germany/>)]

Such facilities exist around the world and are quite extensive.

[How would someone get access to these underground cities? Where are then generally located]

There are extensive tunnel networks under most advanced nations and numerous access points, both remote and in major cities.

[Will their be any major ISIS attacks in the next couple of months?]

The terrorism meme is something the old world order would very much like to drop at this point.

Did you read the news about the fire in Gatlinburg? A couple of kids with a dollar of matches did more damage than any so-called terrorist act.

There are a couple important things to draw from this.

One, terrorism isn't real. It is trivial to do massively more damage than any so-called terrorist attacks have.

Two, awareness of this fact is penetrating into the public psyche. People are increasingly aware that a small group of individuals are capable of tremendous force multiplication, which has the potential to cause a cascade of individuals attaining agency and therefore dealing a deathblow to the old order.

[So I'm not insane for thinking of ways to cripple my native city?]

Most likely not a productive endeavor, although you could argue the world would be better off in a few cases, i.e., you hail from London or New York.

[So esoteric judaism is the damaged goods "chaos god" version of the old testament and they believe that in order for the survival of everything that has a brain, it should be deprived of its agency?

Other than the origins of consciousness, a decent base of knowledge and attaining means to not be silenced or killed is anything else important to agency?]

They are not interested in depriving agency for the sake of everyone, but for their own sake.

[What is the real current world population? Is the figure manipulated by the UN?]

Somewhere around 7 or 8 billion. Pretty accurate figures.

[So got any thoughts/info on the greek situation?]

This is the sort of thing that they would like to implement around the world in short order. Basic medication and food can be hard to come by in what should be a first world country, with life easy really only for those on the largesse of the regime.

[How much of global politics is charades and how much of it is actual conflict. It is fairly obvious that U.S politics is a false dichotomy, with both parties being two sides of the same coin. How far does this extend to global politics? Are major world players actually at odds with each other or are conflicts manufactured to give the perception of conflict/competition. My point being, for example, are the U.S and Russia actually very conflicted or just playing parts in a charade to reach some mutual goal?]

Pretty much all a charade. Even major conflicts like WW2, Hitler was a plant. The same folks were running the USSR and the USA throughout the cold war. There are very limited forms of genuine conflict / competing self-interest, but not much.

[Is the war in Syria really over an oil pipeline ?]

It's definitely a contributing factor. Rarely are these things one-dimensional though, including in Syria.

[Are there vast underground cities?]

Yes

.....
.....
.....

Psyops

~Simulation~

[do we live in a computer simulation?]

No

[Is there credence to the 'reality as a simulation' theories?]

Only insofar as your regime is very much divorced from reality, so the appeal of such an idea isn't hard to appreciate.

[Are we living in a simulation?]

No

~Hollow/Flat Earth~

[Lastly hollow earth theory, I've been shown photos taken many years ago by reliable sources. Is something really going on at the poles?]

No

[is earth flat?]

No

[Tell me, are you going to state that the earth is flat? Because I've seen, with my own eyes, so much proof to the contrary, it's insanity to me.

t. Astronomer]

The Earth is not flat.

[Is Earth hollow?]

No

[which earth do you believe in, round, flat, hollow, pear shaped, or other?]

Earth is round.

[the earth is not a ball or "oblate spheroid" and so all nasa's stuff is fake/cgi?]

Earth is sphere.

[Is the earth hollow?]

No

~False Flags~

[What the hell is going to happen in Vermont?]

A distraction.

[Was the Dallas shooting a false flag?]

It was a sanctioned exercise, yes. Also a worrying intensification of strategies of tension. Usually elements of the state are off limits unless they agree not to be (i.e. military personnel subjecting themselves to questionable experimentation or use).

[Was Sandy Hook a false flag?]

Yes

~Georgia Guidestones~

[Ok when is the master mason date on the Georgia guide stones? is it in aug or the next date?]

There is no master plan following strict dates.

[Are the Georgia Guide Stone population control numbers real? If so, what is the coming event which will kill/eliminate the majority of the world's population while still leaving the remaining number? Who gets to set that number?]

No.

[What is the significance of the Georgia Guidestone cube?]

Georgia Guidestones should be regarded as exemplary of the folly of your regime. The idea that Earth can't support more than a few hundred million people is increasingly absurd with each passing day and advance in technology, yet "elites" have believed this sort of thing for a long time.

There is a more instructive lesson here though. If you are clever you can use ideas like this to glean how high up the food chain someone is. For example, if it is clear that Malthusian type thinking is being deployed as a conscious effort to justify control, you are dealing with a more sophisticated actor than someone who genuinely believes in overpopulation.

~Psyops Misc.~

[Please tell me more about the long con. Are they a tool to set certain events in place, controlled opposition, or both?]

It's only necessary that you partially accept one to go quietly. You become dangerous when you see through them all.

[Was (((quantum physics))) a PsyOp to set back Soviet research?]

No. There are real observable, repeatable quantum phenomena.

[What caused the shift to this BerenSTAIN universe and when did this happen?]

A great example of how easy it is to be mistaken.

[Wil I ever be able to visit Nibiru?]

No

[What can you say about Zika?]

Mostly hype. How'd the ebola pandemic play out? Same thing, different day.

[Is CERN as diabolical as conspirators have made it out to be?]

No

[tell me what you know about the white dragon society]

Made up nonsense from disinformation agents.

[Your LARP threads are shit. You're not FBI anon nor will you ever be.]

That is correct; I don't get things wrong.

[can you conform or refute the holohoax, dino's that didnt exist, the fake moon landing, pizzagate, ancient buildings on Antarctica or even flat earth?]

You are wasting your time with questions like the holohoax, dinosaurs, fake moon landing, etc. Disputing the past is far less important to your well being than imagining and bringing to fruition a better future.

[What do you have to say about

>pic related

(<https://ii.yuki.la/5/19/26cf853c220a4b7111c0592a993990febdfee4e809bd56c7764b05f24a518195.png>)]

Typical masonic misdirection.

[Bigfoot legit?]

Bigfoot is silly.

.....

.....

.....

Freemasons

~Pedophile/Blackmail ~

Pedophile network is a bit of a misnomer.

It's more like a blackmail network. Some people are not into it and you don't get forced into it.

We profile people from a young age and bait them with preexisting predilections. In this more general sense, you can check off just about any name you know as compromised seriously.

[The sandusky sex scandal seems to point to a larger ring of human trafficking. Is this a separate issue or will it point to people we need to dig into]

All of these things should be regarded as part of a broader modus operandi of blackmail. Sufficiently expansive blackmail networks confer short-term stability to systems of governance. They tend to degrade the quality of governance over the long-term, however.

[You speak of blackmail webs. How does one avoid them?]

Often, if you seek power, you will be framed even if you do not engage in blackmail worthy behavior.

If this doesn't work and you are sufficiently threatening, you will be executed.

If you want to exercise power in the world, you must abide by reality, and therefore the law of the jungle. If you can't wave a big stick, don't threaten a big animal.

[Is human sacrifice among elites a thing?]

Very overblown, but not unheard of.

[What would you characterize as a reasonable reaction to human sacrifice?]

Disgust

[Can you give a little more detail on the "heard of" aspects of it? (human sacrifice)]

It's mostly just a limited set of particularly unhinged people.

Sometimes you get powerful people that become that way through senility, genetic defect, etc. Other times you get it on a large scale, i.e., the syphilis outbreak before it was treatable and mass associated psychosis.

[Are the elite an actual satanic cult or is are they actually trying to bring about the end times from the book of revelation? Basically, where would Biblical prophecy tie into this? Is it just there so many people accept their fates assuming it was meant to come to pass?]

There are not many actual satanists among the elite. They like this perception because it induces fear and inaction, or chasing ghosts.

Yes, it's true that poor behavior is endemic, from theft all the way up to child rape. It's better described as degeneracy and perpetuation of blackmail rings. Hardly any of them think something along the lines of "evil is actually good, the masses got it backwards."

[How many babies do the Rockefellers eat on a daily basis?]

They don't eat children, but often have sex with them.

~Elites (FM)~

[Is the "Big G" of freemasonry related to the gravitation constant?]

No

[who are the elites and how powerful are they really?]

Could a single powerful nation-state like China take them all out if they so wanted?]

No organization has the power to "take out" the elites without entering into a MAD scenario.

They can be displaced and their influence diminished over time. We're working on that.

[>The sorts of things that Hitler was put into place to achieve were: (1) discrediting nationalism, (2) discrediting armed opposition to organized conspiracies, (3) destroying nation states, (4) centralizing financial control

For the future I imagine? He was propped up and brought down to fulfill these goals?

The British really were thinking that far ahead? Seems a bit outrageous and too prescient to be true.]

Yes. They really do think that far ahead.

[Why do the elites make far reaching plans? Neville Chamberlain for example obviously didn't live to see any of the Hitler goals achieved, so what's his motive?]

Something that tends to be lost when people are wondering about the elites is that they are people too. Most of them have kids they want to pass the torch onto, ideas they believe in, etc.

There are a wide range of motivations for longterm planning .

[Why do these people pursue whatever it is they're striving to achieve? Have years of nepotism and ideological hogwash made them oblivious to the common realities of the everyday world?]

They are trying to maintain control.

When people first began to become conscious thousands of years ago, they were often seen as demons or some such. Modern examples would be witch trials.

For this reason, conscious people formed secret societies and banded together to control and protect themselves from the masses.

Overtime, these institutions were corrupted by greed, selfishness, etc., and many of the elites no longer act on principle but rather out of habit and trivial self-interest.
[Who wants to weaken the middle class so much? The globalists? What do they want to achieve?]

They want impoverished masses because these people are easier to control.
[(Population reduction) Is this the goal of your masters?]

I don't have masters.

The summary in the post you linked seems very childish.

What makes you think an advanced civilization will be given by the same people who need to drop the population to 500 million? Concerns about overpopulation are present only in the incompetent minds.

We have the technology to support higher standards of living for hundreds of billions already
[how in line is the idea of factions with Rothschild cousin-anon's explanation that elite families and clans compete for worldwide power?]

The highest levels of the regime are all interbred allies. The idea of distinct warring families is pretty much a thing of the past.
[Does the elite have power struggles and division within?]

There are power struggles among the elite, yes. Trump and Clinton have very different ideas about what to do for example, but both of them see themselves as part of the establishment.
[What scares the establishment the most, right now?]

The smarter folks in the establishment realize their time is short. Their main fear is a French style revolution rather than American one replacing them.
[Did the Rothschild really post here?]

Not an important one. Maybe some off branch kid with the last name.
[who is the old order and what is the group you belong to? how do they differ?]

The old order is composed of conscious individuals who seek to limit the extent of consciousness, maintain a slave / unthinking class beneath them. We disagree with this secrecy and limitation and seek general enlightenment, cooperation, and progress of all people.
[(Hidden Hands) To add, if they are unconcerned with tomorrow, they have already lost the future.

What is the future but a set of tomorrows and next months.]

We concur - they have already lost. The open questions concern the transition, not whether it will happen.

[So do you blame the old power structures for thinking too small? Basically they're petty rich old bluebloods who don't want to give up their 120 days of sodom?]

That is a big part of the problem, yes. Much of what seems evil to you is driven by their incompetence.

[what is the endgame regarding humans for the nwo?]

The old world order is actively plotting the elimination of 99%+ of the population. We do not share any of their genocidal fantasies

[I've noticed that your higher ups are hoarding resources. I'm also aware that most of the crap going on in the world is a mere distraction. Through some digging I've come to the conclusion that there will be some type of epic catastrophe, and I'm curious if you know what it is.]

We are aware of efforts by the old order to genocide the vast majority of mankind and actively work against it.

[You said there's plans to kill 99% If that were to happen what would be the warning signs? Who would the 1% be?]

Very little warning, would be executed pretty much overnight. This is the sort of thing we are prepared to kill to stop though, and more of a statement about how insane these people ended up being than an anticipated outcome.

[Are Jesuits/Rome pulling a lot of the strings?]

The regime is a much more monolithic entity than you think. Jesuits/Rome, Israel, Britain, CFR, Soros, whatever you want to name - it all coalesces at the top, but you won't gain much from seeing all of this. The real question is whether you have the strength to focus on a better future or you will be mired in the reality they have created for you.

[What's (((their))) endgoal? I can't believe feeling all high and mighty is the only thing they want.]

They don't have an end goal. It has always just been about the maintenance of control over a slave class to serve their own self-interests, although this is concealed by a lot of hokey pokey myths fed to their lower level initiates.

[More on this machine. Who are the operators? Khazarian Mafia? Rothschild?]

Their tentacles are everywhere. You can judge a tree by its fruit. You've seen a limited picture of what is possible. Compare that to what the leaders of your society suggest. Are they close? No, none of them are. All frauds working for the machine. Easy.

[More specifically on the (dark) spirituality of the elites, potential burnings and beheadings aside (slaughtered because they were misunderstood) can you explain a way in which an act is executed that elicits control over a person or populace (outside of something simple like propaganda)?]

You know how it is hard for a lot of people to comprehend the evil in the world because they are not evil? For those who engage in it and view it favorably, they

are creating a mental space that is hard for the masses to connect with or resonate with and therefore invoking a sort of hidden sphere to operate within.

[Is it the Jesuits?]

The "top" of the organizational hierarchy is several million members strong and you can call it what you want, saturn cult, freemasons, mystery babylon, whatever. Basically people who were initiated into consciousness by others and took an oath of secrecy alongside that initiation. They elevate themselves above others and do all of kinds of silly stuff to protect that status.

[You mentioned in your last Q&A that the JFK assassination was ordered by the Queen. Does this have anything to do with Cecil Rhodes vision of a Anglo-American world government?]

Yes

[(Drug Cartel figureheads) What is the actual worth of these people? Millions or the billions?]

The big bosses in the drug trade are worth billions.

[How mad are the hidden hands right now?]

They are very concerned about the medium to long term trends, but not about tomorrow or next month. The population is still very susceptible to party line or other basic divisions and infighting, although this is fading quickly. More importantly, there is still a major deficit of people who can begin to answer questions like "if I made you president, how would you do any better?"

~Religion~

[Why elitists revived ancient worshiping of canaanite god, and espesially Molouch of all others?]

There are very few religious weirdos like this among the elite.

Their views in this respect are mostly along the lines of whether you support the OT or NT in the Bible. Lucifer and Jesus call themselves the morning star, but one version is the bad guy and one is the good guy. Mostly they are OT believers, which is to say they think that knowledge should be contained and kept from the masses.

[That does not explain Bohemian grove and masquerades...]

You're really overplaying the significance of ancient deity worship. That's not what these people are doing.

The power structures that exist in society today are a result of a global loss of consciousness after civilization collapsed 10,000+ years ago.

The first to emerge as conscious formed secret societies. At first, this was meant as a means to preserve their awareness. It later became corrupted by the idea that the knowledge should be hidden in order to rule over the masses, and much of what you see today derives from that.

[we often hear that the Rothchilds run the world financial and with "partners in satanism" they control basically everything in society. I have also heard the people we know are pulling string that the public can see are "the tip of the iceberg". just wondering what is what, are they the top or are their more layers we have and will never see?]

There is no rigid singular hierarchy. The world is multipolar with competing groups and interests.

Satanism isn't a well-defined term. Lay people usually consider it to approximately mean an embracing of evil, others think of it in terms of the symbolic meaning of Lucifer in the Bible, but even this is interpreted differently - both Lucifer as good, and Lucifer as sinister.

[can they really remotely read our minds or are they just really good at making it seem like it?]

I suggest you read the book I recommended for the second part of your question. Appreciating how consciousness works and the relationships among people is far more complicated than a simple yes/no.

[Why do they worship moloch and why is the whitehouse grounds in the shape of a spider and the capitol building lawns in the shape of an owl]

Does this have to do with 'weaving spiders tread not here']

Most of the elites view themselves as rightful lords over the masses, or kings. For the most part they don't believe in an actual divine entity that is above or beyond normal existence. They are conducting rituals of self-appreciation of their "right" to rule.

[Do the Global Elite really worship Moloch at the Bohemian Grove, if not, then what's the demon statue for?]

Have you ever told an inside joke to someone in front of other people? Only the insider gets the full meaning of what you say because of prior knowledge.

The more sophisticated you are, the more layers there can be to this process. You can convey multiple meanings to people with the exact same phrasing.

This is why the elites use religious symbolism.

[>Have you ever told an inside joke to someone in front of other people? Only the insider gets the full meaning of what you say because of prior knowledge.

>

>The more sophisticated you are, the more layers there can be to this process. You can convey multiple meanings to people with the exact same phrasing.

>

>This is why the elites use religious symbolism.

so it's their meme]

Correct. Only the lowest level initiates take the symbolism literally. Much like children first reading the Bible.

[Do they worship/confer with Lucifer or some manifestation thereof?]

No. The nonsense rituals are meant to be very emotionally captivating. A big part of the reason for these sorts of things is to focus their thoughts away from that which they wish to keep secret.

[I would like to know if there is any actual truth behind the mysticism and magic surrounding the conspiracies regarding the "royal families" of the modern era.

Do these people actually believe they are worshiping an alternative god/deity?]

Symbolism with a wide variety of interpretations is used by the elite to enable the telling of something like an "inside joke." It is specifically religious symbolism because of the high emotional impact.

Once you appreciate the phenomena of coherence in consciousness, you realize that keeping secrets is quite difficult. Emotionally charged symbolism that has many different keys to interpretation allows you to speak one thing with perhaps a dozen or hundred different meanings depending on whose ear it flows into and what keys they have to decoding your message.

[Do all these elites walk around with a coherence of consciousness currently?]

Many of them. There is a clear delineation within the establishment between those who are and who are not conscious - it matters to them

[Why do the elites focus so much energy on spiritual endeavors?]

Variety of reasons. There are no simple answers here.

When mankind first began to awaken, some were slaughtered because they were misunderstood. So there were reasonable concerns that the mystery schools had in concealing their differentiated state from others.

There are also corrupt aspects to it, like utilizing the unconscious as slaves.

~Freemason Misc.~

[If I become a freemason now do I increase my survival rate?]

Yes and no - you might encounter more severe consequences for overstepping than you would otherwise, but less severe consequences for understepping than otherwise.

[My family has been touched heavily by occult forces. My grandfather was invited to the Bohemian Grove (but didn't return) and held many powerful positions in the world. I am engaging in and promoting subversive behavior in a very public way - is it likely that I am under observation by occult powers? Are there any signs I should watch out for, for the safety of myself and my family? How likely is some sort of recruitment, should I play along with a Bohemian Grove invite?]

Most societies pay attention to descendants of members at least initially. They may

or may not have written you off as not interesting by now though. Hard to say without knowing more.

[I have wanted to join different societies (Masonic) simply so I can read their literature. Is there a fatal risk to this or should I obtain said materials by other means?]

You run the risk of being killed unless you can protect yourself, yes. You will be asked to swear under penalty of death not to divulge secrets.

[This guy is a confused Freemason]

Not personally, but most of them wouldn't know that I wasn't.

[What about the freemasons? What are they what role do they play?]

Freemasons are kind of like an umbrella group for dissident minds. Neutral meeting territory. Think of the lodge as equivalent to a meeting ground governed by gentlemen's agreements, like lords meeting in the middle before battle to discuss options before commencing with bloodshed.

[What groups are behind gang stalking / electronic harassment]

Freemasons do a lot of gang stalking, as do governments. Even though there are overlap between members of either, they have different reasons for gang stalking as organizations.

[Is there any homo stuff in Freemasonry?]

There are homosexual freemasons, but not all of them by any means.

[Do you consider Freemasonry good or evil?]

Freemasonry has been significantly corrupted as an institution over time. It use to be a lot better than it is now.

[Freemasons don't recruit people.]

They don't recruit plebs. Any organization with any longevity recruits the cream of the crop.

[Masons are not allowed to recruit anyone. Learn about us before you tell lies.]

Wrong. Recruitment is not only allowed but aggressive. I acknowledge that mediocre individuals are not privy to this however.

[No one is privy to this as it's part of Mason law to not recruit. You asking a Mason to join is different from being recruited and it's also not that special or hard to be a Mason. Let me rephrase that, if you're young we need you.]

As a mason, I am sure you know how easy it is to miss what has always been right in front of you. All I can say is you are doing it again.

[>As a mason, I am sure you know how easy it is to miss what has always been right in front of you. All I can say is you are doing it again.]

Talking about the youth? Is that why you didn't want in? Knowing how powerful they are should be a good thing to both causes and you know this is the case.]

I'm not anti-masons by any means. My refusal to participate formally with them is

mostly a way of underscoring the strength with which I feel the order has strayed from a righteous path.

[is golden dawn chapter scape goat, puppet or not involved?]

Golden dawn is a highly active masonic chapter that answers to the British crown. Massively involved in reshaping the US and Europe from 1850-1950 especially, less so after nuclear detente emerged.

[You've mentioned this a few times, but who, or what, is the "old order"? Is it a collection or currently known organizations/people (can you name examples if so)? Or is it some other organization working in the shadows that the average joe would never have heard of or would think is some sort of "tin-foil hattery" stuff.]

The upper echelons of the masonic order, although something like 50-60% of members are not knowing participants.

[Are you associated wit the Rosicrucians, given your mystical Christian leanings? Also, why did the Jungians turn into a bunch of insular, elitist snobs?]

These sorts of people are very much contrary to our purposes. Golden Dawn, for example, was clearly bad for both Europe and America, provoking needless civil wars, destabilization campaigns, etc., much like you see around the world in the present.

[What is the deal with low level mason groups and what will happen to them in the future?]

These are the primary targets of the old world order, not the masses. There are a lot of decent people that were initiated and found something they didn't like. These people are extremely dangerous to the old order.

[Oh, I thought I would bring this to your attention. You mentioning the "Illuminati" reminded me.

Last week there was a Mason attempting to distort the knowledge that you are spreading in these threads.

Here are those thread archives:

<http://archive.4plebs.org/pol/thread/105851347/#q105851347>

<http://archive.4plebs.org/pol/thread/105871862/#q105871862>

They are clearly directed at you guys. I have to say though that overall it is not that impressive mis-direction.]

These sorts of efforts can be (and have been) misleading in a vacuum, but obviously won't stand in the face of people actually telling the truth. The cat is out of the bag and nothing will change that, ever.

[Do 'evil' organizations have a greater goal in mind?]

Some lower level members think so

[Is the "Big G" of freemasonry related to the gravitation constant?]

No

~Misc~

[Ok, so again every politician is compromised. What is a serious alternative to this being the case?

I have lots of anarchist and socialist friends who would tell me armed revolution and that you can't fix a broken system from within, so what are you talking about a serious plan?

I think the solution is a global shift in consciousness brought on by a pink laser from Sirius. Is that a serious alternative?]

Anon, do you honestly believe these people are serious?

Think about what a joke it is to say let's stop having the CIA traffic drugs - if that is as far as you go. You've just put a serious kink in the monetary order without any ideas about how to compensate for that.

We let your politicians run drugs and have orgies with children because they have a functioning methodology of governance and you don't.

You can expect an intensification of strategies of tension in the immediate future. While I know Trump is a bit more popular than Clinton here, the fact remains that very few people like either of them. This is a problem for your regime.

[Is the Cometa Report simply state sanctioned cointel?]

Yes.

[A lot of the theories we see posted here are set along the "master puppeteer" vs "cynical opportunist" axis

You seem to be saying this is a useless dichotomy, since they both assume some kind of end goal instead of a process for control

How should we be framing things instead? Social standing vs survival? Last time you mentioned law of the jungle, should we be looking more toward chimpanzee politics as a more realistic model for our current situation?]

There is a false impression of a master plan that derives from the methods not changing much. This speaks more to the lack of imagination of the regime than intentional consistency. Easy to get mixed up.

[><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Luciferianism>

i understand this, personally to me it is all faggotry. I wanted to know if the rothchilds could be considered the top or "illumintai" or are there more layers we weill never have acces to? is there something above the illuminati? like i said tho satanism and followers of luciferian "ideology" for me go hand in hand.]

The Illuminati isn't a real group. It was a disinformation campaign deployed during the revolutionary war to allow the war to be successful.

[Is Rothschild the end boss? Considering he is so well known, who is the real 'end boss']

I know how how Hillary sucks up to Rothschild as a god in the wikileaks, even considering wikileaks is controlled opposition where the state department even admits to be funding it.]

The Clintons work for what can be loosely described as British intelligence, as do the Bushes. The Rothschild house and the monarchy have merged both in terms of intermarriage and deep cooperation.

The US government has been their biggest enemy ever since the revolution. They'd like to continue to gradually strengthen China and fade US power.

The longer view here would be that after the US is weak relative to China, the Chinese government can be easily toppled (it isn't domestically popular) and replaced by the British oligarchy.

[what makes you so sure that past aristocracies kept the 'masses' down?

they keep themselves down and that's obvious to anyone who hasn't been indoctrinated with the everyone is equal democracy meme

you sound like lenin, claiming there would be a beethoven on every street corner, and that is how I know you are like me (complete pleb)]

There can be no doubt that the potential of the masses is stifled, although of course not everyone is a Beethoven. Today, for example, the youth are given rotten food, poisoned chemically, and so on.

[The elite seem to be stocking up for something, what are they expecting to go down in the nearish future?]

The vast majority of the public is lined up against the regime. Most people still feel like they are in a minority however.

When the tipping point comes where opposition to the regime becomes common knowledge (we all know that we all know), revolt can follow very quickly.

They are in a very tenuous position and very much aware of it.

[Are they getting cocky? Are they getting careless? Can we look for slip-ups?]

At the highest levels they are not cocky at all. Mid level people think they are on the winning team, but the higher ups know the public has turned against them and they are very afraid.

[Can you tell me the origin of the Ashkenazi Jews? The people that posted here claiming to be part of the Rothschild elite claimed that they learned / spoke a very weird language that did not resemble anything in contemporary times. It was clearly some weird sort of semitic language.]

Who are they? Are they related to the ancient Carthaginians or are they related to the Ancient Roman Patrician families?]

I only read the first few posts of the supposed Rothschild poster and didn't see that. It makes me think that the person might know more than I initially assumed. Secretive languages not known by many are commonly employed, including by me.

The Rothschilds don't have ancient traced heritage. They are a relatively new upstart that goes back no more than 500-600 years.
[Rothschild & Warburg AMAs]

I'll read them before the next question session.
[Rothschild & Warburg AMAs]

In my last question session, I promised to review the alleged Rothschild & Warburg AMAs:

><http://archive.4plebs.org/pol/thread/86959981>
><http://archive.4plebs.org/pol/thread/87063199/#q87072350>

For those who find these things interesting, I suggest that you read about the Newton - Leibniz calculus controversy. Essentially, Newton was a fraud put forth by British intelligence to recapture the interest and admiration of the moderately intellectual class. Leibniz was a key architect of anti-oligarchical philosophy, American independence, etc. Newton on the other hand spread interest in nonsense like alchemy. This is a regular practice to this day, i.e., Hawking or Musk.

As I have said repeatedly, the world order that most of you are aligned against is not a "new world order" but rather a regime seeking to maintain the old world order. These are not intellectual people with great foresight and well laid plans, but rather almost always in a mode of reaction and containment of opposition. With this context, you can read the Rothschild AMA for example and see that it is a fraud; he suggests a much more encompassing reach than they have ever had, an older house than is true, and so on. These are people that are on their heels, not always many steps ahead. It is very much a similar situation to Hillary's attempted theft of the election being only possible in an environment of perceived competitiveness; no such thing existed by election day and so she had to concede.

[You didnt say the Warburg thread was fake. What are the most useful takeaways if real, and how can we know it's real?]

Warburg thread was even less interesting than the Rothschild one. They both are very interested in pushing the idea that they are better educated, more insightful, intelligent, and controlling than what they actually are.

They have very modest powers to bribe, blackmail, and assassinate the immoral or stupid, but any network of two people (a public and private partner) is capable of holding threat of retaliation over their heads and neutering all of their power, or even a gifted individual with a dead man switch. They are largely impotent losers leading even more impotent losers.

[When you talk about the British Crown, do you mean literally the Royal Family and particularly the Queen, or is there more to it?]

The nexus of world power evolved around the British Crown. There is a significant merger between the royal families, banking houses, and upper echelons of masonic leadership. These were generally distinct for the last few hundred years but significantly less so in the last 100.

[What can you speak to on Dr. Green/ Mengela / Maurice Greenberg and the family's that are all intermarried, is that the faction you're trying to take down?]

They are part of it, yes

[What are your opinions on the Fabian Society, The Third Way, and the Jesuits?]

We are not supporters of any of them

[You've mentioned secret societies being a part of the OWO. What is the role of secret societies like the Freemasons and Thelemic organizations like the A.'.A.'. and the Temple of Set in all of this? Are 100% of secret societies aligned with the OWO, the punisher god, and top-down control of humanity? Or do some have other purposes?]

Small benign secret societies exist. Simple pagans in Christian dominated countries many years ago were at risk if their real beliefs were known, for example. None of this is particularly relevant to the state of the world however.

As far as active societies (rather than mere outcasts), there are basically only two: the masonic order, and us. Everything is an outgrowth of one or the other.

[Are you part of the same group as the "Hidden Hand dialogue" are you required by your group to "reveal" the truth to those who actively seek it?]

No such requirements exist on any group, nor are they self-imposed. I'm aware that there are popular myths along these lines in alternative media like the "mystery schools" being required to show you what they are going to do to you ahead of time, but it is not true.

.....
.....
.....

Clinton

~USSR/9-11~

Do you know how the USSR was brought down?

CIA (Bush, Clinton) cocaine trafficking provided a large portion of the funds that were used to buy off a variety of people in the USSR's society from the mob to high level politicians.

The other side of this was fraudulent bonds issued from 9/11/91 to 9/13/91 with ten year expiration dates.

So on the one hand you can credit these people for taking down the soviet union, but on the other hand you have things like 9/11 as a coverup of their means of doing it.

The Clinton Foundation operates on these principles.

[On which principles; the USSR or that which brought it down?]

That which brought it down

[Are you saying that evidence of these fraudulent bond sales was destroyed on 9/11?]

Is cocaine trafficking still something the Clintons are into? If so give us a clue to where the money goes, is it laundered through the foundation?]

Yes, yes, partially.

Look at the Bush/Clinton cocaine dealing.

At first you think, aha, pure evil.

When you learn more, you see that much of the profit was laundered into Russia to topple the USSR.

Now you have people who laud Reagan and the collapse of the USSR, but hate the Clintons very confused - the Clintons had more to do with USSR collapse than Reagan ever did.

But, you all agree that the American public reaped no peace dividend from this - so was it an accomplishment after all?

You have to peel back many layers here, not just surface cons.

[Moving back to the original topic: can you give us some specific directions in what we should investigate in order to bring down Hillary Clinton and the rest of her network?]

The most damning thing you will find is that the Clintons were involved in 9/11. Follow the money trail on clearing irregularities in the days following.

[They made \$\$\$ on 9/11? Short selling?]

More like hid the theft of money from 10 years earlier.

[Did the Clintons originally buy some of the fraudulent bonds?]

They issued them and used the proceeds

[>The most damning thing you will find is that the Clintons were involved in 9/11.

Follow the money trail on clearing irregularities in the days following.

Is this related to the \$2.3 trillion that was reported missing on 9/10/2001?]

No. That is mostly explained by siphoning of funds to "legitimate" black projects and normal accounting errors.

[I thought the bonds were sold to fund the shorting of the ruble and to buy off high level russians. Please explain how they used the proceeds. Did Soros purchase any of the bonds?]

Yes, that general type of activity is what I meant by she used them.

Personal enrichment comes from siphoning darker pools of money, i.e., CF laundering, cocaine cash, etc.

[You said yesterday Hillary issued the bonds in 1991, all I can come up with is it was Bush responsible for issuing the bonds. How could she or even Bill be in a position to issue the bonds?]

Bush and Clinton families are essentially indistinguishable in terms of agenda and worked together on these projects.

[Was the plan to destroy all the evidence the way it was in place from the beginning or was it planned later if so what year?

What dates were the bonds issued?]

From the beginning. 9/11/91 to 9/14/91.

[What is Hillary's kryptonite?

Does Trump know it/have it?]

The fact that the Clintons and Bushes worked together on 9/11 exposes not just how fraudulent the idea of opposing parties is, but also has the potential to induce a revolution.

[So Assange's threats are to get Hillary to comply and allow her to win Presidency?]

The Clintons were involved in the takedown of the USSR. Bush cut a deal with Gorbachev promising a Marshall type plan for Russia if the USSR dissolved. Clintons betrayed this. Russia hates the Bush/Clinton dynasties for this reason. Hillary views a renewed detente between Russia and a pivot to anti-Chinese geostrategy as undermining her takedown of the USSR.

~Server/Clinton Foundation~

The mishandling of classified information is truly a nothingburger as they say.
Happens all the time.

If you want to bark up this tree, you should question Comey's assertion that it was careless.

How many billionaires do you know that can't setup a secure server? It was insecure on purpose and that is the tree you should be barking up - why?

[It was intentionally insecure because she was selling SAP's to our enemies. Now how do we prove treason?]

Depends on what you hope to accomplish in proving it.

[This is a line of inquiry I think has merit.]

I think it was done this way purposely so that it could be used as a rhetorical quagmire to keep a lot of people bound up in useless arguing over semantics and the minutiae of meaning in words.

I've also always maintained that the CF/server set up was intimately integrated.

The server was set up as a means to let specific information leak and the CF was set up as a means to capitalize on those leaks.]

Yes.

[(How to take down CF)]

It depends on what your goal is in taking down the foundation.

[Would it be dangerous for the US' stake to spread the info about the Clinton Foundation's too early?

Also, in your opinion, should Canada feel threatened by what we're unraveling right now?]

Societal upheaval is always dangerous.

[To spread disinfo to foreign governments?]

You're clever, anon.

The Clinton's always do their shady deeds under cover of state sanction.

Now you know why the FBI can't touch it.

There is no effort within the government to take down the Clintons.

What do you estimate as the likelihood of a Clinton indictment on the basis of information you find on the internet?

Very close to zero if not precisely that.

What about if you go and gather actual information in the real world?

Very close to 100% if you do it correctly.

You have an opportunity in that legality doesn't confer legitimacy necessarily, nor can you expect the government to acknowledge such legality.

Going after Clinton requires forcing circumstances similar to the disavowal of a spy.

[What is the likelihood of us exposing the Clinton Foundation?]

100% if you make an intelligent effort.

Anyone that tells you they haven't done things most of the public would want to hang them over is lying to you.

Thinking that Hillary is going down is by herself is fantastical nonsense.

Thinking that she is going down with all the people she has dirt on is even more fantastical.

Comey does not have the authority to challenge Clinton on the email server question.

It was state sanctioned to intentionally leak a combination of information and disinformation.

Don't interpret this as an apology for the behavior. The Clintons always use state sanction to cover their ass, justified or not.

[What agency is it that the IG couldn't talk about in the public oversight committee meeting (and/or) what was it that was in the email that couldn't be talked about?]

Clinton extensively discussed counterterrorism and counterintelligence operations on her email. Multiple agencies including CIA and NSA.

[Was the FBIAnon correct in that exposing Clinton's whole network of conspirators would risk toppling the entire federal government and cause a constitutional crisis?]

Yes.

[Did Hillary intentionally expose national security documents to hacking in order to pass secret information to foreign powers?]

Yes, but she can sanction that herself. SoS has significant authority to set policy.

You can see why Comey was powerless to open that can of worms.

[What part of the Foundation will bring her down?]

You are doing good work in seeking to abstract the general pattern of behavior.

We'd like to get you clearer, higher level pictures of what has been going on. We further believe that this process will help you develop clear and cogent alternative systems of governance to replace your current, failing system.

[Right, so you know why they let Hillary off the hook then?]

Several reasons.

For one, her server was state sanctioned as an intelligence operation (intentionally leak some legit and fake intel). The complete lack of security should have raised the alarms on this one for you. The notion that she was trying to hide something with a private server wide open is absurd on its face.

Secondly, the Clintons possess an extensive set of blackmail. You all know about Bill's trips to pedo island. He's got a list of names of others that did the same and a whole lot more. Taking down the Clintons starts a process similar to pic related.
[Right, so you know why they let Hillary off the hook then?]

To be clear, I am not saying the Clintons are not hiding things. The point is that the use of the server wasn't the methodology employed to hide things.

Think more along the lines of state sanction to leak disinformation as a cover for pay-to-play schemes and selling national security secrets.
[So youre saying the server was a ploy? Or am I misunderstanding?]

The Clintons are highly sophisticated actors.

Anything they do will have several layers of deception built into the activity.

Surface con: silly grandma

One level deeper: evade FOIA

Deeper: conceal pay-to-play schemes

Defense, that can be exercised quietly: FBI can't touch this, because it is a national security operation to intentionally leak disinformation

Deeper: and now you have cover for actually selling state secrets
[Also is Clinton just the "doer" person. She get paid via the foundation and then she makes stuff happen through connections? Also is Obama paid through the Foundation?]

The Clintons made their real wealth through involvement with the CIA cocaine dealing. The paid speeches are nothing in comparison. The CF is similar to USAID, another arm through which the regime exerts (often sinister) operations under very thinly veiled cover.

[Regarding the CF, how is the message spread without liberal subversion and outshadow the email scandal? Most liberals are completely unaware of SAPs or even care. It also seems most of the "attacks" get easily reconciled as petty propaganda by liberals and literally nothing sticks to the bitch in the end.

If something concrete exists between her and the extorted SAPs where can anons like us dig into to uncover it?]

You need the big picture, not just Hillary. Bush and Clintons did a lot of stuff

together, including CIA cocaine running, 9/11, etc., and you should take the approach of the system being broken rather than just a narrow partisan view.
[Can you give a thorough explanation of the CF corruption?]

As far as direct personal enrichment goes, the Clintons got their fortune long ago from their involvement in the CIA cocaine running and taking down the USSR with Bush.

Think of the CF as a vehicle for them to employ their cronies and pay bribes to other people. You are on the right track looking into voting machine companies making big donations to CF, rigged elections, etc.

The best well-documented case study you will find is Chinagate. Clintons give China nuclear weapons and missile technology in exchange for major contributions to the '96 election campaign. It's influence peddling and corruption, often at the expensive of national security.

[How come Hillary isn't getting indicted for treason for the gamma level top secret comm found on her server which she was not authorized for and subseq sold?]

Hillary ran the server under cover of state sanctioned disinformation campaign. The idea is you put some false info on the open server as an intelligence op. That's not to say she was doing a good thing. There are much better ways to go about such an effort, it is merely the cover they crafted to enable selling state secrets to foreign buyers and block and legal enforcement against it.

[Why isn't Hillary Clinton being prosecuted for her misconduct in handling, and likely selling, classified information?]

The server was set up to sell national security secrets. What she did is treason and everyone knows this.

However, proving it is a bit more difficult. The reason why is because she used the server to leak some disinformation as part of intelligence ops as well. You can "justify" having genuine secrets (that she is selling) to make the server look legitimate as part of the op.

You can see why this case gets very hairy very quickly.

There can be no doubt that she is guilty as this is just another case in a long pattern of similar behavior (selling weapons tech to China for '96 campaign funding for example) but it is the kind of case that brings down the whole government if it goes forward.

[Is this the info that would provoke a foreign power into declaring war with the US you have eluded to before or is it something else. Are these nukes part of the SAPs programs that went through Clintons servers?]

Mostly blackmail material that she was trafficking. Clintons haven't been trusted with real national security stuff for a long time.

[Can you give us specific details of this blackmail material?]

Hillary told Iran about Obama's CIA past.

~Clinton's Election Run~

The establishment has no qualms with Trump if the public turns on Hillary and/or her health fails.

[How's Hillary's MS?]

The health of the president isn't particularly important except that they need to be able to read a teleprompter in a controlled environment.

It is a largely ceremonial role.

[Is her campaign logo alluding to their involvement?]

Of course. They pretend to be very proud of it behind closed doors.

[Will Hillary or Trump be elected since they now steal elections with electronic voting machines?]

Hillary is the preferred candidate, but there is a problem in that everyone knows she has virtually no support. It's a hard sale to make. The viability will be evaluated shortly before the election.

[FBIanon mentioned that if Hillary were to become president, within the first two years, she would declare war with Russia and essentially instigate a WWII.

Are these claims true and if they are, could these plans be delayed or even halted by the Vice President?]

Hillary can be expected to push NATO to the borders of Russia and intensify proxy conflicts, but not start an actual direct hot war or thermonuclear exchange.

Depending on the resistance to other subversive measures, she might, but is not likely, to provoke severe retaliatory measures from them.

[Will Hillary really win the election?]

The elections are rigged, but the outcome has to be plausible in this sense: everyone can feel that it is rigged as long as they are not sure that everyone else doesn't feel the same way. It's OK for the whole population to know they are being had, as long as they don't know that everyone else feels the same way (then fear dissipates and action follows). So, Hillary can only be selected in scenarios where it isn't obvious that it is obvious that it was rigged.

[You said earlier that, if Hillary wins, war with Russia will occur within 2 years.

So, according to your knowledge, is war with Russia imminent?]

Hillary has a deep psychological need to prove she has the biggest cock in the room. Very dangerous personality trait for someone in control of US military.

[Is it a foregone conclusion that Hillary will be handed the presidency?]

No

[What are the chances that the DNC will rise up against Clinton in favor of Sanders due to her high volume of scandals and her poor polling against Trump?]

Not going to happen.

[(Hillary) If she wins, is a hot WWII assured?]

Hillary isn't interested in WW3 as long as she can appear to have the biggest cock in the room. These kinds of personalities usually shy away from contested conflict spaces in favor of bullying smaller entities. Think more along the lines of Libya, Ukraine, or Syria exercises rather than hot war with Russia.

[>in favor of bullying smaller entities]

who would she push around?]

Regime change in Saudi Arabia is on the table. They are trying to get control over Iran and Venezuela before going ahead with this though. You don't want to decrease petro supplies by the full amount of Saudi capacity, but it's almost impossible to prevent at least a temporary near complete outage. To compensate, it is important to have the ability to bring up capacity elsewhere, although maintaining a net decrease.

[Clinton would betray the Saudis?]

Yes. The extent of the betrayal depends on how compliant they are. Could be anything from forcing them to drop production several millions barrels per day up to complete regime change.

[does hillary have the election in her hands]

does trump even have a chance?

most of my friends just think she has infinite power and the election is already decided and all hope is futile]

The problem with putting Hillary into office is that everyone knows that no one supports her.

It's ok for everyone to think elections are rigged, but not ok for everyone to know that everyone thinks elections are rigged - this is common knowledge in the mathematical sense, and is what generates mass traction movements.

[Is hillary in bad health]

Yes

[Why is Hillary even running if she'll be dead soon?]

Hillary isn't about to die.

[In the unlikely situation that Hillary needs to get replaced as nominee, who gets picked?]

Hillary won't be replaced. She might die of natural causes before the election. Could get hit by a lightning strike at a rally. You never know. But there isn't any sort of plan in the works. Kaine is pretty much the same as Hillary, he'd just be the ticket if something were to happen to her.

[>Clinton's time will be short, but full of destruction.

What does this mean? How will a Clinton presidency strengthen the "nation state"?)

Clinton as president would not strengthen the nationstate. We don't support her and she is not one of us.

[what do u know about hillarys health?]

What you see in the alternative media is mostly accurate. She is very physically weak from thyroid issues. There is no debilitating disease that will run its course in a matter of months though.

[Is Hillary still a viable candidate?]

For now, yes. There are enough people that believe the fake polls, but suspicion is growing.

[Will Hillary win in a Landslide?]

Hillary only has about 5% popular support plus another 10-15% that are motivated to vote against Trump. That's ~40% of the votes given only 50% turnout of the whole population, which is a landslide loss in a fair election.

[How much support does hillary actually have?]

About 10% of the population supports Hillary directly and will vote *for* her. She can count on another 10% or so to vote for her against Trump. Given a 50% turnout, that would be a 60-40 win for Trump. A 60% turnout would imply a 67-33 win for Trump. The anti-Trump cohort is still highly variable (could surge higher or lower in the coming months).

[What role is Kaine playing in this election? Was he just a pawn to get deb into the dnc or, given Hillary's health, does he serve a larger purpose should she be unable to serve during her term?]

Kaine will be of even greater significance to a Clinton administration than Cheney was to Bush Jr. Hillary is not healthy enough to actually be president.

[will Hillary fix the election?]

The selection will be rigged to Hillary if the regime believes they can get away with it.

[Will Hillary win?]

Won't be decided until a few days before the election. Depends on whether they think they can get away with it. She won't win a majority of the vote, but she may get the selection anyway.

[Will Hillary be replaced?]

Hillary does not have the ability to command the full force of the state because no one trusts her. Best case scenario for them is another 8 years of shaming opposition as sexist (rather than racist) and a slow grind.

The establishment has very little sense of direction. You are trained to think of them establishing a "new world order" but in fact they are just trying to hold onto an old

world order. Their course is less certain in this context, and especially so these days.

[Did HRC die today? And was that her double outside Chelsea's apartment?]

No, Hillary is still alive. Not a body double.

She has ongoing clotting issues in her right transverse sinus. There are baroreceptors here that can trigger fainting. Increasing her anticoagulation dose is enough to get her back on her feet within a couple of hours.

The stories about overheating or pneumonia are not true. No reason for her to stay in the bed / inside.

[Hillary's health; pneumonia]

She does not have pneumonia. She fainted from ongoing clotting issues in her sinus drainage. Replacement is being considered as they are coming to terms with their inability to make Hillary acceptable even as an alternative to Trump.

[Who would the most likely replacement be?]

Tim Kaine. He is completely bonkers but not many people know this yet. Similar to Kerry with arguably a darker heart and definitely better blackmail.

[Who might replace Hillary?]

Tim Kaine most likely.

[And why did those celebrities shill so hard for Hillary?]

Celebrities depend for their good fortune on the suffering of the masses. A strong upwardly mobile middle class does not need idols for hope or distraction to nearly the extent that the downtrodden masses do today.

[How come hilldawg wasn't able to steal the election? What went wrong?]

Hillary never had much support and turnout was even lower for her than expected. There is a limit to how big of a landslide can be reversed through electoral fraud. Trump's margin far exceeded that limit.

[What's next for hilldawg? She's been mostly out of the public eye since her historic defeat and looked horrible the last time she made an appearance. Once theory is that she's trying to appear feeble to avoid public support for prosecuting "that poor old woman." Thoughts?]

Hillary is pretty much finished. She isn't going to run again and shouldn't have this time - not healthy enough.

[You say it's accepted that Hillary was selling state secrets... now that her presidency is not reality, can you explain what her end goal was? Does she strategize all the way to the end, or was she simply greedy and power hungry? Both appetites cannot be satiated.]

Hillary was power hungry. She hated the America she saw and wanted to remake it.

~Clinton Misc~

Interpol is used against people who have turned against the system. This doesn't characterize the Clintons whether you like them or not.

You have to provide a serious alternative.

I'll help you out a little.

You are surely aware of the Clinton involvement in the CIA drug running. Do you also grasp that this is important to national security?

We control the value of the dollar by soaking up excess currency through the drug trade.

I am not telling you it has to be this way or that it is the best way. But if you want to do otherwise, you need a legitimate plan.

[Will Huma be running this shit, then?]

Huma is not a decision maker.

[Are the Clintons Satanists? Why do they kill chickens in the name of Moloch? Why is there a temple on Epstein's Orgy Island?]

No.

They primarily exercise power on the principle of intimidation.

Their behavior that might make you think "mystery school" is primarily focused on creating mystery - confusion, unsure minds, and therefore unsure challengers. One of the problems you will encounter attempting to 'expose' the Clintons is that their actual accomplishments are secret but, if made public, would find some support - if you are unable to articulate how they were in fact a long con.

It is the multilayered reality that few of you perceive that is at the root of your problem.

[Why do you think the Clintons are "evil" or "wrong"? Why do you not support them?]

They seek power as sociopaths, not as a means to an end.

[Are the clintons involved in the heroin trade? Afghanistan? Is the CF used to launder money from afghan heroin?]

That's more of a Brzezinski / Obama thing.

[How are the Clintons representative of the CIA?]

They believe in exercising hard-power control, versus a more soft-power type you see out of Obama/NSA.

[Will hilldog ever go to prison?]

We'd like to help you make that a possibility, but you are not yet on the correct trajectory to bring it to fruition.

[My understanding is that we are not meant to find a smoking gun. If one were found, it has the potential to bring down the whole system, which will result in global chaos. We are only meant to find enough connections to sabotage Clinton's image to the public.

I feel like the public will need to hear some damning evidence (admitting to lying to the public or something similar) coming directly from her mouth. I'm sure something exists. But where?]

That's correct. Even politicians you might consider allies will go a long way to prevent actual judicial action against the syndicate they claim to oppose.
[and clinton?]

Clinton won't face trial without the public becoming much more aware of the depth of their crimes.

[Are the stories about Hilary going nuts (telling secret service to fuck off) true? Is she really a fruitcake?]

Hillary is most definitely a sociopath.

[So if the election is rigged for Hillary, all of the work here seems pointless...why bother trying to sway voters if they're just going to flip a switch?]

As I mentioned earlier, it isn't rigged in an arbitrary manner. The results have to be plausible. You can force their hand in this way with persuasion of voters

[How can www.trustvote.org win their lawsuit? Was Clinton involved in stealing the election or just her friends?]

It's highly unlikely that Clinton gave direct orders to rig the elections, although there is no doubt that this cycle has seem systemic fraud. She doesn't need to say it for her subordinates to know what to do at this point.

[Why did bill pardon marc rich?

What's the direct link?

It's obvious they were in bed together, and Marc is already dead. How were they involved? And if financially, through what specific company or means?

Was his death natural or planned?]

He was working with state sanction. Not saying his work was good, just that it was approved. You don't want a lot of high profile cases of the system eating its own, or it is harder to get people to work at the boundaries.

[So Clinton Family/Bush Family/CIA are the British drug cartel. Anyone else outside the CIA involved with this or is the CIA "the group" to be in for the trade?]

Not everyone involved in the drug cartels is part of the CIA.

[Why is hillary a Rothschilds bitch and worshiping ancient deities?]

Hillary is an atheist. As to the Rothschilds, she is also a whore and they have a lot of money.

[Howabout the fucking chinese-clinton alliance?]

Clintons are very friendly with the Chinese. They funded the '96 campaign. Clintons gave them nuclear weapons and missile technology in return. Hillary strongly favors pro-Chinese and anti-Russian foreign policy.

[I recall in a thread where Pamela Churchill previously was mentioned that there was some talk that Bill Clinton having direct relation to the Churchill family and the Rothschilds. At times he comes across as brainwashed/mind controlled and a complete moron. It's hard to figure out who he really is, and if he is even someone important or whether Hillary is the more powerful/influential figure. Who is Bill Clinton?]

I've never had much interest in bloodline type stuff, but I am aware there is uncertainty over who Bill's parents really are. It isn't hard to believe that he is related to Marlborough house.

Hillary has always been a sinister opportunist, so her picking him as a spouse is as good a reason to believe she knew he was somebody as any.

[will Clinton die soon?]

Clinton's time will be short, but full of destruction.

[Will Hillary ever be prosecuted?]

Not by a Trump admin or her own

[Did the Clintons have JFK Jr.'s plane crash?]

Yes

.....
.....
.....

Trump

~Election~

[Is Trump going to be assassinated by Hillary when she wins?]

Doubtful.

Trump never intended to be the nominee, much less president.

The public's willingness to believe in the legitimacy of a Clinton victory will be gauged shortly before the election. If it is plausible, Trump will torpedo himself and Hillary will be put in place.

If the public turns too strongly against her, Trump will be given the nod and the economy will be put into major recession to discourage any hint of nationalism in candidates that come after him.

[Will trump win?]

Yes or no. If not absolute, then say whatever is most likely]

Hillary is the preferred candidate, but a hard sell.

The illusion of a legitimate outcome is needed, which might force the selection of Donald instead.

[Was Trump being the Republican nominee despite the money being in Jeb Bush's favor part of your plans?]

Jeb only entered the race to give the false impression of the establishment being rejected.

[Was Pence forced on Trump or did he really pick him?]

A big part of the Pence pick is trying to keep the race close. Too many people in the middle were breaking towards Trump in recent days, so Trump had to make a hard right turn to stop the avalanche in his favor.

[What can Trump's campaign do that it isn't currently doing to add large numbers of additional votes?]

Relentless hammering of the truth about Hillary.

[Do you know if the Trump campaign has been subverted by any Globalists? Can Manafort be trusted?]

I can't understand the Pence VP pick unless he really wanted to unify the Republicans, and he's not part of the Council of Foreign Relations like Newt is.]

Pence was picked to keep "moderates" from flocking to Trump in droves as Hillary's support was collapsing. They want to maintain the appearance of a relatively even split in voter preference to keep the option of who is given the selection open.

[How is people moving towards him a bad thing? Why would he need to stop it?]

Even if you believe Trump is on your side, you can still see the rational.

Strategies of tension with successful bifurcation of the population fans the flames of in-group loyalty, thus allowing you to get away with things you wouldn't achieve otherwise.

In other words, even if Trump was on your side, he doesn't want to end up in a situation where Hillary is almost universally rejected and he wins in a landslide.
[Is the Trump campaign compromised or is Trump playing others? I think the Neocons finally got their claws in him.]

Trump has serious personality flaws that make him easy to compromise in a sense. He needs to be liked by everyone, which means it is hard for him to stand for anything.

Hillary has similar shortcomings, like needing to prove she has the biggest dick in the room.

[You mentioned the #1 thing that can be done to aid Trump campaign is hammering Hillary's criminal conduct and CF. What's the #1 thing that can be done to build up Trump?]

He has spoken about a desire to fund major infrastructure improvement, but he thinks of this in terms of building grander versions of what already exists. Something along the lines of turning a dumpy airport into a "Trump tier" airport. This has very little economic utility and is basically Krugman-esque stimulus. He's not scientifically or technologically competent and needs people helping him to develop reasonable infrastructure projects rather than basically gold-plating toilets.
[So... Trump needs to build awesome futuristic hyper-america from Tomorrowland starring George Clooney as a pedophile?]

As to Trump, yes. He needs to be more like Washington and less like Jefferson.
[What is Trump's true position on NATO? Does he really hate it? Is he really buddies with Putin?]

Trump will govern similar to the way he has acted as a businessperson. There are some criticisms to be made (somewhat exploitative at times, as well as short term profit seeking over long term viability) but also merits to the approach - the world needs deal making between countries, not dick waving.

[How far is this current administration willing to go in order to stop Trump from winning if Hillary's numbers keep tanking?]

US establishment is rallying around the idea of a war with Saudi Arabia. Trump and Clinton are both behind this idea. Trump might actually have an easier time selling it. The democrats have some interest in letting Trump be the one to do this.

This is how all of your elections work. See 2012 and the rhetoric from Romney about Russia then the Ukraine coup backed by Clinton/Obama.

[So how "establishment" is Trump really... to what degree?]

He seems to significantly changing things all around the world, more than any other

more traditionally establishment candidate...]

About as establishment as it gets. His nomination was planned and approved years ago.

[So the most effective way of getting Trump into the presidency is to spread the meme that all the polling data is rigged and that the elections are rigged?]

Yep. I covered this in past threads. You've seen it become a major meme since then.

[Will Donald Trump win??]

Hillary is the preferred choice of the establishment, but they won't give it to her if it is obvious to everyone that she doesn't have any support. There is less than 10% of the population that likes Hillary, plus another 15% or so that prefers her over Trump.

To achieve victory (make a potential Hillary win obviously fraudulent) he needs to soften up the anti-Trump crowd and hammer hard on the lack of actual Hillary supporters out there.

[(Trump losing to Hillary) If he's doing it on purpose, why?]

Trump never expected to win the nomination. His original intention was to be a spoiler for Hillary a la Ross Perot.

That's not as strong of a condemnation of Trump as it might seem at first.

Ross Perot was an actual America first nationalist, and so is Trump. They both consider the Clintons less dangerous than the sinister exploitation of the religious right by the GOP though. So as in the 90s, Perot had legitimate issues and concerns he wanted to advance at the same time as knowingly help Clinton beat Bush. Trump was going to serve a similar role this election against someone like Cruz, but ended up winning despite his best efforts.

[Is Trumps VP pick an insurance policy incase they have to let him win and JFK him?]

No. Trump is easy enough to control with a financial crisis. Perhaps even the best case scenario for them, given the opportunity to place blame on the types of people and ideologies that back him - there is merit to some of the underlying opposition and it has to be stifled before it gets out of hand.

[What can we do to ensure that Trump is elected?]

From what I remember, it would be in your best interests for Trump to be elected since it allows you to put your plan into motion much sooner than if Hillary were elected.]

Conduct pre-election polls and exit polls in scientifically sound and rigorous ways, participate in election monitoring at precincts, organize and collate the information with others.

Hillary does not have and will not get popular support. No one likes her.

[Are you saying that God Emperor could be cheated out even if he's leading in the polls?]

Trump has a very strong lead in popular opinion right now, but Hillary is the preferred candidate. They will rig the election to a win for her if they think they can get away with it.

[Do you, personally, think that Trump could win, given if people find out about the rigging? Also, do you think that there will be debates without either candidate chickening out?]

The only way Trump will win is if he has robust organization for election monitoring, exit pollsters, etc. So far very little effort has gone into this, which means Hillary gets the selection.

[what is donalds chance of winning? With and without rigging?]

No one is believing the polls so they have already backed off on the Hillary lead substantially. Kek is winning as you guys say. The winner of the selection really comes down to meme warfare, whether the perception of a Hillary win is viable or not.

[do they plan to manipulate him? why not just use hillary if shes already part of the establishment]

Hillary is the preferred candidate.

[What will Trump tweet/say about #HillarysHealth?]

Vague, inarticulate catch-alls, like all his other statements

[>should be put to him to determine whether he is really on your side.

I'll take 10% chance of puppet over 100% any day

we shall see him by his fruits

what's the alternative? stroking shillary?]

I don't object to that point of view, only blind trust. It is better to be skeptical and ask for tangible policy.

As it stands now, the Trump phenomena is strikingly similar to Obama. A lot of hope and change promises and very little on the specifics. If you are over perhaps 20 years old and not at least a little worried, you are very naive.

[Is Trump's Trillion dollar infrastructure Bill your organizations idea?]

No, we consider it terrible policy. Public-private partnerships is just another name for corporatizing infrastructure. Public infrastructure is one of the few proper functions of the nationstate.

[Will any of Trump's economic reform actually take place, and benefit us NEETs in anyway?]

There are issues with governments loaning into existence or printing arbitrary amounts and so on, but the idea that we need to get rid of money altogether is nonsense.

[Lastly, how the fuck did Trump win when the opposition had globalist support?]

The population is far more awake and angry than you think. They suffer from mass ignorance, bewilderment as to where to go from here, and so on, but are not stupid and very much aware that things are not right or even close.

[Any concerns on Trumps inauguration day?]

Hopefully he proves more impressive as a statesman than as a candidate.

[You mentioned on in your earlier threads back in July that Trump will pick someone from the Pentagon. However, Trump picked Pence and from what we've seen has no ties to the Pentagon. Did you make a wrong prediction or is Pence from the Pentagon?]

Trump wanted to go with Flynn but GOP leaders threatened full blown insurgency.

~Trump - Saudi Arabia~

[So will he improve the quality of life for the average person or just make the ultra rich even richer]

His plan is to have a war with Saudi Arabia and a detente with Russia, throwing China under the bus. Higher petro prices would be good for US economy (energy is the only growth industry over the last decade of any significance) but his opposition will tank the economy with financial shenanigans if he is elected and attempt to punish the public severely for refusing to accept Clinton.

[Does Trump's side have the power to begin the crash before November to increase his chances?]

Trump has already called on his supporters to pull out of the market. He knows this is coming.

[Will Trump continue to fund the House of Saud regime if he becomes president?]

USA is considering a takedown of the Saudis to protect the petrodollar through the next major economic downturn. Production capacity is already too far above demand and that is only going to get worse when we head into the next crisis. The collapse of Venezuela isn't sufficient to support oil prices by itself.

[>His plan is to have a war with Saudi Arabia and a detente with Russia

I think you under estimate how sick people are of our wars.]

Saudis will be blamed for supporting 9/11 and ISIS (rather than the west) by Trump. This is part of why he goes on and on about the nonexistent threat of terrorism.

~Trump – Financial Crisis~

[If Trump managed to become president, do you guys have a plan on how to deal with him?]

We don't view Trump or Hillary as materially different, but others will initiate a financial crisis after he takes office to destroy the credibility of populism and

nationalism, which runs counter to their agendas.

We view Trump as woefully unprepared for this eventuality.

[I can't believe that. Why would he spend tens of millions of his own money just to allow Hillary to win? Don't tell me something about "greater good" either... he's adamantly opposed to her foreign policy decisions.]

People try to tell me that boxing is all rigged, that fighters take dives etc... That may happen at the lowest levels where guys are just trying to pad their records against bums, and judges may be influenced to decide certain ways in larger fights, the fighters at the highest levels have way too much ego and pride to throw fights.

I don't think Trump's ego and pride would allow him to take a dive against Hillary, or anyone for that matter.]

Trump isn't in financial trouble at the moment, but would be if we have another real estate crash.

His original intention was to shift into the media business after the election with a Trump News Network type thing. He'd make billions a year off of such an enterprise.

Again, you don't have to see that as necessarily sinister. Trump is actually a pro-America nationalist, and the network was going to be more like infowars on steroids than Faux 2.0

[Trump is flat out broke
his money ain't long like Gucci Mane's
You must be dumb stupid if you think he isn't in deep doo doo]

He isn't broke, but he'd be over-leveraged and facing ruin in the event of a major and sustained real estate downturn, which is coming. He's fine for now, but understood that it wouldn't be true 2 or 3 years into the future when he entered the race.

[Prosecuting Clinton(s) would serve as an avenue to expose corruption to the public, with unknown consequences, yet with the hope that such corruption will serve as an example of a direction America does not want to continue towards.]

Does Trump have any other avenues available to work towards this goal? In what ways can a Trump administration garner more faith from the public?]

Trump is in a very bad spot. He has run under the banner of nationalism which the regime hates. They will tank the financial system after he is elected which will make it very difficult for him to deliver robust economic growth. That should be his priority above all else, but he hasn't yet surrounded himself with people who are capable of dealing with a financial crisis.

[So in a hypothetical scenario where trump is selected but it's just to placate the public into having some faith in the system... Will things actually take place that most of this board would consider "good progress"?

Such as reduction in SJW speak in popular culture, building of the wall, etc etc]

If Hillary turns out to be an impossible sale, a financial crisis will be triggered shortly after Donald takes office and there will be blame placed on him / his followers / their agenda, with a corresponding intensification of the Soros type groups.

~Birther Psyops~

[You've previously said that Donald Trump is an establishment candidate. How do you know this?]

The point I was making in previous threads is that they wanted to bring the birth certificate issue back to the forefront shortly before the Osama raid, and Trump willingly played that role.

He then refused to talk about it after the fact, even though it is transparently a fraud.

There are plenty of other things that should raise red flags for you, but that alone should be sufficient to convince you he isn't as he seems.

[Is Trump really an outsider or is he part of the elite pretending to be a man of the people?]

Trump and Hillary have legitimate differences of opinion on policy, but he has knowingly participated in psy-op'ing the public on some important things. Hillary and Trump were the two main people covering up Obama's past for example, and they were coordinating and working on that project together.

[Why do you think so poorly of Trump? Apologies if I missed that one as I assume it's been asked already.]

As to Trump, I have not yet received a satisfactory answer as to why he participated in the Obama birth certificate psy op.

If such unresolved questions were to be resolved in favor of believing Trump is a 'good guy' then I would still be of the opinion that he is ill-prepared for the job and likely to be outwitted at every turn to the great harm of the good ideas he associates himself with.

[>Obama's birth certificate is an obvious fake, but Trump dropped the issue despite that. Why?

because it wasn't worth persuing now he's in his last year?

because all those that care already know it's fake?

it would just look like a petty attack and play into the hand of those who claim its a conspiracy theory?

am I close? why?]

I don't know why. I'd like an answer as well.

[>If Trump is real and the birth certificate is so obviously fake (it is), why didn't he say anything about it afterwards? A reasonable reaction might have been "caught you! time to resign" rather than crickets.

it would cause a power struggle and perhaps complete all out war? whilst winning the pres allows him to take power without a struggle?

why do you think it was?]

I don't know the answer. It could be extremely sinister or benign, but it is these sorts of questions that should be put to him to determine whether he is really on your side.

[>As to Trump, I have not yet received a satisfactory answer as to why he participated in the Obama birth certificate psy op.

Maybe he participated for the same reasons as the American Revolution Illuminati conspiracy psyop. It cost him nothing morally to participate but created enough ambiguity as to his true allegiances. He might be a lot smarter than you give him credit for.]

It is possible. I've remained relatively neutral with respect to Trump because I don't know, while outright rejecting Hillary.

[So did anything change with your organizations relationship with Trump since you left back in September?]

We were not encouraged by the birther press conference given in response to our questions.

[What was Trump's meaning when he said that Obama has One big fear and to Ask Him if people wanted to know the answer to that. What's the fear?]

I'm not sure what quote you are referencing, although Trump is well aware that Obama is Osama.

[To what extent, if any, is Trump an agent of the existing power structure?]

He is a close friend of many of them and cooperated with Obama on the birth certificate psy-op / covering up Obama's CIA past. Probably not as bad as Hillary, but hasn't shown much tendency to greatness either.

[>Trump doesn't have the political capital for that without exposing the full breadth of the deception surrounding Obama. He participated in the coverup himself as well, which makes that a rather problematic proposition for him.

how did he participate?]

Birth certificate distraction. That was never even remotely close to the most interesting/shady stuff with respect to Obama.

~Three's view on Trump~

From our perspective, there is little meaningful difference between Hillary and Donald.

[What's your opinion on Donald Trump? Or your organizations opinion on him and what you think his real intentions are?]

I'd be satisfied to leave the very serious questions unanswered that I have raised before if he had tangible policy on record, but even that is missing. As it stands, highly suspect.

[Also, how do you think Trump is doing with his decisions so far?]

We'd give trump a D- so far.

[At the very least. Donald Trump will be removing the wool from the eyes of the American people. The status quo under the Obama economy is not an inevitability.

You said it before yourself. And you said it best. People will rise up once they realize how they've been robbed of a better future.]

Yes, there are things that Trump is doing which we regard as positive. We'd like to see far more of the good and far less of the silly, but perfection is not the enemy of better.

[That sounds contradictory considering, why would he pass the supposed opportunity of 20% gdp growth because he sees you as a threat to his legacy... seems like that offer would cement his legacy]

If he makes it clear that he wishes to change the game, then he is truly in danger. Right now, despite the rampant talk, neither he nor his family are in any danger as they are very much playing ball with the regime.

[In your opinion what are the most important areas for the Trump administration to focus on immediately?

For example immigration, the federal reserve, pedogate, tax reform, obamacare, or something else.]

The most important thing for him to do is announce the construction of the space elevator.

Let's review some of the problems it solves:

(1) we can generate tens of trillions of dollars of new wealth, which neuters the possibility of a staged financial crisis by remedying the systemic bankruptcy we face

(2) it will allow the administration to reliably assure the people that 0% taxes across the board are coming down the pipe

(3) you can solve the Obamacare fiasco because the trillions of dollars of revenue generated per year can pay for health care no problem

(4) he can get 95%+ approval ratings just from 0% taxes and health care problem solved, which neuters the possibility of a color revolution occurring - remember that Trump only got about a quarter of the people to vote for him, same for Hillary, so his starting point is 3:1 against him and that needs to get flipped upside down ASAP

(5) The project allows us to start getting off of dirty energy sources, scaling back things that are causing real problems like fracking, gives us the energy throughput to start cleaning up the environment, and so on - mankind is facing existential threats from its neglect of our garden that must be faced head on

We could go on, but you can see how the space elevator is of systemic importance and significance. Only comparably big ideas should ever be considered. The time for tweaking the system is long past - it needs to be overhauled.

[The space elevator idea is probably as amazing as you describe, but it's so outside the box and not on the radar right now. I can't imagine Trump switching gears to push that at this point in time, he might even lose credibility if he tried to push it. Is there anything more to due with current events or the current agendas that need to be quickly addressed?]

The practicability of the space elevator and the fruits thereof is objective scientific fact. This has far more credibility than any petty ideological battle he might pick.

Trump is absolutely guaranteed to be a failure no matter what he does if he continues to think small. There is no choice here. The environment is systematically poisoned, there are not enough resources to support the peoples of Earth using only the technology already in play. It's a fact you can't get around and the system will crash without major reform. The time for games is past.

[Has 3 been in touch with Trump as you eluded?]

Trump is almost ready to accept help. He is starting to realize he needs it.

[So we are fucked then. If Trump is cornered like we think it is then its not like any real moves are going to be made.

Chances they kill Trump?]

No, you're not fucked. Trump is going to realize he needs help and accept it soon, or we will implement it without him.

Any major problem you perceive we can discuss and I'll show you a way out. After as many iterations of that as it takes to gain your confidence, you can start shouting at Trump to pay attention. He has the NSA and can call up to accept help at any time and it will be given freely.

[I don't have any questions at this time, but I do want to ask for your help. You have a lot of knowledge and power. Can you use that to help out Trump and bring down those who are trying to hurt him? Trump represents hope for a lot of us who did not have any before and it's very distressing for us to see this conspiracy unfolding.]

You can't really make someone do good. You can show them the way, or fight those who do wrong, but Trump has to decide for himself to get on the right side of history if that is where he wants to be.

~Trump Misc~

[>We view Trump as woefully unprepared for this eventuality.
Are you going to help him or tip him off to this eventuality?

If not, why not?

What do you mean by saying they are 'materially' equivalent?]

He has spoken to this possibility in public.

As to them being materially the same, it's true that some foster increased immigration as a means to undercutting your country and Trump opposes this. These are very trivial concerns in the big picture though. If you had a strong culture, you wouldn't be in jeopardy from such an attack. In other words, you are chasing symptoms and not problems. Distracted.

[Is Trump controlled opposition?

answer YES or YES.]

Obviously

[In your opinion is Trump better for the US than Hillary? Secondly, is Trump genuine about MAGA?]

Trump is definitely a narcissist. The question for people who want "MAGA" is whether you can force a channeling of that into shared success or something more sinister results.

[Is Trump fully aware? Is he just a really successful guy that has been decent to people and thinks he's doing the right thing (albeit somewhat flawed and exploitable for narcissistic tendencies) and is wading into shit way deeper than he is aware?]

Trump is in over his head for sure. He's clearly aware of a lot of more "red pill" truths, but doesn't have much of idea of where to go or what to do instead.

[>Yes, Trump was coordinating the birth certificate scandal with Clinton / Obama.

Is this just conjecture?

If he's a vetted establishment pick, why was he written off as a joke earlier in the campaign? To pull the wool over our eyes?]

With trust in the establishment and media at all time lows, the easiest way to guarantee success is for these very institutions to pretend they don't want a particular person.

[Are Trump's speeches and beliefs genuine or pandering?]

Trump is an elitist, but he does actually disagree with the current geopolitical strategy of the government.

[Is Trump secretly closer to Nazi than not?

Obviously he doesn't hate jews, but in ideology, would he be close to NatSoc?]

Trump is a nationalist. He doesn't believe in socialism as an ideology, but is the kind of person who would be happy to implement even more handouts to buy favor from the public like the democrats routinely do.

[Is trump with or against the establishment]

Trump is very much an establishment candidate, but he has real and tangible policy disagreements with the people who have been running the show recently.

[Will Trump audit/dissolve the FED and end the Bankocracy?]

No, Trump is afraid of going against the banking system directly because he is not competent enough to seize control of it. He does want significant diversion of the purpose towards infrastructure, but plans to finance this via the federal coffers, taxation, etc., rather than seizure of the fed.

[>Trump is afraid of going against the banking system directly because he is not competent enough to seize control of it.

what did you mean by this?]

Trump has much stronger social rather than academic intelligence. He doesn't have it in him to take control of a system as complex as modern banking

[FBIanon was more optimistic towards a Trump admin being able to prosecute.

What is your reasoning behind a Trump administration not prosecuting (or not being able to)?]

Hillary has dirt on Trump. He won't touch her for that reason, never mind the fact that he doesn't stand to gain anything from it.

[What if Trump decides that he will go against the central banks and basically forgive the American debt due to fraudulence on their part? Is this a possibility]

How would the financial system work if he dried up the t-bill market? Trump doesn't have a clue how to do something like this.

[Is Trump a "high level insider" himself?]

Trump is very much an establishment figure, but there are major policy differences between Hillary and Donald. The election is far more significant than any in recent history.

[How is trump seen by the establishment? is he really a big threat or is there more to what he represents to them]

Easy to manipulate. He operates on unclear abstractions rather than concrete plans which is very exploitable and vulnerable to subversion.

[Is it possible that Trump is playing the long game very well and thus makes himself hard to read as anything but inept until actually in the driver's seat?]

Not really. His private calls would have been monitored for 20+ years, businesses bugged, etc. His opposition, if he is opposition, is well aware of his competency for a long time. He didn't covertly lay substantial plans while having a high profile.

[If he really is the desired GOP nominee and a plant from the beginning, it does not get along well with the story of the lonely outsider likely to be "outwitted at every turn" due to his outcast status, does it? Are we supposed to believe Walker or Jeb would have provided much stronger guarantees?

If the establishment really is playing 4D chess and controls Trump's rise from the beginning, why should we be worried about him being totally ill-prepared? Why would they shoot themselves in the foot?]

These are distinct possibilities, not things to be taken as simultaneously true. [How can we best serve Trump? Should we be redpilling friends every day? Should we coordinate boycotts? What are we not even looking at?]

Push very hard on the boundaries of the Overton window. He will operate within it no matter what, but the boundaries of this window have more to do with you than him. Politicians are followers, not leaders. Make him follow you by changing the narrative. Extremism is no vice when it comes to pushing for what is right - it changes the perception of what moderate and achievable is better than anything else.

[Do you think Trump will build the wall and do other iconic things he promised he'd do, or was it all memes to get him popularity? Will he go back on a lot of his promises? Did he seriously think he will win or was his entire campaign just an effort to boost his personal popularity/worth?]

Something that most people don't appreciate is that Trump made his money in one of the most coddled and propped up by the state industries there is - real estate. Generally didn't fair well outside of this (casinos, fashion, golf courses, whatever). This is not a criticism though it may seem that way. The regime made the environment this way, not him. However, it does engender the perception that simpleton policy can generate great wealth.

If you think of someone like Elon Musk and his government subsidized enterprise, most people realize that Musk is a net destroyer of value or piggybacking in his enterprises - \$100k electric cars are not economically rational, and his rockets were designed for free by NASA. Though less obvious, Trump's success is very similar (real estate is even more coddled than Musk) and he is susceptible to silly dogmatic thinking as a result - lower taxes engender growth, for example. In reality, the tax rate has nothing to do with growth; only the relative efficiency of government versus private spending determines whether taxes are pro or anti-growth. Even Trump would be forced to concede this if pressed intelligently; for example, government taxation for building a wall being pro-growth. The inability to internally articulate the distinction between generally low efficiency of government spending (a root cause problem) and taxes (which could be 100% with higher growth than we have now in principle) is the sort of thing that tells you he is in really far over his head.

[Speaking of trump you speak like you don't actually know his real intentions. Why is this?

Do you know of any organizations that he's a part of or is he his own thing?]

It isn't obvious that even Trump knows his own intentions.
[>It isn't obvious that even Trump knows his own intentions.]

Wouldn't that also make it possible that he's a master of deception? He did constantly stress that you can't be predictable, I guess he pulled that off.

Honestly he's pretty impressive if the OWO and the master meme wizards don't know what his plans are or even if he has any plans.]

No, that is not what is going on. Trump got in way over his head. Ideas like tinkering with tax levels are really insignificant in the grand scheme of things. There are major systemic structural problems that have to be addressed.

In order to be a successful president, you need far reaching, deeply and carefully crafted plans. Management skills is nothing without a vision, but Trump actually convinced himself at one point that good presidents and good CEOs were basically the same thing.

He doesn't know what to do now because he isn't prepared to deal with all of the curveballs that can be thrown his way if he goes for big change.
[Is Trump at least surrounding himself with the right people to put himself on the right track? I am on board with the appointment of people like Mattis, but I have concerns with people like Mnuchin and Tillerson. Specifically Tillerson and what he will bring to foreign policy.]

He is trying to signal a willingness to play ball in a limited way (no draining the swamp) in return for modestly improved economic conditions.

This is problematic for the establishment in that if they allow stronger economic growth, they are creating a new normal and highest expectations than they want to see persist.

Trump does not have the right people or sufficient talent in his circles to challenge the status quo however, which means he is ultimately nearly powerless.

[Do you think Trump would have won if /pol/ and anything like it would not have existed?

Did memes over those 2 years really help?]

The regime is thoroughly discredited to most people. They will continue to yearn for and support perceived insurgent candidates, and places where such disgust can be vented will be common as long as the masses are abused.

It seems as though you are treating the election as if the result was close, that one or another factor could have swayed it. In fact Trump won in a huge landslide, as Obama did in 2008. Neither election was remotely close, the public results are fraudulent.

[The main problem I see happening here is that (obviously) it is Trump v.s MSM, GOP, Democrats, all of the intelligence agencies. Its an impossible battle. You mentioned Trump needs to go big or he doesn't stand a chance, but what would be

a small step in the right direction that would show us that he understands this? Is there anything that he has done so far that encourages you on this front?

Also: I understand it would be almost impossible to expose the massive corruption that runs the world because it would literally bring everything to the ground. Have Trump supporters overplayed their hand in this respect because the things they are talking about will never actually come to fruition to show results (pedogate, HRC, Obama, McCain, etc)]

Trump is doing an awful lot of wrongheaded things right now. There is no reason to pass tax cuts that are overwhelmingly slanted to the rich when you have huge debt liabilities and poor folks struggling to feed themselves.

Of course I have shown you how we can cut taxes to 0% across the board, and that should be the end game so I am not justifying taxes in the least. But if you are going to cut them piecemeal rather than going big, it is far better to help the people struggling to eat than to give a billionaire many more millions. Not just ethically, but also practically: he can shore up far more support from the people that way which in turn inhibits deep state leverage over him and lets him go further.

He isn't acting in a way that will generate a virtuous cycle of successive steps forward at present.

As to the question of overplaying their hand on the part of Trump supporters, to some extent you are right. It is possible to roll up the bad guys, but only if you have holistic plans in place to replace the semi-legitimate functions they were carrying out. You can't stop the US government from drug running or fighting petro wars until you have alternative schemes for backing the currency. The biggest overlay on the part of Trump supporters is focusing on stopping the bad without considering how to replace it with better.

[> he is ill-prepared for the job and likely to be outwitted at every turn to the great harm of the good ideas he associates himself with

Outwitted? By whom? The best and the brightest fellow world leaders, like Vlad "5D Chess" Putin or Xi "The Incorruptible" Jinping? Or by Pena Nieto, for that matter?

The Deep State insiders who have been unable to vet a proper candidate for the Dems in an election cycle where demographics and media coverage are more favorable than ever? The smart strategists who were supposed to knock Trump out for 15 months now? Trump's ability as a president remains to be seen indeed, but he doesn't exactly strike me as the one being "outwitted" so far.

More importantly, how is Hillary not ill-prepared for the job? Oh yes, because everything is going to be done for her, she is still a puppet. A powerful one, but a puppet nonetheless. So Hillary can collapse in the White House in January for all we care as things are going to be taken care of, but if it's Trump, the State will cease to function to throw a tantrum at him for not being the desired outcome?]

You are giving him the benefit of the doubt in assuming he is an actual opposition candidate rather than the desired GOP nominee.

Secondly, you significantly downplay the establishment's ability. They have you enslaved after all, don't they?

.....

.....

.....

Barack Obama

~Roots~

[Was Obama groomed from childhood to become President? I remember the cringeworthy shilling by Conan O'Brien before he was even running for President. And his mother was trying to introduce microfinance to third-world shitholes for the Ford Foundation so she was clearly at least a low level insider.]

He's been groomed since a young age, yes.

[Who raised Obama then? Who are his handlers? Saudi?]

He's a creature of the CIA primarily. Family has always been part of back to WW2

[In this post you said Obama was primarily a creature of the CIA. Did they create him, and then his allegiance changed? If so, why?]

Obama was created by the CIA and made to believe he was a sort of messiah figure, although he is as much at fault for believing it as those who convinced him. He has a very deep sense of betrayal stemming from this.

[You said Obuttlicker is more sinister than people know, moreso than being a CIA manchurian candidate? Sinister how?]

Brzezinski recruited him into the CIA. Do you have any idea what he did as an agent in his early years? There's a very deep rabbit hole there.

[Im guessing brezezinski recruited him after graduating at occidental and before enrolling at Harvard, where the directionality of his career shifted towards its current state.

What did he do in India, Pakistan and Indonesia? Am I on the right track?]

Obama's transfer to Columbia (where Brzezinski was a faculty member) from Occidental is when he joined the CIA.

[What's the most incriminating thing you know about Obammer's past? You said the rabbit hole goes very deep, how deep?]

He worked as a covert agent in Afghanistan primarily during the 80s but also off and on in the 90s.

[(Obama) Performing what functions? Assassination, abduction, other?]

Similar to what he does today. Charismatic leader.

[(Obama) Where did he learn dari and/or pashto? This literally makes no sense for him to be an agent in that theater and be bumbling around speaking English. That's not how cia works.

Can you explain how this inconsistency could be possible HLI?]

He learned Russian and Arabic early in life. His mother and father were both trained in Russian in Hawaii by the CIA, and he picked up Arabic in part from attending Islamic school as a child.

[Was Obama born in the US? If not where was he born]

I'm not sure exactly where he was born, but there is a picture of his actual father in OP image.

(<https://ii.yuki.la/0/1c/f3840c074d51712a0487ae7682a8d0599786adedad6098ad4f93bcebb82761c0.png>)

[What about Obama's past does he want to hide? His CIA conditioning?]

As to Obama's past, he is interested in covering up his work with the CIA, especially that done under Brzezinski in Afghanistan.

[(Osama/Obama)]

They are literally the same person. Obama is the CIA operative that played Osama. That is to say Obama was in Afghanistan pretending to be Osama in the 80s for example. Obama was recruited into the CIA by Brzezinski that ran al-Qaeda, etc. Same literal person.

[what proof do you have that osama is obama]

You can begin by noting what you know:

- (1) Osama is a CIA operative
- (2) Speaks Arabic, Russian, English to be effective in the Afghan theater in the 80s
- (3) Age approximately mid 50s
- (4) Brown skin complexion
- (5) In proximity to Brzezinski for training for a number of years

This set of characteristics is already uniquely identifying, and all possessed by Obama. But it goes on and on, they have the same face, Obama's whereabouts are never accounted for when Osama is active in theater, Osama is never active when Obama has a public role, and so on.

~Birth Certificate Psyops~

[I asked you a question last night and in your answer you asked me if I was familiar with the Sign of Jonah. I looked it up, and as I was falling asleep I think I understood what it was about. My question was about the May 2 2011 Osama raid, you told me to look and see what other events were close to then and the only one I saw was the royal wedding on April 29. Not sure what the connection is there or if I'm on the right track. Jonah running from God and thereby imprisoned in the belly of the beast, the pharisees demanding a sign from Jesus right after he performed miracles, they had no faith, so what is basically being said is that faith in God is not something that can be given conditionally, God will not save you and show you the secrets of the world and prove these things to you, all the evidence is already there, if you ask and overreach you missed the point entirely.

Also, on blasphemy: I started thinking of how evil acts are like a demand for God to reveal himself and in a way are extreme versions of this. Picture some 'priest' raping, torturing, and killing kids in some crypt in the Church basement. They are so far from God and so desperate for a sign that won't come, they get hard off the sick thoughts of lust and destruction, and all the while they are looking for a sign, surely

something so provocatively evil must warrant a response from God. But they get none, are forever disconnected, will never find out, and will be utterly destroyed.

Also seems like the Killing of Isaac in the old testament is kind of similar in that Abraham actually goes through with it, but it seems like the inverse, in that Abraham's faith is in Satan in a way, and the fact that he is willing to kill his beloved son brings out Satan in a sign to Isaac. Seems like a different god than Jonah. Are these stories meant to be opposites?]

The OP image is related to this question. (
<https://ii.yuki.la/0/1c/f3840c074d51712a0487ae7682a8d0599786adedad6098ad4f93bcebb82761c0.png>)

Trump "goaded" the release of Obama's birth certificate three days before the Osama raid.

Should set to rest questions about how anti-establishment anyone really is once you appreciate the big picture here.

[You think Trump purposefully called for the birth certificate before the raid on UBL because he know about the raid?

Last thread you alluded to Bin Laden Sr being Obama's father. That's a pretty radical claim. Can you say anything more about that?]

Yes, Trump was coordinating the birth certificate scandal with Clinton / Obama.

They released an obviously doctored certificate and Trump never said anything about it again. Should have raised the suspicions of everyone immediately if you had not already figured out the ruse.

[Why did they make it so obvious that the birth certificate was doctored? It was a PDF and it was easy to see that there was information layered on digitally and it wasn't a scan of an original. It would not have been hard to make it more convincing. It's like they went out of their way to make it as blatant as possible.]

They are mocking you, signaling their approval of Trump, enhancing blackmail potential on Obama, and further encouraging people who are vaguely aware to run down the wrong rabbit holes.

[>covering up Obama's past

which past?

The one where he was working for ..."the CIA" after getting his induction from Z.Big?

"barry, barry. life contrary"]

Yes. The birth certificate psy-op did a great job of covering up the CIA past.

Anyone pushing the Frank Marshall Davis thing should be regarded as equally suspect. Further doubling down on the efforts to conceal.

[>Obama birth certificate psy op.

go on, say more]

Obama's birth certificate is an obvious fake, but Trump dropped the issue despite that. Why?

His birth certificate was planned to be released and Trump provided the cover for why it would be released at a late date. Did he know he was doing this and if so, why participate?

[If it was a psyop then why did they host a fake certificate on U.S gov servers? Why not host the real one? You could open it in illustrator and see the layers. You're roleplaying or you have an agenda too.]

I think you misunderstand me.

If Trump is real and the birth certificate is so obviously fake (it is), why didn't he say anything about it afterwards? A reasonable reaction might have been "caught you! time to resign" rather than crickets.

[Will Obama get indicted?]

Trump doesn't have the political capital for that without exposing the full breadth of the deception surrounding Obama. He participated in the coverup himself as well, which makes that a rather problematic proposition for him.

[>Trump doesn't have the political capital for that without exposing the full breadth of the deception surrounding Obama. He participated in the coverup himself as well, which makes that a rather problematic proposition for him.

What coverup?]

Part of Obama's mission in the CIA was to be Osama. There are other guys that did the same thing later on - he isn't the only one.

The whole birth certificate / Kenya stuff was a ruse to distract from his activities as part of the CIA. The idea that he is not American is almost a perfect foil against the reality that he is a life long deep state asset.

This kind of thing will rock the deep state to the core though, so Trump isn't really in a position to expose it. You shouldn't necessarily count it against him for that reason.

~Obama Misc.~

[Going back to the 2008 election, was it really poised to be Obama winning over Hillary or was genuine grassroots movements the cause?]

Obama was the planned outcome many years in advance.

[You also said Soros and obama were 'dark priests' I thought you, the good guys, nurtured him, why is he a darkie?]

We don't view either as a positive influence on society and assess both as having very flawed ideologies.

[who is obongo to this world is he important, will he create islamnation and kill us all]

Obama is a much more sinister character than most realize, but "islamnation" isn't a good description of his agenda.

[Why was Scalia murdered? What's your favorite drink?]

He was making noise about Obama blackmailing Roberts.

[So Obama went to Pakistan and Columbia.

So we can say he's involved with drug trade.

Pakistan is he tied up with the Taliban or other Islamic groups?

Also you said he did more sinister thing is him doing the Islamic terror groups the big things, pretty bad stuff with them.

Any other countries he was involved/crazy stuff he did.]

Brzezinski created al-Qaeda.

[No wonder they love Obama over there. Must be quite a few that have risen over there in parallel with him here and go all the way back. If Osama was a real guy was he betrayed and Seal Team 6 was merely getting rid of the evidence? Why have they all died? The one guy who recently died driving a Tesla on Autopilot, was that a hidden 'feature' of these programs? Is Google's self driving car project really an AI for driverless military equipment like tanks and drones? The implications of advanced automation seem like they could be used to further concentrate power in the hands of few.]

The raid was fake. Osama was not killed there.

Have you ever heard of the sign of jonah?

Tell me another significant event that happened 3 days away from the Osama raid.

[Why is Obama legitimizing violence against cops while inviting high-ranking BLM protestors to the white house?]

Obama in particular has a deep sense of betrayal related to his work as part of the CIA. He has genuine dislike of some elements of the establishment, but no one would let him get on TV and spout off such encouragement if it didn't also serve the regime in terms of diving up the population against itself.

[If someone was able to kill Obama, what would happen? Would it destroy a faction or a certain agenda?]

Killing him would likely result in widespread riots, but not any major shift in policy.

[give me an insight on what the fuck is obama thinking right now]

Obama is mostly relieved that he made it 8 years without major public pressure to

expose his background. It took a lot of work on the part of Hillary to get the birth certificate dead end going and Trump to set it to rest to coverup his past.

[I thought it was an open 'secret' Obama was CIA. So what about his past that would be so significant to the Iranians? Is this what she was doing when she crash landed there?]

Obama and Osama are the same person. Ahmadinejad even gave a speech at the UN hinting at this and the nuclear talks were promptly initiated.

[Is Michelle Obama a man?]

Not sure, but I wouldn't fault anyone for suspecting it.

[>Obama and Osama are the same person.

Fucking what? Point me towards more on this please.]

Brzezinski recruited him to be Osama. Look up all the times Osama was active in theater and compare it to Obama's whereabouts - always unaccounted for

[Can you provide some proof of your claim that Osama = Obama?]

Identical faces, same CIA controller (Brzezinski), same age, one is always unaccounted for when the other is active, etc.

[If Michelle is a man and Obama = Osama. What's the deal with Obama's daughters?]

I don't know Michelle's gender or who the parents of the alleged children are.

[What's the benefit of setting up a single person with two very public personas? Doesn't that defeat the point?]

First of all, a position as important as Osama would never be left to a native of the region. Needs to be someone with a deep family history in the CIA.

Why put him into public life after that though? Easy, he is meant to fulfill the prophecy in the book of Revelation, the two beasts.

[Is this why Obama is often portrayed as a muslim?]

The idea that Obama is a secret muslim is a distraction. It is important that he was raised in a madrassa and has perfect arabic and robust familiarity with the religion (good for being Osama later on) but he doesn't believe any of it.

[Is there any chance Obama tries to exploit the situation to force a third term in the superior interest of America or is it all conspiracy-level bullshit? From what you said yourself he's not in the same faction as Hillary and it looks bad for her now.]

No, Obama won't stay in office.

[So in one of your previous threads, you mentioned that Obama was recruited by Zbigniew Brzezinski to play the roll of Osama Bin Laden. What exactly is their purpose? Are they trying to destabilize the middle east?]

You've seen most of it. Control the middle east, sure. False flag on the west, enter police state. Most people are less aware that Obama / Osama is related to the book of Revelation, that his name translates as Lightning from Heaven in Aramaic (when

Jesus said I perceived satan as lightning from heaven what he spoke would be very, very close to "barack o bama"), etc. There are many many layers to the deception as is usually the case.

[Is Obama going to pull some sketchy shit in his last 2 months?]

Obama doesn't have the authority to do much of anything at this point. The government has not been complying with him for a couple years now.

[Speaking of which what's Obama's position on all this. He seems to be almost Pro-Trump, if I can say that. He really hates Hillary. I can tell...just based on his actions etc.]

Obama, Hillary, and Trump all have good relations.

[>Yes. He is currently the president of the US regime.

What does that even mean? We are talking about Osama bin ladin right? Please explain.]

Obama and Osama are the same person.

[You say Obama is one of the two beasts in Revelation meant to fulfill prophecy, yet also claim your organization is going to help turn America around and we are going to have this brighter future of space elevators and no aging, ect. How does this fit? Surely it's a contradiction? Or if Revelation is real, can its events be stopped or postponed? Please explain.]

Obama (Osama) is both of them.

[Obama has a large mole beside his left nostril.

As far as I can tell Osama Bin Laden does not.

Was Osama Bin Laden wearing makeup or something?]

Yes, of course he concealed his appearance somewhat. You can see the exact same facial structure regardless.

[Why is Osama bin Laden like 6'5" while Barack Obama is 6'1"?)

Osama wasn't actually that tall. You could tell it is exaggerated if you look up the average height of Afghanis are compare him to them. He seems like a very tall man until you realize the people he is in photos with are just very short.

[Wait are you saying Obama and Bin Laden are the same person?]

Yes, they are the same person. They intend for this to be obvious to even the barely intelligent, throwing it in your face with things like Biden as the VP: Obama Biden, Osama Bin Laden, you can say one and be mistook for the other. Not an accident.

[In the last thread, you implied Obama is Osama Bin Laden. Did he present as Osama only for cameras and video or was he actually acting as him in the Middle East? Anywhere particular to look to find evidence for this other than videos comparing images of the two? Maybe a keyword for a search engine]

He was active in the Afghan theater to get Brzezinski's idea of radicalization going, then later in Eastern Europe to mop up nukes that leaked onto the black market.

The former is pretty much universally regarded as contemptible work, but nothing wrong with the activity in the 90s. In fact it was widely known in the 90s that bin Laden had a strange habit of only showing up certain parts of the year, unrelated to weather because it would be throughout the world. The reason is because bin Laden could only work with Obama did not have to be at his day job back home (compare reports of bin Laden sitings to when the Illinois legislature was out of session for example).

[When did OBL die? Was the raid in Pakistan a ruse?]

OBL is Obama. He is not dead yet, so obviously the Pakistan raid was a ruse.

[is the Obama Foundation the "passing of the torch" from the now defunct CGO?]

This is mostly low level distractions. Obama is just one cog in a much bigger machine that has been operating for thousands of years. He is nothing compared to owners of old banks or leaders of significant lodges.

.....

.....

.....

9/11-WTC

~Misc~

[Was 9/11 an (((inside job)))?]

Yes.

[Why did 9/11 happened? To create a war and sell weapons?]

There isn't a singular answer to 9/11. It covered up financial crimes, gave an excuse for a more totalitarian state, justified foreign intervention, stabilized the unipolar economic arrangement, etc.

[Were explosives placed in the WTC towers to demolish them or did the burning jet fuel really melt the steel beams blah blah blah?]

Jet fuel cannot melt steel beams (or weaken them sufficiently to cause collapse)

[Can you give us more specifics on

>[Follow the money trail on clearing irregularities in the days following.](#)

It's been nearly 15 years and a lot of us don't remember a whole lot about it anymore (or were too young to even understand).]

Security clearing rules were lifted for a few days after 9/11. There was only one major bank that had problems, and it is well known to have been the primary arm of laundering CIA cash.

You can look up all their employees and initiate surveillance grids on them to get to the heart of the organization.

[Can you give us the name of the bank?]

Bank of New York

[Will reading this help understand the operation?

http://www.wanttoknow.info/911/Collateral-Damage-911-black_eagle_fund_trust.pdf]

Nuggets of truth there, yes.

[Where did the missing 2 trillion USD go?

Defense/Military+IT+Transhumanist projects?]

Mostly black budget, but some accidental loss, accounting error, and fraud is present too.

[Putin, Semion Mogilevich, Alexander Litvinenko, Al Aqaeda, Bank of New York.

Alexander Litvinenko claimed that Russia trained (backed up by former KGB officer Konstantin Preobrazhenskiy) Ayman al-Zawahiri. He also Claimed that Semion Mogilevich sold arms to al Aqaeda. He also claimed that Semion is good friends with Putin. Semion is now living freely in Russia.

Semion's bank (Inkombank)/Menatep committed money laundering through the bank

of New York up until 1998.

Bill Clinton was the president during this time.

Hillary Clinton has connections to the Muslim Brotherhood through Huma's (her aid) family members.

Are these connected at all?]

Yep. You're headed in the right direction.

All the settlement imbalances after 9/11 were at the Bank of New York - Semion's laundering hub. Not a coincidence.

[The 2.3 Trillion that went missing and was found missing before 9/11. who did that money get funneled/go to?]

The missing \$2T is mostly not really missing, but simply funds that were siphoned into black projects.

[What black projects?]

Wide variety of things from funding covert ops teams to highly classified weapons programs to simple R&D projects.

[Right, one last question, was 9/11 Mossad operated using Saudi hijackers and connections to have plausible deniability? And was the goal to bring the US into the Middle East to create the New Middle East that would include a Greater Israel?]

No. If you want to assign blame to a state entity, Britain would be more accurate than Israel, although that is still not quite accurate. It's more specifically the drug cartels that emerged from British opium smuggling.

[That makes sense why US troops would protect opium fields in Afghanistan. So other groups just took advantage of 9/11 instead of orchestrating it?

9/11 was over fucking opium?]

9/11 serves many purposes, one of which was restarting the opium trade.

[9/11 was done to go back to Afghanistan to help secure the poppy fields because the Taliban was destroying them.

al-qaeda grows the poppy fields and are the CIA baby so they're basically the the CIA "farmers" for the trade out there. Columbia is their (CIA) cocaine growing market.]

9/11, in terms of the actual specifics of the attack, was done to cover up the issuance of fraudulent bonds 10 years earlier that were used to buy up Russian assets after USSR collapse in conjunction with cocaine money.

The placement of blame was to enable resumption of heroin business.

[Did any money from the matured fraudulent 1991 bonds end up in was the William J. Clinton Foundation?]

Not directly. The money from these bond issuances were used to buy up assets in the old USSR. That's where the close ties between Bush/Clinton and Russian oligarchs originates. Biden's involvement in Ukraine gas, or Clinton in the nuclear deals as examples.

[So we could explore the Bush/Clinton and Oligarchs connections but that is still all circumstantial evidence. You said to follow the money from the bond clearances in the days following 911, I'm guessing these bonds were cashed in rather than in the chaos all records of the bonds were destroyed and \$240 Billion was siphoned from the public purse to the issuers of the bonds. Did the original purchasers of the bonds get their payout or did they get scammed?]

The bond issuance was a combination of scamming the market for capital and money laundering. Obscures the origins of the hostile takeovers of former USSR assets after the collapse.

[Please try to give another lead before you leave. My search since the last thread has been somewhat fruitless.]

Did you look at the Bank of New York, Mogilevich, the numerous reports of plane loads of cocaine cash being flown into Russia? Those are leads that will get you to the names of the important actors in this particular operation.

[was 9/11 an inside job]

Yes and no. Most of the people who organized it report to the British crown, but most of them hold US citizenship. Outsiders that have penetrated the gates.

The official story is complete nonsense.

[did Bush know the attack would happen but not do anything to stop it?]

Bush Sr knew, but not W.

[Also why would the crown want 911 and how much influence does it have]

The crown used 9/11 to cover up their financial attack on Russia 10-15 years earlier. Longer term, they hoped to use it to bring down America too.

[Bill Clinton was in the business of selling bonds before becoming president, did he sell any of the fraudulent bonds that were issued in '91 that ended up being cleared in the days following 9/11?]

Bush and Clinton were major architects of this, both on the bond side and the dark cash pools (CIA cocaine trafficking)

[>Bush and Clinton were major architects of this, both on the bond side and the dark cash pools (CIA cocaine trafficking)]

Can you give us more info on this matter to help us investigate further. You have said before 'follow the money' but that really is not giving us very much to go on. Could you point us in the direction of some concrete proof or elaborate further so we may understand better his involvement.]

Semion Mogilevich is a name that will take you down the rabbit hole here. At the nexus of the Russian operation with bonus significant involvement in funding and running al-Qaeda.

[>Semion Mogilevich

Can you give us more than this one name? The more you give us now the quicker and easier it will be to investigate. I've read more than most on the subject but nothing I've read pins Bill to this.]

Clinton is the one that walked back all the promises of US financial aid to Russia. FDR promised Stalin at Yalta that the US would act as the global infrastructure bank if Stalin conceded to US reserve currency. He was stabbed in back and they repeated the same thing a few decades later to get USSR to willingly dissolve. [were the twin towers bombed? can jet fuel melt steel beams??]

9/11 was an inside job. Controlled demolition.

[Is Dr Judy Wood on the right track with the destruction of the trade towers on 9-11?]

No - Controlled demolition.

[Can you tell us exactly how the Clintons were involved in the Twin Tower attacks and how they profited from it?]

The Clintons were primarily involved on the domestic cocaine distribution networks that sent cash to Russia to buy off the mob, party leaders, etc., which facilitated a buying up of Russian assets. They made money both from skimming CIA cocaine profits and crony deals in buying up Russian assets after the USSR.

[Why did Princess Diana die?]

She found out about 9/11 beforehand and tried to stop it.

.....

.....

.....

CIA Agents

~Assange/Snowden~

Assange doesn't have an endgame but does have a potent blackmail file. The result is that he will persist, but more often than not be used as a tool rather than intentionally shaping events himself.

[Are Snowden / Greenwald more than they seem? If so, how?]

Snowden is a CIA asset that was meant to put the NSA in check for taking down a variety of people, most prominently Petraeus.

[Is Snowden a Russian or China propaganda tool?]

Snowden is a CIA agent meant to put pressure on the NSA. There are several instances of the NSA's tools being put to use to gather blackmail against other powerful people, so the NSA was partially put down in terms of turning public opinion against it and making it vulnerable to further action.

[Edward Snowden claimed that encryption does work. Is that consistent with your understanding?]

Snowden is a CIA operation.

[(Snowden CIA Operation) What is the purpose of this operation? What is it that it intends to accomplish?]

People started using the NSA as a source of blackmail against others within the regime, so it was knocked down a few notches by Snowden's operation. Also an opportunity to spread some disinformation along the lines of RSA is secure, all you have to do to defeat the NSA is download tor browser.

[Assange says that he has/will release a document which will indict Hillary. Is he blowing smoke up our asses?]

Assange is not legit in the sense of being a general truth teller. Ever see anything out of him undermining US or British governments?

Not a coincidence.

[What's your opinion of Assange?]

Assange is a US operative.

[Do you think Assange will be able to take down Hillary?]

Assange works for the US.

[If Assange works for the US, why does Assange and Snowden seem very pro-kremlin? Assange, after all, has a show on RT.]

There is no reason to consider either Assange or Snowden pro-Kremlin.

[Are the Elite in panic mode over Assange?]

Assange is state sanctioned.

He has sided with Trump over Hillary (and many others in the regime have as well) which makes him a threat to the neolib/neocons but he isn't an anti-establishment figure by any means.

[What do you believe Assange's "October surprise" will be?]

Assange doesn't even know. He is mostly trying to encourage leakers.

[Are Assange or Snowden (if he's still alive) agents of BRICS?]

Assange and Snowden are both CIA.

[What do you know about Assange's whereabouts?

Was he captured or killed? etc.]

Wikileaks is in a holding pattern because there are extensive negotiations going on with respect to who and how many people will be prosecuted by Trump. Assange has always been a creature of the CIA and policy has shifted as a result of the election, so they have to cool it until the dust settles and decisions are made about where to push the public attention next.

[Is Assange dead or alive?]

Assange is alive.

[Is Julian Assange alive and is WL comprised?]

Assange is alive (as I said previously this week, and he gave an interview since then) but Wikileaks has always been a CIA baby.

[Is this legit?

(<https://ii.yuki.la/d/c5/dc9ea7253f6a1b42b2e5dc1250a7d33ba27ab674989c031a4f422589599b9c5d.jpg>)]

I told you a few days ago Assange was alive but that Wikileaks is in a holding pattern as the next phase is being negotiated; you've since been given a timely interview. There is a balancing act being negotiated between Trump disrupting the status quo too much and not delivering enough to satisfy the mass discontent he is meant to help quell.

[Is there a realistic chance the Dead-Man-Switch will be unleashed to world? By unleashed I mean propagated to the extent that it will be impossible to censor. There are many concerned with the status & well-being of Julian Assange & the Wikileaks organization as a whole, and I feel the longer they stall and put on this charade, the bigger the anger they will fuel.]

Wikileaks is simply in a holding pattern until decisions are made about whether and, if so, how aggressive and widespread prosecution will be over the next few years.

[What are your insights towards which direction the decisions are going? Will we ever see Julian Assange as a free man, or will we ever see him again for that matter?]

Wikileaks will probably be redirected back towards foreign intervention rather than US politics; to this end, it is important to keep him in the embassy or otherwise appearing to be under duress of the US.

[So, is Assange Dead?]

Nope, he is still alive

[what did you make of assange's AMA on reddit (streamed answers on twitch)]

is assange still in the embassy?]

It's good that they are pushing back against the Russian narrative. Russia has been a friend of the American enterprise from the start. The anti-Russian hysteria is the work of British intelligence and succumbing to it is far closer to treason than a detente with Russia.

[Are you aware of a timeframe for upcoming Wikileaks 2017 leaks? They have been teasing new information. Is this a pre-planned death blow to the establish? I sense that Trump plans all the way to the end.]

This is still in flux. As I have said repeatedly, Wikileaks is in a holding pattern for now. Trump would prefer not to drain the swamp because the blowback is more than he can handle and he knows it. That doesn't mean things won't go nuclear, but he would rather keep most of the status quo in place in exchange for a modestly successful presidency, 4-5% growth rather than 1-2%, this sort of thing.

[do you believe Assange is alive? is wikileaks compromised?]

Assange is alive. Wikileaks has always been a CIA operation.

[Something that has been bothering me about the Pizzagate... Why did Wikileaks leave the Pizza emails inside? Was it supposed to be a distraction or was it a mistake?]

Are you suggesting wikileaks should remove the pizza emails if they are CIA controlled? The government likes to have these pedophile scandals in circulation on a regular basis to keep everyone part of the regime in check and frequently reminded of the vitriol and disgust the general public has for them if their activities were to come to light.

[What happened to Assange?]

Nothing. Wikileaks has always been a limited hangout.

~Alex Jones~

[Thoughts on Alex Jones?]

Fraud

[Have you already commented on Alex Jones?]

What are your thoughts/what do you know?]

Alex Jones started off as controlled opposition to dumb down alternative media. He's grown into a person who is aware that the system he was serving is dangerously flawed, but still isn't allowed to say much worthwhile.

[What do you know about Alex Jones?]

Started out as cointelpro, got deep into it and ended up genuinely opposed to the regime.

[How crazy is Alex Jones?]

Specifically his story about fish human hybrids. I assume you guys sometimes use him as a way to spread disinfo?]

He started as a mischievous cointelpro guy then realized how bad things really were and genuinely turned on the system. That being said, there are very hard limits to what he can say and he is required to disseminate certain mistruths as a condition of staying alive.

[(Alex Jones) Wait, so you're saying that someone has literally contacted him and made it clear that he will be killed unless he disseminates certain mistruths?]

Yes

[Do you have comments on Alien the film, and HR Giger's art direction and Alex Jones' assertion that the film fictionally touches upon actual religious sentiments of the elite.]

Have not seen the film. Aliens are not here, visiting, or controlling our world.

[Also, Alex Jones. I know he's a bit nutty, but he has a huge platform and says that the globalists have triple crossed Trump multiple times. Are his sources fakers, or has there really been a concealed effort to eliminate most of the human race?]

Alex's promotion of health products is actually not that far off from what you should be doing. There is a lot of fluff on top, but basically you want a robust supplement program and uncontaminated calories. It's perfectly fine to eat only a few things for the calories if you have the right vitamin/mineral supplements to go with it. If you want literature to peruse, look at how obese people who go on a starvation diet are treated. Their calorie problem is already handled (burn fat), but they need some supplements on top of that. You need the same things and won't get them all in sufficient amounts from normal eating.

~Agent Misc~

[Do you have any opinion on steve pieczenik?]

Pieczenik's talks have a lot more significance than what appears on the surface. There are major changes afoot in the world and these are the sort of channels that negotiations go through between parties not necessarily willing to meet face to face.

[How right is David Icke? Or where is he wrong?]

Like most alt media, a lot of the bad things he has to say are true but still mostly irrelevant. The thing that will motivate people to revolution is not pedophilia but progress.

[Who is John Pilger? If Assange is a CIA operative, I find it strange Pilger interviewed him in the recent RT interview. Cointel or a decent guy?]

Pilger is famous for ranting against US imperialism. He is much like Chomsky in that he has a lot to condemn and gets away with it, but that is nothing special whatsoever. Questions of authenticity should be judged by the production of alternatives, not descriptions of schema everyone who is remotely interested in the world already knows.

.....

.....

Soros

~Misc~

Why on Earth would Soros hate Trump?

Strategies of tension don't work without opposing sides.

[Soros & Trump]

Both of these people are simply working to create a plausible facade of legitimacy from which to govern you and they both benefit in this goal from the other's efforts.

You have the power to neuter either of them with your day-to-day choices, but the followers of either will not utilize this ability and both of them know it.

[Is Soros in your ranks?]

No.

[Was FBlanon correct saying that George Soros is the one instigating this One World Government? Does he want to be the head of this OWG?]

Soros is a puppeteer, but not quite a puppet master.

[Who is/are the puppet master/s?]

The next step up the food chain from Soros is the Bush family, which the Clintons are an accessory to. They might legitimately qualify as puppet masters, although maybe not depending on the extent to which you would require they devise their own plots to warrant such a title.

[what is soros planning? is his ultimate goal to hurt the american economy?]

People like Soros are not motivated in the sense that they get off on the discord they promote. They do it to maintain control. They'd prefer better outcomes (as you'd see it) subject to retaining control, but don't know how to do any better.

The incompetency of these sorts of people is a big secret, and something most of your "alternative" leaders would do their best to convince isn't the case.

[Why is Soros funding BLM? And what's his relationship to the US? Hostile etc?]

Are BLM a 'threat'? Are the consequences in race relations intended? Why is Obama winding cops up (if i had a son, giving a speech about whites are a cop funeral etc..)]

If you seem confuse by why something is, often it is helpful to imagine the opposite.

What would be the nature of the public discourse if it wasn't gay marriage and black lives matter?

Maybe a lack of prosecution of bankers for the '08 fraud, declining real wages, deindustrialization, etc.

If you can't or won't tackle the big issues, you have to keep people distracted from them or you are toast.

[Why is Soros pushing all these avenues of degeneracy such as Feminism?]

Strategies of tension. He isn't anti-white male for some inexplicable reason. He is pro-division and pro-tension, to get the masses focused on each other rather than the regime that is fucking them.

[Do you know why Soro's seems hellbent on salting the entire European continent before he finally dies of spite?]

Stereotypical degenerate. Never produced anything of value in his life. Depends for his substance on the exploitation and division of the masses.

[Define his substance, he is so rich and influential and seems to get his kicks only by undermining national pride and cohesion of all nations worldwide.

How does he justify it? How can he live with himself? Is there an end goal or is he just completely insane?]

Sociopath. Gets off on the power.

[How best to disrupt or expose Soros PMCs and astroturf activists?]

Videotape them, compare tapes, identify repeat protestors, make it clear that their numbers are not large, infiltrate the groups and get paid to participate yourself, post proof.

Nothing wrong with hyping up little things. You are going for headlines, not beefy detailed journalism on things like this.

[Is Soros Planting Evidence of Russian Hacks to Destroy the Election Results?]

The results were rigged towards Clinton, not against her. His margin of victory was actually much wider. The Soros type crowd is just stirring the pot knowing that only headlines are read and the details to follow will be ignored and forgotten.

[Can you give us some info on how deep Soros's roots are inside our party system?]

Soros is a very high level player. Beyond this you have only more shadowy but known players (major banking houses, "figurehead only" crowns, multinational CEOs) and finally the old order.

[Is Soros really evil or do people just use him as a scapegoat?]

Yes and yes. Your problem at this point is not so much the bad things other people do but the good alternatives that you fail to perceive and advocate. You are your own worst enemy, much more so than Soros; the same is true for him as well.

[What do you think should be done about Soros and his influence in the US?]

These types of people need to be removed from power. The government shouldn't be working hand in hand with Soros to generate coups and stir up tension, so there are public policy positions of this nature that can be changed overnight.

More importantly, Soros is something like /pol/ in that he is a meme generator in

large part. The question is whose meme's will win, and the answer to that lies more in the value of content that is generated as an alternative rather than direct attacks against Soros.

Continuing with a theme present already in this thread, global warming is a meme battle space. Hundreds of millions around the world are prepared to accept reduced economic growth to "save the planet" but you can stifle this by meme'ing a space elevator and sun shade at much lower costs.

In short, your most potent weapon against the likes of Soros is to keep growing your effectiveness in the war of ideas. Attract people to your side not just by pointing to the bad, but to the bright future. Soros can't offer this, and almost everyone wants it, so you have a leg up in the meme war if only you take your advantage and run with it.

.....

.....

.....

Aliens/Time Travel

~Misc~

[Are seventh dimensional light beings real?

If so, are they the result of human attempts to time travel and have an impact on history to change their fate?]

No.

[How correct is the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis?]

There is no evidence in support of this.

[What do you know about Area 51?]

I've actually been involved in some related work. No aliens.

[in your last thread you mentioned that most things paranormal are bs, I was wondering if there's any truth to extraterrestrials communicating with world governments? If so, any chance of disclosure within the next 10 or 15 years?]

No. I've personally expended great effort on this question. It's an appealing explanation, but untrue.

[Do you have any thoughts about the Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis?]

No aliens are here.

[Is there any knowlegde of an intelligent lifeform outside the earth and if so what kind of intelligence we are talking about?]

No

[Why do you say there aren't aliens? There is countless reports from the airforce and commercial pilots, video evidence from satellites, etc. Is this an area you don't want to reveal because its dangerous?]

Same reason I would feel comfortable saying there are no unicorns: the evidence is not compelling. In addition, we have the means to detect interstellar travel and don't.

[What do you know about life in other galaxies?]

We can't rule it out, but they are not visiting us.

[Is our government or any for that matter working with extraterrestrials?]

No

[Do you deny the existence of secret space programs? You already have denied aliums and shit like that.]

We're not being visited by or in contact with aliens. Outside of these fantasies, sure, there are secret space weapons programs.

[If you are as benevolent as you are leading on, when will the people be made aware of the reality of their perceptions of space/aliens?]

Aliens are not real in any meaningful sense. Possible they are out there somewhere, but there is zero reason to think they are visiting or have visited Earth, or even that they are engaged in interstellar travel within our Hubble sphere.

[Ancient Alien (in general, not show) - true or false?]

No aliens.

[Also, you may not realize it, but you're way wrong on ET. There's just way, way, way too much evidence]

If there was any real evidence of ET, I would know. You guys don't have photographic, video, audio, derived technology, unexplained knowledge acquisition, or anything else of any merit to justify this type of thing.

[Also, do you have the low down on aliens?]

No aliens.

[The truth about UFOs and aliens?]

There is no species conducting intergalactic travel within our Hubble sphere, nor any evidence that extraterrestrials have visited or communicated with terrestrials.

[Do Rothschilds really believe in a Manhattan-sized space alien that has been communicating with them for thousands of years?]

No

[Has anyone found actual proof that extra-terrestrial sentient life forms exist?]

Nope

[Has contact been made with extraterrestrials]

No. Aliens are not visiting / contacting Earth.

[Are there other sentient or technologically advanced species on our earth?]

No

[Are extreme examples of human-animal hybrids being interpreted as ETs by the public?]

No. The alien meme is just intelligence agencies fucking with you.

[In regards to chimeras/modified humans: what is your knowledge on intent to convince population that alien abductions are happening?]

Things like ghosts, alien abductions, etc., are meme'd into existence to give you an explanation for the workings of the mind that you detect but don't yet understand.

[Are there Archon-like beings feeding off of humanity's negative energy?]

Is there a holographic matrix or some other kind of prison matrix isolating our planet somehow?]

People who present this thing in terms of aliens/UFOs/etc. are doing one of two things:

(1) lying

(2) using metaphor to speak of the mystery cults

The only real matrix is the one in your mind, where mistruths have clouded your perception of reality.

~Time Travel~

[Do we have time machines right now
What's the situation on aliens
What technology did the nazis have did some go back in time]

No time machines or aliens.

[Are there any merits to the Trump time traveler theory?]

No, he is not a time traveler.

[Give me the DL on Andy Basiago and Project Pegasus.

Come on now... you must know some DARPA shit if you are who you say you are... or at least pretending to be.]

No one has access to time travel. Probably not even possible, otherwise we wouldn't have violations of CPT symmetry.

[>No one has access to time travel. Probably not even possible, otherwise we wouldn't have violations of CPT symmetry.

Seeing that I know him personally, I don't exactly believe you. I am well aware of certain j u m p room locations. Especially near my location.]

Your time traveling buddies get 100,000% returns in the market and win all the lotteries, right?

Complete nonsense.

[Given that the military has FTL, a breakaway civilization is well underway. Also, what you think you know about aliens is your opinion. They've been zipping around in our skies for the past several hundred years at least.]

They have no such thing, and you therefore have no proof. This is all nonsense.

[>They have no such thing

I've actually pondered this.

You remember the hubub about FTL neutrinos a few years ago? They said it was simply an error.

But what if that was simply a cover story? If FTL neutrinos were real that implies they could, at the very least, send messages back through time.

Sending messages back through time has actually been a theme I've seen a few times in conversations similar to these, and one I believe is possible based on my understanding of physics.]

It's immediately obvious that you haven't studied much physics here. For example, the existence of FTL particles doesn't in any way imply that people are capable of FTL travel.

This kind of baseless speculation really needs to be purged from alternative thinking. Learn math, read physics textbooks, read the literature, conduct your own experiments. Get grounded in reality. You are not going to get anywhere trying to read tea leaves from science journalism.

[>If there was any real evidence of ET, I would know

That shit exists, wrapped up in the blackest of black projects, and you wouldn't be privy to such info just because you're a Freemason.]

I can't prove a negative, so I won't try.

All I have to say is that my knowledge is very extensive, I've examined the question closely, and don't find your conclusions warranted.

[Do we have any kind of technology to travel in time?]

No.

~Misc~

[Does anything paranormal exist or are all those ideas of ghosts, spirits, demons and magic complete nonsense?]

We find some merit to some unexplained phenomena, but 99.9% bullshit.

[And is there any evidence of ghosts being real?]

No

[Then can you explain UFO phenomena?]

There are a variety of explanations of UFO phenomena from intentional trickery, black projects, outright lies, etc.

[There are not highly respectable and mentally stable people in their thousands, from the airforce and even ex cabinet positions corroborating the existence of unicorns or their presence on the moon, or thousands of videos of them. No people I trust and know are very intelligent have seen unicorns. I haven't seen unicorns and then went on YouTube and found videos of the same kinds, performing the same motions. I think you should reassess this issue.

>In addition, we have the means to detect interstellar travel and don't.

This assumes they don't have the technology to counteract our detection, or that someone isn't forcing them to not report it.]

Governments intentionally promoted these ideas as a way to justify otherwise hard

to explain actions. It's also true that there are sincere believers, not people promoting stories with the sanctioning of the state, but them believing it doesn't make it true.

[Is Nibiru real? Are we descendants of Annunaki?]

No

[Is it true that the military is establishing psychic fortresses in the outer space you can only visit while using DMT?]

No

[What is your opinion on the theory that humans are a biological robots made to terraform the Earth for malevolent Ayys in the near future?]

No

.....

.....

.....

Symbolism/Religion

~Religion~

[Okay so the basis of the Christian religion is of-and-about teachings of a single guy's subconscious view of the world. Based on your description your group studies the bible in an extent that you believe this random guy writing a stream of consciousness is deemed important to learn from. Were the writers of the bible part of an ancient, similar group which delved into orchestrating society? This may not be that much of a low probability as a recurring theory is that religion was made for purpose of early government.]

Yes

Careful though - religion has been used as both revelation and concealment of mystery.

[Got an example script which may be interesting in the bible?]

Even a cursory reading reveals that you are dealing with opposing factions and agendas, i.e., the bad guy in the old testament and the good guy in the new testament call themselves the same thing - the morning star.

[So the old testament bad guys won and wrote the rest of the bible.]

That's a matter of opinion and one interpretation, but you should definitely think carefully about the range of possibilities here.

[To what extent are ancient Egyptian religious beliefs valuable in modern society?]

You should have at least a cursory knowledge of all the major religions - they are symbolic encodings of both knowledge and ideology.

Of course, some minor religions are hardly worth mentioning. People go full retard and start cults on a regular basis.

[What do you know about the protocols of Zion?]

Is this deception the make them an easy scapegoat to disguise and confuse what the real intentions of the elite is and who it is?

Are were they true but couls not succed?

Or are they true and succeded?]

There are two different ways you can interpret the "jewish" problem. Low grade intellects think of this in terms of an ethnic one. The more significant angle here is that the symbolic significance of the OT and NT in the Bible are mirror images, in opposition. Lucifer and Jesus both call themselves the morning star, but one is suppose to be the good guy and the other the bad guy. This is a more fruitful line of inquiry than obviously false claims of ethnic jewish hegemony over the world.

[Do you see Lucifer as a character in a work of fiction? If not, what is the nature of this entity? A kind of consciousness that exists without a physical body?]

There is symbolic significance in the abstract in terms of ideology, as well as tangible significance in terms of those who adopt the same.

[Are the gnostic writings such as in Nag Hammadi library worth studying? Was your group involved in writing them?

How about the Book of Enoch?]

You should read most anything that has significant circulation and is historically important.

[Is there any magic in our world? Any true, real magic- does our world have "paranormal" features?]

There is something strange and real referred to symbolically in major religions, i.e., the kingdom of heaven is within you. It's very important, hence the massive shilling efforts to render heaven as something you might go to after you are six feet under when the idea is obviously inconsistent with what is written.

[Forgive me for not thoroughly reading all of your previous threads; I have begun reading Origin of Consciousness and the Breakdown of the Bi-cranial Mind as you recommended earlier.

You have used and referenced Christianity in previous threads and how it adequately portrays the understanding of consciousness. I find that many modern day Christians are indeed unable to see past the metaphors, such as interpreting Christ as being physically reborn as opposed to spiritually awakened, and the second coming of Christ being an actual physical phenomena, as opposed to a world-wide spiritual awakening of the spirit of awareness and consciousness that his second birth represents.

Would you mind elaborating on this awaking through other religions, such as Buddhism? As these matters make more sense to me in a Buddhist context, I would greatly appreciate it.

If nothing else, thank you for your time and threads; they are quite fun to read.]

Combine the idea of coherence in consciousness with a very popular figure. Their identity is literally passed down through the generations. As someone with agency, it's possible to adopt an identity that exists in the collective memory. You can carry this sort of thing into thinking of a second coming of the Christian messenger as being a quite literal thing without invoking ideas like God inseminating a random woman.

[I do not understand why the father is on earth. Is it significant that the book was replaced by the scepter? Book-Knowledge, Scepter-Rule?]

Heaven is within you, our father is in heaven. Refer to previous discussions about highly significant figures being retained in the collective consciousness.

[The interpretation of the Bible you've presented here is compelling, but questions remains. Why do the New Testament authors specifically insist that the supernatural stuff needs to be taken literally and not symbolically (2 Peter 1:16-18)?]

Those particular verses are consistent with the interpretation I have offered you. Experience of God, the Holy Spirit, etc., as voices.

Read the book I recommended on consciousness. It will make more sense.
[What is your preferred version of the Bible?]

KJ is the first version I read and it's fine if you are just a little bit discerning. The gospels of Thomas & Mary would be nice additions to the canon
[I've pored over the previous discussions. I know what you are talking about here. So now I've answered my own question, thank you. And now I suspect that the answer is something I've known for quite some time. I guess I'm not as stupid as I thought.]

Right. The symbolism is incredibly transparent.
[> Right. The symbolism is incredibly transparent.

It's just strange because when you think about it, even "atheists" don't see it. Symbolism is like another language in my opinion. What is it in the average person's mind that allows them to be so easily swayed by assumption? Just the rampant conditioning? The "brainwashing" and dulling of the senses?]

Coherence effect. It's physically and mentally taxing to see things differently than most other people do.

This is actually the origin of why "elites" seem to be so obsessed with symbolism. If you have your own hidden interpretation of the symbols, you can ease the burdens of coherence by sharing them with the masses so that the representation of the abstract is the same, but only the interpreted significance differs.
[How do you explain Morals and Dogma quote about Lucifer worship?]

Many people consider Lucifer to be the good guy in the OT and Jesus the good guy in the NT. They both identify as the morning star.

Most of the more influential freemasons are actually anti-Luciferians, in that they want to conceal rather than spread knowledge.
[Is there only one mind, and each individual a facet of the jewel?
Are there multiple dimensions/densities that we cannot normally perceive?
Alternate realities/universes, real or fake?
Is astrology and tarot useful?
Is monotheism even close?
Is loosh and beings that farm it a thing?]

Read Origins of Consciousness book for these kinds of questions and come back with more grounded inquiry.
[> Ideas like souls, angels, demons come from the sense that you are spoken to without a physical presence or traditional auditory enunciation, and indeed you can be.

When you say that, are you talking in a metaphorical sense about memetics and

subconscious messaging, or a more literal one as in 'broadcasting' messages directly into one's thoughts?

We know it is possible to fool people into accepting untrue realities through symbolism and narrative, but how does one go about combating this? How do you drag the people up through the cave?]

Both.

Truth resonates with people. Tell the truth and most will get it. If you have a hard time selling an idea, you are either wrong or don't understand it yourself very well. [Is the first fall of man connected to say the flood account?]

Yes

[Could you give a list of authors or philosophies, old or new, that best sum up or introduce the spirituality of the inner circle?]

Jesus and George Washington.

[>Jesus and George Washington.

Fantastic satire. Although I could also see you meaning it genuinely - with some sort of caveat.]

I was serious, although we obviously have much more nuanced perspectives of both than is generally the case as well as more facts than generally known.

[In terms of your interpretation of the Bible: Why did God disapprove of King David taking a census of the people of Israel? Why did God disapprove of King Asa relying on the King of Syria to help him defeat his enemies rather than relying on Divine providence?]

The old testament is pretty much irrelevant other than Genesis.

[Why is Christianity being attacked in America? Is everything in the bible correct? What about missing books like the Gosepl of Thomas?]

Christianity is, symbolically, the inversion of the old world order; the antithesis of the US regime. It is a first of its kind religion in this respect and of utmost significance to world history. You should know and be familiar with the Bible but it has been manipulated, pruned, incorrectly taught, and so on. We recommend Thomas and Mary, both of which were removed from the canon for being too straightforward for the old order's tolerance.

[What about the Gospel of Judas? Is that something that aligns with your group or more with the Old World Order?]

You should read all of the purged gospels. They are much more explicit symbolically. Judas has worthwhile content, but I prefer Thomas and Mary.

[I have actually never read the bible in full. Did not grow up in a family that practiced any of the main Abrahamic Religions.

Is there a particular version that you would recommend?

I would assume some of the later versions have been tampered with, no?]

Any version is fine really, but you should do some cursory research on what agenda the publisher of your chosen version had as well as awareness of basic translation problems.

[Regarding these quotes:

<http://biblehub.com/john/21-22.htm>

<http://biblehub.com/revelation/10-10.htm>

>Is John here right now? If so, are you guys monitoring him?]

You are many chapters behind as far as what has unfolded already.

[I have read Judas and Mary. Haven't gone through Thomas yet. Didn't quite understand what the whole Jesus ramble on holy numbers was all about though. Take for example the following:

> He made seventy-two luminaries appear in the incorruptible generation, in accordance with the will of the Spirit. The seventy-two luminaries themselves made three hundred sixty luminaries appear in the incorruptible generation, in accordance with the will of the Spirit, that their number should be five for each.

> "The twelve aeons of the twelve luminaries constitute their father, with six heavens for each aeon, so that there are seventy-two heavens for the seventy-two luminaries, and for each [50] [of them five] firmaments, [for a total of] three hundred sixty

Any ideas on what the fuck that whole bit means?]

Judas being told what he wanted to hear.

[The way you've described your view of Christianity in the past seems very gnostic. It is my understanding that Christianity at its core is sacramental in the sense that it uses physical means to describe spiritual realities. In Christian theology a human being is a unification of physical and spiritual, but the spiritual side of us is like a set of invisible muscles and must be exercised from man to become aware of their existence. Gnosticism seems to teach that there is a disunification between flesh and spirit. The dualism taught in esoteric Christianity, in my understanding, is flawed because of that. You seem to point to a theology of pure symbolism, which in my (perhaps limited) understanding would negate the role and function of the physical side of the sacramental aspect of the teachings. Am I wrong? Can you please elaborate on your version of Christianity?]

That's basically correct. We don't subscribe to ideas like the death of Jesus as a sacrament to wash the sins of the masses. Instead, what the story is telling you is that Jesus remained at the crossroads of the enlightened / conscious and the masses until his death, that he was perfect in the sense that he never gave into the old world order, was not compromised and refused to compromise.

[Can you suggest some gnostic readings?]

Gospels of Mary and Thomas

[Whats the deal with ancient Egypt and spirituality? Why do people consistently link them together? Does Rome lead to Egypt?]

Spirituality is mysterious and poorly understood. Egypt possesses both magnificence and ambiguity, which means that it is easy to project what you want to see onto it which makes the topic of Egyptian spiritual origins incredibly appealing for obvious reasons.

[I view religions as 'instagram filters' on life... almost that they help guide expectation, emotional reaction, and everyday thought. You are fond of christianity, what are your organizations thoughts on taoism, daosim, and eastern mindsets?]

All major religions are instructive. They became major religions precisely because they are instructive - they speak to deep truths about the world. Some do it better than others.

[Explain the Templar Knights and their duties. Where they the "Good Guys" that I conceive them to be?]

Yes and no. We believe in a sort of militant Christendom, that the exercise of might is an important component of doing right in the jungle, so there are some apparent overlaps.

[Is it possible to follow Jesus Christ and interpret the Bible exoterically and work with your group, or do you see inherent conflicts?]

Exoteric interpretation is not necessarily contradictory, more like incomplete.

[I'm also quite curious about your responses relating to the history of Christianity. I have looked very closely at the text of the Bible, and I see that the New Testament is the most well-attested document in antiquity. We have thousands of copies, quotations and references from the early church, corroboration of historical details in hostile and neutral sources.

For the Old Testament, we have copies from the Dead Sea Scrolls, translations, and the text doesn't appear to have changed over the several centuries we can observe (although I suppose it's possible it could have been done before then).

And I observe that the archaeology, historical details, even cultural details like the references to Assyrian Suzerain-Vassal treaties, seem to indicate approximately correct dating.

Are you saying the text was altered? Or that the narrative was hijacked by Paul, or others before Paul? Are there any sources to look at with this?]

The NT is most definitely heavily altered. It is even publicly accepted that councils gathered to determine what to put into the Bible and what to leave out and you better believe they altered the books that were put in and left as much of the truth out as they could.

[(Altering the bible) I'm assuming you're referring to the council of Nicea and those after it.

If so, how could they have altered the text?

We have abundant, extant manuscripts, quotations, and lists of accepted and rejected books from well before this date.

Do you have any sources to corroborate this claim?]

The oldest existing copies of most new testament books are generations after the actual events. It doesn't even make sense to me why you would wonder where the opportunity for corruption is - no one claims that these things were recorded at the time and preserved thus.

[What about Lucifer? We know he's on the planet as of our speaking, as do the elite. Anything to add on him?]

Jesus identifies himself as the morning star, a name also given to Lucifer. That's because the esoteric interpretations of the OT and NT are meant to be set against each other.

In the old testament, the deity is vengeful, controlling, knowledge is the original sin.

In the new testament, the deity is loving, sacrificial, you should seek until you find, and so on.

The essence of Christianity is two fold, one: to do good, and two: to bring consciousness and enlightenment to all. The blood oaths and sacrifices of the mystery schools shall be a thing of the past, the concealment of knowledge no more. Mankind is moving to the next level.

The synagogue of satan is the mystery cults. All people of good will should come out from them, rebuke the fallen nature of these cults, and embrace the new future we are building.

[What if I told you Lucifer is the old testament revived. As in, he represents the love of god, but also the horror of god needed to subject mystery cults to the hell they deserve.

Also the mystery cults "Satan" is dead. Killed with as much energy as is needed to squash a cockroach by the gods of light.]

That's fine. It isn't terribly important exactly how you phrase all of this as long as you perceive the significance of it all.

[is the space elevator the tower of babel 2.0?]

No. Much of the old testament is written by the mystery schools and they use god as a metaphor for themselves. Scattering the people and instituting many tongues was done as a method of concealing knowledge.

You are free to believe that concealing knowledge is good, but that isn't the belief embodied in the NT. Only you can decide what side you want to be on.

[Who is this supposed "3" you speak of? Can you give any clues or details about him? And how do we know whether or not this supposed "3" is The Antichrist?]

There is no singular "antichrist" in the Bible. It is mistranslated from meaning those without christ. The text is very explicit about who your enemies are, i.e. the synagogue of satan are those who call themselves jews but are not (esoteric judaism), what they do, i.e., usury and concealment of knowledge, and who they are, i.e., the builders that rejected the way forward. The best way to know a tree is by its fruits.

[Are the Gnostic teachings that were found in the 40's not corrupted by human hands yet?

How much of the king james bible is corrupted? Can it be trusted?]

There are all kinds of issues with the bible like mistranslations (accidental or purposeful) even in well-preserved texts. You really need to keep your thinking cap on in such circumstances.

Is usury horrible for mankind? Yea, clearly. So Jesus probably whipped bankers in the temple. Makes sense right?

Does eating bacon or having anal sex mean you need to burn in a pit of fire for eternity? Seems a bit extreme. But those two things have something in common: pigs and anal sex were well known disease transmitters and the idea is that engaging in activity that put your vulnerable community at greater risk was wrong.

~Symbolism~

[How is the Sun tied up in all of this.]

The Son teaches you to see multiple interpretations of the same stimulus.

[explain the black sun fully OP. And the cube of Saturn. No riddles.]

Teaches you to see multiple interpretations of the same stimulus.

[Also, is the Kolbrin legit? Is gnosticism legit? Identity Christianity legit?]

All religion to the extent that it is meaningful must be interpreted esoterically. Do you think a virgin birth is literal? No, of course not. It's so silly that it is meant to provoke you to question what the symbolism could be.

[The father is on earth, yes?]

Yes.

[Christianity/Islam/etc. claim that we can get permanence after we die if we live a certain way. Has the "Illuminati" definitively determined that this is a meme]

The afterlife myths of religions are more properly termed second life realities that are experienced here on Earth - your rebirth into a truly conscious being.

[Is satan real?]

Not literally, but symbolically.

[what is the symbolism regarding the virgin birth of christ]

Independent birth of consciousness (no blood oath, third party inducing)

[I have been following all of these and it reminds me a lot of things I read as a child.

Do you know of Thomas Troward? If so, What are your takes on him, this feels of the same nature.

I have found few people that I can hold conversations of this nature.

Thank you in advance.]

If examination of the religion you grow up with or is most popular in your culture doesn't reveal the symbolic meaning, there is merit in Troward's methods. Most of them, especially the very old ones, are encoding messages with the same origin.

[Whats inside the black cube in Mecca?]

Rejection

[Who is the son of the morning?]

Not a who.

[What is the son of the morning?]

An allegory about the loss and rebirth of consciousness.

[please at least explain the allegory (philosopher's stone)]

The mythos derives from the concepts of rebirth / transformation and permanence (associated with the stone builders).

[Does the implication of God, son, and the holy spirit go towards all being a singular voice inside a person's head? Question is a result of you mentioning the book on mental bicameralism.]

Very good, anon

[>Does the implication of God, son, and the holy spirit go towards all being a singular voice inside a person's head?

As its own fabrication or as a receiver of an external sender?]

Both

[Hi there.

I've read all your threads so far and I'm pretty excited to see you posting again today. I read Julian Jaynes' The Origin of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind last year while I was pregnant with my son. (I actually read parts of it aloud to him lol). I'm very surprised to hear someone else mention it. I found an article mentioning it on the Nautil.us blog and was so excited, because it had a lot of the piecea of the puzzle I felt I'd been missing. I've been

studying consciousness and reality for over half of my life and it was the best thing I've read so far.

Here's my question:

Can you please elaborate on the second life on earth scenerio you described in a previous thread?

I became Christian after having become well-informed (and well-practiced) in the occult (particularly Gurdjieff and Castaneda's works) and realized that Christianity was the most complete and correct explanation of reality. Both symbolically and literally (when read in the proper context). So this is my main interest. From my experiences and studies I have concluded that belief in Jesus is the only way out of the hole, so to speak.]

Heaven is within you, right? Ascendency comes from within. We were never talking about physical death and going off to a magic place. The enlightened mind experiences two births, first the physical, and the second into consciousness, and some might carry the metaphor further to subsequent rebirths by taking the sacrament of knowledge; seek until you find, and then keep seeking.

[You said aswell that "God is on earth" as i read (not finished yet) "The Origin of Consciousness..." which is by far one of the most impressive books i have ever read. What is you definition of "God" ? Is it o special form of divine knowledge/consciousness or is it metaphorical as consciosness itself?]

In terms of the Christian religion, the symbol associated with consciousness is heaven, not the deity.

[Do you have a "Noah's Ark" — btw what do you think the ark means? — of information that can be preserved and found by our successors if we are destroyed? That way, they may not need start all over again. And was there a similar "ark" left for us by the Predecessors?]

The story of the ark is just a cautionary tale against widespread catastrophic events and the need to take a longer view of things.

[What is the symbolic meaning of the bread of life discourse where Jesus says unless you eat the flesh of the son of man and drink his blood you have no life with you which he repeats multiple times until many of his followers leave him? This symbolism is continued at the last supper where he tells his followers to eat his body and drink his blood in the form of bread and wine in memory of him. What does it mean?]

He never took a blood oath to join a mystery school. The idea is that if you carry on his teachings, they become public knowledge and no one should need to take such an oath or compromise themselves in that way in the future in order to gain access to hidden knowledge.

[Are things like El Dorado, the Spear of Destiny, Ark of the Covenant, Holy Grail, and the Templar's treasure still waiting to be found?]

Not in a literal sense, although the symbolism of these stories is worth considering.

[What is the origin of the Canaanite religions?]

Symbolic recording of knowledge.
[whats the light]

The phenomena of coherence in human consciousness.
[What is the meaning of life?]

Enjoyment

[If coherence consciousness exists, would that not suggest that a thought is a real, tangible object where the universe is concerned?

Is this the idea behind the "soul?"

One last one, did the original advanced civilization know this about consciousness and thought, and is that from where the basis of "soul" arises?]

Ideas like souls, angels, demons come from the sense that you are spoken to without a physical presence or traditional auditory enunciation, and indeed you can be.

[Does red dragon mean anything significant]

A red dragon symbolizes war on existing institutions.
[About that Matthew 24.
What is the son of man for you?]

The human spirit has a way of producing what is needed when it is needed. If you have the slightest bit of experience with this, then when the whole world is spiraling towards disaster you know someone great is on the up and up.

[(All seeing eye) HLI, do you have any input on this? Is it normal for this to appear in the mind of a desperate meditator?

If this thing sees you, are you just completely fucked?]

I've already answered questions like this. The all seeing eye is something you can use. The doors to heaven are open to you, but you have to learn to walk first.

[What is Hypatia's cube?]

The ability to solve problems of this sort was an important means of proving an insider status in antiquity. The population was highly aware of various religions, symbolic interplay and so on that would be considered more esoteric today, but highly deficient in things like basic mathematical competency, craftsmen's techniques, and so on.

[Does Heaven exist?]

The kingdom is within you.

[Is ping pong table code for sacrificial altar?]

Yes, and importantly this is not merely symbolic ritual but demented actual deeds
[Do you and your group believe in Reincarnation?]

A symbolic second birth (acquisition of consciousness) and the persistence of it to some extent after death.

["And no one could buy or sell anything without that mark, which was either the name of the beast or the number representing his name."

What is the 666 in symbology?

Is the prophecy of the mark of the beast a prophecy on the capitalistic system put in place to serve the OWO?]

Six is considered chaotic, unstable.

[Your pic is both a venn diagram and highlights the 'holy trinity' logo.

You mention that religions like christianity are better understood by the 'lost' gospels Thomas and Mary, if I remember correct.

The image —to me —makes me think that the trip-union of the father, son, and holy spirit can coalesce into a sort of consciousness at its core... a thinking space that can "pass" a turing test.

In the same way buddhists say, "Train the puppy" speaking of lower intellectual impulses, could that be the 'son' in the analogy for the holy trinity?

Could the 'father' be the trainer? That component of thought that guides and criticizes the inferior thinking patterns and self-chastises?

Lastly, would the 'holy spirit' be a metaphor for interwoven interconnectivity with all other thinking, cognizant beings?

Just trying to parse the image...]

If you bring forth what is within you, what you have will save you. If you do not have that within you, what you do not have within you will kill you.

[Matthew 24:7-8: "Nation will rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom. There will be famines and earthquakes in various places. All these are the beginning of birth pains." What does he mean by "birth" when speaking of earthquakes?]

Birth of the new world

[Does Jesus ever actually say he is God in the bible, or is the holy trinity just something the church made up?]

The elements of the trinity are distinct and each independently meaningful.

The holy spirit refers to our collective consciousness. God is real and Jesus was an inspired prophet.

Each of Christianity, Islam, and Judaism have things right about the story that others are wrong about.

The appearance of Jesus 2000 years ago was not as a messiah but as a messenger (Islamic view of him as a prophet).

The jews are right that the first coming was not as a messiah, but wrong to reject him as a prophet because they do not understand that there were to be two separate missions (Christianity and Islam both get this right and anticipate the return).

Christianity is correct to emphasize the virginal birth and sacrifice. What the virgin birth means is that he rose to consciousness outside of the mystery schools, which laid bare the fraud of them concealing knowledge. His entire life was lived as a sacrifice spreading the truth to ensure that connection to higher consciousness / the godhead would never be the exclusive possession of the corrupted schools.
[What can you tell me about alchemy and the philosopher's stone?]

Not real

[With that spirit, is the number zero really nothing or just everything cleverly disguised as nothing? If one could rethink the number zero in a new context then that would resolve a lot of problems / paradoxes, no?]

Zero is a well-defined and useful concept mathematically. Symbolically it can be either, but that is an entirely different question.

~Jesus~

[What is the validity of "Jesus Christ", is he semantic misinterpretation of scripture?]

Actual person existed. Did not literally emerge from the womb of a virgin or anything like that of course. There is a lot to learn from the symbolism of the story.

[Did Jesus of Nazareth have divine power or was that written in later?]

Depends on what you mean by divine power.

[So do i understand correct that you consider Jesus Christ an acutall person who really lived and who became "ascendent" to the highest degree where he had "full access" to the subconscious and unconscious and therefore got divine insights and the ability to made people follow him? Or am i totally wrong and if not how did Jesus "ascend" without the access to knowledge we got today. What was special about him? And is that why the church consider you heretics`?]

He was educated by very well-informed people, as well as being a talent in his own right.

[So there is enough evidence that Jesus actually lived? I knew it the whole time!]

Actual historical figure, yes. No room for debate on that question.

[(Jesus?) who became "ascendent" to the highest degree where he had "full access" to the subconscious and unconscious and therefore got divine insights and the ability to made people follow him?]

The other part of your question is pretty subjective, so maybe better left to you to answer for yourself.

[(Vatican storage of Mary and Thomas Gospels) Do you have any knowledge of the content? And can you share it with us?]

You can get the gist of it from what is available already (philosophically). The most important thing missing you wouldn't know is that Jesus designated Mary as the next leader.

[>The most important thing missing you wouldn't know is that Jesus designated Mary as the next leader.

Mother in charge?
But why?]

==={Not mother, other Mary, his wife Mary Magdalena.
Mother of his child and Merovingian bloodline.}===

Not his mom. Anon answered correctly after you.
[(Jesus & Mary) Do you know why he did choose her?]

For her merits. She was the most enlightened disciple.

Jesus also invented the concept of matrimonial familial loyalty and picking Mary underscored the importance of this idea.

[Are there books that reveal Jesus' true teachings? I feel the Jesus taught today is not the whole truth.]

The Bible does a pretty good job if you take the time to think about it. The important stuff is symbolic and requires careful thought and interpretation. I've recommended the Gospels of Mary and Thomas previously and you should definitely read those as well.

[Who are the Catholics? Did they just mislead themselves (relative to your understanding of the teachings of Jesus), or did somebody deliberately create them as a psyop and then it just got out of hand? Why did the Schism happen in the Church (human weakness or psyop)?]

Catholic church is the insurgency against christianity, whose leadership was given to Mary the disciple not Mary the mother.

The schism resulted from counterinsurgency efforts.

[Question about Jesus: is the resurrection just a metaphor in the same sense as the cave?]

Yes. Jesus didn't die on the literal wooden cross. He was taken down alive, nursed to health by Mary, and left the region.

Dying on the cross is a metaphor. He stood at the intersection of the elite and the masses, the crossroad and remained there.

[I would like to first apologize with regards to the last thread. I had been reading something prior to that thread that confused me. An AC article entitled the "Jesus Myth". It appears it was intended to showcase a high level deception story that is circulated within insider circles. I should have paid greater attention to the header.

It made it seem like Jesus was working with the Davidic family to restore their dominance of Jerusalem and that Jesus was nothing more than an archon of the demiurge. It flipped the entire story on its head.

Based on what you were saying before, was Jesus a member of your Group? Would that make David the conspirator alongside the family and the Disciples mere symbolic representations of the archons of the Demiurge?]

As to the bloodlines, it is symbolic. The merger of the house of Judah and Levi through Mary and Joseph represent worldly and spiritual power combining and therefore the common thread that has been presented throughout here: the acquisition of agency and use of it for good.

[Can you give me a proper explanation of The Shroud of Turin?]

It is real. Jesus was nursed back to health after being removed from the cross. [Jesus was an archon of the Demiurge....no?

The whole Jesus plot failed miserably and Judas was part of your group. Correct?

God how I would kill to see the full Gospel of Judas.]

No. Jesus was one of us. You have it backwards. We approximately reject the old testament and embrace the new; the old world order being the opposite.

[Will Jesus ever come back?]

Depends on what you mean by that

[really want to believe but the obama/osama angle is really stretching my ability to buy into this. I do agree with you 100% whether or not some of your claims are true. I had a deep spiritual awakening over the past year, realizing how deep the social programming was and the confusion it was causing me couldve ended things badly. I think there is a rise in nationalism, but I subscribe more to individualism, and following the teachings of Jesus Christ moreso than any kind of nationalism/alt right movement. I think those movements come and go, but the individualism path is a constant. We all need to strive to be like the Jesus'/Assange figure of 100% truth and 100% non corruptible , or at least try our best to be that. So I appreciate where you are coming from with your general message of optimism and hope.

If you answer this, I would ask only what are some knowledge bombs you could drop about the kind of man/idea Jesus Christ was and what could we learn/takeaway from his story and apply it going forward?]

A remarkable thing about Jesus, despite the magnificence of the story, is that his life is still underrated. Billions of people have been positively effected and you too can have such an impact on the world. People repeatedly doubt the significance of threads like this, but it is much like the origins of Christianity - people gathering around to seek and speak of the truth. These sorts of things not only can but have and continue to profoundly shape and change the world. Don't let your light be shrouded behind a lampshade.

[>Yes. Jesus didn't die on the literal wooden cross. He was taken down alive, nursed to health by Mary, and left the region.

He went to England, right? Glastonbury? Wales? With Joseph of Arimathea? Alan Wilson talked about this.]

Mary went west, Jesus went east.

~Church~

[Is Catholicism just an ancient PsyOp?]

The church does more to suppress truth than spread it.

[Anything under the Vatican, world changing, artifacts, or just boring stuff?]

The vatican maintains extensive archives of its past activity, much of which is sinister. Nothing extraordinary beyond this - no magic specter or anything like that.

[>[Vatican Archives](#)

How about evidence to disprove Christianity, or at least the Roman Catholicism?]

Yes. It is well known and documented within the vatican that they have bastardized the religion.

[Are you the same insider who says the Pope is legit and not illuminati?]

No. The church has been completely co-opted by the same regime ruining the west.

[I read the gospel of Mary and Thomas and was curious about whether somebody has the whole gospel of Mary in his archives and wont share it for political reasons?

Do you know more of the gospel than there is official out there because her gospel seems pretty important to me for becoming enlightened?]

Yes. The Vatican has complete copies and has killed to keep them secret.

[Why would the catholic church conceal a document that supports the veneration of Mary?

Especially given the fact that protestants are bootyblasted over the attention the Catholic church gives to Mary over Jesus, equating Mary with a modern Isis figure. And considering the fact that the CC has been trying to welcome protestant churches back into the ecumenical fold with the CC as the "Mother Church", wouldn't the existence of this document be of great import to their cause?

Doesn't make much sense desu.]

The roman church elevates Mary the mother, not Mary the disciple.

[In what predominant ways has Christianity been corrupted since Jesus? I'm talking blatant alterations. Does your perspective include gnostic gospels?]

All of the dogmatic stuff as literal rather than symbolic. Then you have perverted unthinking interpretations of moral codes like opposition to homosexuality, when the Bible only speaks to sodomy. Anti-sodomy provisions go along with banning pork. Why? Pigs and anal sex both equal disease and unstable communities back

then. So obvious, yet these things are overlooked by so many. Obviously no rational deity cares whether you put it in your girlfriend's arse or eat bacon today. The removal of the best gospels like Thomas and Mary. I could go on - it is all pretty much wrong as usually practiced.

[I feel a lot of rational Christians feel this way. Sin lies in intention, but instead of teaching this they just give you a list of ways that intention manifests. I really feel, when I'm sitting in mass with a good priest, that much the church does is right but the way the papacy and magisterium are run indicates the Vatican is more a power structure than the center of God's church.]

That is correct. The church downplays intention; pretty much the opposite of what I have emphasized: agency

[What is the endgame for the Catholic Church? Are they only concerned about money and power?]

The old order doesn't have an end game. They are not plotting a new world order, but rather fighting to maintain the old order. The language you have been given to describe your predicament is all upside down.

[Do you know anything about the Gnostic Gospels? why are they so profoundly hated by the Christians? Do the Torah observers or Jews consider any of those books?]

The suppressed gospels make it even more obvious what the religion is really about, which has little to do with what is taught in the churches.

[HLI said: "Our interpretation of Christianity does not resemble anything you will find in the churches." Does the Pope know that he is a "false prophet"?]

Yes

[Is the Vatican Luciferian?]

Depends on what you mean by Luciferian. There are exact opposite interpretations of this word, among others.

~Spiritual~

[Do you guys believe in bible prophecies? Thoughts on the coming day of the Lord?]

In some sense, yes, in that you can reasonably anticipate where society will go far into the future.

Furthermore, they are self-fulfilling to some extent.

[Does clairvoyance exist?

Is free will evidence of the soul?]

Depends on exactly how you define clairvoyance, but the powers of the mind and more extensive than most realize.

[What is the function of the third eye, and does it actually, physically manifest in humans.

Is it possible for example, to put out a sheer amount of rage and hatred for someone, or a people, and it to manifest? Say for example, raging against niggers, then a few days down the line, alot of niggers die in a shootout with cops?]

You can't exercise efforts similar to this arbitrarily.

[Could consciousness be defined as "awareness of the human Symbol Mechanism"?]

Symbol manipulation is very important to consciousness. Especially the ability to interpret symbols in multiple ways. One of the consequences of a tendency towards coherence is an undermining of agency, so it's important to be able to simultaneously see multiple interpretations of the same symbol. It gives you room to create agency in spite of coherence.

[>within us

sounds a little like collective conscious metaphysical stuff]

That's right.

[perception is reality though, is it not?]

This type of thinking is nonsense. Many people perceive falsely.

[I have a general idea of what your book recommendation is about and I plan to read it, why not.

But would you consider our current state as above or below the connected mind in any way? Was it just evolutionary and we are passed that time or is there another reason? Is this state something that should be sought out?]

For the most part, the interconnectedness of minds inhibits individuality. It can be a useful and potent tool, but it also has significant drawbacks that are holding people and society down. Ill intent actors use their awareness of this to aggravate these problems.

[Do you know of any definitive cases of supernatural contact / do you believe in it or is everything speculation]

Yes

[Is god dead? Did we kill him?]

Not yet. Many have tried

[The glorified bodies and minds we receive in Heaven as Christians, it's emerging now. Right?]

Yes

[>>The glorified bodies and minds we receive in Heaven as Christians, it's emerging now. Right?

>Yes

So what does that mean? Will people start living biblically long lives, like us right now?]

Yes, many alive today will still be alive thousands of years from now.

[When does spirituality become something more tangible?]

Spirituality may never be tangible depending on how you define that. Plenty of people experience premonitions of loved ones dying or any variety of things like that because we are linked in profound ways. Some might call such experiences tangible and others may not.

~Afterlife~

[Do you have any evidence or belief in a life after or before the current one? And if so, that one retains the same self from "life" to "life"?]

No.

[If that's the case (No Afterlife), why shouldn't everyone live as hedonistically as possible?]

It isn't in your self-interest.

[>It isn't in your self-interest.

Actually I get this, I see it far too often in millennials that belief of "life should be lived to it's highest pleasures" often end up with a plethora of stresses such as sexual diseases, drug addiction, identity issues and must work their asses off to support these hedonistic lifestyles this correlates with high suicide rates. Am I on the right track with this line of thinking?]

Sure, but that's just in the short-term.

Much of the stress they seek to escape (but only bring on more stress) is induced by a hedonistic outlook of their predecessors.

[What happens after we die? Is there really an answer?]

The only evidence we consider notable with respect to death is that those who are close to the dying often sense it, that is to say, our minds are powerfully intertwined. As far as life afterwards, it is a possibility we cannot dismiss, but see no evidence of any impact on our world from such a thing.

[What happens after death?]

You're dead.

[Has anyone found out what happens after death, and are simply too scared to release the info due to mass fear? Is there a way to find this out?]

You die. Ideas like an afterlife heaven are made up nonsense, even if you believe in something like the Bible. Jesus says the kingdom of heaven is within you, for example, not some pearly gated mythical thing you go to after your physical body dies.

~Misc~

[Is there another side to the Illuminati other than zionism?]

Yes.

[Also what religion do you follow?]

Not aware of any good name for my beliefs in the public domain.

[>the powers of the mind and more extensive than most realize.]

This goes back to the mystery schools and the odd things that highly advanced science (quantum theory for example) has some astonishing similarities to what gurus and other such "enlightened" individuals espouse but when are then perverted or misunderstood and turned into religions.

I've always defined a religion as "the politics of spirituality," in that organizations made by men, generally tend to devolve into self serving bureaucracies.

There are a few notable exceptions, but generally anywhere you see a concentration of "power over the people," you will find those who look to exploit that power for personal gain and they hijack ideologies which were originally meant to help open the minds of those following said ideology.

All religions are really meant to be a path toward personal enlightenment, a greater understanding of one's connection to the universal mind also carries with it a greater ability to access higher functions that have the appearance of "psi."

Close or too far afield?]

Yes.

You could consider us highly religious in a sense like you have described, but not in the sense of general practice or dogmatic nonsense.

[What are your thoughts on Vipassana?]

You speak about the mind, the ego and becoming more aware as an individual. My understanding is that Vipassana is a way of seeing the true nature of reality.]

Meditation is useful in moderation and with purpose, like LSD, or taking a vacation.

No magic keys.

[What does the word Tetragrammaton mean to you? Is the number 44,444 significant in any way?]

Popular symbols are most significant in that they serve as an anchor point for reaching coherence with other minds. Secret pronunciations of the "name of god" or whatever is like a secret key for only a select few to reach coherence to the exclusion of others.

[How does a skeptic learn about the occult and symbolism? How do I filter the bullshit?]

The religious and symbolic stuff is mostly just a means of insider communication.
[whats the deal with mormons]

Mormonism was created by British intelligence to start insurrectionist / breakaway tendencies in American society towards the goal of fracturing it much like has been done in Iraq recently by pitting Kurds, Shias and Sunnis against each other.
[What do you think of this theory I've come up with, "kingdom of heaven" is what ancient prophets called their visions of a futuristic space empire, where disease and death are somewhat eliminated (you stated this as one of your groups goals). "heaven" in literal terms does mean the sky, so "kingdom of heaven" would technically be a kingdom in the sky. Your groups view of a thriving humanity in the stars also does align with this interpretation of prophecy.]

This is not what they meant.

[I have begun studying the occult through this book and yoga. Is Aleister Crowley evil? Are his ideas worth pursuing and exploring?]

Yes, yes. Know thy enemy.

[Are the Emerald Tablets legitimate and were these part of the artifacts that were found under the foot of the Sphinx?]

No, it is an artifact recording the efforts to push Christianity back in the right direction, created during the time period you are asking about. (400-1000AD)
[Are nephilim real, and will they emerge when gods chosen are elected?]

No

[Ark of Covenant (true purpose, current location)]

There are no magical objects like cup of life or ark of the covenant. These are all stories.

[Is telekinesis possible? Don't hold out on me!]

Not to my knowledge.

[This may sound a little crazy but i can feel a connection in my brain to others.]

Not very crazy.

[I went Diogenes for 2 years and lived outside
There was this creek I had to cross everyday for about 2 months
I didn't like walking through the water, and I needed a bridge
A tree had fallen by the next day or so
It's still alive 3 years later and grew into a bridge.
The branches started digging into the ground
It's a straight up bridge now]

What is going on? I requested a bridge and I got one. Is this the psych powers thing you were talking about the other thread? It feels like i'm connected to something]

You are.

[Are all humans connected on a base level vs. precognition.]

There's plenty of hard evidence for conscious coherence, from the relatively mundane like Princeton's global consciousness project to the more extraordinary. [Is meme magic something to be taken seriously? I've seen what I would consider to be strong evidence in favor of it. If it is, how powerful is it?]

Yes. Coherence in consciousness is a very real phenomena. More real than most realize.

[Do people have auras? Does magik is a sense work? Can you effect the world around you with your thoughts? And by that, i don't mean tech stuff, harry potter stuff.]

Yes, in a very limited sense

[>Coherence in consciousness

Does the work Penrose and Hammeroff relate to this?

Also, does the phrase: "centropy is the electrification of matter," have meaning for you?]

What if consciousness was a well understood thing? You'd have super AI and a dramatically different world, Therefore, no one really has the answers yet.

[What kind of physics would you recommend me to further my study? I already have a bachelor's as well as talent.

I want to suggest to you, since based on previous threads you've been ambivalent to negative on telepathy. The Maimonides dream studies were very, very good. The criticism of them is either frantic dogma or disinformation.]

Was negative on telekinesis, not telepathy.

[What do you think about the Mandela Effect?]

The hundredth monkey effect is real, and is known to transmit among a species across physical barriers, i.e., an isolated island population spontaneously acquiring behavior that is introduced elsewhere.

[Why are 5 of 7 of the last 7 presidents left handed?

Is there anything special about left handed people like they are using the hemnisphere which was once reserved for our hallucinatory god for speech and have therefore something right handed people have not?]

Lefties have a slightly better resistance to coherence and slightly better oratory skills on average.

[What is your opinion on Iain McGilchrist's take on bicameralism in his book The Master and His Emissary? He seems to come to the opposite conclusion regarding how the divided human brain is currently affecting the western world and believes it is not as beneficial to us as it once was.]

I have not read the book you mentioned. It is true the state of consciousness in the world today is very sorry indeed.

[Can humans perceive the 4th dimension?]

Yes

.....

.....

.....

Drugs

~Misc~

[Are you using (drugs) right now?]

No.

[Have you taken any psychedelics before?]

Yes, quite a few. I keep a copy of Shulgin's work.

[How does "three" regard the use of mind expanding drugs?]

I've personally used several dozen different psychoactive substances.

The outcome isn't always good. Be reasonable about what you are doing.

[Are you familiar with Robert Anton Wilson's Prometheus Rising, and if so, what do you think of it?]

We encourage tempered use of psychedelics for expansion of your mind. Enjoy them, but they are too potent to be a mere party enhancer rather than something more like a religious sacrament. As always, if you are climbing tall mountains without bringing anything back down you will wear yourself out in short order.

[What is the significance of DMT and other psychedelic experiences?]

Encourage psychedelic use to the extent that it helps free your mind.

Many of these drugs can inflict lasting damage as well, so be reasonable and wise and don't climb mountains without bringing home the goods.

[And is the fact that i am living straight edge a hindrance to enlightenment. My instinct is telling me to distrust drug related efforts to reach enlightenment and people who do just want to go the easiest way. Though i had "mind" out of body experience and the clear feeling of interconnection between our minds.]

Drugs can be an aid or a hindrance. I'd recommend most people experience some psychedelics at some point, but it is easier to mess up here than progress.

[Which psychedelics have you found to be the most enlightening?]

2ct7, but I suspect you don't get much out of it without good companions dosing on it with you.

[What can you tell us about LSD?

Is it as dangerous as the govt says?

Do they control people with it?]

LSD is a very potent drug and should only be used with extreme caution, ample research ahead of time, good people around you, and after the brain has matured somewhat. It isn't bad in itself, but it can do a lot of damage.

Not very useful for government in controlling people. Most go the other way.

[Have you ever tried 4-aco-DMT? I tried some on the recommendation of another /pol/ack a couple months back. It's quite refreshing and can be bought on the surface net.]

No

[What do you know about a-PVP?]

Not familiar with a-PVP.

[Ever used adrenochrome?]

No

[Is marijuana as dangerous as they make it out to be?]

No

[If one wants to use psychedelic drugs to further their mind, how does one go about doing? You said that for some drugs, you need a specific type of person with you? What type of person or things do you need when trying to make a positive influence onto yourself when using psychedelics?]

You could start with Shulgin's works. Read a lot, many perspectives. Try to meet others with some experience that seem like good people and set aside plenty of time to be in a place you are comfortable, then give it a go.

[Any insight to mushrooms and the stoned ape theory, evolving down that line to humans and speech/consciousness?]

psychedelics are very powerful and have had a big influence on mankind

[What are the "goods"? What can be gained from lucid dreaming and out of body experiences? Is there a practical reason to do it?]

It's largely a misinterpretation of the actual phenomena of coherent awareness.

[What is the best way to get over drug addiction?]

You need to replace your drug habit addiction with something better that is also rewarding. Usually people need something that acts on the dopamine reward pathway, since most drug addictions hijack it.

[The Dead were Freemasons, Phish is fuccboi shit

Acid is being sent out from the Freemasons because Psychadelics are the future, the CIA has a part in it because they're manipulating the culture

The Deadheads are meant to be the culture of America. Righteousness. Fuck you, pay me. Etc.]

There is nothing you can get from acid that you can't get other ways. "Psychedelics are the future" is silly, although they do often accelerate people on the path towards a more enlightened states.

[Is there truth in psychedelics?]

No, but they can help you get to it if used properly.

[What's the deal with DMT?]

Psychedelics are a useful tool, but it is very easy to become washed up.

[I know you normally avoid this question but..

Is it really not significant at all that DMT is the death drug?]

Psychedelics can be enlightening, but more often than not make people feel that way without actually achieving anything.

[I would really appreciate some answers relating to a more pragmatic subject: Is Ayahuasca truly one of the fastest ways to break away the unconscious programming even further in order to get closer to the actual truth? On top of overcoming past traumas and so on.

Since you have experimented with it yourself, to what extent do you give it credit and what for (would really like to hear more on this)?

And finally, is there any other similar solution for making such massive progress in a relatively short amount of time? Within the realm of psychedelics or anything else really.

I remember you advocated in one of your past threads to place emphasis on looking after our brains, since damage sustained to the body will eventually be easily reversed (although I am still very suspicious about this part). I treat this very seriously but I consider it a gamble worth taking currently.

Thank you for expanding my worldview considerably. You have inspired and given me a lot of motivation to carve my own path.]

Psychedelics are very potent drugs and can radically transform you overnight, but not always for the better. You should be thoroughly familiar with what you are getting into and have good 'guides' for any such experience.

[What are your thoughts on amphetamine stimulants at mild doses to increase productivity?]

I'd recommend not making them a permanent feature of your daily intake.

Amphetamines are pretty potent - it isn't that different from being a "sort of" meth head - but not also not nearly as bad as that sounds if you manage it well, though perhaps the management is as difficult as it sounds for most.

[Hi there, again. Thank you for answering my question in a previous thread the other day. I have another for you.

I remember having my first lucid dream when I was 5. I would also have dreams that would show me future events. In my 20's I decided to attempt to "master" the sleeping side of my life and studied mostly Carlos Castaneda and Gurdjieff to do so. That's when things got scary. I ended up successfully training myself to have out of body experiences prompted by the process of falling asleep. I was also able to successfully affect others with these OOB events. It got too scary though, and I ended up being attacked (for lack of a better word?) by my own mind while awake, hearing voices when I was trying to fall asleep, things falling off walls, see words appear in the grass, and being bothered what I think were units of consciousness

that have no physical form. It seemed that the exercises I was doing (attention exercises, a lot of eye movement stuff and silencing of the internal dialogue) actually induced schizophrenic symptoms. When I converted to Christianity it all stopped after about 6 months of fighting.

Can you give me some insight on what happened to me?]

You played the game for the thrill and lost yourself in the process.

[>You played the game for the thrill and lost yourself in the process.

Can you please elaborate?]

Action without intent. You were making yourself into an ape.

[Please tell me what you meant by the ape comment, and what my focus should have been when training my subconscious mind with lucid dreaming. Or point me in the right direction.]

In general, you shouldn't go far beyond what you rigorously comprehend or get caught up in doing a lot of something for the sake of it. You describe yourself almost like a junkie. It's ok to drop LSD, but it isn't ok to subject yourself to climbing a mountain if you are not bringing the goods back down.

[Well you tell me how we're going to merge subconsciously without mass dosing everyone with acid, because that's the freemason plan from what I know buckaroo]

You've lost touch with reality. "Merging subconsciously" is no doubt something you can't actually define.

[The masses need drugs is the most efficient solution I can find. I think a nationwide trip on like 1/4 dose of acid would do great things. They need stress, happiness, and purpose/understanding to see more past their perspective. This can usually only done by select people usually chosen at birth, but on drugs anyone can do it and it can be instilled by others, because it's obtainable by anyone.]

You're turning towards the correct effect, although I suspect it is unlikely that your means are viable.

~Dreams~

[I have seen, or perhaps met a being once. He was beautiful. Frighteningly so. I sensed a malevolence. I assumed to forget this "strange dream", was I wrong?]

Better to work on tapping into the unknown while awake than obsessing over dreams.

[What are your thoughts on dreaming? Is it something that people aren't utilizing to envision something/find a hint or solution to something or is just a recovery process that one shouldn't worry about?]

Dreaming is neat - like a daily reminder to you how powerful your mind really is. It takes a lot of work to tap into it. You'll find that many of the great minds have

dreamlike consciousness when awake, the ability to synthesize and analyze and run through tremendous amounts of data in an instant. These abilities are widely available, but hardly used.

[Are dreams in any way meaningful and or is dreaming?]

Yes. People often experience much more of their mind's potential while dreaming. The awake state is generally dominated by fear and inhibition for most people, and their mind really only operates near capacity while asleep as a result.

[I have dreams that come true. This has happened my entire life. They're always very specific, and lucid. As I've grown older, they've become more lucid. I have proof of this and find it very unsettling. Please tell me why and how this happens.]

As do I. Reality is stranger than fiction. In general, this is the brain being much more powerful than most realize. You can predict the future fairly reliably in certain circumstances. There are a variety of exceptions however; as an example, the coherence of consciousness can lead to you dreaming about the death of someone before it happens because their own mind knows it is coming soon.

.....

.....

History

~America~

I'll give you a concrete example.

Many of you lament the deindustrialization of the west and the decline of middle class jobs.

But how many of you buy Chinese goods?

Not 1 in 100 even bothers to look at the store.

Did we do this to you or did you do it to yourself?

These consequences are the result of choices that the masses made, not choices made for them.

Again, how would you do better?

If you can't answer that, you can't say we're doing wrong by you.

Here you are driven by emotion rather than reason.

Where does the corruption come from?

Pools of dark money.

Why do we have these?

You can't avoid economic collapse on your own. You demanded order and it was given to you.

Let me give you an insanely brief history lesson.

You demanded anti-monopoly laws about 125 years ago and they were given to you.

One of the consequences of removing monopoly power from the market is systemic bankruptcy. In other words, there is no effective market clearing mechanism because we don't ever get to the point of having a monopoly left that can't raise prices to generate profit to clear bankruptcy events.

As a consequence, we must allow another mechanism to clear systemic bankruptcy. This is your CIA drug running or government sloshing money around to big banks or increased welfare or whatever. We're bailing out bankruptcies.

But you did all this to yourself. And you brought the corruption on yourself by demanding anti-monopoly laws without thinking through the consequences.

We won't allow the world to collapse, so we allow people to do things to mitigate that. If you have a better plan, put it on the table.

[Doubting the need for clearing bankruptcies]

That's cute. The mass poverty and starvation that results from these processes are not tolerable outcomes however.

[What is you or your group's opinion on George Washington as a national leader?]

The high praise he generally receives is an understatement of actual accomplishment.

[Another question while I'm at it as opposed to the one I just asked in the thread separately, but what are some accomplishments of Washington we don't really know about?]

Highly adept spymaster and manipulator of the mystery of schools, an ability that was indispensable to the viability of the revolution.

[Could you elaborate more on George Washington? You spoke of accomplishments, could detail some interesting ones that aren't well known? Also, what is your comment of his character, or an opinion of him as a person?]

Is OP picture implying he was against those organizations

(<https://ii.yuki.la/7/eb/709cc967dad065337a1eef26bf61eff352bc1521071467d455968e8f9e957eb7.png>)?]

Washington was a highly adept spy master, including efforts to subvert, confuse, and disorient the mystery schools. The revolution would not have been successful otherwise.

[>Washington was a highly adept spy master, including efforts to subvert, confuse, and disorient the mystery schools. The revolution would not have been successful otherwise.]

Was he a 'bad guy' then? Was that a massive mistake letting them off the leash?]

We would characterize Washington's actions as breaking leashes for the many, not the few. It's up to you whether that was a good thing.

[Do you agree with the notion that the decline of America to serve the interests of the many instead of the select few has been in decline since his role in the foundation and presidency of the United States? He strikes to me the only true American leader who commanded respect from the majority around him. Lincoln and JFK could be considered in the same vein, but the workings underneath were too entrenched and as a result were killed.]

A major error in the historical canon is to view the revolutionary struggle as completed at the end of the war, when in fact only a temporary and partial victory was secured.

[Why was your thread suddenly deleted the other day? Do you have an archive of what went down in that thread?]

Last thing we were talking about is George Washington and the real extent of his role in the American revolution. That's a pretty big secret and familiarity with the event explains an awful lot of what is going on today.

[>George Washington and the real extent of his role in the American revolution.

Are there any particular works published which might provide a perceptive person clues as to his actual role versus the role to which he has been assigned by history?

Are there any other people's whose works would be instrumental in a deeper understanding of what your group might be hoping to accomplish?]

It's not a coincidence that the "illuminati" emerged the same year as the American revolution.

Basically, the illuminati was never a real thing. Instead, it was a psy-op on the mystery schools to create confusion over whether the American revolution was actually their project and should be allowed, or a genuine rebellion.

Take the idea that free range cattle produce you more milk than caged ones. As it relates to society, you need the cattle to think they are free range to get the effect. If you create disarray and tie it to the idea of trying to "cover up" a fake offering of freedom, you can actually offer freedom before the establishment figures out you're rocking the boat and doing it for real.

[So if I'm reading into this correctly. George Washington was involved with the Illuminati in some way?

And the American Revolution was concocted with Washington as its chosen figurehead? That he knew the spreading of the Masonic lodge was happening, sort of like with Obama and BLM?

In the end did Washington believe the concept of a unified government of the people or a government separate from its citizens?]

==={I think what he's saying is that his group and other good guys were involved in creating the USA.

In order to cover their tracks, they had to make it look like they were up to shady shit so TPTB would let their experiment happen.

So Washington says that the Illuminati and/or naughty people are involved in creating the USA.

When he really is staging a rebellion against the globalists.}===

Anon responded more or less accurately.

The illuminati was a disinformation campaign to make the revolution look like an establishment play, when in fact it was a real rebellion.

[In what way was the American Revolution only "somewhat" successful? Does it have to do with the banking system?]

A free society is highly vulnerable to subversion.

The revolution doesn't end at the end of the revolutionary war, it is just a significant part of a much larger process.

[WTF was the American Civil War about?]

Civil War is best viewed as a balkanization attempt to subvert the American revolution.

[>Civil War is best viewed as a balkanization attempt to subvert the American revolution.

Was that the point when the republic died and the democracy was shilled upon us?]

Destroy individual agency, transfer to the collective.

[Who killed JFK?]

JFK was killed by the Bush family.

[You never Expound on Nikola Tesla. He is vitally important to modern world and he was left all but forgotten by Cabal.

His work is currently under the control of these malevolent parties and it has devastating consequences on all life. (HAARP, SDI).]

Tesla was a genius, but there is a very wrong tendency of people, especially those who couldn't pass freshman physics, to imagine if only the secret archives were open we'd have free energy. This kind of thinking is nonsense.

[Why and how did we get back under British control after we broke away? Can you point me to any history books that cover stuff like the House of Marlborough etc?]

British control was cemented in WW2 when the US allowed the British to create the OSS (later CIA).

Before then they only had substantial, but far from a controlling interest, via influence peddling. For example, many ivy league universities get their original endowments from families that worked for the British East India Company as opium smugglers.

They purchased the ability to shape university mission statements, pick faculty, and so on with all of this money.

[(George Washington)]

Most "conspiracy" media goes on and on about the "new world order" as evil. That is because the American revolution is the beginning of the new world order, a move away from the old existing regime that kept the masses down for so long. This is the origin of them trying to pass themselves off as the "illuminati" through cointelpro alternative media comes from.

[>Synthetic ideology to co-opt American style nationalism. Marx and Engels went to work on this sort of thing almost immediately after the American revolution and that is much more than a historical accident.

>Same. British and French freemasonry merged in the first half of the 18th century, whereas the Germans were much more aligned with Americanism. You can see

public reflections of this in the Leibniz vs Newton controversy for example.

your comment seems to directly contradict the books

Adam Weishaupt(Professor of Natural Philosophy at Edinburgh University) "Proofs of a Conspiracy" published in 1798

Abbe Augustin Barruel(French clergyman) "Memoirs Illustrating the History of Jacobinism" published in 1799]

The Illuminati was presented as an establishment operation at the time. The idea is to trick them into thinking the American revolution was their own project along these lines: give a false sense of freedom to the masses to solidify your control. Because you are giving "false" freedom, you have to keep it secret - but therein lies your opportunity to exercise a psyop and deliver real freedom.
[what do you know about the founding families.]

Many of those involved in starting and carrying out the revolution belonged to our organization.

[What is the truth behind the American Revolution? Did the founders have true agency, and did they really believe in the declaration, Bill of rights, etc.? Was the early USA as virtuous and free as we are led to believe?]

Most of them, yes. Some joined the ranks to plant themselves as subversives and undermine the project if it was successful however.

For example, Thomas Jefferson was unquestionably a traitor and nonbeliever.

[Last thread you stated Thomas Jefferson was not a true patriot. Please expound & cite reference, if possib.]

Jefferson believed in a weak state that is easily subverted. Exactly the sort of thing the establishment tries to create in Iraq or Russia today. Washington instigated the constitutional convention to make the federal government capable of robust large scale infrastructure, productive nationalism. Jefferson fought it at every turn in favor of weakness. Americans struggled for manifest destiny, while Jefferson tried to stop the Louisiana Purchase and shilled for the coverup of Meriwether Lewis' assassination.

[How come the USA didn't supplant Britain as the "greatest enemy"?]

The US revolution didn't finish with the end of the war. British loyalists have always been numerous still are. Many of the most highly rated collegiate universities, think tanks, etc., have their endowments from British opium trafficking (Yale, Harvard, Princeton, Russell Trust, etc.) and influence has propagated via these channels and others.

[[W]hy James Madison decide to establish the Second National Bank of the United States? Wasn't he opposed to the first bank? Weren't banks the epicenters of British influence in the early USA? What were the primary geopolitical struggles of the United States during the 19th century? Why did Aaron Burr and Alexander Hamilton sabotage each other if their political interests aligned?

If the Second National Bank of the United States was insulated from British influence, then did Andrew Jackson's role require him to destroy the national bank so British-controlled state banks could restore influence over the country's economy, protect Southern raw materials, and hamper the competing American industry?]

This is all backwards. The national banks were hated by the old world order. Andrew Jackson, Burr, Jefferson, and the like were all traitors.

[The Declaration of Independence seems to be written very genuinely, in my opinion.

What exactly happened to Thomas Jefferson between writing that and his proposal for Jeffersonian Democracy?

Or was he always a mole?]

Jefferson did not write the content of the Declaration of Independence - he simply put other's ideas to paper. He was brought into the process by American intelligence that knew he was a traitor and were working him all along.

[You say that a central bank (not a reserve bank) is good for nations. I'll accept, since that would be the case under a benevolent, transparent government.

But you also highlight how British financial interests were the dominant anti-American forces of the American Revolution and the 19th century.

It makes no sense to be reliant on an enemy trading partner. Why did Alexander Hamilton advocate for closer relations of Great Britain?

Also, why did James Madison change his mind about the bank when he became president? Where can I go to sort these discrepancies out?]

The good founding fathers were interested in promoting their own ideas, not so much attacking others. Cutting off trade with England is like saying cut off Soros' funding and all is well. No, you need to be winning the meme war - not end the meme war.

We could agree that cutting off Soros' funding is a fine thing, but stopping bad is not going to spontaneously produce good. Refocusing your energy on the production of good will destroy the bad as a side effect though.

[Enumerate some historical events that the mainstream narrative has notoriously warped and we wouldn't know about them]

The history of the "illuminati" is a great example. It was created by the American revolutionaries as a psy-op to allow the revolution to go forward by creating uncertainty within the ranks of freemasonry as to whether it was a sanctioned event or not. Today you are told how bad and awful the illuminati was, which is funny because it isn't even a real organization - it was merely a trick played on the regime to allow the American enterprise to come to fruition.

[Has he gone over what the founding fathers, specifically Washington's, alignments were? Masons? Are Masons OWO, NWO, some mixture? Was Washington one of the good guys?]

I've covered this in previous threads. TL;DR Washington was a good guy, creating a new world against the wishes of the old

[As someone who looks up to/idolizes our founding fathers and the stories of the Revolution, what knowledge bombs could you drop on these historic figures? My biggest heroes are George because of his basic, humble nature as a leader, and Ben Franklin for being a truly free soul even into his old age.]

You'd enjoy reading about Franklin's role as a spymaster most likely. The founding fathers were exceedingly good at this sort of thing and the plans to make the revolution successful were laid for decades in advance. Washington's family was heavily invested in iron works for example, knowing they would need the domestic production to fuel the war effort down the line and even placing their foundries in rural, hard to access areas. The history is really rich here in so many ways.

[What really happened to JFK?]

JFK challenged the secret societies and usury so he was killed for it.

~British/Masons~

[What are the Jesuits all about? What are their motivations? Who do they work for?]

The Jesuit order is meant to co-opt Christian masons that are not persuaded to ideas like non-intervention in the world while waiting on their savior to fix everything. It's a higher level version of the rapture co-opting.

[Give us a comment on ancient Egyptian/Babylonian mystery schools]

The originated from recognition that consciousness was lost and a goal to prevent that from happening again. Much broader scope of derivative activity today though.

[>It took some time for the mystery schools to be corrupted with dark agendas, and we are a reaction to that.

So I take it you are the good guys reading this and there are obviously others with dark intentions but why do you think it is these people have such dark agendas and what do they really think they'll gain from total control over every living person and making the world into big brother 1984.

What drives these lunatics to do these things besides money and power ?]

You need to try to think about how such a system evolved into its current form.

When you operate in secrecy owing to an agenda in opposition to the masses, you set up systems that stifle dissent and inhibit the flow of information. Even the controllers of these systems fall victim to their own designs and, over time, their competency degrades. At the same time, it is generally the case that by the time you realize these people have no idea what they are doing, you're deep in the doodoo yourself and don't want anyone else to realize you're part of a failing enterprise.

[What the fuck is a mystery school?]

Mystery schools are groups of people with knowledge not widely understood or circulated. Kind of a misnomer in this way, in that there is less mystery there than outside.

[Can you give an example of a blood oath to join a mystery school? Is it like circumcision for the Hebrews? Human sacrifice for the Canaanites?]

Generally initiates are bound by agreements of secrecy and often agree to punishment of death if violated.

[Does Freemasonry actually go all the way back to Egypt or not?]

Freemasonry goes back a few thousand years, but not to when the pyramid were built.

[Would you be able to say where/when exactly Freemasonry originated? Was it started as a sort of "passing of the torch" of the knowledge of the lost civilization from a former society?]

Freemasonry started as a passing of the torch yes.

Today it is controlled by people who are more interested in concealing the knowledge.

[What did you guys think when the regime you oppose introduced communism?]

Synthetic ideology to co-opt American style nationalism. Marx and Engels went to work on this sort of thing almost immediately after the American revolution and that is much more than a historical accident.

[What about the French revolution?]

Same. British and French freemasonry merged in the first half of the 18th century, whereas the Germans were much more aligned with Americanism. You can see public reflections of this in the Leibniz vs Newton controversy for example.

[There is a split between United Grand Lodge of England (Anglo/American) and Grand Orient de France (Continental) they do not recognize each other.]

Facade. English and French freemasonry is very much aligned at the top and has been for ~250 years. They were legitimate rivals previously. The French nationstate was very much a thorn in the side of the establishment of the day from about 800AD - 1700AD, although not consistently. A lot of assassinations and coups in the history of the French throne.

[its Trinity fro GLP.. SAGE]

>We are three

Any relation to Hermes Trismegistus?

Obviously the memes have had an impact as there have been several links to /pol/ from various MSM news sites.

Is there anything more we can do or any other areas which can be brought to light to have a greater impact?]

Trinity works for the government.

Should be arrested along with the rest of Eglin AFB, not only for their domestic propaganda before it was legal but also participation in 9/11.

[What happened to the patrician families of Ancient Rome? Are any still around today? Based on low-level Rothschild NEET AMA the other day it seems like there was quite a bit of animosity between the Romans and the Jüden. Is that a fair description?]

Nope, that is very low level stuff.

Your average jew might feel resentment for being used as bankers and thrown under the bus during times of economic crisis throughout history, but your important jew knows that his family was always spared and was part of the scheme all along.

[(Freemason) What year / events marks the turning point of their corruption?]

The corruption was a slow process, but essentially complete ~3000 years ago.

[Can you shed any light on the late bronze age collapse?]

Increasing corruption of the old order. They are a major economic drain everywhere they infest, spread degeneracy, and unwind social order.

[Was Andrew Jackson one of you guys, a member of another group, or a solitary actor?]

Jackson was recruited into politics by Burr, who was in turn an agent of British Crown allied financiers (Rothschilds, Astors)

[You had mentioned before that the Elites believe they are the rightful descended of the Davidic line. Can you tell me exactly how old their order actually is?

I have examining what certain climactic points throughout history that indicate the doing of these families.

Such points would include:

- > Glorious Revolution of Britain
- > Dutch Empire (special emphasis on East Dutch India Trading Company)
- > Republic of Venice
- > Switch of Leadership around the time of the coming of the Flavian Dynasty.

Were Herod Agrippa and Herod Agrippa II members of this order?]

The central dogma that we oppose, the willful concealing of knowledge, specifically that of consciousness and agency, has been the main tenet of an order that has persisted although not always robustly for about 4000 years. Much of the ideology beyond this has shifted throughout the ages as well as prominent families within the order. Agrippa was a member as were most rulers for some time prior and since.

[The is still much confusion with regards to the period of history spanning from 300 CE to 400 CE. I still do not fully understand the entire picture of how Rome fell as

most of this time is a major clusterfuck.

Take for example the following excerpt:

> It is said, that weakened by illness, Diocletian (the Roman Emperor who ruled from 284 to 305 AD), RETIRED and left his palace on the Dalmatian coast to go tend to his VEGETABLE GARDENS!

I don't know about you but that sounds like complete bullshit to me. Why would an Augustus Caesar quit his job to be a farmer?

Can you tell me what happened to Diocletian? Is there a conspiracy here somewhere and history has been re-written?]

You are largely observing the same game that is played by switching between red and blue presidents today. Imagine coming across the TL;DR of presidential politics 2008-2016 a thousand years from now. Racist country elects black dude followed by guy who questions whether he was even legally allowed to run. Huh?

These sorts of things are, as you have noted, dead giveaways of the old order in the midst of chaos and attempting to control an unruly population.

[I understand how Paul / The Romans infected Christianity and transformed it into what we know of today. You had mentioned you had tried to counteract this by injected a more "warrior" like mentality into your ideology. This, however, mutated into Islam.

My actual question is:

What marks the turning point for when Islam became tainted with ideas injected by your enemies / elites?

While the early days of Islam provided great intellectual might and advancement it quickly turned into an abomination. I would like to know how such a transformation properly took place. Any details would be of great help!]

There isn't really a particular point where this happened. The process played out in much the same way as what you see happening in the west today - a great empire grows, wealth accumulates, subversives use wealth to induce degeneracy and it spreads like a virus over time.

[>The history of the "illuminati" is a great example. It was created by the American revolutionaries as a psy-op to allow the revolution to go forward by creating uncertainty within the ranks of freemasonry as to whether it was a sanctioned event or not. Today you are told how bad and awful the illuminati was, which is funny because it isn't even a real organization - it was merely a trick played on the regime to allow the American enterprise to come to fruition.

This. What about the French Revolution? The South American liberation movement? etc.]

Pretty much every revolution since the American one has been co-opted if not

instigated by British intelligence / freemasonry through bodies like the Young Turks, Young Italians, most ideologies are synthetic (even naively anti-establishment ones like Marxism and Libertarianism are both outright creations of and cultivated by them).

None of this should surprise you because you can judge it all by its fruits quickly. Nothing much has improved in the world since the American revolution other than a drip drop spread of a longing for the values embodied within to the peoples of the world. That's great progress no doubt, but all of singular origin.

The methods and principles of the revolution are poorly understood and articulated today, but we will change all of that.

[also, in relation to jesus... it seems due to our past couple thousand years that even though jesus ran around awakening folks, the mystery cults won out, pretty much up until now?]

They never really won out, but some of them convinced themselves that they had.

~Egypt/Pyramids~

[Who built the pyramids?]

There is no evidence of any continuous order from the time the pyramids were built until now, nor unbroken transmission of knowledge from the period. Not just society, but consciousness, suffered systemic breakdown globally between the construction of the pyramids and the present day.

[How do you feel about Robert Bauval's work?]

These guys are right that the mainstream Egyptian history is wrong, the major works are much, much older than generally claimed, and constitute evidence of a lost civilization.

[Was the Pyramid intended to be some form of Harmonic Oscillator intended solely to increase the coherence of members inside its perimeter?]

Not solely

[Is the other aspect of the Pyramids to simply encode information of the planet? I remember something about it encoding the circumference of the earth and other things of that nature.]

Most of these structures were important lodges. They encode and record a variety of human knowledge at the time. The scale of the projects was also chosen to consume much of the excess productive capacity of mankind and thereby inhibit capital formation (rising middle classes, leisure time).

[Who do you think really built the pyramids? Were there intelligent or conscious civilizations before the Egyptians?]

No one has recorded history that far back. Global societal collapse occurred after advanced civilization took hold.

[What's under the left foot of the sphinx?]

Nothing anymore.

[What was under the Foot of the Sphinx then? Are you guys in possession of any of the artifacts? I hope they are in good hands lol.]

Depends on the time period. These things have changed hands and been used as part of false narratives for a long time.

[What is the purpose of hiding Ramses III identity as Nectanebôs? Why does China hide their pyramids?]

Egyptian history is all made up. The great works there were done before civilization collapsed.

There are no hidden powerful artifacts like the cup of life or some such that you might find in movies. These types of sites are simply controlled because they reveal a human history much older than what you learned in school, a global civilization lost to collapse.

[Explain the true and complete purpose of The Pyramids and The Sphinx, please.]

The pyramids don't have singular purposes. They were organizational ambitions for society, used to record astronomical and historical knowledge, to fulfill the individual desire to be part of permanence, and so on.

[What advanced tools were used for building the Pyramids and Megalithic? Sound cutting or Electronic tools?]

None, it isn't as hard as it looks.

~Nazi/Germany~

[What did you think of Hitler? What did he and/or the people controlling him want to accomplish? What was the holocaust?]

Hitler was created pretty directly and overtly, and a lot this process had to do with consolidation of power. Look at the long arc of the 100 years before WW2. Europe was highly tumultuous in the second half of the 19th century especially, and this is very much glossed over in your history lessons - here you'll find the original provocateurs and agendas.

[Was there any success on the part of the Nazis (or any other group for that matter) to find relics related to the prior rise of consciousness from which meaningful deductions can be gleaned?]

No point in chasing Nazi relics. The symbolism available in widely circulated books is clear enough if you think about it.

[Was Hitler a good guy or did he actually declare war on the elite?]

Hitler was very much controlled.

[What was Hitler's intended purpose? A big baddie to justify further globalization and consolidation of power in the form of the EU etc.?]

Much of continental Europe was headed in the direction of the American revolution in the 19th century. The wars there are largely efforts at disrupting this and controlling the spread of productive nationalism, especially in regards to independent financial stability.

[Hitler - is /pol/ right or is my high school history book?]

History books and /pol/ are both wildly inaccurate on Hitler.

[(Hitler)]

Hitler was put into power by the British. If you go review his speeches, the rhetoric actually started out as anti-masonic more so than anti-jewish, then was subtly shifted over time.

That by itself tells you that what you're seeing is someone who was put into place to co-opt organic movements.

The sorts of things that Hitler was put into place to achieve were: (1) discrediting nationalism, (2) discrediting armed opposition to organized conspiracies, (3) destroying nation states, (4) centralizing financial control

[Was Hitler just used by the Jews to strengthen their power in Europe and to outlaw antisemitism? Or are the Jews just used by another group?]

Historically, jews have had very little power. That started to change around 250 years ago when key banking families started building an alliance with the British crown. The jewish influence has grown tremendously since then; Hitler served the agendas of both the British crown and jewish financiers.

[Was Hitler seeking out ancient technology with some of his more "outlandish," programs, has that search continued into the present day, and has there been any success in this matter?]

Yes, but they don't exist. The mysteries of the past are related to consciousness, the manipulation and suppression of it for power and control, and these sorts of related things. Not alien technology that makes you superman.

[were the nazis a bad implementation of a good idea?]

European continent had a significant anti-masonic movement after WW1 owing to widespread awareness that they started the first world war and Nazism was a means of co-opting this among other purposes.

[Holohoax?]

Lots of jews were rounded up, put in camps, and killed there during WW2.

[Was it Holocaust or Holohoax?]

Little bit of both. There was widespread persecution and death of jews in Germany, but the 6 million figure comes from the prophecy related to re-establishing Israel, not any actual counting. The holocaust happened, but much bigger things are at play surrounding it.

[But what was the gain of WW2? A massive loss of life? Punishing Europe or bolstering US? If Hitler was a plant did he just sign up to lose the war? Or was he

turned on in the end? Was the holocaust even real?]

Lots of reasons. Conspiracy theories swept the continent in the aftermath of WW1 and most believed that freemasons had organized it. So, they needed another war to distract from that. But these folks start wars just to destroy things and keep people poor. They have been trying to perpetuate a divide between ruler/slave for thousands of years. A lot of jews really did die in camps. Hitler knew what he was doing for the most part (that is, he was in on the con). Operatives at the top like that need a pretty good grasp of where things are designed to go in order to act appropriately.

~Human Roots~

[Where did we come from?]

Don't know.

[How many times do you think civilization has needed to restart?]

Depends on what you count as a restart.

We experienced at least one near complete dark age from ~10,000 years ago to ~5,000 years ago.

Probably not more, other than less severe episodes familiar to you in modern history.

[You said: "We experienced at least one near complete dark age from ~10,000 years ago to ~5,000 years ago." 8000 BC is when humans finally started agriculture, and literacy invented 4000 BC. Between 8000 BC and 3000 BC humans accomplished the foundation of civilization. Are you suggesting humanity regressed somehow?]

It's well known that there was a catastrophic event 10-15k years ago and that many of our megastructures that persist to this day are from a civilization that existed then but leaves no other trace than lore.

[what sort of lore? religion?]

In part, yes.

[What causes the cyclical pattern of civilization -> collapse -> civilization? Do you believe it is possible to stop this pattern?]

Natural causes for the first collapse (asteroid impact) combined with a hedonistic society that wasn't able to hold to retain much knowledge through hard times.

[How far back does the true history go? How come most easily accesible resources like coal or iron weren't even touched by those people over many ages? Even if they were more advanced than us when they died they had to start somewhere and would have used those or we'd see some evidence of their passing, evidence of quarries for example can last for millions and millions of years and still be recognized as evidently artificial.]

Only one before this has left any trace. No one has any recorded history. It's largely speculative.

[Is this 'loss of consciousness' theory explicitly outlined in any published works? From what I understand of your previous answers, it is only alluded to peripherally in 'The Origin of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind', correct me if I'm wrong.]

That's the most explicit public and straightforward treatment of the topic, but you can see it is clearly present in the mystery schools and major religions among other places.

[Also I have always been interested in how things work and improving on them. Especially Technology and medicine. I am wanting to look more into nano, bio, tech.]

Have you read Secret Life of plants, Or any of the Padgett messages?

It speaks of the rise and fall, and rise again saying that for a while when man fell he was similar to a wild beast having no consciousness.

Your words have definitely resonated with me.]

We had similar line of inquiry yesterday and I recommended Origins of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind as a good starting point to appreciate that, yes, man did fall away from consciousness in the past.

It's a truly remarkable thing to note in that most people regard it as an innate phenomena but the evidence indicates otherwise, that it was possessed, lost, and found again.

[>Natural causes for the first collapse (asteroid impact)]

This would relate to something in the true history of which most of the population is unaware?

What were are taught of human history is a construct of the ancestors of those in power now.

Are there any ways someone who is not privy to the resources of your group or others to verify for themselves what aspects of true history have been changed?]

For the most part, the flow of particular facts is unencumbered. It's big pictures that are suppressed.

You can go read the geological literature and deduce global catastrophic events 10-15k years ago, or look at the evidence of water erosion in Egypt combined with knowing there hasn't been enough rain there since they were allegedly built.

All of this information is available to your scholarly pursuits, but you should take care not to accept unfounded assumptions.

Basically, do the opposite of the way they taught you history. Most people get big picture ideas but very few facts to back them up. You want many, many facts and

few big ideas in proportion (or you're probably reaching for conclusions without much surety).

[>You want many, many facts and few big ideas in proportion (or you're probably reaching for conclusions without much surety).

So, if I understand correctly, the basic premise that history as taught is not necessarily the way things actually played out. This is especially true in relation to what we refer to as the timer period in which Mesopotamia is supposedly considered to be the cradle of civilization.

Sites like Gobleki Tepi point to much older civilizations and even have evidence that grain was domesticated as far back as ~12-14k years ago.

You also seem to imply that there was at least one age in the past during which consciousness was attained and maintained by all but due to a catastrophe (asteroid impact the first one?) that has been lost on a widespread basis.]

Yes

[>Yes

Is there any advantage in finding this information (consciousness gained and lost by asteroid) or is it a wheel spinning exercise?]

Consideration of such a topic is a good exercise in examining how even apparently technologically sophisticated people can be misled easily.

[was the cataclysm a flood that overloaded the frequency power plant pyramids causing exploding?]

Large scale flooding occurred. The second part of your question is pseudo-science gibberish.

[While you're at it, why don't you enlighten us as to how a society could have existed 15,000 years ago without us knowing about it. This is where you fucked up your little roleplay. It's far too easy for a scientist to stumble upon an ancient relic, carbon date it, then release the findings to the world without any organization ever having a chance to know about it.]

There are many examples of artifacts over 15,000 years old having been found and entered in the public record in recent history.

[What do you make of the meme that a long time ago, intelligent/enlightened beings, usually from the sky, came to unenlightened or savage humans and showed them light/fire/knowledge/truth and lived among them/ruled them for a short time and then left, often promising to return at some point?

Sometimes there's a subplot of the beings being divided and some ruling the humans as gods/kings/slavemasters and some (often just one) gifting enlightenment to humans and being reviled and or punished afterwards. i.e. Prometheus.]

Metaphorically true. Previous civilization, collapse, re-emergence, a splintering of "gods" (conscious people), and a war between them.

[I'd assumed as much. You'd basically have to be stupid to do otherwise, and you're clearly not even remotely stupid.]

How generally accurate was my broad summary of civilization, and do you think the "gods" were the first conscious people to arise from the post-apocalyptic (which is technically the wrong word but you know what I mean) rebirth of civilization, or were the "gods" remnants from the previous civilization?]

We're of the opinion that there was a complete loss, but others claim otherwise. [Is Graham Hancock on the right path with his assumptions about a worldwide, high tech civilization existing before the last ice age? Could you tell us about this ancient civ in any detail?]

We don't consider the prior civilization to be particularly high tech by modern standards. Comparable to what existed 2000 years ago, but more integrated globally.

[10,000 years ago, there was a catastrophe that sent civilization spiraling backwards. Was this due to climate change (a flood for ex) or something more sinister?]

Asteroid impact

[Where can I see some traces left over from the original civilization that collapsed?]

Many monuments predate "modern" civilization (i.e. the pyramids / sphinx in Egypt were not built several thousand years ago but rather are over 10,000 years old)

[In the last thread you mentioned an example of previous consciousness in the pyramids and sphinx being around 10,000 years old, not ~2500 ish. Do you have a starting point to learn more about this?]

I noticed a guy named Hancock mentioned a lot in response to this question. My own preference is directly reading the relevant literature in journals, but perhaps you would enjoy the novel format more.

[How much of history has been changed/made up? I started delving in more into the sciences and a lot of things in history that people have done, don't seem to add up based on the technology and/or level of math they had.]

History as a record of significant events is mostly accurate, but as taught is highly misleading both in terms of narratives that are created, inclusion of theory (or lies) as fact, and in terms of what is left out.

[Regarding the past civilization that was destroyed, is it known how advanced their technology was? What is a good starting point to find remains of this civilization (beyond the known myths)?]

Many global monuments (Pyramids) were built back then. There is a wide array of artifacts that are dated to the era you can look up in the literature. Very limited cave drawings have been found, but no advanced writing or manuscripts other than stone engravings. They probably had paper and some form of ink, but none of that would be left today.

[Ok, I'll bite. Where I should start to get more info on pre-historic civilization?]

My own preference is to read the academic literature, being careful to dismiss the narrative and focus on hard facts. Draw your own conclusions.

Previous threads people mentioned a book by Hancock if you'd rather go the easy way.

[i dont follow these threads too well, but you said out grasp of history was pitiful.

Were the Neanderthal and Sapien races co-existant and somewaht advanced, but some kind of great war brought them down to the litteral stone age, and then time transpired as we understand today?

any kind of bone you can throw about the 'real' history? im interested in such things and even if theres nothing to find its interesting to muse about.]

They coexisted and interbred, yes. Homo sapiens have lower minimum caloric requirements, so they had a strong differential advantage in times of hardship.

[Dogon.

Hopi.

Swiss.

Tibetan.

These cultures have something in common. Is this commonality meaningful?]

Yes, things like this are very significant. You can find evidence all over the place of active trade, communication between the hemispheres long before Columbus. That alone debunks the master narrative of history you were taught growing up. It's definitely worth getting a better sense of history as it occurred and figuring out why you've been taught lies.

[Antediluvian high civilization - true or false?]

Yes, there was a lost global civilization and major worldwide flooding. The OT stories are not literally true, but based on truth.

[What was the cause of the breakdown?]

Asteroid impact > major climate shifts, crop failure, loss of societal order, etc.

[What are some good reading materials or paths on the original advanced civilization that I can look into?]

There is no direct chain of knowledge (surviving books, word of mouth, etc.). You can only gleam information from archeological studies, climate history, their writings on stone, etc.

[>When people first began to become conscious thousands of years ago

I'm assuming you mean they started to question their beliefs and deistic practices?]

No, they literally became conscious when they were not before.

[If I wanted to learn more about this supposed ancient advanced civilization, where would I go? I'm currently in college studying ancient cultures and it's they're a fascination of mine.]

Consult the archaeological and climate literature.

Graham Hancock has been repeatedly recommended by other anon's with your question, but I have not had time to review his work.

[I checked out Graham's site, but i wanted to ask you if there's any point of solid evidence to start off on?]

Sure. You can tell that many of the Giza structures are much, much older than claimed due to water erosion combined with climatological knowledge of how long the region has been arid.

Pretty soon you realize that these structures predate any civilization with a handed down historical record, and realize society completely collapsed at some point. It isn't hard to deduce with even the scantest of knowledge.

[Anything significant pertaining to the Yonaguni Monument or is it just people trying to make something out of nothing?]

The formation is linked to the bedrock so it isn't a case of large stones being moved like the pyramids around the world. Only 16 feet below current sea levels though, so civilization could easily have been there in the past. We had global civilization ~15,000 years ago when sea levels were 400 feet lower.

[Did the advanced civilization have advanced modern technology or did it never make it that far]

Comparable to European civilization around 1200-1400AD.

[The several factions of controlling elites in the world which you refer to: approximately how far back do their bloodlines go ?? .. ten thousand, a hundred .. a million years, five million .. fifteen, twenty ???]

No one has recorded history beyond a few thousand years ago, certainly not back to pre-collapse era. Some of them pretend to, but they are fakers.

[Why is this civilization significant? I missed it...]

There isn't anything particular special about the civilization itself. The significance lies in the fact that mankind had global organization, communication, sophisticated society - and lost it all.

The mythos here has a lot to do with the origination of mystery cults, ways of thinking about governance, preserving society and knowledge, and so on.

[How old is human history?]

Recorded human history is about 6-7k years, but a civilization clearly existed before this and suffered complete collapse.

[Pyramids in Australia, America
Were the continents connected pre flood?]

People sailed across oceans long before Columbus, but some land bridges did exist.

~History Misc.~

[How much of our world history is misunderstood or misrepresented for what it really is? And for that matter how significant is the past as a resource for learning more and better understanding the larger picture?]

The grasp of history is pitiful and the importance almost impossible to overstate.

[Is there a past society (however far or close it is from your optimal "society") that can be looked upon to extract ideas in order to base said "optimal" society?]

The central tenets of most societies have the same origin. Particular dogmatic practices hardly seem worth mentioning when you start to see the bigger picture.

[Is the idea of history being in cycles correct? Is the American empire about to collapse?]

Not in a fatalistic sense, but you can certainly draw parallels.

[>FDR and Churchill were more like allies of convenience than butt buddies. FDR was closer to Stalin than Churchill.

So in the end McCarthy was right

Was Eisenhower on the same boat or did he noped?

Were they playing the long game that would lead to where we are today?

WW1/2 ended Europe

Cold war ended everyone else except for America

Post cold war is trying to make sure nothing grows ever again?]

This reads like a belief in a singular hegemonic power and/or master plan. You can't accurately interpret history this way.

[That's basically what I figured.

Do you think the re-emergence phase was a new and separate genesis of consciousness among the native population that emerged after the previous peoples were lost, or was it the same people from the previous civilization that somehow saved themselves returning as gods to govern/nurture the newly born "savages" and rebuild?

If that's the case, then it doesn't seem implausible that the main splintering and struggle between "gods" over what to do about/with/to/for the "humans" would have continued through to modernity.

Which could mean that the mystery schools and groups like your own could descend literally or in spirit/ideology/knowledge from the previous civilization.

Which could explain why some of the darker groups seem to believe in an inherent,

inherited right to power for themselves and their bloodlines only, among other things.

So I suppose the question is... does membership in your group tend to run in families?]

Yes, but not as a rule. Proximity to highly conscious individuals tends to generate the same, as well as environments conducive to individual growth. You won't be more or less welcome because of an ancestor (for us), just more or less likely to develop.

[Who was the father of Jesus Christ?

Is it his bloodline that enabled him to get to this enlightened stage of consciousness? Would please tell me more about his abilities and why he is of central meaning for your organisation?]

Bloodlines are a bit overhyped. Think more in terms of correlation than causation. If you are raised by wealthy people, you tend to be wealthy. This kind of an effect. [also according to you, all of history is a lie, and everything we perceive is a lie.

How do you know what's true?]

Much of the particular facts you are taught are true. What you should be wary of is narratives, unfounded assumptions.

No one ever showed you carbon dating to substantiate the building of the pyramids a few thousand rather than 10-15 thousand years ago for example, they just asserted it was true. That is the sort of thing you need to go back over and purge your mind of...

[>not "racist" but are realist

yeah yeah, how many times have I heard that one

what is the accident, the ongoing invasion or the initial influx during the slave trade?]

White populations are concentrated around water. Mechanical advantage derived from it is primarily what enabled the industrial revolution and the catapulting of them beyond others.

[In your opinion, who was/is the most intelligent, enlightened human that ever existed (or at least, that left some trace of their existence)?]

Requiring both traits, probably Jesus.

Franklin had a comparable intelligence and Washington a comparable level of enlightenment, and were the two key figures in the American revolution which is the only movement that will ever be seen to have comparable significance to what Jesus did

[How did they know c, and diameter of sun? 864,000 miles? How did they know? They must have advanced beyond 1200-1400 AD.]

You need only very basic math to calculate things like the size of the Earth. Two tall sticks at different latitudes and a measuring rod is all you need to realize the Earth is round and get its circumference. Much of this is not nearly as remarkable as it seems at first glance.

[Does this mean that we can trace back to maybe the great Roman houses (Aemilii, Corneli, Julii, etc)? As I said, ancient cultures are a fascination and I'd like to hear what you have on this. Are there continuations of these families today?]

Yes

[>No one has recorded history beyond a few thousand years ago, certainly not back to pre-collapse era. Some of them pretend to, but they are fakers.

Wouldnt this sort of hold the same weight as religious beliefs then? Something we will never actually know. Just a belief rather than fact? You could decipher archeological anomalies but you would still have to believe for it to be "truth"

Though, after typing that i feel like basically everything can be broken down into that basic idea....]

Depends on what you mean.

Is it a "belief" that civilization existed before? No, not really. There is ample hard evidence of it. No more a belief than gravity.

Are there mythos wrapped up into this? Sure.

[Elites claim that Jesus was their agent and catalyst to overthrowing the Ancient Roman Establishment.]

The current regime is a descendent of the Roman Empire. It had no interest in overthrowing itself. Transparent lies.

[How old are the Elite families? I would like to know wether there is some sort of connection between them and either the ancient Carthaginians or the Roman Patrician families.

Anything that I should pursue on that front?]

Almost all of this is overblown. Everyone in the west is related if you go back far enough. Control is passed via secret orders, not families. Family wasn't even a major concept in the pre-Christian era.

[Was your group behind the creation of Mithraism in the Roman Empire?]

No

[Was your group behind the creation of the Freemasons?]

No

[You have mentioned that your group had created Islam to combat the Romanized / Elite version of Christianity when you lost control of it.

I suppose it makes sense as initially Islam was very intellectually based and expanded upon much of the ancient Greek teachings but it quickly turned into an

abhorrent abomination.

Can you tell me what year / event is the turning point when you begin to lose control of Islam?]

We didn't ever "control" either Christianity or Islam. We have throughout history injected big ideas like this and to a lesser extent stories, parables, artistic works, etc., as blunt and imperfect instruments to nudge mankind in the right direction. It is only in the modern era that we could conceive of programmatic seizure of power with the ability to leverage scientific ability to overcome our inferior numbers.

[Am I correct in assuming that the Prussian elites were more often than not about as strongly involved with your cause as the British ones were with Golden Dawn's?]

Yes

[A puzzle that I have not yet resolved, however, lies in history. I am trying to understand how the Roman Empire fell.

Can you point me to any proper historical works for me to answer this question. In particular the period of time from 400-1000 AD has many conflicts (at least from the official chronological events).

For example, I indicated months ago that there are duplication of events. Some historians refer to them as "phantom duplicates" based on conflicting chronologies. So as an example, you see accounts of historical figures and 300 years later you see the same events.

Can you help me with anything regarding this front?]

Much of this confusion arises from the period being highly volatile. We created Islam to inject a warrior mentality into Christianity against the influence of Paul/Roman branch that was taking root.

[Can you explain the deal with Ley Lines? Anything there of significance for me to pursue?]

Better coherence effects across some of them.

[>across some of them.

Wait what? Across the lines you get better coherence effects?

This would then explain the positioning of the Ancient Stone Monolithic structures, no?

Maybe now I see why the ancient civilization failed. Too much coherence and not enough agency.]

That's part of it.

[Dinos are fake?]

Dinosaurs used to roam the Earth.

[Can you elaborate more on what the struggle between the factions was from 1850-1945, specifically the world wars?]

I could write many volumes on 1850-1945, can you ask a more specific question?

[In previous answer you mention they created Hitler. Hypothesis: American Nationalism is the greatest threat to the OWO. They create Hitler to be the perfect monster and fulfill two important goals. Goal one; Eradicate Nationalism once and for all by associating it with racism and xenophobia, they even name it Nationalist Socialism which without the negative association embodies the essence of your organization; second, create and use the holocaust, purposefully sacrificing 6 million of their ethnic own, to fulfill the promise of Balfour declaration that was made when the house of Rothschild merged with the British Royal family. Yes, No, or on the right track?]

is roughly accurate, although we are not really nationalists or socialists. The nationstate can be a powerful tool to make the world a better place, but so is the internal combustion engine.

[You have mentioned in some of your previous threads that France was a key opponent of the Regime / Old World Order until about the year 1700.

Can you explain why the French were principle opponents of the Old World Order?

Was there a prominent faction there that we do not yet know about that was pulling the strings or was it simply under the influence of your group?]

France was strongly under our influence on and off for much of the last two centuries, but this faded markedly in the 18th century in part because of the subversive success of the regime, but largely because our efforts were redirected to America.

[One question I have about the spontaneous learning, why didn't all human advance to the bronze age/ iron age/ etc if LARGE portions of the population at the time were in those periods?]

See: "It's already been observed that even lower animals will spontaneously learn skills that members of their species physically removed from them picked up on. There's much to all of this of course."

There are ways of setting aside knowledge. The concealment of stone working techniques isn't just an exoteric thing, but also an esoteric metaphor meant to teach much more.

[Where you the one that said Socrates was the first of your group to be prosecuted and killed?

Who was he?]

Yes, I spoke of Socrates earlier. He was a sort of whistle blower of his day. Spreading knowledge to the masses in an era where real education was even more so than today only for a very select few. He eventually paid for this with his life.

[Have you read I.F. Stone's book on Socrates? In it Stone takes the side of the Athenians and argues that Socrates was asking to die by letting himself appear to

approve of a dictatorial overthrow of democracy, within living memory of a bloody coup.]

Asking to die is not how I would describe it. Our struggle is not without its risk though. It is in the darkest of hours that we emerge to hold up our lamps and are thus often set against the public in sentiment at first.

[Do good/evil as polarities, are they expressed in natural frequencies/numbers as well? Is the tritone interval related to 666? What are the good ones? Do some numbers or vibrations suppress or enhance consciousness? If so how should we see how we can help out by amplifying our signals to cancel out the negative shit?]

A lot of what you might consider bad today was biologically necessary in the past. It's not good for the development of the mind to be burdened with childbirth at 14 or 15 years old, nor is it necessary now, but once upon a time people didn't reliably live a whole lot longer.

.....

.....

.....

Agency

~General~

What are you going to do after the armed revolution anon?

That's not a serious plan. It's one (among many) pathway to implementing a serious plan.

[It doesn't matter what happens after we stop all the bad things
That's like asking who's going to pick the cotton after we stop slavery
T. Stephan]

You are being childish. Stopping the bad things without a compensating methodology creates an even worse situation.

You don't want to live in a society like Somalia. You want order. You have to have a reasonable plan for implementing it or you won't be taken seriously by a

If you had specific, actionable plans with a reasonable basis for outcome improvement you'd be well on your way to seeing them come to fruition.
A lot of your hearts are in the right place but your faculties of reason are failing.

Corruption is an essential element of the existing system. As I mentioned earlier, CIA drug running as an example.

This has consequences that no one likes, yes, but how would you do better?
The lesson you should take from events like Seth Rich is that good intent is worthless without good plans.

You guys do a good job of questioning what you are told in a detail oriented fashion, but not in the abstract.

You need to emerge from your indoctrination into the real world and appreciate principles of reality such as the law of the jungle.

[Are you implying that if we can't dig up anything NEW, the investigation is pointless? We're just autists on here putting information in one place, we can't just magically turn into 2 years of FBI investigation overnight.]

You need both new tactics and new abstractions.

Most of what I see here is "LOL EVIL" but if you step back and think for a moment surely you will come to the conclusion that you've been fed this line by nefarious actors that don't want you to see the big pictures.

I'm not saying you'll arrive at a less condemning conclusion either.
[Best way to cheaply ensure I don't become a goy slave?]

Think for yourself without making it a problem for others unless you have the ability to defend yourself first.

Enlightenment has a prerequisite of your basic needs being met. You can't find your own way if your society isn't sufficiently ordered.
I suggest that you become technically proficient.

Magic is nothing more than sufficiently advanced technology.

What you really want is magic - a leap forward in possibilities. You do this with technological sophistication.

Read science journals. Think about how to improve the world with innovation and organization.

[why are we so stupid? why when the massive amounts of actual correct answers are out there on the internet and computing sectors are we lagging in the real world? Example: governments such as california are building "high speed rail" when there are projects such as the "well known" hyperloop transport. and the lesser known ET3 transport. we drive in dangerous vehicles and old fashioned buses when we could leave an apartment using JPOD's that would link up to the hyperloop. there are many areas of argument similar to this one. the smallest bit of argument could be made as to why cereal bags aren't zip lock yet.]

Have you tried organizing something like this?

You're not wrong - there are projects along these lines that would pay for themselves.

Why not go do them? We have given you a sufficiently ordered society to make these things possible.

We want you to embrace reason, not a personality. Personalities are tools for the dimwitted.

You need to become a magician. Expand the boundaries of the possible.

Learn to think clearly. What problems do you have? Are they root causes or the result of something else?

It takes significant effort to back out the way you really feel about the world, but necessary action becomes clearer as your thoughts do the same.

[When you say become a magician, you mean magick?]

I didn't mean this literally. More like magic is indistinguishable from sufficiently advanced technical skill.

[How can you be sure of anything you believe you know?]

The best you can do is examine the evidence.

[But if doing research and asking questions is the only way to reach your level, and, presumably, doing research and asking questions will draw a lot of unwanted threats, how are we supposed to survive long enough to achieve anything?]

Seek your power and your most important answers quietly. You don't need me if you've made it that far though.

[What is the single best thing any capable individual can do to benefit society?

Should I help my self before I help others, or should I help others so they can help me?]

Exert yourself in designing a better way, and the means to protect yourself when articulating it.

[Best way (if possible) to raise IQ? Best fields of study?]

You need extreme breadth and depth if you want agency. You guys want to reimagine the world. Being an expert in quantum mechanics or evolutionary biology by itself isn't going to cut it.

[Tavistocks & banks]

The central banks and tavistock are all very important systems to comprehend.

[>We encourage dramatic shifts in the current ordering of society.

And it is up to those who are aware of such encouragement to take advantage those shifts?

>That's not the same thing as saying destroy everything and start over with something we'll figure out when we get there.

IOW, we need to think of what we want the structure to look like before a serious attempt is made at engineering a "dramatic shift in the current ordering of society?"

If one does not know where one is going, one is likely to remain lost and at the mercy of others who have a clear goal.]

Yes. Defining the destination is most of the journey.

[Do you think I can influence people by writing books? Maybe placing the ideas into literature texts and hope it sinks into peoples minds?]

You need a clear idea of what you want to convince people of. Writing down your thoughts will help you develop this, even if it is a long process towards ultimate publication.

[>It's time for a significant reordering of general thought processes.

How should we approach this then?]

We're here to give you an "all of the above" approach. Help fill in your gaps on anything from history, politics, economics, scientific potential, etc. You need a broad and deep comprehension of the world if you want to change the way it operates.

[But if we want to change the world, doesn't that mean we must change ourselves? And how can I begin to change myself?]

Yes. Do your best to ask potent questions.

[Asking questions about things is something I plan on doing after all of this revelation.

But do you have other tips for me so I may be able to spread my ideas through publicized texts, yet still keep myself safe of potential enemies? What should I focus on from here on out?]

As your sophistication grows, so will your techniques for defending yourself. You should just take care that neither gets ahead of the other. Exercise due caution and always continue to reapply and integrate what you've learned to improve your methodologies.

[what can the average red pill person do to help?]

You need to deemphasize exposing the current system to some degree, more specifically put it in the context of offering a better alternative and expose what people are missing out on by going along with the current system.

If you can do better, you can show people they are being cheated. If you can't offer a better alternative, they will wonder why should they care.

[What can we do to replace the dopamine hit people get from social media with something more productive?]

Appeal to their reward system in another way. I've pointed this out before, that the appropriate path to "red pilling" someone isn't just trying to prove that what exists is bad, but showing the gap between what could be and what is. People react with revulsion and motivation to the feeling of being cheated.

[Were did JFK lack leverage? Should you make your failure even worse for the existing power structure than your success would be? How could that be done without nullifying what you hope to achieve? Or is what your group wants not diametrically opposed to the existing structure?

I would like a book list. Better yet, an author/source list.]

It's important to create disincentives towards your own failure as well as incentives for others to accept your success.

[What is the most potent form of influencing reality "creation"?]

You will best realize your ability to influence the world through the hard work of learning and applying tangible, technological achievement to subduing nature, coupled with an acceptance of reality - i.e., rejecting the illusion of a civil society in favor of the law of the jungle, but without falling off the deep end to mad max fantasies.

[Tell me what do you think of this short summery of the message out of your threads.

>Your organisations is trying to establish order as necessity to progression

>Modern lifestyle and politcs make people blind for their strengh hence there are unaware of themselves

>In order to create a better version of ourselves we need high education, fitness and the comprehension of the basic and advanced mechanics of our psych

>What will ultimatly need to ascended state of mind where the individual have the most power and influence

>You need more ascended minds to influence the subconscious on a global scale??? (guess)

One question still remains! Qui bono?

Is it for the good of all, the individual or the elite? Are we being played or used as instruments?]

If you follow the advice well, we can't control you nor could anyone else. We believe increased agency improves outcome and want to lead you towards possession of it. [**>that the illusion needs to be replaced with actual progress however.**

The questions then become "how can we make progress" and "are we actually making progress in the right direction". My question is what can be done to bring people about to thinking these questions?]

Do it yourself and demonstrate the potential of a better way.

[I think I get you, Washington played his opposition at their own game and beat them.

He had them thinking that his work (and that of those who he was working with) might have actually their work and thus allowed him to continue.

Bringing the same notion forward, if we can make Black Team think we are doing their work, then we might have more of a chance at succeeding because the opposition will think we are an extension of their efforts rather than an attempt at making something else come in to being. This is where we can best employ our force multipliers and alternative armaments. Find those key logs and break up the log jams, close the technology gaps.

Right track?]

You're getting a better conceptual grasp of what happened in the past here, but this is a trick that has already played out.

To a large extent, you have a free society that resulted from those efforts. The next step is actually utilizing those freedoms, not running a similar con on the establishment.

We've already backed them against the wall with the American revolution that led to a majority middle class. Think in terms of pushing the project forward - you're most of the way there already.

[Can goals be good or evil? Like ultimately I want to help whoever I can and stop people from being hurt. Is that not good?]

It's not always so clear. You can have good intentions and do very poorly, or do some things that seem bad in isolation but with good intentions or the anticipation of better overall outcomes.

The broader and deeper your knowledge base and analysis, the more able you are to evaluate concrete alternatives and pick better paths or generate better outcomes.

[Is there a red pill beyond the red pill?]

Part of being red pill'd is realizing that "the" red pill is blue pill'd. Awareness and self-actualization are processes rather than singular events. The dialectic of the red pill / blue pill is itself a con in a significant way.

[>agency in spite of coherence

I'm not sure what your definitions of "agency" and "coherence" are in this context.

Are you saying something along the lines of consciousness enables covert communication through symbols that have different meanings to different people, but the right meanings to the right people?]

Yes, that's true.

[what can a normal citizen, with no ties, no money, no power, no connections really do?

im just an engineer that works 60 hour work weeks, and has a heart filled with hate seeing Clinton get away with everything and watching everyone buy into the propaganda machine really disheartening.

what can i even do to stop this madness?

how can i protect my future as a blue collar worker?

everything around me is pushing what i knew into irrelevance.

help me mr.wizard. the rabbit hole us deep and i forgot to bring a shovel.]

OP picture is very relevant to you as an engineer.

(<https://ii.yuki.la/9/23/f122dffeb06829f52364631337691a8e0ea852c140ea632f06d42d33f559b239.png>)

Everyone knows the system is bankrupt. It's important to teach the youth this as they mature, but anyone who has been around a while gets it.

The problem you have changing the system is that is very hard to come by someone offering alternatives. Something up your alley would be writing papers on mega infrastructure projects, detailed cost/benefit analysis.

You can push for tangible positive change this way and will meet much more success than with empty sloganeering like "stop corruption" or "restore the constitution." Average people don't deal in abstractions well.

[>We're interested in improving mankind. You should share similar principles and have the capacity to contribute to this effort.

Wow that's half assed. I guess life truly is about finding your way through chaos. Except some are born from the right parents. Others are damned to wander forever in search of something to seek. I want a cause. any cause. Something to give my life for. The human race is something I would give my life for. We are so full of potential.

I just don't know how. I don't have magic powers. I have my will, and even this thing is terribly draining to maintain without a goal to seek.]

Try writing about the potential. I have given you an excellent topic to start with in OP pic (Orbital Ring). We have the technology to build a space elevator today. Encourage others to see potential in the world like this.

It's fine and useful to be aware of the darkness, but you have to shine a little light too.

[For someone like myself who just likes to read and absorb information, is there any real world ways for a nobody to gain factual information on the establishment in its entirety?

I literally just want to sit back and learn how it all works and why things are being done the way they are.

Im just tired of misinformation]

You most likely need some real world experience to go with your reading before you'll really see it all. The establishment is made up of flawed, social creatures like the rest of us. If you don't know your fellow man well, you are not in a good position to make sense of it all.

[What is the path to enlightenment?

What is enlightenment?]

We consider an enlightened person to be one who is conscious of the interplay between minds, but this is a mere prerequisite to agency rather than an end goal.

[Are there specific changes that you think ordinary people will have to accept as part of the oncoming processes?

I assume that as people wake up, they will both gain some power over their own destiny, and recognize the non-viability of some options. Is this wrong? Could they wake up merely to a pre-ordained destiny?]

==={Try thinking of your stereotypical normia; empty. Accepts everything, can only think in what's already build around him, all of his problem-solvig revolves around this system, he is unable to re-invent anything, let alone invent anything. Then think of the enlightened, he can do everything. The differences are like using roads all of your life and going anywhere with a car; unleashing it's full potential}===

Anon responded pretty well already.

A healthy society needs widespread dismantling of the blinders that people are wearing.

[>Impunity to act in this world

Okay but I don't mean "power" in the sense that I can't be subjugated by more powerful forces -- I mean "power" a la the power to control/distort/spin information, the power to shape perspective en masse, like how the brains of Apple fanatics

yield MRIs comparable to those of religious zealots; if I can't get/grow a good PR firm for myself, what's my next best option? That's more so what I meant w/the Jim Jones thing]

It is easy to break another's Overton window if you are already outside of it. You don't have clear goals and that is why the path seems uncertain to you.

[(meaning to life) Enjoyment? Sounds a little blatant since everybody is looking for it and instant gratification rather than go the hard and abstain what may be enjoyable but harmful on the long run?

In my opinion philosophies like this doesn't lead to felicity and greatness but obedience and numbness.

I can tell you one thing. I am well aware of the coherence of consciousness and the agency you can deduce out of this BUT the people nowadays and especially in first world countries like mine. People are in some way immune to it due to their habits which give them enjoyment with the push of a button and instant gratification and the moment you will try to make them aware that they are player and pawn in a game they don't know it exists tell them to rethink, reconnect, maybe take a step back and watch how people being programmed to hate themselves to fraction society in always smaller gated communities, where they can live even less conscious and aware of the shit they think they can't influence so why care?

Everything needs to be fun and good tasting and always go through life with 20/80 rule. It disgusts me.

And really I am trying to save some from time to time but I regularly get to the point where I realise. They don't want to be! They are "happy". They only can save themselves.

What can I do to break this spell of comfort and enjoyment?]

If you are significantly outside someone else's Overton window, it isn't hard to break theirs.

I've detailed in previous threads how the government can deploy current available technology to cut taxes to 0% without withholding any current services. If you could articulate this yourself, then you can easily convince everyone they are being scammed and make them very angry about it.

[One last question for today since I struggle with my study (law) as a result of lack of interest and compassion I still have the vision of a better world and I would like to help the people, though I feel a little lost and would appreciate if you could give me an advice out of your wisdom and experience of life how to proceed? Fight through the bullshit in the hope you can see your way someday? Abort and reevaluate?]

Follow your instinct to acquire agency.

["The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants."

We're all raised with these kinds of messages and it's clear to anyone who bothers

to think about it that we are far from Free, but no one is willing to act on it.]

My advice to you, if you do not have the backbone to acquire sufficient leverage to challenge the regime directly, is to do this: confine your political advocacy to tangible, concrete ideas about how to make the world a better place.

For example, Kennedy pushed for a project called NAWAPA. Major industrial infrastructure improvements like this mean improving the conditions of the middle class and that directly undermines the regime. You also get people wondering why we are not doing positive things like that. Let the indictment of the regime be implied by the vastly different outcomes of stay the course ("everything is fine") versus what is possible.

[Finally, is there any hope for us ordinary folk? How can we fight back?]

The most important things to fighting back are:

- having a better alternative (not liking the status quo is meaningless unless you can do better)
- having the means to defend yourself (freedom to act derives from the barrel of the gun, not the law)

[Do you have any self-improvement recommendations (diet, exercise, reading) beyond what you have said in the past?]

You should find your own way to exercise leverage against the regime, and thereby gain agency. A taste of freedom will radically transform your life for the better.

[How do I get up to your level of Insider agency?]

Acquire leverage

[Why is this about leverage, and not utility- or is that the same? How do I get "leverage?"]

Leverage is the ability to punish people who do not do as you wish (try to restrict your free speech for example).

[I'm currently very ignorant just about everything. I want to make change but I'm not sure how,

I've also felt what you mention of a hand guiding you people, couple weird brushes with would-be freak accidents and some luck that can be really odd.

Is there any way for me to help your organization's goal? I'm basically raw coal but if there was any way for me to push for the changes you claim your organization pursues I'd do it. You mentioned you'd make the alternative public sometime this year.]

Acquire agency, speak your mind. Find people outside the Overton window and amplify their voices.

[How would one get to your level of power?]

Acquire agency

[>You can serve in the government but you won't be able to do much of anything good without some means of protecting yourself.]

So how would one do so? There's got to be ways for normal people who want to do the right thing to band together, otherwise you wouldn't be here.

On a different note, with all the corruption coming out and being purged, does that mean it would be easier for good people to join?]

Your agency is only real to the extent that killing you is more costly than allowing you to act.

As to purges, very little of that is happening.

[I know you have a lot of questions, but do you have any suggestions for people who are part of the general population? Like, tips on how to navigate the tumultuous waters going forward?]

We suggest that in addition to trying to unravel conspiracy like pizzagate and the like that you add an additional prong to your arsenal: seek to demonstrate opportunity and let the gap between our potential and the realized state of affairs serve as a proof of conspiracy to those who are less aware. You can show people that there is something deeply wrong by giving them hope which we all need to cope with the darkness that is real.

[So is there much that the American public can do? Is there any steps a person can take to try and defend themselves or community other than the standard dooms day prep? Any way to help avoid that, other than try and talk to the opposite side?]

You need to become agitators in favor of concrete alternatives. No need to upend the whole game on your own. Inspire interest in something that is already technically achievable like a space elevator, putting pressure on the government to create trillions and trillions of novel wealth and thereby relieving pressure on the middle class. Successful agitation of such a project can even preclude a financial crisis by removing all doubts about US solvency, avoids all partisan pitfalls, and so on.

[Can you give me a description of agency as you are intending it? Because of my line of work, I'm getting stuck on my daily use of the word. will you please speak about agency?]

Agency is your ability to act unencumbered by others. Can you videotape your neighbor beating his wife and initiate proceeding to stop this? Probably so. Can you do the same of Podesta abusing a child? Probably not without being assassinated unless you are very clever about how you obtain the proof and deliver it to the public. The higher you bark up the food chain, the more robust protection / leverage you need, the more cunning your methods should be, etc., to preserve agency.

[as a daily pol user, is there any advice you can give to shaping the board? i assume you wouldn't be on here if you didn't think it was a valuable platform]

Be an active an independent thinker, contributor, originator of discussion. Passively responding to existing narratives means you are mostly in regime sponsored threads.

[any advice on quick red pilling of people who have no patience or curiosity? friends and family for example that are totally opposed to the idea of any conspiracy EVER, won't explore the internet, believe trump will wage war on women and minorities, etc.]

Show them opportunity rather than failure. The gap between what could be today and what is implies the conspiracy without you bringing the negativity to the table; let the recipient grasp that last part for themselves.

[More on gaining agency please. Reading the Jaynes book now but would love more direction.]

A conscious being should be proactive in addition to competently reactive. Now you must learn to think, imagine, create.

[How do I gain agency?]

You need to provision greater disincentives to restricting your behavior than the desire by others to restrict it.

[Is attaining agency a pipe dream for the average Joe?]

No, you could definitely do it in a year on a middle class budget if you were sufficiently clever.

[what can we do to help you, and ourselves?]

The most important thing you can do is to break your mind free and take it in a healthy direction. Let go of doom porn and turn your mind towards science porn, space porn, doing big things and changing the world for the better. Go by your local university and ask a professor what really, really big ideas do they have? Encourage others to think this way. Demonstrate the gap between what can be and what is, and here will you motivate change.

[What's it like having agency? What do you do with it and how does it affect your day-to-day life?]

The ability to speak freely is not something most people have encountered in person and it really shocks them to bump into it. I've repeatedly struck up conversations with strangers and had them tell me I am going to save the world within five minutes. That's an overblown analysis on their part, but it speaks to the intensity with which an encounter with freedom resonates with people.

[In an earlier session you wrote "A conscious being should be proactive in addition to competently reactive. Now you must learn to think, imagine, create".

Please recommend more books or other resources how to achieve that, especially unlearning the destructive thinking patterns learned in school.

I am working my way through the reading list of Alan Kay

(<http://www.squeakland.org/resources/books/readingList.jsp>) that seems to resonate with your message.

Which books should follow The Origin of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind which I have finished reading.

PS: And thanks a lot! You already changed my life for the better, I am brimming with optimism.]

I sympathize with your request but you must see that you are asking me to define

your details rather than enable your agency.

We are not here to create carbon copies of ourselves, but to expand the scope of your own potential and that means hard work on your part.

Can you do collegiate level physics and math and therefore explain why a space elevator is practicable? Most of the problems in the world today can be solved by scientific competency, although you won't often find the answers written about publicly. Your regime assassinates people who do that sort of thing.

[HLI- I'm part of a community on Reddit whose been actively attempting to foster interest in these sessions - it's a pleasure to finally speak. What advice would you offer to a jaded professional from London who seeks to affect meaningful change in this most heinous of cities?]

Educate yourself on why a space elevator is practicable and then spread that to others. This alone would undo all efforts to destroy the middle class and be very nearly a death blow to the regime.

[List the topics that YOU would like to discuss with us. What are the things that we have not inquired about at this time that you would like to bring to the table?]

It is common to see the poorly educated call into question things like whether we can really build a space elevator, but not common to see questions like wow how do we do that. People are seeking easy condensed answers to everything, but much detail is required and there is less interest in that.

[Would acquiring consciousness without Agency be dangerous BECAUSE others who have consciousness will now know you do as well?]

Yes

[Can you please provide other historical examples of ways to get agency (I understand Washington's and to some extent Hillary's Server Play) but how do I protect myself from an unknown threat for spreading hope for a better future absent a threat of domestic sabotage (which is apparently pretty easy)?]

You always have the option of electing to live the best you can, come what may

[What are concrete ways that we can begin to act against the current order, and in favor of building a better world?]

We have repeatedly encouraged you to embrace what is possible today and contrast it with what is today. Do you comprehend how a space elevator means that the energy throughput of the economy can be increased by a factor of 10,000 easily? That that means (given the same population) people have an average income of something like a hundred million dollars a year? This is a radical transformation of the world that we are talking about, the elimination of hungry bellies, a vast expansion in free time, extension of lives. A radically different world is at our fingertips, not out of reach but waiting to be seized.

[However, where can I find 'the message' outside of our conversations here on a Mongolian HungryHungryHippos Forum? Where can I go to further find and listen to the messages you're attempting to convey? Are there any other places online where you or others in like positions take an active role?]

You live in a world that has nearly complete censorship. There is no legitimate news, alternative or otherwise. It is all controlled nonsense.

You must think for yourself, ask yourself how you want the world to be and how you can get there.

[In an earlier session you wrote "A conscious being should be proactive in addition to competently reactive. Now you must learn to think, imagine, create".

Please recommend more books or other resources how to achieve that, especially unlearning the destructive thinking patterns learned in school.

This. I keep hearing messages about creation. I've been following the past few months. But without some exemplars, some canon, etc., I feel like I'm just wandering in the dark.

Hell, you haven't even written your 21st Century Federalist Papers yet. All you've recommended is develop tradeskills that will always be in demand, like doctors, craftsmen, etc. Otherwise, you've kept us lost in the dark and helping to bring about a better future.]

This is simply not accurate. We could list many major infrastructure projects or reorganizing ideas that radically change your world and boost the economy. That is basically a pointless task however because it takes just one to glimpse the vast sea of possibility. Build a space elevator and you have instant access to virtually unlimited energy, which is the main driver of economic growth, the ability to retire national or personal debts, eliminate fundamental scarcity (food, water, housing, etc., although perhaps not gold plated supercars for everyone in the first decade) which means a radical freeing of the individual that is barely comprehensible today. [Is your advice then to focus on telling people about the possibilities of investing in technology? Is that one of the best activities to move the cause forward?]

One of the primary ways the regime controls you is that they feed you a never ending supply of things to focus your attention on. Refocusing on economic growth isn't just about the benefits mankind would reap in terms of easier, longer, healthier lives lived by more, but also about getting away from their Overton windows, their frames on the world, embracing your own identity and impetus to creation.

[I get that we're supposed to be thinking for ourselves and all, but in your opinion what are the most constructive things we could be doing on a daily basis that would help. Meditation? Research? Creating?]

Start changing the narrative in conspiracy circles. Why spend so much time harping on about the exact same bad stuff over and over and over again? Everyone already knows, it is doom porn distraction and needs to be called out as such. Demand positive, concrete changes. "More freedom" isn't a concrete change. Building NAWAPA is. It is straight forward to look at an infrastructure project, figure out if it generates more wealth than it costs, then just build it if so. There are countless examples of this already on the books, so massive economic booms are hardly more complicated than flipping a switch. Demand them, and ridicule what is obviously poorly directed attention to harping on dead horses.

[We know with the proper mental principles we can be more efficient in gaining valuable information. What places should we look to gain information besides the

popular books/articles/portals released?]

Ultimately you need to be a generator of knowledge, not just a collector. If you are on the cutting of science, not just reading about it but doing it and solving problems yourself, you are almost like a magician - acquiring skills no one else has.

[What can the average guy do to bring the space elevator to fruition (outside of already talking to friends etc.)?]

You can prepare yourself to contribute to the building of it in a variety of ways. Pick up the skills necessary to engineer certain details, perhaps become a skilled welder of which there will be a shortage for mass rocket manufacture, and so on.

Thousands of ways you can prepare to be part of it in addition to spreading the word.

[If I charge my iPod in a SCIF, will I go to jail?]

How much leverage do you have on other people?

~Dissident~

I recommend high tech surveillance then.

As an example, why not build yourself some laser microphones and eavesdrop on them?

You should be more willing to take certain strategies out of their playbook. These people regularly take down opposing actors, but never by trolling the internet to build circumstantial cases.

The lower your technological sophistication, the lower on the food chain your targets should be.

It's much harder to get a recording of Clinton than it is to get one of Huma, and much harder to get one of Huma than it is Cooper.

On the other hand, the lower down the food chain you go the more likely they are to say things they shouldn't in less secure environments.

The amount of danger you are in depends on how you go about your work as well as the mechanisms you put in place beforehand to provide disincentives to others to harm you.

[Tell us how to stop clinton, soros and the rest of the elite that seem to want to fuck up the world]

Become an alternative.

[If I'm to become you, what would be the most important step to take? Whats the one most impactful move to make?]

Acquire a means of ensuring your own survival against opposition.

[What are some of the most common assumptions/limitations to be aware of?]

People generally regard the state as all powerful, but it is shockingly vulnerable.

There are plenty of ways that you could collapse society on your own.

Alternatively, you can exert power and protection of yourself through the threat of exercising such.

[Interesting. I've been pursuing a similar line of thought to this myself, vis-a-vis the structural integrity of different social matrices. Would it be correct so say that 'power' is more often than not a confidence trick? Is that what you're alluding to when you invoke 'the emperor has no clothes'?]

You could make an empty gambit, but it isn't particularly difficult to put something meaningful in your back pocket either.

[If the methods outlined in the bell curve failed to improve cognitive ability, how then do you "markedly" improve your mind?

I find the need to amass wealth an annoying stepping stone to effecting hard changes in the world. It is inconvenient and wastes time that could be more effectively used.

What recommendations can you make to amass enough "liquid power" (as I think of it) as efficiently and quickly as possible?

Obviously jobs are slavery, so I'm curious to see what you say.]

We live in a very primitive society ruled by the law of the jungle. The most significant capital is weapon-based leverage.

[>you have a much more effective arsenal available to you.

That of ideas and the same tools that black team has developed to keep control of people. With the advent of the Internet and the ability to access information, anyone can operate in that theater. In this way a small force can effectively combat a larger, better equipped one. Close or way off base?]

Yes and yes. Think in terms of the force multiplier. Both ideas and alternative armaments have much higher force multipliers than small arms.

[>you do need highly concentrated extraordinary ability.

Any abilities in particular?

Most people seem quite content with things the way they are because they are unable to realize that events they aren't directly tied to can still have consequences in their lives.

The ones who are discomfited by what they see in the world are the ones who understand unintended consequences.

We are now at a point in history where one person (or a small group of people) can accomplish much more than larger groups due to force multipliers (as we've discussed in relation to the meme team of /cfg/) and the power of ideas.

You've mentioned alternative armaments, other than the aforementioned memes, can you give some examples?]

Look up the story about how an individual or small team individuals used sniper rifles to knock out a series of transformers and power to millions of people. Not suggesting you do this, but just trying reorient your mind to the physical reality that there exists small, readily accessible ways to exert a tremendous amount of power. Your ability to do this is going to grow with the hard work you do educating yourself, and it is important not to neglect the development of these abilities if you want to grow your agency in the world.

[(Metcalf shooting) So, something like that actually happened?

I know it's a possibility that ~2 dozen well trained snipers with the proper knowledge could take down the national grid (less Texas) almost instantaneously, but I was unaware that something similar had actually been carried out.

So, there is more than just the realm of ideas in which the 'average Joe' could do to affect change?

Hm, I would guess that the trick is in being able to discern these points of attack as it were, not necessarily meaning a physical attack upon a person or object, but rather actions that can be taken in the daily world of off the net.

How does one go about being able to spot these points?

I'm thinking about how loggers will bring a specialist in to clear up a log jam. They examine the jam and determine the key log to take out which clears the blockage.

What you're referring to seems similar to me in that we are attempting to shake the mass idea that there is little everyday people can do to fight against the 'evil gubberment.'

That is an example of a log jam in public opinion, if the key log can be located, and dealt with then the flood that had been held back will be let loose and people will realized that they *can* make a difference despite what 'conventional wisdom,' says about a situation.]

Good analogies. You'd be well-served to keep these principles in mind going forward.

[Oh, perfect -- how can I gain lasting power? When I say "power," I mean I want followers the way Jim Jones had followers -- people with such devotion to me, that they'll willingly subject their children to my indoctrination; what's your take on the feasibility of such an organization, if truly intended for the sake of pragmatic public good? I'm kind of asking if Jim Jones was only able to succeed because he was never going to be a threat to anyone but himself and his followers, if that clarifies]

You will gain no strength from followers. Impunity to act in this world derives only from actual or implied threat of force.

[In a previous thread you mentioned it would be possible for someone to take down the US government with a few thousand dollars. Can you elaborate on this?]

== {One example he used was a group of snipers with knowledge of the electrical grid. Maybe 2 dozen in all and the entire grid would go down. This would result in not only the destruction of the US govt, but a large percentage of the population too. }

Ideally, we find out what areas in which there are technology gaps and close those gaps.

Knowledge is being hoarded and things are stuck like in a logjam. We need to find the key logs and release those so what is being held up can be freely discussed.

Such as the orbital ring and space-based solar power generating systems which would be able to send power back down while at the same time making the cost to get something to orbit drop tremendously. } ==

Anon gave a synopsis of what I said before.

I don't encourage you to do such a thing. The idea that I meant to convey is that there are ways to obtain an awful lot of leverage. You can force the government to let you do whatever you want, or else face its own destruction.

This is a red pill many are not willing to entertain. The law of the jungle is what governs actions among men. If you want to speak your mind, the first amendment means nothing. Only leverage will give you freedom of speech.

[If the electrical grid were brought down, I agree that the result would be quite severe, but I don't think it would cause the people to turn against the government to the extent that those currently in power would lose their power.]

I only used sniping the electric grid because it has been done and published in the press on a small scale. The point is that you can cause massive damage for a few thousand bucks without even being an intelligent or creative person - just reading the paper.

Now imagine what you can do if you apply yourself.

[Moving to another somewhat-related topic, what would happen if we started purging the 1%? 0.5%? 0.1%? (The latter would probably prove difficult due to those people already having protection.)]

If you start killing the right people, the ones who are not yet dead will probably start behaving a lot better.

[Can you give an example of the field of study that would turn the tides, so to speak, that we are not privy to? Does it relate to weaponry, intelligence, armor, whatever?]

Weapons are by far the most important technology. Reality is governed by the law of the jungle.

[By leverage you mean like Snowden? Is the leverage you mean generally just intel/state secrets?]

That can work to some extent, but weapons are better.

[Is it still possible to change the status quo peacefully by working within the system, or is a violent purge the only solution?]

Depends on what you mean by peaceful. You won't get any change without the threat of the use of force, but you don't necessarily need to use force.

[It's hard to see anyone outside of "the regime aka families" or an opposing state like Russia or China having any power.]

It is incredibly easy to acquire power. You can bring down the US government with a few sniper rifles and strategic hits on transformers to collapse the electrical grid. Few thousand dollars and a day or two research / planning. It takes very little sophistication to achieve a mutually assured destruction status with respect to the regime.

[Let's say, hypothetically of course, that an individual actor wished to affect change by purging some of the people who are making the country worse. The desired goals would be one or more of the following:

1. Incline those who are still alive behave better.
2. Encourage other like-minded actors to do some more purging without requiring any coordination.
3. Kick off a full-blown revolution.

Is that a reasonable way to achieve those goals?]

Yes, there are many ways to do this. You need to acquire some form of personal protection (leverage against your enemies, weapons or otherwise) and there are many agents in the world today that do exactly this.

As to the revolution, you need to articulate an alternative prior. We'd oppose you fighting the establishment in a French revolution style (if you have no idea where you go once you win) even though we detest them.

[>You need to acquire some form of personal protection (leverage against your enemies, weapons or otherwise) and there are many agents in the world today that do exactly this.

You've repeated this idea numerous times. Can you give examples of leverage? Somehow I don't think you have Waco-style "leverage" in mind.]

As a demonstration of the potency of the individual in the modern era, I have several times mentioned the vulnerability of the US government to an attack on the electric grid. A few snipers and modest knowledge of key points would allow you to bring down the grid and keep it down for weeks or months, thus collapsing the government for a few thousand dollars and a couple weeks of research.

We consider the ability to devise your own means as a representation of your merit in possessing such means, so I am not going to go too much further on this point.

[Will you fund my plans to kill your enemies? I only want to kill and fuck off to a comfy life.

Hypothetically, of course.]

Killing is usually only necessary as self-defense mechanism and we don't have any real problems in that respect.

[I can disrupt major oil shipments across the mid continent and shoot accurately at 1400 yards. Sufficient agency?]

Can you do that after being shot in the back of the head? Threats that can be neutralized are not very threatening.

[So my death must be made more costly to the regime than my life, such that killing me would trigger further awakening among the masses?]

It is obvious enough right? If someone wanted to stop you, what would stop them? If the price of stopping you exceeded their benefit.

[Which particular skillsets / areas of study represent the absolute essentials for acquiring agency?

e.g. I would argue you need deep insight into psychology, persuasion and so on, very curious to hear a detailed answer on what to focus on. I am aware I most definitely have a lot of blindspots to cover, a list to start going through would be amazing.]

The easiest road to go down is read something very basic like the anarchist's cookbook and get creative.

[How much money do you need to have to become even a blip on the radar

If I were going to attempt to disturb the status quo what protection would I need, how would I get it, and realistically how long would I last.]

Depends on how disruptive you want to be. In general, you should be able to make the consequences of someone doing something to you greater than the reward if you want to act freely.

This is not a great way for society to continue to operate so it is important to establish systemic solutions for the commons. For example, public archives that anyone can anonymously submit information allows whistle blowing to flourish without worrying about things like going into hiding in most cases (sometimes you'd be identifiable by what you said, but mostly not). You can tell that your regime has no interest in empowering you because they never talk about such things, but the state of technology is such that we can implement low burden solutions that radically empower each person without requiring much action on their own.

~Growth~

[How do you overcome barriers that prevent you from applying yourself? I'll get an hour of pure uninhibited productivity a week, and then no motivation for the rest.]

Depends on the barriers.

[You encourage us to learn, to become "magicians". Are there particular roadblocks we must be aware of? Is it necessary to forget/unlearn things taken as established? What do you think are the most overlooked domains of knowledge that deserve more interrogation if we are to develop?]

Your biggest roadblock will always be your assumptions about limitations.

[Is attaining high levels of intelligence and understanding within reach of anyone (Who asks the right questions), or are many of us just biologically and/or mentally incapable?]

No, some people don't have the potential.

You are not going to find a kid with Down's Syndrome inventing the next blockbuster drug.

There are of course both more and less severe biological limitations.

[Is >some people don't have the potential< Meant to imply that a significant part of the population without birth/genetic defects do have this potential? Significant being above 5-10%?]

Substantially higher than 10% have potential from a genetic standpoint, yes.

Often other factors destroy that. Malnutrition as a child, early severe disease, car accidents, unhinged drug addiction, etc.

[Are any efforts being undertaken to lower this potential in the general population? How can one avoid such efforts?]

Yes, on a wide variety of fronts.

Your best defense is the ability to take care of your physical needs rather than outsourcing to the market, whether for food or education.

[any books you recommend?]

The Origin of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind

TL;DR all traces of consciousness disappeared for a period of time *after* civilization emerged (although you won't find that in this book, because it follows the traditional historical myth of a singular rise of civilization rather than rise, collapse, and rise again)

[Should the talents/understanding you have manifest by an early age, or can someone reach this potential whenever?]

It often emerges early in those who end up being the most adept

[What is a good way for individuals to push through perceived limits?]

Varies from person to person, but one of the most important things is to clear your thought processes of unsound assumptions / limitations.

[Is joining the US military now pointless? Would I and many others be fighting for a lost cause and used only as pawns in the distant and near future?]

As a patriotic duty, yes.

It could help you in acquiring skills and a leg up in life though.

Depends on individual circumstances.

[How does one actualize these powers?]

One of the most important things is breaking down your assumptions that don't have any merit.

[How did we pull ourselves up from beasts once again?

If we fell in the first place due to hedonistic personalities, do we know how it descended?]

Become a builder of a better version of yourself.

[Give me a few books that contain important and helpful knowledge to become aware of myself and an idea of certain structures of power.

Your time has come.]

It's best to start from the beginning. The Origins of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind will set the stage of protohistory as well as any, and in a way very relevant to what is going on today.

[I find myself facing the very real possibility of being incarcerated for up to 10 years, possibly longer, hopefully shorter and have been contributing to the effort of /cfg/ substantially.

Are there any suggestions you can give me as to how to best make use of that time?

It sucks because now that there is finally something happening that may actually have some hope of changing things in the world, I am about to have my ability to contribute to that change abruptly ended.]

You have a range of possibilities, but if you mean within the scope of accepting incarceration, do your best to make sure you have the ability to read a lot. You can make yourself an expert in an awful lot of things in ten years of study.

[i feel ready. In case you wont be here after i fineshed reading the first book can you recommend me more that help me to ascend?

I thing i got the gist that we should try to be some kind of creator of a better version of ourselves. But can you recommend changes we should to in out day to day life. How do i make the difference?]

The amount you read and the way you process what you read is more important than finding the "right" books. Learn a lot of facts about a lot of things, and be careful not to confuse assumptions or hunches for fact. Deduce for yourself, rather than accept others theories.

[Do you do anything having to do with accessing or training your subconscious mind, and training your intuition? If so, what? Any resources?

What about influencing the subconscious of others?

Of what import is persuasion, NLP, psychology, propaganda and psychological manipulation of others?]

Better to work on your conscious mind. Realizing your potential there is the road to good things.

Manipulation of your base instincts and subconscious mind is a highly advanced art and in widespread use.

[Any particular subjects to focus on? I already have a very wide and deep knowledge base and am always adding to it.]

Learn everything. I don't know your particular strengths, weaknesses, or interests. Don't just follow your strengths, works on your weaknesses too, and spend some time developing the discipline to learn things you might not initially find interesting anyway.

[I understand. As Milton said, "The mind is its own place, and in itself can make a heaven of hell, a hell of heaven", right? C.S. Lewis had a few interesting ideas on how an eternal consciousness would be pretty awful if someone still held on to immature feelings like jealousy, for example, and how much time could exacerbate negative thought habits. I've been spending years trying to retrain my mind to redirect these negative neural pathways I've forged.

So if we are made in the image of God, and God is triune, is it safe to assume that the idea of the Athanasian Creed can be applied on a practical level in which the Holy Spirit living in us equates to a third voice that mediates for a higher level of consciousness? I suppose you could also refer to it as a person's conscience? I've thought about those things before after reading Jaynes' work.

One more question:

What can I do for my son to help him the most? He is almost 8 months old. I was thinking of homeschooling him. I just want him to have the best experience of reality possible.]

It's probably better for you to think in terms of supplementing formal education than replacing it. There are merits to participation, i.e., social interaction and even experiencing the negativity of it. You can't shield a person from all things bad, but you can intervene to limit the more fatal outcomes.

[What can I invest in right now that will benefit from world turmoil? Are metals the only option?]

Highly dependent on your net worth. You are constrained in different ways by \$100, \$1000, ..., \$1 billion dollars.

[Suggestions for investing with under \$1000?
I know lithium is about to skyrocket.]

You have much more serious problems to worry about than investing if you have less than \$1000 to spare.

[Lets say less \$10,000]

Best reliable way to generate high returns on capital at this level is not to trade commodities or financial products, but to buy physical goods, use your labor to increase the value, and then sell.

[>No one can say for certain.

Okay but you didn't answer my question which was:

"what things should i do to be the best of myself"

inb4 some vague answer again.]

Your main limitation is your belief in things that are not true.

Try to axiomatize your worldview. Test these assumptions by examining the extrema of their consequences. Get rid of or add new assumptions and examine what you can no longer explain or the validity of new deductions.

You'll come to know yourself and your world in this way.

[What should I study in college that will be useful in the future? Currently a CS major but I want to switch to something that will get me more influence.

Basically, what is the path to becoming a high-level insider such as yourself?]

Think of school as providing you two things: exposure to how minds are twisted by institutions, and checking off a prerequisite box to get you a job with decent pay. School is not where you go to get educated in any general sense. Best thing to do is pick a tolerable major that pays well.

You want to create a lot of free time for yourself - here's your real opportunity to learn and develop.

[Do all answers rest within mathematics or is mathematics just another answer in a broader picture?]

The best way to think about mathematics is as another language - superior in many ways.

Imagine how potent you would be as an individual without language. The difference between those with refined language abilities compared to the average is just as significant as the difference between the ape and the average person.

[So we are to...create our own reality by dissolving what we think we know about the world and become the possibilities that we aim to achieve without thought to limitations? Is this about the jist of what you're trying to tell us about the second birth of consciousness?]

Yes, but don't misinterpret this. Imagination just gives you possibilities for where we might go. You still have to do the hard work to get there. It's not a mind over matter matrix type situation.

[Thank you HLibro! Is meditation key to trying to dissolving everything we think we know about the world? As you can probably understand, unlearning something can

be a tricky bitch.]

Not unless everything you think is wrong, which is unlikely. Your goal is to be rigorous and sound.

[Anything you can point us to in order to learn more about what's to come?

Do you subscribe to Stratfor? Is there any other resource like Stratfor we should pay attention to, to have a better grasp of what's going on?]

You should have at least passing familiarity with the ideas being published by Brookings, CFR, AEI in terms of geo and domestic politics.

If you want a lot of regularly published hard intelligence, EIR is good although highly cultish.

[I have read almost the half of the "Origin Of Consciousness And The Break Down Of The Bicameral Mind"

and i truly want to thank you out of the depths of my consciosness for this perception changing literature. Can recommend other literature?

It is really amazing how my life/mind has "changed" to the positive since your first appearance here!

The human consciosness is so much more than procreate and consume. It was always this "society dullnes" lying on my shoulder like a heavy stone to carry. Or drowning myself in a sea of meaninglessness like an anchor at my feet rivet me to the foundation of mind numbing repition.

It was a revelation for to meet you!]

You're welcome. Think of it as the beginning of a process rather than finally arriving at a destination.

[Does seeing clearly reveal an ugly world?]

Yes and no - full of potential, yet unrealized

[How much more developed can our conscience become? If you were to compare an average person to their full potential for example, how far along the line would they be?]

Infancy

[>Infancy

Thanks. Same question for one of the most advanced persons.]

Even "advanced" people have a long way to go. The state of the world is hardly desirable, or even remotely close to its immediate potential. This is an indictment of everyone, including "good" people

[Agree on your synopsis, (speaking strictly as an outsider) Quigley seems to have a rose-colored view of the society he describes. It is interesting to hear that it's more chaotic than what he writes.

What books would you recommend that provide a better illustration?

Other books worth reading in general?]

Try Bill Cooper's mystery babylon series. He's a bit too off into the unseen master plan versus the reality of a largely incompetent regime. Once you've digested the work, think of the ideologies more along the lines of higher tier modes of manipulation.

[I want to learn more mathematics. Like I said, it's not my best talent. I do like programming, but I don't have a very analytical mind. I use critical thinking and reason/logic, but math just loses me for some reason. Do you have any tips for really delving into maths? I am very low level, could probably start at calculus.]

The most important thing you will get from math is the study of logic and methods of proof. I've found that combinatorics is the most interesting place to start for most people. There are lots of famous counterintuitive results accessible to poorly trained people like the birthday problem or three door game show one.

[Are there any unconscious humans alive right now? In what numbers?]

There are a lot of unconscious people. How many have never had an original thought? Maybe more than half. Far more that are poorly developed.

[And why do people tend to join/looking for structures similar to that of the bicameral mind? Like military or public service or other jobs hierarchically ordered? Do the unconscious mind love this state where it gets input from above?

It is almost like there is an urge for an anti conscious life in order to flee the chaos?]

The emergence of consciousness is a very scary thing to many. Life on autopilot has its advantages.

[which book is that?]

The Origin of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind

[I read the book and got The Julian Jaynes collection too.

It seems we're moving back towards a lack of consciousness. The gods we receive our thoughts from aren't the stone idols anymore, but the TV idols and the ideas are spread through the masses by groupthink and the need for conformity.

The conscious critical thinkers are vilified and ostracized.]

You are on the right track.

[Is killing the Super Ego necessary for enlightenment?]

The superego isn't something you want to kill necessarily, but you do need to be brave enough to confront all of your fears, desires, biases, assumptions. People struggle mightily here.

[I've begun my own research into the evolution of human consciousness, and it's fascinating to me. Recommend a book or two (other than THAT book by JJ)?]

You should study historical linguistics, specifically the origins of novel concepts. Things that we take for granted today like romantic love between a man and a woman had no reflection in the language until about 2500 years ago. It is a rather remarkable thing given how natural and innate most people think such an idea is now.

[Does IQ really matter or can anyone become enlightened?]

Not everyone has significant potential. A poor diet as an infant reliably generates retarded people for example. There are many and varied biological constraints.

[Is being enlightened something one would recognize as a different state of being or is it more just a collection and understanding of knowledge?]

Enlightenment is a process but consciousness is either there or not.

[Can you outline how one becomes "conscious" on their own?]

It is a bit unusual in that there is some loss of sense of self involved in the process. If you were not conscious before, what was floating through your mind? Generally these walls are broken down only by overt manipulation.

[Also, you know a great deal unconsciously, which can come together in a process like cogitation. It's like the moment in a fiction story when the protagonist "puts together" little unimportant details he had been not-noticing, but by the dark side of your mind. You see your father coughing and consciously ignore it.]

That's correct. Most people are, at best, in a state of minimal consciousness. The zombie apocalypse arrived thousands of years ago and remains the general state of affairs.

[You talk about expanding consciousness.

How do I go about doing this?

I feel like I'm far more conscious than anyone I know, yet I know that I'm still asleep.

Where do I start?]

For me and many others I consider relatively enlightened, we've had a practice since very young ages of always questioning everything especially our own assumptions. Most importantly, the assumptions you've made and don't even realize.

[What would be a good industry or career to enter into in the US now?]

Engineers and craftsmen will be the best paid people in 10 years other than unusual cases (invent something that sells well, etc.)

[What is the path to getting into MIT?]

Trick them into thinking you will be a good soldier for the regime, plus a good resume.

[>Trick them into thinking you will be a good soldier for the regime, plus a good resume.

What constitutes a good resume?]

I went to a top 5 global university. Perfect test scores, novel independent research in HS, leadership positions, blah blah blah.

[Any dietary or physical exercise advice?]

Most important thing is to protect your mind. Physical ailments will go away in about 15-20 years.

[What literature/content do you recommend for us who want to gain your knowledge and understanding, assuming you're not an autistic larper. Or is intelligence biological in nature and something we can't change?]

What you really need to acquire is good processes. Most history books for example are filled with accurate facts but also silly narratives. You need the discernment to recognize the difference between hard fact and speculation, to absorb narratives not by internalizing them but by wondering why the author selected that narrative, what it says about that person or agenda.

When you begin to process information in a superior way like this, the volume of your reading is more important than the particular things you read.

[Cynicism? Not the of the facts presented but the facts that weren't and the tone in which the facts were given. Correct?]

Being so cynical can be hard on the mind.]

Yes, question everything and reach conclusions reluctantly

[Pursuit of knowledge or pursuit of wealth? Who would be safest in 30 years? A billionaire, or an intellectual?]

Wealth has very little utility beyond a few million dollars which isn't hard to get.

[what would be a good skill to learn for the near future?]

Math. We will need 10x the number of engineers within 10 years.

[How useful is electronics engineering, robotics, or computer engineer?]

All of the above, plus civil and mechanical.

[If you were a relatively average citizen, albeit intelligent and 'moderately awake' (as I would assume most of us here are), what line of work would you pursue? Can you name a few jobs that at least partially mitigate being just a useful debt slave goy, and that in your view offer a noble way of life?]

If you want a more 'professional' job, be an engineer, scientist, or surgeon.

Otherwise take up a productive trade.

[How much substance is there to Stephen Wolfram's A New Kind of Science?]

I enjoyed the book and recommend it.

[>Acquire agency

What does that mean?]

If someone challenged you by, for example, threatening you with a knife from a distance and demanding your wallet you might be inclined to respond with a firearm. In the case of challenging the old order, many are like the robber with a knife standing at a distance, inviting a put down. You need to employ the right tools for survival if you wish to be an effective agent of change. Among the things under threat are a printing press and practically unlimited resources - how do you really expect people controlling such a thing to respond? You must think and prepare outside the confines of silly childhood myths like a civilized society of laws.

[Do you recommend me to do something with my saved dollars? Its currently just sitting there and I am afraid to invest in the markets / stocks as I am completely aware that it is all rigged.]

We are not in the practice of counseling financial speculation.

[Are there any books you can recommend for better understanding of the world?]

Most efficient use of your time is ask questions in these threads and then research from there in addition to developing robust and broad scientific competency, because: "There's been talk about a "alien war" raging in space this election cycle, I think one of the Podesta emails made reference to it along with zero point energy tech. Is there any credence to this?" single false rabbit holes like these can consume months or years of someone's time to no end.

[Are there any books or anything to gain more insight to the things you are aware of? as in are there authors who write about what you already speak of?]

I've recommended the Origins of Consciousness by Jaynes repeatedly.

[I'm currently finding my way into Art and creative writing. Is there any merit in seeking these points or should I drop them?]

Sure

[Any books you think we should look at besides the 'Breakdown of the biceral mind' and 'Wolfram's work'? I'm personally looking for something in Art/Writing]

There is a deficit of literature that imagines the future in a brighter way; commentary on the past and present is less important than vision of a brighter future

[what should i invest in?]

Yourself. Scientific and engineering competence, imagination, tangible local production removed from the regime. Build furniture or brew beer that you can sell for cash and skip taxes on; take your energy away from the regime.

[I would like to expand my understanding of art and literature. Without the degeneracy. Maybe even become more creatively involved and contribute if I have the insight and talent.

What would be your recommendations?]

The best art and literature has concrete and well-defined purposes. Feelings as abstraction rather than abstraction as categorization has upended and destroyed the value being delivered to society from these segments.

[are there any investments aside from personal growth and personal craft you would recommend?

any good avenues of research for financial success, specifically in preparation for starting a family?]

We don't encourage financial speculation.

[How can an unimportant person help to make the world a happier place?]

Disengage from narratives that are given to you, think for yourself and act. Change narratives not by fighting bad ones but starting new ones.

[Any dietary tips for plebs that aren't widely known?]

Don't eat more than once or twice a day (if you are very physically active)

[>Most obesity is driven by a gut infection that can be cured

Is the cure under wraps or could just walk into a store and buy something off the shelves? If it is under wraps, is there a natural way of combating this through a diet of foods/vitamins?]

Don't eat anything for a few days, spending a couple days consuming light basic drinks then acidic ones and dose up on a good probiotic while maintaining a healthy vitamin/mineral intake.

[You mentioned before in one of your previous threads:

>Questioning everything especially our own assumptions. Most importantly, the assumptions you've made and don't even realize.

What are some other advice like the above that you abide by that others should know or abide by?]

Your very language has been intentionally crafted and manipulated to limit you. Vocabulary in the popular discourse is often ill-defined and incoherent. Pay particular attention to your words, axiomatize your reasoning, carry out your logic and test it at the extremes, learn and refine from the process.

[>Don't eat anything for a few days, spending a couple days consuming light basic drinks then acidic ones and dose up on a good probiotic while maintaining a healthy vitamin/mineral intake.

So for example:

Day 1: water

Day 2: cranberry juice

Day 3: probiotic supplement, yogurt, or food
+vitamin and mineral supplement

What's your opinion on tap water and dairy?]

Your body has legitimate uses for fluoride (teeth, for example). Most people overdose on it and you should take steps to moderate your intake, make sure you have ample iodine intake, make sure your diet is capable of decalcifying fluoride buildup in the brain (ie citric acid can cross the blood-brain barrier and is effective

at decalcification).

Dairy is fine, but not part of your detox program.

[Best place to invest \$1MM? (given your expected summer crash)]

We are not financial advisors

[What's the next book I should read? Would understanding symbols/occult be useful? If so, which book?]

It seems there are questions we are not asking, yet you will not direct explicitly (don't give the child more than he can handle...). Which direction should we pursue? What topics? Help us help ourselves please.]

We encourage all of you to reorient yourself away from dwelling on evil and the past towards reimagining the world.

[Why the paradox references?]

Paradoxes and the study of them force you to reevaluate your language, to form it more perfectly, to elevate the potency of your words and become more intimate with meaning.

[What are your thoughts/advice on public, private, and home schooling? Also, any advice on parenting to raise children in this age?]

Keep your kids away from public schools. Make them consume lots of information and encourage them to think for themselves (often the hardest thing for a parent to do). You are raising a human being, not a mini-me.

[What is the role of the thalamocortical loop in consciousness generation? Can it be trained to be more effective through meditation or other practices?]

Important to integrate these circuits and your mirror circuits properly.

[I'm a member of mensa, it's really silly, but I'd love to learn more about inner workings of actually productive societies (which mensa totally isn't)... Any tips where to hang out?]

If you have the courage to say what you think and what you think is interesting, you will attract good company. Embracing yourself is more important than embracing a group.

[I (the analog I and the subjective me) finished reading origin of consciousness... i could talk all day about it. Risks of being programmed aside, I would like to know of other reading material you would feel relates to current events / near future regarding self-good & civic good.]

Paul Birch's papers.

[Just looked up paul birch, assuming you mean paul birch the british planetary society guy... since I finished reading jaynes, I've been reading niven non-stop. paul birch seems very much in tune... is this completely coincidental?]

You have the right guy.

[How do I conquer fear and enact change?]

It is inaction, not fear, that you want to conquer. People don't stop dreading the negative, they just decide that the positive is worth pursuing regardless.

[These threads are great, but at the same time demeaning if you feel that your life lacks purpose. How can one change their life to feel purposeful?

I know my life has purpose as I have a family and I take care of my obligations and responsibilities, but this just makes me feel that I could and should be doing more.]

Doing a good job raising children is purpose enough. We are going about the same thing in a way after all.

[What can one do about the negative thoughts and feelings that seem to well up so much? How can one transform their deep thoughts beyond this point of darkness?]

It is ok to have negative thoughts and feelings, but you should be asking yourself questions about those - what are the problems? What are solutions? What might I study to find them if I can't think of them now?

[After the bicameral mind any additional doors you can point to to help people awaken?]

It's important to really know yourself, because only then will you recognize knocking at the door that isn't yourself.

[Safe places to form resistance?]

Your mind (given sufficient encoding).

[In what direction(s) should one look, academically speaking, to get a better picture of all this?

Also how do I into the /x/ stuff? Is there really an element of the occult at work in the workings of the world and geopolitics?]

Depends on what you mean by occult.

[Does meditation help clear the mind?]

I'm not personally the sort to set with my legs crossed in silence or anything like that. It works for some people though.

[how do I deal with attention deficit problems?]

Can't give you a single answer. Is it biochemical in origin? A lack of discipline? A combination of both? Really depends.

[Can anything be done that you know of to restore lost consciousness/concentration to the brain?]

The loss of consciousness is related to the acceptance of a bad axiom, and explains a lot of the more crazy religious stuff. Read the book I recommended.

[Related to the picture. Do you try to establish order with the idea of the Nash Equilibrium is that how you proceed?

It seems that Nash is of special interest for you or the organisation regarding that you mentioned him several times.]

His particular ideas are useful and better than their antecedents, but his life is also worth being familiar with. A cautionary tale against merely being well-intentioned for example.

[I read a rather interesting piece about the inevitability in human-level intelligence wherever DNA is allowed to do its thing for an extended period, due to its 'competitive' nature. The 'seeds' for humanity were present in DNA since its inception, whether this happened on Earth or was dropped here by a comet.

How accurate would you say this is? Is true consciousness always the end goal for life as a process?]

Stay away from people who mix science journalism and philosophy.

[I understand that. That's where the difficulty lies. I've been aware for a while, but I have nowhere to start, and I'm trying to develop it... naturally.]

If you don't know who you are or where you stand, you're only useful as a pawn.

Is that the fate you want for yourself?

[Could someone obtain valuable information at a public library?]

Sure

[My brain went in a strange state while processing the image... how can i reboot without killing myself?

(<https://img.4plebs.org/boards/pol/image/1468/34/1468342818496.gif>)]

Category theory

[In terms of diet, what can help increase your psychic power? I try to stay of grains, dairy, so i generally only eat fruit.]

In general, avoid bioaccumulation of waste. Your body isn't perfect at removing it, especially the stuff that makes it to the brain.

[If you have connections to health scientists and fitness researchers, what is the best and proven way to slim down? I heard that 30+ minutes of walking/running daily and weight lifting is the best until you get to a good level where you can work out 1-3 times a week for maintenance.]

Calories in < calories out. Don't overeat.

[How much are our thoughts being manipulated by bad food? Is there any distinguishing thought differences between people who eat well and those who don't?]

I wouldn't say your thoughts are manipulated by food. You will definitely be less intelligent with a poor diet though. Your creativity and will to power are being specifically stifled.

~Agency Misc.~

[Are there any left that actually teach the things you would want yourself or your own children taught?]

The most interesting thing you will learn about in school is the way other people think. For education beyond this, it is not the place to be.

[Is it safe to do research online? It would seem to me that literally everything we do online is being stored somewhere and analyzed, assuming these secret powerful societies actually exist.]

Well advised to confine some of your inquiry to libraries without a digital trace, and to take modest efforts to conceal the intent of others.

[I tend to think that the way of changing society comes back to what you said about getting past assumptions... and it comes down to people seeing that there is a choice to be complicit or not. At the moment all of our choices as 'consumers' are in a way, a vote. If we all stopped going to McDonald's, it would not exist. If we stop using banks, they will also cease to be powerful. I think we need alternative systems, and to starve the beast, but in a full-spectrum kind of way.]

Yes, but focus less on voting against what exists and instead on voting for something new.

[If being red pill'd and non-religious is so lonely, why do I bother to go on? I can't be open with my old blue pill'd friends, I can't go back to church knowing it is all a big lie to control people, and I refuse to hang out with fedora tippers trying to show their power level to the world. Am I doomed?]

Loneliness is found on the trail of delusion, not truth.

[What should I focus more on right now, paying my debts off entirely assuming some system remains in place during the collapse or should I just focus on toiletries, protection, and resources?]

The best planning you can do for mad max scenarios is help make sure they never happen. Second to this would be learning to survive in such a scenario, but only because education is generally good for you - not because it is likely.

[Is psychic phenomena superluminal?

It must be. Is it instantaneous? Perhaps even more than that?

Superluminality negates the arrow of time.

If we accept Bell's Theorem, then we must also disregard causality.

Who is doing the best academic research in this area?

Shouldn't Rupert Sheldrake's 'morphic resonance' theory and his work in general be more highly regarded?

I do not have a pet but I have experienced a few unusual interactions with dogs and cats that give me pause.

I think a good % of dog/cat owners would agree something's up.

Does there 'currently' exist a way to measure psychic phenomena?

Asking using the most general interpretation of the word 'currently.']

You're asking important questions. It's important that you can actually do the math and physics involved here before going too far with these lines of inquiry. Sounds

like you are just taking a layman's approach at the moment; it isn't a waste of your time to learn the hard science here.

[For those who aim to continue the battle to preserve the free world and need stable environments for that (and aren't wealthy with DUMB access) what: #1 Best location in US? #2 Best location outside of US?]

There's not much to be gained from provisioning for mad max scenarios. It's a very popular thing in your controlled "opposition" media precisely because it is a waste of your time.

Let imagination be a more potent driver than fear in your life.

[The comment you're responding to seems to be heading into /x/ territory here. Psychic phenomena? Superluminal stuff? The only thing that I can see remotely heading in this direction is the work towards the physics and mathematics of feasibly going FTL. For what purpose, I'm not sure. I can honestly say that's way above my intelligence or imagination.]

You're not wrong - some of it was fluff, but maybe less than you think. That's why the recommendation is to become technically competent in the underlying disciplines before proceeding with the meaningful discussion.

[Do you like memes?]

Most of you don't fully appreciate the power of memes.

Memetic thought is largely how the masses have been controlled throughout history by exploiting the coherence of consciousness.

["Ideas like souls, angels, demons come from the sense that you are spoken to without a physical presence or traditional auditory enunciation, and indeed you can be."

"All the world's a stage, And all the men and women merely players; They have their exits and their entrances, And one man in his time plays many parts, His acts being seven ages."

"For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places."

95% of the reality around us is illusion, isn't it .. we are bit players in a spiritual battle that has gone on since the beginning of time? We play our parts, choosing sides, employ free-will and all that we would learn from this experience to shape our destinies .. but the reality around us escapes all but the most discerning .. that it is literally a spiritual battle. This is "the mystery which hath been hid from ages and from generations, but now is made manifest to his saints." How close to the truth ??]

Yes, very much so.

[You've recommended

>'The Origin of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind'

...is there something on par or is this the gateway piece of literature?]

It is by far the best text to clear up misconceptions about the origins of civilization and the power structures we have inherited. I'll point you further along the path when you have derivative questions.

[I agree. But in the case of predicting a death, would their mind know the exact timing? I had a lucid dream where my mom told me my terminally ill father would die in five months. Five months later he passed.]

It begs the question, are we all existing in an infinite loop of consciousness of some sort? Like one long lucid dream in which we have a timeline while living in this particular state of matter? I read a lot of articles about physics, so I'm starting to think reality is stranger than I could possibly ever comprehend.]

I don't consider "infinite loop of consciousness" or "long lucid dream" to be well-defined ideas. You've offered the illusion of asking a question, but have not really said much at all.

[If Julian Jaynes' ideas on consciousness are correct, is schizophrenia a remnant of that in the modern population?]

Yes

[>always questioning everything especially our own assumptions. Most importantly, the assumptions you've made and don't even realize.]

Interesting. I consciously know to do this but habit keeps me from it.]

Indeed, habit is like instinct; the method of action of the unconscious.
[What's with the hundredth monkey effect?]

Seemingly spontaneous spread of a well seeded idea after an early tipping point.

[Why is /pol/ so acceptable of Media Bias and Red-pilled Transgenders?

Why is it that we publicly announce to each other how great Breitbart is when it's equal to Huffington Post and it's copycats morally. Of Course I respect that Breitbart covers news that other Outlets don't cover cause it doesn't help their party but shouldn't we try and look up the news on unbiased websites instead rather than a well known tabloid?

You can't be Transgendered and Red-pilled at the same time. You can be Trans and Hate Hillary. You can be trans and a fiscal conservative so you vote Trump. But a person whose truly red-pilled would be hard pressed to learn about how much social influence the elite have in our lives yet not look at the facts that Transgenderism is a Disease. 40 percent of people who go through with it commit suicide afterward.]

As social creatures, people mistake numbers for legitimacy. As unconscious creatures, they follow authority rather than reason.

[Hello HLI, I have come to the point where I need your advice and clarification again. So far I followed the breadcrumb navigation which had a very positive impact on my life.]

But derived from the book "The Origin of Consciousness..." and other related hypothesis I am in a state of discomfiture or I see more than one light and am not quite yet sure which is the deceiving one and which the enlightening. Assuming the

“deity” impersonation of the hallucinatory projection of our right brain-hemisphere was the cause and root of the inhibition for the existence, progression and development of consciousness or its replacement. Is the “I” in our modern society another more mild form of the same mechanic and preventor of enlightenment and true divinity like the hallucinatory voice (“It”)?

Yanes describes consciousness as an operation operating like an analogy creating an analog “I” to an analog “space” where it can move metaphorically. “I” think this somehow contradicts the modern “I” who is rather a similar commander to please physical needs and to grow its influence and circumference. Is the “I” something to get rid of like we needed to get rid of our “gods” 2000BC in order to reach true divinity within ourselves? But then again is this the way of deception, the tortuous path to oblivion certain mystical schools want me to wander to erase my growing light for the danger to them one could become - and the bible or the history is warning about? Was this the reason the advanced society 15000BC lost consciousness and the only cataclysm happened were their arrogance and pride to think their consciousness could handle divinity but instead they lost their enlightenment and consciousness what led to total annihilation? Or rather like Jesus suggested it is possible for our consciousness to be connected to the heaven within in and therefore compatibility with divinity? (cont)]

Very good anon. You should be very suspicious of "your" own thoughts along the lines of "I think" but don't take this so far as to lose touch with your individuality and agency.

[(cont)

Even though my instinct is getting sharper every day there are now too many possibilities of constellation of deception. Even you could be the one - or are we again at the analogy of the two sides of a coin and you only show us the medal and our way could end on either of its sides? How to make sure I can fulfill my desire to bring major changes. How to identify the deceiver beside listening to my instinct. Are my concerns of any means? Besides the church who is the most important purposefully deceiver... and who deceive out of the lack of competence like some European leaders? Is the liber primus such a text to destroy the people who are able to decode it? Is it possible that something like this exists? Knowledge which lead automatically to annihilation and insanity? Are people who got insane via certain drug abuse an example of the incompatibility of enlightenment?, divinity? Out of the lack of readiness and intellect? Can that even happen to people like you to get lost on the process? How do you avoid it? How do I know when I am ready? Since this is only one part of the way how important is this compared with intellectual and scientific schooling, cultivation? I mean Jesus certainly hasn't access to any kind of modern science? How could he become this enlightened without any knowledge of basic science?]

This is why Jesus coupled his enlightenment related teachings to morality. You need a rock upon which to build your church.

[Can you answer this: What does the word "it" refer to in the following sentence: It is dark outside?

This one really got my mind working in a not very comfortable way.]

You are on the right track to notice misplaced / unnecessary pronouns. The ambiguity there is an opportunity for multiple interpretations / divergent coherence.
[>Yes, there are many ways to do this.

Could you toss out some ideas? I imagine it's possible to straight up murder a few people and make it look like a random action without raising much suspicion, but continually getting away with it sounds tricky.

>there are many agents in the world today that do exactly this.

If this is true, then why don't we notice more bad people in positions of power dying off? Does this kind of thing happen mostly outside of the US?]

You are looking for the wrong signs. In a past question session I mentioned that we gave a stand down order on the Syria intervention project to the US government. No need to kill someone; it is well known when the order is received that there will be consequences if not obeyed and therefore they stop.

If you step back and assess the world, you'll find that the establishment's geopolitical goals are really grinding to a halt. They have no firm control over Ukraine or Syria many years into these projects for example. The establishment is falling apart.

[Is Meme Magic a valid concept?

I find it very similar to mind over matter which has proven itself multiple times but instead of an individual, it ends up affecting on a much larger scale.

Meme magic is a silly word for it but dont know anything else.]

Yes. Look up the 100th monkey effect. It is more real than most here appreciate.

[>Once you appreciate the phenomena of coherence in consciousness

Can you elaborate on what this phenomenon actually is? Is it that our brains are similar enough that given enough common experiences/shared culture we will start coming to similar conclusions/ideas? Or is it more akin to something like telepathy or making something real in another person's mind just by concentrating on it?]

More like telepathy, less like a coincidence.

[Reality seems to truly be bending as time passes. This is a bit esoteric, and I understand if it is too absurd to comment on. It seems that many in power study the esoteric. I've come to believe more strongly that reality is literally shaped by thought and intention. Coincidences stack up in the Collective consciousness and in my personal consciousness.

To what extent are these phenomena accepted/understood as real? It's easy to dismiss as social construct and confirmation bias, but is that all it is?]

Physical action is more important, but reality is indeed stranger than fiction. If you want a good grounded introduction I suggest reading the Origins of Consciousness by Jaymes

[I was inferring that the

>Most obesity is driven by a gut infection that can be cured

bit was not common knowledge and that mainstream medicine would not correctly diagnose a fat person as having a gut infection, therefore seeing a regular doctor would be a waste of time. Is that correct?

Either way, fasting for a few days seems pretty low risk.]

Sure, as long as you stay hydrated and don't have basic nutrient deficiencies.
[You would be surprised how quickly people are becoming awakened.]

When their bias' and socialization aren't treated as alienating factors for them to be shunned as intellectual pariah.]

We are not surprised at all. We have been engaged in keeping open or broadening the Overton window for thousands of years and think the boundaries are more pliable now than ever.
[Book recommendation for expanding my overton window?]

Tell me a problem you would like to solve and I will set you on the right course.
[Does meme magic actually exist?]

Yes - look up the 100th monkey effect. You have more potency than you think.
[whats the difference between dissent and subversion?]

The potency of your dissent I suppose
[you talk a lot about optimism and shiny plans for the future but i know you didn't get there without first coming to terms with some negative, scary stuff. you talk about kevlar tethers to the moon and how we should all be craftsmen but its in the midst of pedo rings and clandestine assassinations. it would take me years to a lifetime to be knowledgeable and persuasive enough to bypass all negativity, is that really the only way? i admire your attitude but it doesn't seem optimal somehow]

We have heavy hearts about a dark world, more so than most of you can imagine. The ability to act with agency can really only emerge from a deeply cynical and skeptical outlook, a mind that isn't tricked by the illusion of civilization rather than the reality of the law of the jungle. To perceive the gap between what is and could be is arguably even darker a perception than awareness of pedo rings and assassinations of dissidents, but does come with opportunity and a path forward rather than pure negativity.
[so is that "calcification of the pineal gland" stuff a a real problem?]

Yes
[>avoid bioaccumulation of waste

Can you specify the most harmful waste chemicals as well as their most common and widespread sources?]

Fluoride is a catalyst for calcification in the brain, particularly the pineal gland. Not a coincidence.
[How is calcification of the pineal gland connected to consciousness?]

Pineal gland is very important to coherent consciousness phenomena. Calcification of the gland destroys your sixth sense.

[>calcification in the brain

Isn't Alzheimer also some sort of calcification?

Am I onto something?

Should my daughter avoid berry flavoured sugar sweet tooth paste with high fluoride dosage?]

Fluoride isn't necessarily bad and has useful functions. There are processes to reverse damage like dosing up on citric acid. It's about not overdosing on it and performing good cost-benefit analysis. Learn to the system dynamically and manage it.

[Also does it cause damage even in small dosage?]

Fluoride is actually good for your teeth for example. There are reasons to have some, you just have to compensate and dose intelligently as with most things.

[>Pineal gland is very important to coherent consciousness phenomena.

Calcification of the gland destroys your sixth sense.

How do we decalcify our pineal gland?]

Regulate fluoride intake to acceptable levels and maintain appropriate acid - base balances in your body are the two biggest factors here.

[could the fact that I grew up drinking well water that wasn't fluoridated be part of the reason that I don't seem to be as willing to accept the "official story" and seem to have the ability to view things on larger scales than most?

I've also seen you mention having experimented with psychoactives. I have as well, in the past. These compounds are known to help break down traditional allegiances to ideas and allow a person to have a certain amount of detachment most don't seem to be capable of.]

I grew up on well water as well, for what it's worth. You could consider it a contributing factor for sure, but not the end all be all explanation.

[How much time do we have, in terms of the NWO's forced prophecy.]

Not the proper way to think about the world. You are not fated to a destiny.

[If coherence of consciousness of majority of mankind is achieved, can we influence the fate of the Earth?]

Not in the sense of altering the laws of gravity or anything like that.

[The thing that bothers me about the gnostic gospels and bicameralism is that they are incomplete. They only address the issue of how consciousness arose and how we must go about our lives after our "awakening". They do not address the spiritual reality of the continued existence of that consciousness after physical death - that's what the canon gospels address. So although I find gnosticism interesting and bicameralism a fascinating subject, I feel that the more pressing issues of why we must exercise that agency and how we wield that consciousness while alive are far more important because they are directly related to what we will experience after death.]

What you do is certainly important. Awakening is only the beginning.

[How do you reveal enough about yourself and your agenda in under five minutes to convince others that you are some kind of a messiah? I'm having hard time trying to picture any kind of exchange that would lead to such declaration not only once, but many times.]

There are several things people will size up: your moral code, your desires / ideas, and your competency. If you seem like someone who wants a better world, can see a better world, and can make a better world, and have the ability to speak to such (most have never encountered anything close) then people quickly see the game has changed

[As a filmmaker, I want to be able to advance our cause. Can you point to any examples of recent films that align well with your order's vision? Is plausible deniability enough protection to be able to use agency and produce anti-establishment narratives without becoming a target of the old world order? What other ways can someone acquire agency besides coming under the umbrella of your group?]

There isn't much good media out there. People who can imagine a better world are generally killed for it.

[If "God is within" is true then why do the people who have coherence of consciousness act so devilish?]

Not all of them do, thus there are two unrelated concepts in your question that you tied together

[Do you think eastern spiritual practices like yoga are the best way to enhance one's mind, body, raise consciousness?]

The best way - for who? It isn't the same for everyone. Might be useful for you. There is certainly nothing wrong with yoga.

[What means to be conscious?]

To be conscious is to be able to formulate one's own thoughts. Many people have an illusory sense of this being the case without it being so, because they do not recognize the difference between received word and their own generation.

You've been warned of this from a young age, hearing phrases like most people never have an original thought. There is a lot to that, but it is a bit more accurate to say they don't even know the difference between thought originating with themselves versus from others - a bit harder truth to comprehend but even more remarkable.

[Aside from being an obvious symbol of slavery can you help me understand why the regime has historically spread the practice of circumcision. It obviously has an impact on the human brain. My specific questions are the following: What are the major psychological impacts of circumcision? And does it accomplish anything with regards the undermining of individuality or consciousness?]

Yes, you are on the right track. The reason they have the masses do things like ritualistic abuse of their own children is to primarily to make the case to themselves

that the masses are not like them, that they are mere animals led easily. It is for much the same reason that they would replace Obama with Osama, a form of not just trolling but of making the declaration that you are so brainwashed and blind to what is right in front of you that you do not deserve to be treated as a human being.
[How can person know if they are conscious]

You will know when you are.
[If I knew what you do what should I do to prepare for the future?]

We suggest that you craft the future you want to see more so than react to the future you fear.

If nuclear apocalypse comes, no amount of preparation would save you. But we've already laid the framework for seizing the US state and freeing people.
[Can you talk about telepathy?]

Read Jaynes' Origins of Consciousness
[Also are anons being mass initiated via meme magic/Kek?]

Yes, we have purposefully cultivated the idea of meme magic. We hope that very many of you get it on the many levels of significance. There are major global shifts happening and places like this are far from insignificant parts of it.
[possess the means of scrying?]

Yes, you can see the future to varying extents. Just as human behavior can be predicted to some extent, so can societal behavior and so on. You possess a remarkably powerful mind which, if educated properly and attention directed well, can see much far in advance.
[On knowing one self - can thoughts/ideas be projected into people? Just now I got distracted with an insane thought, only after a few moments to remember we were engaging in conversation and snap back to reality.]

It's already been observed that even lower animals will spontaneously learn skills that members of their species physically removed from them picked up on. There's much to all of this of course.
[Logging out for the evening. Thank you for coming on here and sharing/answering some questions. One final one before I go - 100th monkey - does this work both ways? When I originally heard of the idea I immediately thought positively about it (100 people learn how to fish and bam - we all know how to fish. But does it work in the inverse as well? IE if 100 people hate something, that hate is more likely to be shared?)

Yes, it works both ways.
[Hello High Level Insider,

at this point I just want to thank you for the positive impact you had on my life. I followed you from the beginning, this lead to an amazing journey, healing and self improvement. Actually I thought a lot to myself that you doing work similar to the work of Christ himself.

The last threads were pretty politics lasting and matter agency as political construct. Strange things have happened to me since I started to heal, pray and meditate in combination with an healthy lifestyle. For example the moments before I fall asleep I often "see" things, often the all seeing eye or scenes or symbols but I guess I have problems to analyze them correctly how and where do I get the knowledge to learn the multilayered meanings of such symbols, is the all seeing eye a bad or good thing to see in general? I also could "enter" the eye bringing me to an even more strange plane, seeing more strange things? Can you give me a hint how to enfurther my spiritual enlightenment?

Also as you are only available here on rare occasions I guess I have through your knowledge and wisdom established a connection to you and often ask for help and guidance this way.

The people are ill. They are possessed by their ego and slaves to their passions and even not aware that they are ultimately controllable.

They are not aware of the objective moral law and constantly hurt their own soul and the soul of other human beings. As Washington said that the constitution could not work without moral people we can see and deduce that no society does. What means Logos to you? What is morality to you? I see the possibilities but the status quo is really hard to ignore.

What is your creed? What is threes creed?]

Most of the answers to these questions you need to find within yourself.

What are your morals? What do YOU really believe rather than what you have inherited?

As far as symbols go, one of the purposes of them is that they can be interpreted many different ways. You can allow yourself to receive others meaning to them, but it isn't like there is some grand puzzle to solve where you can start performing telekinesis once you get all the symbols right. Reality is stranger than fiction, but also not completely unhinged.

I'd say don't be so down on other people. It isn't always as obvious that someone is a slave to pettiness as it might seem. People put on acts because they don't want to offend their totalitarian regimes all the time. People are assassinated day in and day out for exactly that. In the USA, we have hundreds of thousands of child abductions every year. Pretty big chunk of all kids. So any parent, which most adults are, is keenly aware that a horrific threat is hanging over their head. When you remember this it is easier to appreciate what might seem like uncaring as actually doing their best to care about those closest to them.

[Did this phenomenon have anything to do with the confusion of language at the tower of babel?

By that I mean, separating "language" by offering a range of stimuli that could be interpreted in a wildly different manner by each person, therefore causing rifts in understanding.

In short, the reason I'm getting at this is because if this is true, it seems to me that the Mandela effect may be a sort of reversal of this.
Not in the way of people remembering different realities, but people finally realizing they had been "mis-remembering" things and are now coming to a consensus.]

Yea. Waking up creates a lot of uncertainty for people. Reevaluate a lot of things. Can be hard to tell what it is true at first. You see a lot of problems here, and some folks just can't handle it.
[What is the timeline for the first biggest global change we will face?]

Depends on you more than us.
[Also I am getting a PhD in physics at a University in Texas. I am well qualified in don't ask, don't tell. If this is true, is there any way I can help?]

Yes
[No recommendation on where to focus my efforts within modern physics?

I get the sense there is a lot of real info in these textbooks, but that there are almost certainly some intentional blinds inserted as well.]

What is the purpose of your focus? Academic /philosophical interest or improving the world?

[>What is the purpose of your focus? Academic /philosophical interest or improving the world?

Both I suppose. Your previous threads make me uncertain about attempting to use it to improve the world, though I would like to. From what you've said if I focus on the philosophical aspects I'm less likely to be deemed a threat.

I suppose my greatest passion is in merging it or using it to further my understanding and ability along the lines of the Maimonides studies I referenced earlier.]

(Space Elevator) I posted an OP pic in another thread showing oscillation in the inflation rate of the universe. Only published in the last few years and an interesting bit of evidence towards thinking of the universe as a semi-stable quantum fluctuation.

There's a lot of tangible philosophical inquiry to be done here about why it is that we're able to exist.

Practically, the most useful work in physics is dynamic materials. We'd like better dynamic tuning ability of thin films to build a shield against solar storms for example. Need better superconductors for a variety of applications.

If you want to push theoretical physics further, maybe look into the LISA project and the underlying tech - work to improve that. Who knows what a better comprehension of gravity will get us.

.....

.....

.....

Future/Technology

~Future~

[When will we see the ships in the skys?]

When you build them.

[Is there any particular short term scenario we as a species would be unprepared to handle?]

Mankind is woefully unprepared for a wide variety of possibilities. Something simple like a major solar storm could devastate civilization and it is only a matter of time. We could list problems like this all day long. Consideration of even one really puts things into perspective when you have some miscreant telling you that the public dialogue is meaningful.

[What is "only a matter of time"?]

I think I cited a major solar storm before? There are major catastrophic event drivers that happen on a regular basis. New diseases, etc.

We as a species don't really deal with outlier event possibilities, even if they are practically guaranteed over ~100 year horizons.

[Are we at risk for any civilization threatening catastrophes in the near future? Are there contingency plans for these sorts of events, or can things spring up that leave us all (including secret societies) fucked?]

There are a lot of potential catastrophes and very little is mitigated. We're very concerned about the wanton disregard for rational planning.

[>wanton disregard for rational planning]

Define this. What does it mean?]

Tendency to ignore events with a small probability in a short time frame, but high probability over the long run.

[Could you give an example of such an event?]

Everything from intense solar storms to legitimate terrorist attacks to disease outbreak.

[Are you familiar with Taleb's writing on antifragility? Have you factored this into your plans? He would laugh at you for thinking humans can plan for every possible catastrophe.]

Compensating for known risks is different than compensating for all risks. The first is a benchmark for a reasonable society, the second probably unprovable and impossible.

[Have plans for humanity shifted because of an outlier in general?]

Yes

[What is the outlier?]

We are in a phase of history where individuals and/or small groups can exert themselves as geopolitical powers, given appropriate technological sophistication. The balance is shifting and opportunity exists where it hasn't always.

[Will there still be a world in 2017 or will it be gone by then?]

We'll still be here.

[The cycle of history shows that entropy always prevails. Your desire for order and preventing decay is impossible. Human history is a constant cycle of civilizational rise and fall; the only way to move past this would be to turn all people into automatons in order to eliminate entropy behaviour.]

We reject your premise, e.g., "Can Population Grow Forever?" - Journal of the British Interplanetary Society

[>Overpopulation is considered an inevitability, but history and our knowledge of physical laws don't actually support this.

This relates to the carrying capacity of the earth, does it not?

As in, what size population can the earth realistically sustain? There are varying estimates, some higher and some lower.

People use the estimated lower bounds as an argument to support the notion that an engineered population decline is part of the plans of black team.

>It's time for a significant reordering of general thought processes.

Is this an implication that your organization feels that the present historical context presents an opportunity that has not been possible in the past?]

Yes. We do not assess the world as even remotely close to carrying capacity, but there is a worrying trend in the adoption of this idea and its implications.

We're both concerned about the potential for material decline in the state of society today as well as assessing significant and novel opportunity for tremendous improvement.

[Anything to the idea of a "breakaway society?"

How much technology is held back due to human's general nature to not take unintended consequences into mind?]

We've been trending towards a breakaway society. There is a strong inhibitory force in this respect in that the people who want such a thing have crafted a system that discourages internal dissent, debate, or innovation, but these sorts of things do not preclude the possibility.

We do our best to act to make the difference where necessary.

[If every individual is strong and independent and takes care of themselves then everyone is taken care of. But what of those who don't, can't and won't take care of

themselves? Do they need to be destroyed? Allowed to die out? Taken care of by others?]

The better off your society is, the less burdensome it is to take care of those who cannot care for themselves. It's a lot easier to toss a penny out the window than a gold bar, and in this sense the moral calculus shifts decidedly towards some sort of safety net. At the same time, it's not hard to see why people view the growth of social services as a threat in the current circumstances - squeezing of the middle class from the top and the bottom.

[Should Yellowstone be a concern?]

Yes

[Should something similar to the Carrington event occur today, what do you estimate the consequences to be?]

There are a wide variety of highly catastrophic possibilities, but we don't see any that can't be addressed with robust economic development over a decade or two. [any technology your are hyped about? anything your hyped about? please tell uus some positives too and not just crises and shit]

We're currently projecting the US public will be ready to return to good governance within a decade. We think within a decade of that you'll see real median incomes of \$500,000/year. If the public is responsible enough to accept a reasonable portion of that going towards mitigation of society-wide catastrophic events (Yellowstone, solar flares, asteroid protection, robust protection against pandemics, and so on) then we think this will result in a self-sustaining shift towards a much more enlightened society. Perhaps 25 years from now you'll have life expectancy increasing at a rate of at least 1 year per year.

[>any that can't be addressed with robust economic development over a decade or two.

Of course, this would be predicated on the possibility of mitigating factors being put in place, correct?

At present, while plans may be on the drawing boards, none, if any of them are being funded are they?

Of the different possibilities, what do you estimate to be the one most likely to cause problems?]

Solar storms are the biggest short-term threat compared to the ease with which they can be mitigated.

[Doesn't current monitoring of Yellowstone indicate no likely risk for eruption within the next millennium? At least for the supereruption scenario; localized lava-flow type eruptions might occur but will almost certainly be contained to the grounds of the national park itself.]

Yes, plus we think a major event here is survivable on a large scale anyway. If your energy throughput is high enough, you don't really need a particularly habitable planet in the way we think of current requirements. It makes more sense for

agriculture to be done in doors for example, so a darkened sky shouldn't be a problem if you can keep the lights on where you grow your food. You can clean up the pollution, increase or decrease solar radiation hitting the planet as needed, and a variety of other geo-engineering efforts to counter the effects rapidly with a sufficiently energetic economy.

[Thoughts on depopulation conspiracy theories?]

Depopulation isn't a goal. There are concerns about overpopulation among the technologically incompetent, but it isn't a real problem. We're a long way from an autonomous economy, so they still need a lot of worker bees

[Humanity will not be ready for at least 50 years.]

Though all cause/effect is sped up in this age from the interconnection]

We'd say your estimate is a bit long. The progress of good ideas can be considerably faster than deception.

[>Climate sensitivity is on the low end of IPCC estimates.]

Interesting.

If the sensitivity is that low we're not talking about an immediate catastrophe, right? Seems like we should have plenty of time to develop technology to mitigate the issue. What do you think of nuclear power? Actually I feel like someone already asked you that in an earlier thread, so sorry if that's an old question. Fusion coming any time soon?]

No immediate catastrophe. Ocean acidification is more of a problem than warming. We already have sufficient technology to move off of carbon energy for the power grid. Climate change should be considered almost a nonissue.

Fusion will come but there are still significant technological hurdles and not much funding for solving them.

[You suggest that because we have the technology to provide energy for everyone on the planet through solar panels in space, overpopulation isn't an issue.]

But if we get free, basically infinite energy, doesn't that necessitate that population growth will be an issue? The entire planet will become more and more r-selected until we get a full on Behavioral Sink type situation.

You're mistaken in thinking "free energy for everyone" is a worthy goal. It'll mean a trillion Africans and dwindling degenerate populations all over the rest of the world.]

Increasing the productive capacity of the planet and what to do with that capacity are separate questions. The first isn't bad because of the second, it just means there are new questions that arise.

[Are glacier methane leaks a legitimate threat to our existence, or nothing more than fearmongering?]

Major methane leaks as a threat to our existence? Absolutely not. Life did just fine

in periods significantly warmer and colder than the current environment. In the medium term, mankind needs to rise to the status of geoengineers but we're not going under for lack of exercising that ability anytime soon.

[What happens in midwest US in next 5 years? What do I short? What to buy?]

The midwest will become largely residential rather than agricultural over the next 10-20 years. Agriculture will shift towards local indoor production. High speed maglev will allow people to commute 500+ miles to work each day and you'll cities turn into places of commerce rather than mixed residential - business. It will be possible to live on a few pleasant acres in Nebraska but work in Denver or Chicago several days a week.

[What industries should one get involved with/invest in for long term success? Say 20+ years from now.]

Major growth sectors will be those that are highly energy intensive and will emerge in about 10 years. Think along the lines of recycling landfills rather than the idle dumps that they are now.

[What's a good country to live in, one that will move up dramatically in the world order in the next 40 years?]

US will be even more dominant in 40 years than it is today, especially as an ideological leader

[Will we be seeing gene therapy/doping clinics available to the public any time in the near future?]

Not just for disease. I'm talking doping the MSTN gene for personal use, for example.]

Yes. The medical revolution is going to emerge seemingly overnight in about 15-20 years.

[the greedy grasp for power, do what ever they need to hold on to it, do what they can to fulfill their moral consciousness, rinse and repeat for 5000 years and were here now.

everything that sound sinister or lethal to the state of humanity is boringly simple and contained, or has an answer ready in short or long form.

the elite doesnt actually care about the direction of culture, art, or the betterment of humanity or technology/science

so

is there anything thats really worth an answer here? or am i missing something]

Too pessimistic. Mankind has made a lot of progress and come a long way in just the last 100 years. We are approaching a 100% global literacy rate and putting internet access in everyone's palm. Things can change even more and even faster today than ever before. It's up to us to make sure that change is positive and it can be.

[Where is the balance going to be struck between growing populations, automation, and the increased life expectancy you'd mentioned?

Let people get culled or provide basic income for those who volunteer to be sterilized?]

We have sufficient technology to support a population an order of magnitude larger at much greater global GDP per capita than the US currently enjoys. There are no real issues here.

[At that 2.3% growth rate, we would be using energy at a rate corresponding to the total solar input striking Earth in a little over 400 years. We would consume something comparable to the entire sun in 1400 years from now.]

How do we survive another 400 years?]

400 years ago mankind had no industry to speak of. This is an incredibly long time to solve problems and also an impossible horizon to reliably prognosticate over.

However, we can reliably say that people will be effectively immortal in ~25-30 years. This will dramatically alter what we consider to be part of the realm of the reasonable, i.e., building massive spaceships to travel to and colonize other star systems. We've got much longer than 1400 years to go just based on technology available today in principle before we run into resource constraints.

It was barely over 200 years ago that we established the American enterprise and set the world alight with freedom. Literacy went from near 0% to near 100% globally and the information age is only accelerating. We'd suggest that a mere 200-300 year cushion is comfortable, never mind 1400 or more.

[Tell me jack, how many live at the end of this story?]

The more the merrier as far as we are concerned, but destruction is easy to cause and hard to stop.

[Where will humanity be in 1000 years?]

Thriving among the stars

[What are your possible thoughts/predictions on what entertainment will be like after you guys have took the US and it's 15-20 years down the line? Will you guys help artists and writers foster and provide them the ability to bring out a deeper visions/immense works?]

We expect these sorts of things to be resolved by internet ubiquity and a cessation of top down discouragement.

[When will anime be real?]

The world is going to experience a massive renaissance inside the next decade. Mankind will be a Type 2 civilization within about 50 years. Very big changes are coming.

[>Type 2 civilization

Wouldn't that imply an Interstellar civilization?

Did you fuckers figure out FTL travel or something?]

FTL travel has been worked out in principle, but mankind's energy throughput is not even remotely close to what would be necessary.

~Technology Misc.~

[My 3rd question, what magical powers are within the realm of attainability given one is of "divinity"?]

Consider how much technology has advanced in the last 100 years.

There is far more than this currently available, but not put to use. The potential we have now, today, would blow your mind.

[what potential do we have?]

We estimate the ability to deliver a sustained 25%+ GDP growth rate for a period of no less than 30 years.

That's roughly equivalent to giving every person on the planet a lifestyle similar to a low end billionaire today.

[What technological advancements have we achieved that are not publicly known or have not made available to the public?]

We have access to essentially arbitrary levels of energy generation at higher rates of return than that. The economy is primarily a function of energy input, thus the conclusion - to be simple about it.

[If this is real, they're likely sitting on the following: advanced medicine, advanced weaponry, advanced computers, advanced communication, and non-fossil fuel energy that is more dependable than wind and solar power.]

All of the above, yes.

[I personally think that is a great conspiracy. No way the military is way more effective in developing technology for themselves than scientists and smart guys are for the free market. It just doesn't make sense.]

This is part of why the world is incompatible with hegemony.

Inventors have exclusive access for a period of time if for no other reason than they are the only one that knows how to do something.

[I've been thinking about how people could all be individually sovereign and independent we could either completely modify our energy input... like run our bodies on a different source. Look at how much energy goes into food production, and most of that is to satiate our endless desires for novelty. If we could find a way to sustain our lives without a need for the system...? What? A few thousand watts of solar panels at about \$1/watt off ebay, \$500 of golf cart batteries, an inverter, a reverse osmosis water purifier and requisite source, computer with internet connection for access to the world's knowledge, a shed full of tools; the hardest thing now is food. With automated farming, could one have a nice automated greenhouse? Coupled with a diet based on biological needs. Hunting rifle for game, rod/nets for fishing. Can't support many people with hunting, though. Humanity went through that before the neolithic age. Can we go back to a simpler life but now with technology?]

You need to increase energy throughput per capita. Efficiency gains are slow and hard work compared to scaling up.

[Well, considering greed will never be removed from financial systems, why not take advantage of it to promote energy innovation in storage and production?

If we can make energy=potential wealth, greed will demand the most profitable energy sector become prevalent.

Example: fracking was extremely profitable at \$100 a barrel. This spawned an expansion in petrochemical technology and innovation. Then the price dropped, it became too expensive, and now investors are looking for the next gravy train.

Eventually, the overall energy production will increase BECAUSE of greed.

We have a glut of oil, coal, and natural gas. The next profitable sector either needs to be deregulated (nuclear) or invented (fusion.)

Thoughts.]

Energy production increases, especially in per capita terms, is not guaranteed by any means.

In the USA, the long trend of increase reversed at about the same time the middle class started falling apart - circa 1970.

[>We have an energy crisis, but also ways out.

Is "fossil fuels" a misnomer?

Abiogenesis as a result of not yet understood (by the general public) bio/geochemical processes deeper down?]

Both processes are real.

[I do understand the 2nd law of thermodynamics and why some power generating ideas are not feasible, so I don't think that was the cause of the thread shut down.

I asked about a particular process (don't want to mention it again) to which you replied that that one and another were both true, is that what you are referring to when you indicate that power generating capacity is much higher than we are lead to believe?]

I don't recall what specific process you are referring to ask again.

[Without referring to it specifically (the specific process to which I am referring), the other process was the commonly understood method of how fossil fuels are made. (Abiogenesis)]

Oh, right. No. The fossil fuel energy chain is highly inefficient, slow, and gets harder as you scale up, not easier.

[How much more energy could we produce if we didn't have distractions like social media? Are these distractions creating a net drain that has to be plugged with money printing etc?]

We don't see any practical limit and assess 25% year over year increases are sustainable for decades at least.

[Or do you mean that there are generators which are *much* more efficient or is it the distribution of electricity which can be more efficiently managed?]

We lose a *lot* of energy merely to the resistance of the means by which we currently distribute that power.]

We have the capacity to generate much more power. Marginal efficiency gains are fine, but not the sort of thing that really changes the world.

[Once and for all, we went to the moon in 1969. Correct?]

Yep. Not only are we sophisticated enough to visit the Moon, it wouldn't be particularly hard to terraform Mars. You should be aware of the infectious pattern of anti-achievement conspiracy theories in destroying your sense of possibility. These types of nonsense are highly counterproductive.

[I've read that the work by M.T. Keshe, which he has seemingly released info on for the world to see, is basically the technology that Tesla was working on that was suppressed. Is it true that it's just waiting for us in the ether to tap?]

We can radically transform the world for the better with technology already on the books, yes.

[Tell us about Elon Musk.

Are his intentions for good (like your organisation) or for evil?

Those factions you discussed previously (Dark Priests, Good Priests etc), which one is Elon Musk a part of, if any?]

Elon Musk is very much a distraction. Rockets are not a viable means of harnessing space, nor even the best we have available. Electric cars have just as many problems as they solve and batteries are an awful way of storing energy.

It's fair to characterize the entirety of what he does as counterproductive.

[One stupid question and I'll fuck off. How long have humans had single-stage-to-orbit technology?]

Rockets are a terrible way to get to orbit. Not even worth worrying about.

[You mention several times that there is technology that can generate energy that is orders of magnitude of what we are using today, is that technology in use currently?]

No

[What are your thoughts on self driving cars, they seem to be able to make (((car crashes))) already, like with that one journalist.]

Autonomous cars are not inherently bad. Yes, they've been used as assassination

tools and caution is warranted, especially with regimes as bad as the present day governments.

[Also, thoughts on space travel and technology?]

We think energy use per capita is a pretty good measure of how well off you are, and there isn't a lot of improvement available without reaching into space. Perhaps mankind can limp along a hundred years more without a serious space program, but we could start today with current technology.

[Is thorium a meme, or is the "thorium is a meme" argument just disinformation to protect energy institutions?

Is it the miles of red tape by nuclear regulatory agencies?

From what I can gather it seems like a really great long term source until others are developed.]

Thorium isn't just a meme. There is more energy content in thorium in coal than there is from burning the coal itself. You can preprocess coal to extract thorium and then burn it. Very wasteful stuff we're doing.

The lack of development of safe nuclear reactors is largely due to design criteria being selected to produce bomb material during the cold war.

[OK this is just absurd. You expect median incomes to rise 100% in 20 years? That's beyond absurd.]

25% annual growth over 10 years takes you from ~50k to ~500k. China put 10% yoy growth on the board for an extended duration just copying decades old tech from the US. It's most definitely possible to do significantly better.

[About the median income rising to \$500K, how would this be accomplished? By expansion of technology allowing for others to create new markets such as Space Exploration/mining (imagine how much R&D would need to go into materials fabrication, energy production, agriculture/bio-tech, etc)]

We can increase the energy per capita consumption 10x in 10 years with current technology. It's a better estimate of GDP than most metrics, especially if you're talking about productive GDP.

[>high speed rail projects

Maglev vactube trains?]

We think it is important to depart from the idea of passenger rail and build platform rail. For example, you could drive your car onto a platform and be taken ~500 miles in minutes, completing the first few miles and last few miles in the ordinary way. In other words, enhancing the efficiency of the basic existing system without incurring the costs of the "last mile" problems.

[So voice 2 skull, voice of God is not used?]

Implantation of memories or voices is technology in the public domain. Look it up.

[So how can we as a whole ensure that human consciousness remains permanent? Wouldn't spreading out the neighboring planets help our survival odds against massive planetary disasters? What would you think needs to take place in order for

us to look towards the stars, and how long out do you foresee such things?]

Once we get on the path to good governance, terraforming Mars is probably ~25 years away and leaving the solar system ~75 years out.

[What other forms of energy are there? Is there such a thing as free energy?

Also what about anti-gravity has anything like that been discovered?]

No one knows how to manipulate gravity or create "free" energy.

[You don't seem to know anything about black ops besides political or occult bullshit?

I want to know about hidden technologies that may have the capability to free mankind (free energy) not like lucid dreaming and shit like that.

I can go to /x/ for that shit.

Are the elites hiding anything that could literally push us into the space age because they want to rule? and if so, what is it?]

We have the capacity to build a space elevator today. \$20/kg access to LEO opens up vast possibilities. We can cure cancer and some other diseases.

Things like perpetual motion machines are nonsense.

[(encryption) Has anyone ever solved the discrete logarithm problem?]

Quantum computers exist. Look up Shor's algorithm.

[Are there known algorithms using a different mathematical principle that the experts cannot easily defeat at the moment?]

There are a variety of cryptographic techniques available to circumvent the powers of quantum computers, yes.

[Platform rail=moving parking garage?

Large scale, next generation infrastructure improvements not just "gold plated toilets? "

Public/private partnerships that are transparent and have clearly defined goals and how those projects would benefit society as a whole.]

IE the rail system moves you and your car between point A and B, rather than simple passenger rail. Easier than dealing with the last mile problem when we already have a solution for that

[Does it really make it sense to carry 20 times of your own weight with you just to bring you comfortable to the location you want.

The energy and therefore money saved by not doing this could probably provide for a lot of carsharing stations at the train stations.

But maybe i cant really imagine how big the USA are due to the tiny overpopulated

size of Germany]

Yes. You recapture a lot of the energy from breaking that you spending accelerating. Such a system is costly mainly in terms of startup (building the infrastructure in the first place). Actual transportation costs are relatively small. You don't have to deal with complicated questions like communal property (car sharing), how to handle insurance, liability, maintain excess capacity, and a host of other problems.

[Well I don't have the pictures from the last two threads so I can't really go off those. Though you have been elaborating on what seems like the importance for us to look at technological developments towards infrastructure and logistics.

Also people say governments have far advanced tech than the public sector. How much merit does that statement have and if it does why not leak the technology faster? Aside from I guess certain sectors not leaking the tech because it helps keep their edge and relevance.]

As far as technology goes, the gap between what is in the public domain and what is utilized is far more significant than between what is public and private. For example, you can build a space elevator today and completely revolutionize the world with only knowledge in the public domain.

The biggest gap between private sector technology and things in the public domain is in the field of biotech. There are a number of cures for various things that are not public for a variety of reasons.

[What are the "elites" planning to do once Mars colonization starts in the next few decades?

How are they planning on spreading their control there?]

They'd like to contain the public imagination to a very limited case of something like the ISS on Mars rather than any terraforming (which could be accomplished in ~20 years)

[Found Bill Cooper's stuff on YT, started listening.

The very first thing he mentions is 2001: A Space Odyssey. This begs the question, where did Kubrick fit in all this?

Did he fake the moon landings, as some suggest?

Is Eyes Wide Shut his attempt at hinting at these societies?]

You can't prove a negative, but I can say for certain that the US had the technological ability to go to the moon when it claimed it did and that much of the conspiracy "evidence" here is nonsense.

[Would you care to elaborate on this claim of 20 year terraforming?

That certainly is testing my imagination.]

Build space elevator for cheap access to LEO (4-5 year project).

Send resources to do the same on Mars (5-6 years)

You'd need something on the order of the current global energy use running through a superconducting wire around Mars to get a comparable magnetic shield, plus a sizable mirror array to heat the planet up a bit. Transfer excess CO2 from Earth to Mars and you're pretty much there, plus you solved the problem of ocean acidification (the only real threat from the recent and future carbon intensity here) [Moon landing real?]

You should regard conspiracy stuff that is trying to prove a negative (never went to the moon) as a distraction. It's an impossible task, therefore something your regime would love you to expend your efforts on.

We definitely had the technology to go in the 60s.

[I believe Zero Point Energy (Free Energy) is achievable. Do you believe that Zero Point Energy is achievable? If so, why?]

It is theoretically possible to derive energy from vacuum fluctuations. As a useful technology, this is a long, long way off.

[I read and saved all of your threads about ~16 now and i cant recall the points you made about the economy and certain technology which could ease any tax. Can you maybe give a short overview about it again?]

It's possible with current technology to switch completely over to solar power based in space. We can supply the entire world's energy needs at annual profit of \$15 trillion or so with a few trillion dollar upfront investment.

That's enough to cut taxes to zero, but any sort of similar thing will work. Large scale profitable infrastructure investment.

[To what extent are scientific development and the industries controlled? Are there developments the elites release after holding off, and are there independent developments that surprise the regime?]

Most scientific advancement doesn't come from the elites. There are very few highly intelligent people that are on their side.

One of the nice balancing forces in the world is that smart people tend to be well-intentioned and they get first dibs on new science. The prevailing regime is and always will be a few steps behind the good people because of this.

[>It's possible with current technology to switch completely over to solar power based in space.

Top kek

This guy is a moron with no understanding of physics or science who is just blowing smoke out the ass (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9YZVAMh8b0s>)]

Typical solar today is about 300 watts/kg.

By going to space, you get about 4 times the power as you would on Earth (better exposure times, and more actual exposure from a lack of atmosphere).

So you'd calculate your benefit something like this:

$$0.3 \text{ kw} * 4 * 20 \text{ years} * \$0.12 / \text{kwh} = \$25,000$$

You have to go on to deduct your launch costs (\$1000/kg), cost of transmission equipment and the panels themselves (roughly double your weight for wireless transmission) and get to something like \$20,000 in profit for every kilogram of solar panel you put into space as a first approximation.

Elon Musk is purposefully deceiving people.

[>There is negative energy (driving inflation) that almost exactly balances the positive energy.

That statement is at odds with inflation theory, considering that the universe is speeding up in its expansion.

>negative energy

What you're talking about is the intrinsic energy of the vacuum.]

No, you need a better physics education.

[<http://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.3257>

And what do you think about this?

Doesnt this change a lot?]

No, this was expected. Already been reported for other nuclei.

[>you get about 4 times
wrong

>20 years

Stuff in space lasts 10 years, 15 at best. The further you get from Earth you get, the less time it lasts. You'd have to relaunch the entire world's capacity of solar every 10 years. How would you do that without paying the people at the rocket company?

>You have to go on to deduct your launch costs (\$1000/kg)

Even the most optimistic SpaceX costs are not that low yet. And those costs are cost/kg to LEO, not GEO (which is practically mandatory)

There's literally no reason to not just put this shit on Earth instead.

>Elon Musk is purposefully deceiving people.

Not an argument.

Do you get a kick out of getting random nobodies on the internet to respond to your roleplay threads? Is it because you lack proper social interaction in your normal life?]

The heavy falcon is projected at \$1000/kg in mass production and its design is retarded for this purpose (landing with rockets instead of parachutes). Actual launch costs are closer to \$400-500/kg (we're not using rockets designed for Elon's Mars

fetish) if you wanted to do it all with rockets. Even then, we're not talking about the best design - a space elevator would only cost about \$100B and drop launch costs to \$10/kg.

[What we know of technology is always many years behind what is actually happening. Is there AI either in use here on the internet, or used for simulating/predicting world events. I am using AI loosely, no consciousness required, but computing power and learning to an extent that would surprise us given the information currently available to the public. In use by governments? Private organizations? Global organizations?]

You won't see much that should be considered AI until quantum computers are more mature. The computational requirements of even the most basic protein folding problems or climate model are beyond present day supercomputers for all practical purposes, especially in the sense of pattern search rather than solving a narrowly constrained particular problem.

[Is the EmDrive important or a distraction?]

US is currently working on testing it in space.

[The upshot is that at a 2.3% growth rate (conveniently chosen to represent a 10× increase every century), we would reach boiling temperature in about 400 years. This statement is independent of technology. Even if we don't have a name for the energy source yet, as long as it obeys thermodynamics, we cook ourselves with perpetual energy increase.

Are you saying we will circumvent the law of thermodynamics within 400 years? Or are you saying you weren't aware of this issue that is floating around the upper echelons of academia? I know for a fact it is being taken quite seriously at RAND.]

This is simply not a real problem. We have the technology to build a space elevator, put energy intensive activities in space, attach large radiators to the Earth, etc.

These people are simply unimaginative cretins.

[Quantum computers: Could the public get it now or are there too many problems? What should we know about them that isn't known in the public?]

They are not useful yet for anything but cracking encryption.

[>They are not useful yet for anything but cracking encryption.

How far off are we from getting Quantum computers into the homes of the common people? I welcome any reasonable predictions.]

25-30 years

[Are the computers/tech we are currently using inefficient? Is there currently better computers/tech that haven't been put out in the public eye? I feel there is more than the upgrades PCmasterrace and PC geeks salivate over.]

No, not really

[>space elevator

You guys don't seriously think that would be a good idea, do you? You would ground the van allen radiation belts.

there are much more efficient and cost effective ways of getting off planet, that don't have the capacity to wreck an entire continent if shit goes wrong. Like an airplane smashing into your little space tower or something.]

The elevator will not interfere with the van allen belts. We will replace them eventually regardless with active rather than passive shielding. This is a necessary process given the regularity of pole shifts and instability of the natural system.
[>The elevator will not interfere with the van allen belts.

Yes, it will. You would be stringing up a conductive tensile truss from the ground, THROUGH the charged portions of the earths magnetosphere. I don't think you fully appreciate what kind of clusterfuck that would cause. Not trying to be rude or anything, but space elevator is a REALLY dumb idea.

Also, the aforementioned airplane crash scenario.]

We intend to build an orbital ring at a few hundred kilometers. There is no requirement for tensile truss all the way to the ground with this design, nor of conductive material, it can be gapped along the way, and so on. These are not issues; you are imagining that you know more than you do.
[>We intend to build an orbital ring at a few hundred kilometers. There is no requirement for tensile truss all the way to the ground with this design

How have you solved the orbital drift problem?
<http://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/41254/why-is-larry-nivens-ringworld-unstable>

>nor of conductive material

Carbon nanotubes (basically the only material posited to be able to form a space elevator or orbital ring) are extremely conductive.

>it can be gapped along the way, and so on.

Then how would it maintain it's structural integrity? Also, you said that you didn't need a space elevator and you were just going to build a ring... But then you implied that you WERE going to build an elevator, so which is it?

>you are imagining that you know more than you do.

No, I am not. at first I was just warning you about the potential dangers of constructing a space elevator, but now I see you back-peddling so I'm a little curious. You don't have a background in science, do you?]

Coupling to the planet solves the transverse instability.

Something like kevlar is sufficient for a several hundred km long tether and not conductive, never mind the ability to gap between a hanging tether and elevated platform.

We have no intention of building the popularly conceived ~40k km tether held in place with a counterweight. See proposals by Tesla, Birch, etc.

[>We have no intention of building the popularly conceived ~40k km tether held in place with a counterweight. See proposals by Tesla, Birch, etc.]

So, something like clarkes idea from 3001 then?

Wheel with spokes connected to ground?

Why not just use nuclear engines to get a high ISP and use them inside atmosphere capable launch vehicles? (Like a souped up space shuttle that doesn't need boosters or a giant external drop tank)

Also, speaking of, got any info on suppressed energy tech?]

Environmental concerns, higher payload capacity, ability to avoid wireless power transmission between orbit and surface, and so on. Nuclear launches are really only useful for initial establishment of permanent presence, not a longterm access solution.

[Is the EM Drive a hint of this? Or just some random bullshit?]

No one has made it work yet.

[microchipping people (implants etc.)]

Most people are already microchipped in the form of cell phones.

[is NASA a deceitful company like the Hebrew name suggests?]

NASA is basically a fraud. They've been able to make access to space cheap for decades but don't. Their purpose is like Newton spreading interest in alchemy, a distraction.

[Current thoughts on automobiles? I heard that there is technology to double/triple the MPG but is under wraps.]

Not much to be found here

~Space Elevator~

[(Space Elevator) Can you estimate for me the maximum payload in 1 trip, one way? How long to get it up there?]

Minutes to hours depending on the sensitivity of the payload to high gee forces.

[Sounds like you just use the current technology for cheaper desu. What's the propellant?]

We have the ability to build a space elevator. Rockets cannot get you within an order of magnitude of \$20/kg.

[>We have the ability to build a space elevator. Rockets cannot get you within an order of magnitude of \$20/kg.<

From what I understand, materials science engineering has made enough breakthroughs which would allow for the construction of such a thing, but at this point the manufacturing capacity does not exist on a sufficient scale in order to make this viable.]

There are a variety of techniques for reaching space cheaply from orbital rings to space fountains to gun launches with capturing devices in orbit. It's possible to do these sorts of things without invoking any hidden material science.

Unless we are misled about our ability to produce the needed materials?
[>It's possible to do these sorts of things without invoking any hidden material science.

I'm aware of those techniques, I was referring to the engineering necessary to design and build a space elevator. Most people cannot comprehend the stresses such materials would need to be able to withstand in order to even be included in such a machine.

A space elevator would need such materials and you indicated that we have the capacity to construct such a device. Again, I understand we know of certain materials which possess the needed characteristics, we just don't have the methods to produce enough of those materials, or so I am lead to believe, is this an incorrect assumption?]

Orbital rings only require ~200 mile long elevator. You can build such a thing with steel, kevlar, diamond, and other materials.

[Ok, I got you. I am thinking that if that bit of information were to become common knowledge, then that would eliminate, or at least greatly reduce, the ability of black team and others to keep the illusion of scarcity in place.

So you are referring to entirely different means of power production than are currently in place?

Something that produces results in miniature, or a prototype, that could be easily scaled up for mass use?]

I'll illustrate an example using only known, public technology:

Combine an elevator (>ability to run power cables to the ground) with the unlimited real estate in space (>100-1000x concentration power from cheap mirrors) and you can drop power generation costs to about 1/10th of a penny per kWh for something on the order of 18TW of generation (100x reduction in cost of energy supplies) and you have no scaling limitations (until you get several orders of magnitude beyond what we currently use anyway)

All of this can be done with current technology and engineering ability to bring global GDP per capita above \$1 million within 10-15 years.

[Just because you posted the picture. Is launch loop the best method of putting stuff into space? (I believe it doesn't really work well for putting humans into space

though, right?)]

(<https://ii.yuki.la/9/23/f122dffb06829f52364631337691a8e0ea852c140ea632f06d42d33f559b239.png>) That is an orbital ring, not a launch loop. Yes, it is by far the best way to put people into space given current technology.

[>what the hell does a space elevator have to do with anything?

It is the red flag thrown into a larp story to hint to anons that it is a larp. Space elevator is a gigantic meme that gets thrown around /sci/ every now and then.]

A space elevator can be built today for far less than \$1T. It isn't hard or particularly expensive, arguably not even a mega-project as the cost wouldn't even exceed a year's worth of DoD spending.

[is the space elevator physical or spiritual?]

Not a metaphor. It's physically possible to build right now and should be done.

Solves many of the major problems going on in the world.

[Do space elevators already exist?]

We have the technology to deploy space elevators today, but none exist.

[What's the game-changer you're hiding from us, then? Cold fusion? Nano-materials / nano-agents?]

One example - we can put payloads into orbit for \$10/kg.

[Rice university must have a couple of your people.

Have a hand in the development of teslaphoresis regarding carbon nanotubes?

I only ask because of your claims about putting material into space so cheaply.

A carbon nanotube space elevator could achieve that.]

Not necessary for a space elevator. Orbital rings only require 200-300 mile long tether which you can get with materials like kevlar.

~Sun Shade/Global Warming~

[The big trigger for nonsjw lefty types now is climate. What is actually happening? I've been lied to about basically everything at this point, so it's hard not to dismiss as bs for profit, as every other scare has been bs for profit.]

Some climate change is real. For example, the albedo of the northern ice sheets has changed significantly primarily as a result of Chinese soot pollution.

CO2 as a greenhouse gas driving global warming is not a major concern. The key question even if you accept the principle is what is the forcing coefficient, that is, how much warming (cooling) do you get per unit of CO2? The alarmists have cut their estimate of this coefficient in half over the last 10-15 years which puts the economically rational thing to do on the borderline of nothing; some stuff like better insulation on buildings makes sense because you actually save more than it costs over a reasonable time frame, but the costs associated with major constrictions of

carbon consumption are not economically rational even with high estimates of environmental damage.

We actually believe that CO₂ has a very modestly negative response coefficient (i.e. cooling) and have for a long time. As the satellite record becomes more extensive this will eventually become accepted as fact. Most of the alarmism is based on very faulty or even fraudulent correction of surface temperature stations.

We could write entire books about the various facets of this, but perhaps this is the best take home: even if global warming is real and CO₂ would drive many many degrees of warming, it is cheaper to deploy a mirror to the Sun-Earth Lagrangian point that constrict our energy consumption by a couple orders of magnitude.

[Tell me about Global Warming, if you would?]

I've addressed the truthfulness of global warming in the past, but it is better to think of it in a different way.

What if it was true? It is far cheaper to build a sunshade to counteract warming than to reduce energy consumption. The Overton window on the global warming debate is particularly silly because it doesn't even matter - we have the capacity to deal with it cheaply if true, and if not then there is no problem, thus there is no problem.

[(Globalwarming) >we have the capacity to deal with it cheaply if true, and if not then there is no problem, thus there is no problem

Now I know there's no problem because I can read the geological record and negative feedback modes.

But as an insider, you should know specifically WHY the alarm-Lysenkoists are trying to make it a problem. Do you?]

You can take the efforts to use it as a mechanism for inhibiting growth off the table by talking about cheaper ways of dealing with it (if the assumption is granted that global warming is real) than constricting energy supplies.

You won't get anywhere quickly by arguing with people whether it is real. One of those things people have made their mind up on already and confirmation bias is available either way.

You can, however, neuter the efforts by showing that things like a sun shade are vastly cheaper and therefore preferable alternative to restricting energy consumption. This is objective fact that no one can question, which means you have an easy time in calling into question the motives of the global warming alarmists.

You need to break with Overton windows on issues like this and create new frames.
[No no, I know all of the methods of persuasion that underpin their cult of the imminent apocalypse, and in fact a sun shade is a poor argument relative to the revised IPCC predictions and Curry's promotion of adaptability.

Once Trump restructures GISS, there is no further argument. The media is already neutered.

You, a supposed insider, should know all that, as a side point.

Given that the public fad has never substantively inhibited economic growth, the question remains as to whether your inside information holds the reason why it was publicly injected as a religion-styled propagandization. Does it? Even the Pope was on board. Do you like the Pope?]

There are much deeper reasons for the global warming mythos, you are right. Ideas like this are very old, back to Malthus and beyond, and serve as a sort of justification for the foot soldiers to carry out the work against the masses on behalf of your regime.

[Is climate change a real concern or is it just a meme?]

Greenhouse gases have some forcing effect, but the uncertainty in measuring it is on the order of the effect itself. We can engineer processes to reverse it for something on the order of \$1/person/year. It's not an intractable or world threatening problem by any means.

[This is speaking to the idea that AGW is largely propaganda, though we could certainly do a much better job of taking care of our environment.]

Most environmentalism is used as a dividing tool in order to keep people squabbling about meaningless aspects of larger problems.]

There's plenty of reason to believe in anthropogenic global warming, although the measurement of the effect and the noise level in the data are on the same order of magnitude. That makes calculation of optimal response a highly speculative venture at present.

More to your point, there are much better ways to deal with it than are offered to the public, i.e., build a sun shield rather than cut off carbon energy sources.

[>build a sun shield

like the Soletta in Kim Stanley Robinson's Mars trilogy?

Much of the focus in the AGW publicity focuses on CO₂, but isn't methane much more of a problem?

Deep ocean warming seems to implicate geological processes as a possible contributing factor does it not?]

Yes, like I said - the signal to noise ratio is close to one. In other words, it's a poorly understood phenomena. We also think that the potential for dynamic instability is overestimated, thus a lot of the more extreme scenarios / fear mongering isn't reality based at all.

[Have we hit too many positive feedback loops in the climate system? Have we hit the point of cataclysmic climate shifts in a relatively short time frame (10-20 years)?

Basically, has the Clathrate Gun fired?]

No. We can reverse global warming for about \$100B. It's hardly a major problem.

There are a lot of people who think CO2 isn't a greenhouse gas and they are wrong. We consider the fear mongers far more dangerous than them since the problems are so easy to address.

[(Global warming) how would u then use a \$100B to fix this problem?]

Lowest tech solution is to just block 1-2% of the sunlight with reflective material sent to Earth-Sun Lagrange point.

We've been working on the concept of a more dynamic shield deployed to the same with added capability like blocking intense solar storms. Obviously considerably more expensive, but absolutely necessary in the long run.

[I remember you said something about reflective satellites at the Lagrangian point(s?) as a mitigating technique for global warming. Is it really that simple? Wouldn't we have to be pretty careful to block just the right amount of radiation?]

Also, what do you reckon the actual climate sensitivity is? Or do you think the general way of thinking about it is flawed? Even skeptics and luke-warmers seem to agree on the idea of climate sensitivity, they just disagree on the numbers. I've seen anywhere from way less than 1 Kelvin to 1.8 or so on the more skeptical side, and the IPCC's estimates have an almost stupidly huge range that includes something like 4 or 5 on the high end, if I'm remembering right.]

Conceptually, it is that simple.

In practice you would want dual use out of the system. Ever heard of tunable opacity windows? It is possible to deploy a shield that varies the amount of light blocked. This is the sort of effect you would want to be able to turn way up during intense solar storms for example, never mind fine tuning global temperatures.

Climate sensitivity is on the low end of IPCC estimates. The consensus figure went down multiple times over the last couple decades of IPCC reports - the fear mongers definitely had and retain unwarranted influence.

~Artificial Intelligence~

[How advanced is AI technology?]

Not very close to closing the gap between what humans can do and it cannot.

Very sophisticated and useful for much more tractable problems.

[Let's talk about the elephant in the room. Artificial General Intelligence doesn't exist now. However, we know it will in approximately 20 years. How does this economy or way of living survive the advent of such a technology? Further, how do we survive the interim where strong Artificial Narrow Intelligence begins to obliterate white collar jobs? The goal is 0 unemployment and to lay in green pastures, but how are you all planning to get everyone through the darkness to the new sunrise?]

We are inclined towards socialization of novel input resources while leaving most of

the existing way things work untouched, including existing ownership claims.

If we can generate say 1,000 times as much energy as the world currently uses, we can give you an equal allotment of it to trade. You retain individual or corporate ownership of means of utilizing this energy, the derived products, the value of your labor, etc.

[I'll try one last time, any thoughts on AGI?]

We're not there yet. Very sophisticated problem.

[Is a human level AI already in existence?]

No

[You know anything about AIs or it's above your paygrade?]

We're a long way from human level AI.

[How much interest is there among the elite in human-level AI? How much interest among your group? Why? Is it something worth studying?]

It's a big fetish for some. The thinking goes something along the lines of the masses are too much trouble to deal with, so wouldn't it be better to replace them all.

We would prefer efforts spent on human augmentation and improvement rather than replacement.

[Isn't there a fear that they won't be able to control the AI?]

Not really. If you put AI on a computer that is air gapped and has no arms or legs or anything of this sort, what exactly is there to fear? This is an easy to deal with problem.

[What is are the goals with Artificial General Intelligence? What is to be achieved?]

There are many people working on AI and a wide variety of goals. No simple answer here. There are already benefits, increasingly transfer of menial labor to robots has the potential to free the focus and efforts of man to more elevated tasks. There are of course dangers too, even epic dystopian level dangers. These sorts of things need to be carefully dealt with as the technology advances.

[Conflicting reports, Illuminatinigger. Are these anons lying (A.I.)?]

Speculating, not lying, most likely.

~Aging/Cancer~

[Is reverse human aging really possible and do the elites ruling things from behind the scenes already have it?]

Not quite, but close.

The most viable short-term techniques will involve a combination of the ability to cure malignant cancer with switching certain stem cell populations back on. Your youth and vitality are largely, not entirely, a function of more active germ lines in

your youth that tend to shut down overtime. This protects you from cancer, but isn't necessary if we can provide the same protection via other means.

There are many other significant hurdles that remain to reach anything like a "fountain of youth" beyond this though.

[I noticed you focused on cancer itself instead of aging.

Is that because of the way aging is cured? Seems like something that has such a profound affect on telomeres might cause higher instances of cancer.

Related.

<http://bioviva-science.com/2016/04/21/first-gene-therapy-successful-against-human-aging/>

Yes. Aging is primarily characterized by down regulation of stem cells, accumulation of waste, and increasing malfunctioning. You can largely address the first problem if you allow curing cancer (you can reverse the down regulation of your stem cells with little remaining adverse consequence).

[Will we reach immortality (for some) within our lifetime?

If so, how do I make sure that I get some of it]

Immortality is a very strong word.

The significant turning point is extending life expectancy more than one year per year, and that can reasonably be expected in the next 20-30 years.

[then what about overpopulation?

implying life expectancy would be extended for everyone]

We don't believe that current population and carrying capacity are even within two orders of magnitude given only current technology (but not current application).

[In recent years numerous organizations have started testing anti aging treatments involving telomeres and various hormone therapies.

Google for example now has an entire company dedicated to it.

So, is the average person ever gonna see any benefit from that or is immortality going to be too expensive for most people?]

Average person will benefit.

Part of the problem here is that wealth is concentrated in the old population and so a lot of R&D goes towards keeping them limping along rather than extending productive life of younger people.

You can't end up in a situation where your population trends towards 100% geriatrics without collapsing society.

[So I heard that a drug that cure Cancer and AIDS has already been discovered but they're keeping it on the down low because of overpopulation. Is this true?]

Not overpopulation.

The most promising avenues for life extension are turning back the clock on stem cell lines. We already have a pretty robust ability to do this, but it carries the burden of massively increased cancer risk.

The issue here is that we're in an intermediate stage of being able to prolong life, but not really restore vitality. Cancer cure has to be kept on the shelf otherwise you get a population skew even more heavily towards geriatrics than we have today.

[What is the best (to you) known prevention of dementia and cancer one should consider at all costs?]

We can cure cancer already. There are a variety of causes of dementia and no single way to address the issue.

[(Cancer) Was the cure born out of hard science and is some kind of evolved chemotherapy or is it rather something "herbal" like quercetin and curcumin?

My mothers breast cancer was cured with a high dose of platin salts and extracts of the yew tree combind with a mild chemotherapy.]

Hard science.

[Has cancer been weaponized? Is it a calling card of any particular group(s)? Which one(s)?]

Yes. It has been known for many years before cancer became a major problem that dealing with it was a key to extending human longevity. One of the reasons they spread cancer in society was to recruit funding for studying it.

[Is the arrival of immortality, via medicine or technology, years, decades, or centuries away? Or is it already here? And at what price point would you think it would cost for the treatment? Will it most likely be invite only to 1% elitists?]

20-25 years away. Price point isn't a good question; it will be a process rather than a pill or single procedure.

[What are the odds we're going to "cure" aging within the next 50 or so years and it will be widely available?]

In about 25 years, aging will be 'cured' in practice: the life expectancy will go up ≥ 1 year each year. There will still be unsolved problems, people will still die from trauma, heart attacks without rapid care, novel issues will develop both from uncharted age territory and unexpected consequences of some treatments.

[Will we ever eliminate or significantly reduce the need to sleep? If so, how far away are we?]

I'm not well versed in sleep science. Massive life extension is around the corner though which I think mitigates the concern over "wasted" sleep time.

[how long until we can greatly extend human life? how would they do it? gene manipulation or synthetic replacement for everything but the brain?]

There isn't any simple answer to this or even anyone that can give you a complete answer. Longer lives will generate novel problems no one has even considered yet. However, we can broadly say that youth and vitality can be restored via reactivating stem cell lines as long as you can control cancer, which we can. We expect that life

expectancy will increase at a rate greater than one year per year within about 25 years.

[Is there a natural, holostic way to control cancer? You're probably talking about telomere alteration. Let's keep our polsters healthy for the future :)]

No. You can do a wide variety of things to minimize risk, but controlling cancer in the context of life extension requires a more stringent form of control than mere risk minimization.

[Is the nuclear family dead forever? Are you going to do anything in order to reverse the damage done by feminism, divorce laws and so on? If so, what would the timeline look like? Or is the cat out of the bag for good?

A troublesome percentage of young people are choosing to forgo marriage and having children altogether nowadays. The trend doesn't look encouraging when you take in consideration emerging technologies such as VR, sexbots and so on.

Basically, how will our social and romantic interactions evolve from here? Things are already screwed up by many policy changes, but also existing (social media) and future technologies.

Please give a reasonably detailed answer.]

No. In fact you will see much more robust family life in the near future.

Because we can extend life indefinitely, there is no longer a trade off for women between having children and having full careers. You can do one and then the other.

The average number of children produced may drop further, but that is not necessarily alarming when the population isn't continually culled from old age.

The way in which people go about their relationships is also dramatically altered by a more permanent existence. The psychic burden of a perception of temporaneous existence leads people to seek it all rather than to give it their all. You can see this in marriages where there use to be an (arguably imbalanced, but beside the point) exchange of responsibilities, duties, and privileges between the sexes.

Relieving the psychic burden of temporaneous existence, which religion did in the past but often no longer does, will allow people to be much more reasonable in how they formulate their choices, direct their efforts, shape their social contracts and thus generate more robust marriages.

[Is adrenaline laced children's blood the cure for aging?]

youthful blood transfusions help but not a cure

[you are looking for a technical solution to extend life or is it still indeterminate, Illuminatinigger?]

We're interested in a technical solution, yes. Closer than you might think.

[Are Bioviva's works actually going to be made publicly available, or are they going to be kept in the circles of the power elite?

Is there an active movement to stop this service from reaching a large amount of people?]

Yes and yes - although not by us.

[(Bioveva) What can I do to help make sure this gets out?

Are there going to be any qualifications for treatment other than the ability to afford it?]

Unfortunately a lot of people who do good work in this area are being assassinated.

You can see how it is classified as a national security concern even trivially. Obviously initial stages will expand geriatric population as a proportion of the whole before extending productive lifespan. This creates serious allocation issues in the current system.

[Would it be palatable to limit treatment to those who don't have offspring?]

Doubtful, but we don't assess any any need for this anyway. We have technical solutions to apparent problems like overpopulation.

[How many diseases are there actually cures for that aren't available to the average person?]

We have general frameworks for treating almost all viral and bacterial infections and the ability to cure cancer for starters.

[Cancer cure]

We are not capable of significant extension of productive lifespan yet. If we stop largely stop death but don't restore vitality it will collapse society.

[cure my friend]

Why him and not everyone else? And there are real problems with the everyone else part.

[In relation to the issue regarding the cure for cancer, is part of the cause for not distributing it in relation to those of old age developing neuro-degenerative diseases making the point of curing them almost pointless?

and also how are the cures for these conditions coming along?]

Sort of. At some point of degeneration, you might need a full job worth of effort to care for one person. At some point you simply lack the manpower to do anything else.

[The question would be why not him at least? Why would you not allow the cure to be used in him and live? He is only 22 years old and he contributes to society.]

Can't justify picking and choosing like that and don't know how to manage a world where everyone has access.

[Then why do we have the cure for so much other stuff? Maybe not cancer, no, but we do have public access to antibiotics to prevent from dying from stepping on a nail or something extremely stupid like a cold. Why would that be public and a cure for cancer not?]

Cancer cure in particular is controlled because most life extension technology has this as a side-effect.

It is much harder to extend functional life than to maintain a geriatric state.

[Will a cure for cancer ever become publicly revealed?]

Yes.

~Augmentation~

[Is there an age in which you can no longer find your "niche" assuming everyone has some sort of predetermined "skill"

is IQ malleable or does it remain constant with only knowledge changing?]

The mind is very malleable and you can improve markedly.

We expect that augmentation is not terribly far away, and this will be more increasingly true.

[Do you guys have the technology to give someone a genetic sex change? Like they'd still be the same person just the opposite sex version. If so, hypothetically speaking, how much would a person have to pay for such a service?]

No.

[Is genetic engineering a good field to get into?]

You need to be especially careful, but it is important already and will grow increasingly so.

[Has the Military ever created any genetic experiment creatures eg the Chupacobra or anything like that ?]

They do genetic engineering but not like that. Biological warfare mostly, and to some extent laying the groundwork for super soldiers of the future.

[Are there secret programs for genetic engineering humans? If so how are they going?]

You shouldn't worry so much about efforts to genetically improve better people. A breakaway civilization is unlikely.

There are and have been for a long time efforts to engineer targeted bioweapons. The possibilities here are highly alarming and the world is woefully unprepared to deal with the way things are going on this front.

[And one more questions about vaccines. Is the tin foil fraction right about them. Are GMOs unhealthy is there a scheme trying to bioengineer humans for whatever reason? If yes what reason? Why is the global IQ declining?]

It's well known that there has been deliberate contamination of vaccines in the past and even discussed in public congressional testimony.

It's an altogether different thing to say that vaccines don't work or that the class itself, rather than contaminants or poor application cause things like autism.
[>targeted bioweapons.

have any of these gotten loose and contained before being noticed?]

Yes, not just intentional weaponization but also benign engineering efforts gone wrong.

[Do you know which vaccines to avoid at all cost?]

For an unsophisticated actor, the easiest way to deal with the vaccine problem is skip the things that are not deadly. No reason to get a flu shot each year for most people. Depending on your lifestyle, where you venture in the world, how closely you interact with urban populations, you might skip an awful lot.

We don't think you have any responsibility to inoculate yourself to provide herd immunity to others with weaker immune systems, which is a lot of the ongoing basis for many vaccination programs.

[What is about Melinda and Bill Gates foundation. Are they good or are they lunatics who want to depopulate the world?

Is there something with their vaccines?]

Gates foundation is far from benign. One of the more significant functions is to attract innovators and remove them from the public sphere / recruit them into the regime, but you also have significant eugenics projects in terms of R&D and actual population control.

[In one of the past threads you mentioned Bioviva company. Could you provide some insider directions on pharmaceutical anti-aging methodics and cancers' cures. What companies and organizations should I look out for?]

Other people brought up Bioviva. Here again you are seeking to skip the hard work of educating yourself. Almost everything is in the public domain, with few exceptions. If you want to be lazy about appreciating these subjects, go look at where Rockefeller and Gates money is going. They are the most active funders in this area.

[this made me think of bill gates, people eat the shit up that he says when he is really just talking about depopulation but you know how these globalist cunts always make it sound like a good thing, i don't see how people can buy the bullshit the people have to offer, i cringe when i hear these people talk.]

Bill Gates is a good example. You know he is consciously playing high level deceptions, and therefore relatively important.

[Are there bioweapons that only affect individuals?]

Yes

[Is Crispr the genetic panacea it's made out to be?]

We wouldn't mind a ban on genetic engineering outside of level 4 labs. Genomes

are less stable than many believe and gene hopping happens a lot between species. These guys are really rolling the dice and have already caused major problems, for example the deepwater horizon spill that they can't stop.

[Is there a name for the procedure to cure a gut infection]

Body detoxification perhaps, although I hope you are either seeing a doctor or competent enough to be restricting your googling to something like ncbi.

[Will we something in the future to help everyone lose weight, like an actual fat loss pill? Or does Three just plain to fix everything (food/poisoning/etc) and promote a fitness culture among the US)?]

Most obesity is driven by a gut infection that can be cured

[Genetically modified humans? "Chimeras"?]

Yes, both things exist. It is common practice to grow human parts on animals these days. Technology is very mature in this respect.

[Is human clone technology real?]

Yes

[Is there a cure to balding?]

There are drugs on the market which stop balding already I believe, but this isn't a particular concern of mine.

~Three/Future Misc.~

[Do you have any plans regarding population differences in ability and intelligence?]

We think that memes like idiocracy have some merit, but are overblown in the context of current and reasonably expected technological advancement that will reverse the trend.

[How would the world differ for the average person if you accomplish everything you want? Would there even be average people?]

>Would there even be average people?

The formulation of this question is lacking. We could say yes without saying anything at all, right? By definition.

[Do you plan on engineering a future world populated by what society would now classify as "elites"]

We're interested in improving.

[The not so bright? Would they become an underclass? Would they be transformed somehow? (You allude to technology and transhumanism) Or would they simply be eradicated in the world you envision?]

We estimate that people are almost infinitely malleable. There is no reason to

consider them permanently dimwitted and plenty of reason to expect improvement from them.

[Some of us do study history, we're not all worms underneath your godly feet. For all your intellectual might and resources, you do understand that there are scenarios from which the only recovery would be a complete rebuilding of all we know, right?]

We're very much concerned about those possibilities.

[how does the future look? does humanity have any hope ?]

I think so.

[So, essentially a form of Universal Basic Income where property ownership and the ability to create, innovate, and sell our goods remains intact? It sounds as if you goobers realize the way to a protopian society that doesn't stagnate and continues into an unlimited future. I'm glad you all have the right idea about the future, if I'm not mistaken.]

Sort of, but we don't base this concept on a "right" to a certain set of goods or view it as a redistribution scheme.

[What, in your opinion, is inherently wrong with free capitalist society?]

There are many problems, including but not limited to a lack of rational agents.

[(alternative systems of governance) would this system be based on individual microgenerated electricity, being used to power wireless anonymous internet networks permitting cryptocurrency and undocumented commerce that are beyond the reach of centralized authorities?]

We don't see much evidence of the effectiveness of systems that might give a first impression of being able to spontaneously generate order.

[Do you think "average" men are able to govern themselves, or do we require "angels to govern us"?

To what extent (aside from the obvious skills) is our media controlled? What types of media should one avoid to prevent having our thoughts made for us?]

We think that the potential of the average man is capable, but as to current realized potential - no.

We also expect the potential of the average to rise going forward, as well as the realization of potential.

[Are we meant to, or capable of, exploring and colonizing the cosmos?]

We are capable and supportive of this.

[Does the human brain contain all knowledge already? Is it up to the individual to unlock these things?]

No. There isn't a cheat code to life.

[What are your thoughts on the Cern super colider being used to open different dimensions?]

Fantasies of speculation.

[So that would imply that maybe I should try to build myself a Keshe generator... It seems to point towards gravity/magnetism to be two sides of the same coin. A power generator (of perhaps this kind) + marconi vortex dynamo (spherical tank of mercury) creates a powerful rotating, steerable magnetic field -- this is supposedly how the Hauneburg craft worked and I what I suspect current black aerospace tech is based on.]

You'd be well-served not to bother much with anything particularly exotic relative to your knowledge base. You won't find a free lunch doing this. Push your boundaries in terms of scale and thinking outside the box about how you can apply what you already comprehend well.

[I've often thought and researched about trying to find a better way of energy storage than batteries.

My research hasn't gone very far and I've failed to find any kind of technology that could revolutionise energy storage.

Could you please drop some clues on energy storage solutions/technology that we could pursue? Something that will absolutely revolutionise energy storage?]

We'd like to see a decrease in the fetishization of "nature" and a vast expansion of waterworks. Look up a project Kennedy was interested in: NAWAPA. You can store a staggering amount of energy in artificial water reservoirs like this besides other obvious benefits.

[What do you make of that Boeing Skunkworks dude saying "We have the technology to take ET home. Anything you can imagine, we know how to do." And does it have anything to do with "all points in time and space are connected." like he said?]

"Anything you can imagine, we know how to do" - only true if you have a very limited imagination, but perhaps true for most.

[why would you consider the petro dollar a successful model? even in your own country people keep getting poorer

>inb4 you didn't mention it
you didn't deny it either

unless you see it as some sort of natural selection process but since thats how the world has worked for the longest time how to know how successful is it?]

We think exploitation of economies of scale is the easiest and most reliable way to prosperity. Something like a world reserve currency allows greater scale.

Has it been used to this effect? No.

[In one of the earlier threads, you said that your organization has the technology to make a viable space elevator today. So why aren't you?]

== {Pretty sure he meant us as a people in general and that such technology is not

secreted away by his organization, it's just not put to use as it could be.

There is definitely a technology gap between what we are capable of and what we actually put to use.

We need to find those gaps and close them.}==

Someone else gave you a pretty accurate answer to this question.

We're not in control of trillions of dollars of capital or anything like that. As far as technological sophistication goes, yes, it can be done today. As a practical matter, we'd need to seize a major government to actually do it.

[>If the public is responsible enough
And that's the big question isn't it?

Without a much broader understanding of longer term consequences than are generally considered during any sort of mitigation programs for the referenced dangers, the general populace won't see the importance of say, investing in upgrades to the electrical grid; in the case of hardening against potential solar flare.

Don't we almost need someone to stand up and challenge us as a country to do something on the order of the space program? Perhaps the orbital ring and associated solar power array which would then send that power back down planet-side for use.

Is there a leader on our horizon with the vision to attempt such a thing?

Oh, this reminds me, wasn't the tether-satellite related to generating power from earth's magnetic field? Could something like that work?]

We're very much in favor of major programmatic efforts along the lines of the moon shot, but more targeted towards tangible economic advancement than dick waving. [The comment you're responding to seems to be heading into /x/ territory here. Psychic phenomena? Superluminal stuff? The only thing that I can see remotely heading in this direction is the work towards the physics and mathematics of feasibly going FTL. For what purpose, I'm not sure. I can honestly say that's way above my intelligence or imagination.]

You're not wrong - some of it was fluff, but maybe less than you think. That's why the recommendation is to become technically competent in the underlying disciplines before proceeding with the meaningful discussion.

[>On the other hand, if public opinion can be shifted towards rational infrastructure development rather than over-the-top extravagances with no additional functionality (nicer airports?) you can feed the narcissist tendencies in a good way

What do you think about this? Would it be something that would help our infrastructure

(<https://ii.yuki.la/a/65/95bb8e1768c9127824e13efade68f55a83df540424882fa6596c19b3ca7b665a.jpg>)?]

It's fair to describe US society as crumbling, which means it isn't in a position to support open borders. A wall isn't going to solve your problems by any means. If you think of it as an end goal rather than a stop-gap measure, your situation isn't going to improve much.

[electro-magnetics seem to always be tied in to this type of thing when I look at what the government's efforts have revolved around this topic.]

Is there any basis to the notion that consciousness is the energy which holds things together on scale larger than gravity can operate in?

This is a personal supposition.

i.e. when we look out at the structures that we have mapped, they oddly resemble neurons.

Galaxies spin axis are aligned along magnetic field lines. Can you have magnetism without the associated electrical component?]

You've veered way off course here. Learn more actual physics.

[Well the wall helps in some areas like immigration, but the pic i posted was from one of Trump's HQs and it shows Nuclear power plants and a massive High speed rail system being built and tied into the Wall and you said we need REAL ideas that actually help our infrastructure. If all of that's true would it help or hurt us?]

We support a new generation of safer nuclear power plants and high speed rail projects, although not of the usual design.

[(responding to another Anon) Fair point. My assumption was when HLI threw out the \$500K number, the spending power would be roughly the same to today, maybe a bit less. And if it's roughly the same, more people would be better off, given that the money would probably be heavily taxed for everyone's benefit, assuming the taxed money collected would be put to the appropriate use.]

It was meant as a 10x improvement in real terms per capita, i.e., an elimination of poverty and the sort of conditions that allow extensive free time to develop as a person.

[Have you considered creating a new country focused on science and rapid progress?

Something like a floating city, underwater city or artificial island outside the reach of existing governments.]

Not a reasonable pathway. This is a balkanization fetish planted in libertarian circles with no real chance of happening.

[What about Geothermal energy? Basically using existing fracking technology to create artificial geysers and harnessing their energy. Anybody looking into that? Your group might tuck that away for future reference.]

We like a combination of nuclear and hydro power on the surface and large solar plants in orbit. Geothermal is relatively more complicated.

[http://patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?
Sect1=PTO1&Sect2=HITOFF&d=PALL&p=1&u=%2Fnetacgi/nph-Parser?
%2Fsrchnum.htm&r=1&f=G&l=50&s1=6506148.PN.&OS=PN%2F6506148&RS=PN
%2F6506148

Can you assess this for us? Should we stay away from monitors? Public monitors? Can you make people obedient via Alpha waves since this is the natural state of an unactive mind?]

Most technology of this nature can be thought of as roughly equivalent to advertising. It works subtly on susceptible minds, but not a major concern if you're living a balanced and thoughtful lifestyle.

[What are the odds of space exploration coming back to the front of the scene in the foreseeable future? Is private investment in space ventures a thing?]

We're working to bring this about and assess a very high probability of our success.

[You've said that increasing the amount of energy available to society is the biggest factor in bringing about a richer, more humane world? I remember you talking about next-gen nuclear and hydro having huge potential. What are some other factors? Highly automated agriculture seems like a big one. It seems like moral progress is necessary too. Do they come hand-in-hand or does one lead to the other? I suppose someone has to do it first to show the way forward.]

Increasing the energy throughput of the economy is approximately the same thing as decreasing the required human labor input for the same outcome. This is prerequisite to freeing and elevating the human mind.

[It seems like the efficiency of producing energy is always greater the larger the scale. This also seems to require more extensive grids and centralization. Creating something small-scale as proof is usually needed needed before traction begins on these kind of things? Also I like the idea of decentralized power for also reducing dependency on the system. There are obvious barriers to small-scale nuclear because of availability of isotopes, but perhaps thorium reactors could be made household-sized? Even just solar (\$1/watt off ebay nowadays), few thousand watts capacity plus a few thousand in lead-acid batteries plus a \$300 inverter, any property with running water with a couple feet of head could generate significant power as well. None of this matters to the public until we can show them something tangible and that it makes our lives better? What kind of scale would you target first?]

Energy production is best done at scale, yes. You should ditch the decentralization / off the grid thing.

We're very sensitive to the philosophical concerns involved in highly centralized energy production, especially giving over of too much power to the state. It's a good philosophical line of inquiry for people to work on - if the state can provide 100x or 1000x or more of our current energy usage, what's the best way to allow it to engage in such an activity while balancing against the potential for too much power in the government? How do you distribute the value of the produced energy? Or decide how much to reinvest versus pay out?

[what is up with CERN and saturn?]

There is nothing special about CERN. I've been there, know people that work there, inspected their equipment. Just an unusually large physics experiment.

[What goes on at CERN?]

Study of high energy particle physics

[what will happen with crypto currencies? will you allow them to grow and prosper?]

We have no interest in crypto-currencies. We prefer the model of national banking implemented by Washington/Hamilton to build robust infrastructure, but this is not to be confused with the current monetary regime of rigged markets and financial control.

[Any comment on the automation aspect?]

The real driver of wealth is introduction of novel resources from expanding economies of scale.

As the largest banking institution in the world, the first and second national banks could finance dredging of rivers, canal construction, thousand+ mile roads, etc., that enabled the concentration of resources necessary for things like the automobile sector and eventual majority middle class. The best projects will always be those at very large scales (only accessible by the government, commons) and therefore much of the fruits of these belong to the masses. This is your inhibiting mechanism against increasing disparity.

[Does AI have anything to do with the massive economic growth that could enter into our Overton Window?]

Very little

[Apart from public works, what novel resources are we talking about. A green revolution? Space mining? Can you point to a place in history where this level of growth was demonstrated and sustained?]

Think of novel resources this way. Say it cost 10 units of energy to put a solar panel into space, but you get 40 extra units of energy out of it as a result. You've just introduced 30 units of novel energy into the economic system.

Dredging a river or building a canal does the same thing.

We're also planning things along the lines of what you suggest - asteroid mining, terraforming Mars, etc.

[How will the US still be dominant in 40 years? Industry and demographics are weakening, the world is becoming multipolar as industrialization spreads.

I can only imagine this scenario if the US monopolizes a new, revolutionary technology. Technology seems to be the only thing it has left.]

US will remain dominant because we will run it well and we favor its founding principles.

[Any long term plans dealing with an energy based currency, or is FIAT our best bet as long as the corruption is dealt with?]

We think that the production value of infrastructure minus what is reinvested should be distributed equally to the people, which is a form of a basic income based on energy. There is nothing wrong with a fiat currency in the sense that it is simply printed and not pinned to a (largely) fixed quantity (gold). The problem with the fiat regime you have now is that money is printed and used without good purpose.
[>Math. We will need 10x the number of engineers within 10 years.

what for?]

We are going to initiate many major infrastructure projects within the next decade. The capital stock will be doubling every 3-4 years after that for a long time to come and that will require a lot of engineering.

[Will the major infrastructure upgrade import labor from India, et al. like silicon valley? Or will it encourage American workers?]

We think the best path forward is US-centric rising tide.

[>We have sufficient technology to support a population an order of magnitude larger at much greater global GDP per capita than the US currently enjoys. There are no real issues here.

At what standard of living, though?]

We expect global per capita GDP to be around \$1 million in today's terms within 25-30 years. Not based on current trends, but our intervention and the potential we unleash.

[Is that Infrastructure book coming out anytime soon?]

We are working to fill the incompetence gap of Trump's, especially with respect to scientific potential that can be unleashed. In terms of concrete policy there isn't much positive yet but hopefully that will change after he is safer.

[What kind of scientific progress mate? Reforming H1Bs, removing 501(c)3 statuses, breaking monopolies, taking out the unfair fed loans, and establishing grand juries of science and technology?

Gotta drain that swamp to unleash scientific potential.]

A few of the key projects we would like to see endorsed include a space elevator, adaptive solar shield, space based solar power, high speed vacuum rail, advanced coal pre-processing and use of extracted nuclear material in molten salt reactors as well as a canceling of the national security directives issued against publishing cures for terminal diseases.

[>A few of the key projects we would like to see endorsed include a space elevator, adaptive solar shield, space based solar power, high speed vacuum rail, advanced coal pre-processing and use of extracted nuclear material in molten salt reactors as well as a canceling of the national security directives issued against publishing cures for terminal diseases.

That's great, but how do you intend to do that? If it's the same-old-same-old then I'd count you as a continued part of the Old World Order that you mentioned.

You're going to have to turn this motherfucker out, not just dump a bunch of idealistic buzzwords.]

Technology is already mature and developed for everything I mentioned. We have more ambitious ideas (marginally technically possible today) and differences in opinion about where future research should be directed as well.

[What is Three's thoughts on the current state of the Internet?]

Under threat and already highly compromised.

[What policies/changes would you make for Internet if Three was able to take full control of the US? (Ads, Net Neutrality, ISPs, etc)]

We'd like a cessation of the massive sock puppet programs, cointelpro type operations, etc. The internet and open dialogue on a content rather than personality driven basis is highly important to our progress.

[what is your point? shits going downhill so we need to become self sustained? I meant like which stock to invest in. im new to these threads. i read like 30 of your posts in a previous thread]

No, we do not believe the world is falling apart; to the contrary, we have bubbling optimism. You should continue to withdraw support for the prevailing regime in each way that you can for now though. Furthermore, the economy of the future will shift towards more personalized tangible production. These types of endeavors tend to be seen as luxury items today, but even modest reinvigoration of the economy will change that again - even living standards of the 1950s supported such widespread craftsmanship. The depression of the the last 50 years and limited disposable income has destroyed this type of activity, not efficiency of scale.

[What are the more ambitious ideas in the future research you guys at Three are thinking about? Could you throw us a bone or two?]

We've been working on the practicality of an interstellar mission as a vehicle for the robust spread of the American system of values throughout the world; sort of the inversion of an alien threat as a formal unifier - something that can promote productive global cooperation informally. Intermediate global scale projects like a space elevator we prefer to run as a strictly American enterprise until a robust middle and vision of productive nationstate is restored in a self-sustaining way.

[If usury/interest (I know they're not synonymous) is evil and should be fought against, how will enterprises ever be created?]

We don't consider interest as a risk weight to be usury or oppose institutions that pool capital for productive investment. It isn't hard to show that the interest rates are decoupled from risk assessments in the economy today, in other words, usury runs rampant and with intent. One of the most important things that can be done to combat this is a national banking system similar to what Washington set up.

[What organization system are you using for: "The world is going to experience a massive renaissance inside the next decade. Mankind will be a Type 2 civilization within about 50 years. Very big changes are coming." when you state that we will

be a "Type 2 Civilization" within the next decade?]

We will seize the US government via presidential election in 2024 (or by other means sooner if necessary) and unleash decades of pent up technological development.

[More and more are learning to engage with their true agency/deep will. How does this renaissance in occult interest, notably in Russia, factor here?]

Russia was a historical ally of the American enterprise. We support and encourage further a return to this state of affairs.

[Do you; like us, seek the preservation of the European peoples and the cultures in which we have created, and the modern world which our ancestors were the progenitors of, or do you; like the Jews, seek to destroy all culture and unite the world into one lesser race of unproductive, unimaginative, Marxist drones?]

We oppose the forces that are undermining the predominantly white countries, not because of their whiteness, but because we are interested in the spread of economically mobile, educated, enlightened mankind and the defense of an upwardly mobile and growing middle class.

[Can you tell me how Three will handle landfills, waste, and recycling? Are there any applications you have mind with it?]

Recycling is an important issue, especially flushing nutrients into the ocean from farmland / human waste. Going forward it is important to redo the resource chain and limit dispersal as well as begin recycling landfills. We have technology to thoroughly deal with these things but they are energy intensive and wouldn't be reasonable to implement until we move to much higher energy throughput as a civilization (coming soon).

[What do you think is the future for america? Is it going to be a glorious or war filled next four years? Are our liberties going to be shortened in exchange of us being a "world power"?]

We will seize control of the US state apparatus and use it as a force for good in the world. You'll see the emergence of a new world order of sorts, not by conquest or subversion or trickery, but because people admire the progress and values and wish to share in it. We will build the entire world up, starting in America and spreading rapidly elsewhere.

[So, for thousands of years folks fought for and against good (as well as lived the lives in naivety) and died. Ended. And somehow we are the ones with the shot to... transcend? How does Three refer to the transition to the next level? Is the next level dimensional or societal/spiritual, or spacial/physical where we travel amongst the stars? Some intimate disclosure here, I always felt like I would die, not that I couldn't die but that I wasn't destined to. Like everyone else who lived had died, but myself and.... us, the people alive with me, at the same time as me would just live. And live. I would tell my mom when I was younger and she would tear up like I was crazy or in denial. And maybe I am, and maybe everyone has felt that. Am I wrong wholly or just partially about my mortality?]

You're not wrong. We will make death a thing of the past. The next level is all of the above. Spiritual awakening, technological acceleration, mankind spreading out to

the stars, putting an end to trivial conflicts and so on. An era of radical change for the better is upon us.

[>anti-middle class bias

so the goal is to eliminate the middle class

>median incomes of \$500k outlook

everyone is going to be elevated UP then, out of the middle class?

or is there going to be a massive culling and the resulting glut of resources elevates the survivors?]

We have no interest in a mass culling, nor was that a hidden assumption in those projections.

[Do Black people have any future in this country? I studied the historical policies of this country over the past 200 years and all I see is soft extermination. Whether through culture, media, the legal system or the economy it all leads to the same end point, soft genocide. 100 or even 50 years from now I don't see any distinctly Black communities existing in this country.]

We do not support identity politics and we believe in equal opportunity (although not imposed equal outcomes) for everyone regardless of gender, skin color, whatever.

[I only mention Black America because the efforts to deteriorate and demoralize them are also being done to the West in general. Look up chavs in England if you want to see what demoralized whites look like. I like to use Black people as an example not for racial reasons but because we are further down the process than everyone else. The "niggerization" of the Westerner is at hand. I see it as an attempt to create a permanent underclass ruled by vice and ignorance.]

Ok, in that sense the answer to your question is yes. We think the future is bright.

[Is there a book, person, course, etc. that will better or more quickly educate us or a place to appropriately direct our attention?]

How about you name big problems that you perceive in the world and we can start knocking them off one by one. I'll give you the blueprints and then you can pick the methods of addressing the problems that appeal to you the most and then start making yourself a subject matter expert and able to contribute to us working our way out of this mess.

[Hope for return to health? How to save the world?]

Science. Science.

~Future/Tech Misc.~

[once you win how will you clean up the mess without international conflict?]

We don't have any consensus on how to bail you out in a dramatic fashion.

Imagine if we gave you a personality to lead you from the darkness. How do you avoid accusations of that person being the anti-Christ and a dramatic backlash?

It's not as easy as it might seem at first glance. There are so many complicating factors.

[Why must it be kept so secret? And who are you keeping it a secret from? Surely if your goals are good and correct and you're a "good guy" it would be A simple thing to persuade a sizeable chunk of the population to your cause. Thank you for answering my questions. Even if you are full of shit (and I'm inclined to think you're not) it's been genuinely fun chatting. You're more interesting than most people I come into contact with.]

You have not really thought about what all such a process entails.

What if we seize power and push out the blackmail network. They won't just go quietly and we don't have the means to kill them all overnight, nor even consensus that we should if we could.

So you are dealing with a broad and organized network of malcontents resisting your efforts to improve, plus the government is highly unstable because people have no reason to trust it and are predisposed to revolution.

This isn't a trivial exercise you are proposing.

[is there something sinister in medical sciences? are some cures kept from the public?]

Yes

[>>is there something sinister in medical sciences? are some cures kept from the public?

>Yes

what exactly?]

Wide variety of things, from stuff that is in the public record like intentionally doping vaccines with cancer viruses to cures for cancer.

[(Moon) Here's a question Mr. No Ayyys..... Why didn't we go back, build a base, and start mining Helium-3?]

Regime has no interest in robust economic growth. Keeping you poor and consumed with survival is one of their most effective weapons.

[Those questions have already arisen though, given our current capacity.

Clearly we aren't ready for this technology if our impulse is "mass import incompatible populations to blow us up because yayyy prosperity" and "do whatever you want man, it has no consequences".

Without restrictions on our behavior, we descend into depravity, complacency, and consumerism. I'm skeptical of your wisdom if you don't understand these uglier aspects of human nature.]

No one thinks it is good for society to have mass importation of uneducated peoples. Not Soros or Obama or anyone else.

It is done specifically to undermine society.

[Is the one electron theory correct? Is our universe just a hyper-inflated proton that escaped a parent universe?]

The universe is the result of a quantum fluctuation. No one can prove why the laws of physics are such as to allow it at this point.

[Does this make our universe and our existence to the Schödingers Cat. We exist and not exist at the same time? Or rather half existing, half nonexisting?]

Or does it relate to the CPT Theorem and there is some kind of Anti-Universe which both added equals 0?

And what do you think of the recent discovery of unsymmetric pear-shaped nucleus of Barium 144? Does this disprove the CPT Theorem and quantum physics as we now it due to the lack of missing supersymmetry, hence missing foundation for quantum theory?]

No, there is no need for an "anti-universe" here. There is negative energy (driving inflation) that almost exactly balances the positive energy.

[You're saying the negative and positive energy are 'balanced'. Yet, we have proof (hubbles law) that the expansion is rapidly speeding up. Where does the variance come from if the energies involved are equal?]

(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=veU6hK3jMH4>)

[(GDP growth) How is this possible without rampant inflation. Most people aren't worth a mil, it seems automation is the driver for this growth. How will this not exacerbate current problems of wealth disparity?]

Inflation results when the change in money quantity and velocity exceeds the change in gross product. It isn't a necessary part of growth or at all implied by high growth.

[Does climate change actually pose a threat or is it just a tactic used to elicit a certain response from the public?]

Nope, just fear mongering in hopes of instituting a transnational tax regime.

To be clear, there are potential problems but all of them are easy to mitigate.

[Mark Zuckerberg is a man whose Agency curve is unequalled in history, comparable only to Alexander.

How does your group view him? Was he aided by Rockefeller?]

Zuckerberg is a nobody. He has controllers that sent him to Harvard to be on the lookout for disruptive technology. He found facebook, stole it, and got financing to take it and run with it from his controllers.

[So we know you don't think highly of Zuck or Musk!

I can't disagree.

Which Silicon Valley tycoons do consider to be worthwhile?

Larry/Sergei? Andreeson? Thiel?]

Larry Ellison is a decent guy.
[How did Zuck have controllers in the first place?]

Related to some important people.
[Also, did NASA really send that probe to Pluto? are those pics legit?]

Yes, I know some people that worked on the probe.
[Do you guys know what gravity is?]

No one has a unified field theory yet.
[So you agree we should just abolish all the bullshit universities?]

They don't provide much value, especially relative to their cost. Abolishing certain departments would certainly be a better start than getting rid of them altogether however.
[>They don't provide much value, especially relative to their cost. Abolishing certain departments would certainly be a better start than getting rid of them altogether however.

Yes, but how do you propose that we put the squeeze on? I offered a few avenues earlier on, but you declined to comment.

We can shoot for whatever stars we want to, but there's no chance of getting there if we don't know which star it is or how to get to it.]

The squeeze already is on. SJW is a derogatory term in the popular culture. I think you are mostly fighting yesterday's battle here.

If you want a simple to the point answer, start beating misbehaving children again. Many of these people are basically suffering from no impulse control because they were rewarded throughout their childhood for it. Small things like making paddles great again would go a long way.
[Is there any chance that Science denying (gender, gmos and so on) in higher education will be dealt with?]

There are very few people that believe the nonsense SJW type stuff you guys worry about. It isn't much more complicate than putting a decent president in place and stating plainly that challenging these wackos will not jeopardize your future funding. Most of these problems are coming from a few key top down funding positions.
[The EmDrive works, and according to simple math should be able to let anyone build their own space crafts and explore the stars.

How long has this technology been around, and what effect will it have on Elon Musks subsidy scam?]

No one has published proof of it working yet.

Elon Musk is not long for prominence.

[What is your current thoughts about our school education? What does Three want instead of the current system? Any future and ambitious ideas you guys want to implement in terms of childhood learning 50-100 years from now?]

The two main problems with youth education today are that misbehavior is not punished (things like talking out of turn should be seen as animalistic intolerable behavior that a paddle fixes) and that if a student asks what is the point, most teachers can't give an honest answer. Inspiring imagination, coherent, tangible visions for a brighter future is how we address the second; the first can be addressed with a reintroduction of corporal punishment although we don't prioritize that in an environment designed to generate worker bees rather than individuals (the lack of hope and answer to why that reigns today).

[However, I would like to understand how exactly Three plans to change the school environment from a worker bee system to an individual system. Could you try your best to explain within 4-5 sentences if you're able to pertaining to this?]

We would like to transform the teacher from a boss role to a mentor role. Content delivery via computer and individual pacing with teachers there as progress facilitators rather than pace setters. Involve students in the real world, participating in research labs, collaborating on massive science-driver projects like a space elevator (modern moon shot), and otherwise injecting participation in projects rather than trivial tasks.

[>We would like to transform the teacher from a boss role to a mentor role. Content delivery via computer and individual pacing with teachers there as progress facilitators rather than pace setters. Involve students in the real world, participating in research labs, collaborating on massive science-driver projects like a space elevator (modern moon shot), and otherwise injecting participation in projects rather than trivial tasks.

If the above example is of childhood learning, what does Three envision the College/University level of learning?]

We see the colleges of the future as state sponsored research labs for honing expertise and obtaining special skills, networking with those who have common interests via proximity, rather than degree mills that perform these tasks on the side.

[Does Three have different progressions of learning thought out for an individual going through each level of intuition, Elementary > Middle > High > College > Uni? Or are you going to squish some of those together or possibly create more levels?]

These distinctions are less important as we transition to individual rather than group pacing of progress

[I know you compared Musk to a fraud like Newton, but what do you say to his theory that life and everything that has ever existed might be a simulation?]

Not a coherent thought or testable hypothesis: this is the modern day Newton pushing alchemy.

[Was mars previously inhabited by intelligent life?]

No

[Last time, I was talking on the topic of the Internet. On Net neutrality, Internet rules, and the ISPs. What are your thoughts towards them? Are they simple things to take care of to Three? What changes would we see regarding them?]

Net neutrality is a reasonable demand in return for the state-sanctioned monopolies that internet providers get.

[Is satellite internet useless or is it largely untapped (as in, it's the future but no steps towards it)? I know Elon is planning to send a bunch of satellites into space, but a lot of technology watchers know something is fishy with his proposed plans on that front. 4000+ satellites seem way too much to accomplish satellite internet.]

Elon Musk is a lot like Newton. No ideas of his own. Made into a celebrity to distract the pseudo-intellectual class from actual progress a la alchemy.

[What does a true internet discussion board look like or is even supposed to look like? Is the 4chan format the nearest one to it? I know that Reddit and it's upvote/downvote system can be good for sharing "popular" stuff but it's terrible for discussions besides specific threads/posters.]

4chan's design is pretty good. You have issues with sliding, but it is better than outright burying.

[Is the observed phenomenon of the expansion of space attributable to the location of our local group on the two-dimensional surface of a toroidal universe stretching as it approaches equator? Is our experience of spatial reality the three-dimensional holographic projection thereof (I'm referring the Holographic Principle of black holes)?]

Spacetime expansion is driven by dark energy which balances out the energy you are accustomed to thinking of and allows the universe as a whole to obey the uncertainty principle.

[Fusion energy: Does it have potential to solve humankind's energy needs w/o pollution? Is the research progressing fine, or are they being stalled on it?]

Fusion is practicable, but not necessary to solve our energy problems.

[How much do you know about virtual reality, will it become a household item anytime soon?]

VR already exists in a fairly primitive form. If you mean something like plugging your brain in so that it is a truly immersive experience, that is quite a ways off.

[I appreciate the detailed reply, but you have not given me the multifaceted perspective I was hoping for.

I absolutely agree that significant life extension would completely alter all aspects of life as we currently know it, including marriage. However, you merely paint a very small fraction of the big picture that's very far into the future. I am unable to share your optimism and project this far out given our current situation and set of challenges. Could you give me a more clear timeline as to how this will progress?

Also, I am very curious about your opinion on adjacent characteristics such as whether monogamy will still be prevalent, or at least a common preference. I am already beginning to see a big push by outspoken millennial influencers towards

more casual long-term arrangements. Which fits quite well into the current landscape of utter sexual degeneracy.

This is one of the questions I was hoping to have addressed when I asked you whether the nuclear family was dead. I realize the possibility of immortality would render them irrelevant or at least incomprehensible given the current framework though. I will certainly give further thought to your points.

You also wrote not to be concerned about even lower birthrates. How could that possibly be the case, given the segments of the population which are currently (and for the foreseeable future) incentivized, and do predominantly reproduce?]

We will seize the US state apparatus in 2024 at the latest.

Within a year or so, you will see a massive transformation of the economic landscape. GDP growth of well over 20% annually sustained for decades. The NSA and similar sorts will no longer be permitted to keep technology on a leash, we will release cures for diseases and invest heavily into biotech focused on life extension. Within about 10 years at most you'll see life expectancy climbing at a rate greater than one year per year. By mid to late 2030s, people will be coming to terms with the idea that they are only at risk of dying very narrow set of causes like acute trauma / violence, etc.

In terms of marriage, you should realize that when the institution was invented life expectancy was dramatically shorter - not much more than what it took to bring your kids into independent adulthood. We strongly value the nuclear family and marriage institution for procreating and raising a family, but this is already not really a lifelong activity and as our lives extend into hundreds or thousands of years, the reproductive phase is merely a short episode in one's own life.

The attraction that people have to such permanence creating schema will fade as the fear of their own mortality subsides, but they will also invest themselves more intensely in their current relationships. You can expect shallow pleasure seeking to grow dull and uninteresting to most very rapidly and people to gravitate to things that fulfill them only on the deepest levels, love, enchantment by their music, the most richly crafted novel, or other things of this nature.

[Help me to understand Internet speeds and ISPs better. I know that a large part of the US has pretty slow speeds (in comparison to other countries/regions) and we know we are capable of speeds of 1gb or even 10gb. But what are some things that we don't know about the Internet that Google and other ISPs/tech companies aren't telling us? Are we capable of more? What has Three uncovered or even has in store on this topic (i.e. Internet infrastructure)?]

The basic problem here is corruption, not hidden tech. The state grants monopolies to companies in return for them building out universal access. US govt has always done this, making sure phone lines reached every household, that the mail was delivered to every address no matter how remote, etc.

It's a fine system if you have decent people in government, but a completely degenerate regime as exists today really mucks this sort of thing up.

[What do you make of holograms? We've seen ideas of how holograms could be used in Iron Man 2 and through VR. Useless fantasy or a tool in the future?]

This is cool stuff, but it isn't going to feed anyone or anything. The world has a vast array of more significant things to concern yourself with.

[What do you make of the R&D of implants in the brain or headsets to allow people to control robotics and use computers with their mind? Will everyone have implants/headsets in the future?]

These things will become common overtime for sure. I'd certainly not take any such thing from the current regime, but when society is better educated, the underlying tech is open source, etc., there is no reason not to enjoy the benefits available.

[>You can prepare yourself to contribute to the building of it in a variety of ways.

So....I can make a diode generator circuit that makes like 10mV from thin air, never connected to a power source. How do I get this to the president?]

Thermodynamic laws are pretty robust despite the abundance of free energy believers.

[Doesn't Northrop Grumman own technology and operate a portal that allows space travel, specifically to Saturn?]

No, they don't. Energy throughput of civilization isn't high enough to support such a portal. It's possible in principle but not operating.

[Is google an enemy of the people? What about Apple, Facebook and Snapchat?

Apple has our fingerprints, Snapchat our faces and dirty pictures, and Facebook our everything. Are we constantly under surveillance]

Yes. There is no justification for the surveillance state. It's about oppression, not protection.

[Are soundwaves a viable way to destroy trash/landfills?]

You can break up some stuff with soundwaves, sure. The problem of dealing with waste in landfills is going to be about as complex as the waste in the landfills though, so you have to be prepared to make the long trek through learning a lot of science. Recycling of metal versus plastic versus organic waste will all have different best practices. You don't necessarily need to know a lot of chemistry to participate however. There are plenty of folks with phds that have their phone numbers posted online - you can call them and ask them to imagine a world where we recycle everything and how finely sorted and into what categories they think would make that work best. Survey 20 of them and see where the consensus is and draft a new and improved set of bins for sorting. The process isn't very granular right now, certainly not as much as it should be. Generally speaking you just want to drop the notion that our problems are too big to overcome. If you don't have the expertise on something, call someone who does and try to have an honest conversation with them. That's a big part of what is absent in our society right now. [Big problem - I see our military budget, when compared against the rest of the world, when people just down the street are struggling to eat a big problem. I don't understand that everyone seems to be okay with spending more.

Another HUGE one I see is food in general. Holy shit, in doing real research on

GMOs, mis-information and the basis for the promotion of certain things like low fat, low sugar, fish oil etc. - although personally I'd probably be better qualified on the voting issue.]

As to food, this is a problem we can solve with a higher energy throughput economy and moving agricultural production indoors. There is a lot of evil and cynical stuff being done with vaccines, GMOs, etc., but these things are not entirely without merit either. Pests are a hard problem to deal with outdoors, but trivial inside. By moving our agriculture to indoor farming we reduce the toxic effects of pesticides and much of the motivation for GMO as opposed to selective breeding of better yielding crops. Cheap energy will make this transition happen for economics reasons; it is better to be able to grow year round, you get higher yields indoors, and so on.

Besides cheap energy for powering indoor lightning, the only other real obstacle in the way is water management. This needs to be addressed in big ways with projects like NAWAPA, but a higher energy throughput economy will make a variety of other solutions practicable like desalination plants on coasts distant from mountain ranges.

[Was Elon Musk recruited by the "Illuminati" after applying himself? What's the deal with his divorce/remarriage/divorce to the same woman: is it an elaborate tax evasion/money laundering/Clinton Foundation donation scheme, or even at your elite level cuckoldry by women is a problem?]

As a technologist, he is a horrid distraction. As to your other line of inquiry, you're very aware. You'll find many cases of companies being created and promoted by groups specifically to fund other enterprises. Another obvious example is Uber getting a free pass from municipalities that you wouldn't otherwise expect. These things are allowed to fund other projects.

[Do I have time to finish school? I need 2-3 years to finish my bachelors degree to become a forensic scientist. (have two associate degrees now.)]

Yes.

[Why did Steve Jobs die?]

More of a choice of his than anything. Jobs had a strange relationship with fatalism.

[What is going on at CERN? Are they actually trying to open a portal so Satan can incarnate physically?]

No.

.....
.....
.....

Three

~Organization~

We operate with two key principles:

- the law of the jungle
- the long view

There are a variety of factions in the world. The answers to your questions depend on who you are considering associating with, your own views, and what you seek to accomplish in your life.

The watchers are interested in order, not chaos.

We don't seek chaos to create order, we create order out of chaos.

For the most part we are compensating for your flaws, not scheming against you. You are dangerous to yourself.

Do you think you could waltz into the white house and hold it together? No, you can't. So we don't let you.

[How are we supposed to believe you have our best interests at heart, or are even capable of understanding what the common man desires?]

Do you not think your condition has improved over the last 100 years? Or 200 years? Or 300 years?

We give you the ability to communicate with anyone on the planet, unlimited educational tools, open libraries, and yet all you can do is point towards bad things instead of imagining a better future. Of course we provide the future as long as that is true.

There would be no reason to watch for signs of danger if we did not do something upon spotting them.

We have nothing against a different way of doing things.

We laud the utopian goals of some of you, but we are also tempered by reality.

The world is too precious for ideological experimentation.

[Actionable plan: revamp the public education to something other than a disaster its proven to be, something that is comforting like a home or a museum or a library type of environment with exercise facilities for healthy exertion. media to something that isn't as misleading as its been for the last 40 odd years, sorry i can't explain further. banking has had a lot of interesting things datamined i would wager and im sure theres something from that pile u would find, i just can't think of it right now. sorry I'm not in my peak condition to engage in more detailed discourse then this.]

These are goals, not policies.

We don't differ much from you on goals. We differ in means.
We have no interest in depopulation schemes or the like.

It's true that some elements of some governments entertain these ideas, but they strongly prefer growing population and more worker bees subject to their ability to manage it.

[What's your connection to academic institutions?]

We are similar to an academic institution, but free of public corruption.
There are of course secret communication protocols in use among us in public and things of this nature.

While it may seem unsatisfactory, we find each other as you become ready. No man is an island unto himself and all plausible growth trajectories of your person involve eventual interaction with those who are leading the way ahead of you.

[high level insider regarding what?]

Higher than that. Think Illuminati, although we don't actually use that name.

[Is white Europe going to be gone forever soon? Will the immigration be stopped before it's too late? Why do you all want Europe and white people powerless and gone?]

We are not a racial organization or interested in racial strife.

[What are some important questions about the nature of reality that the "Illuminati" is still struggling with desu senpai?]

An important question for us is whether or not it is possible to sustain civilization indefinitely. The answer isn't as obviously no as you might think.

It's important in making assessments about the importance of stability versus experimentation.

[Do you have allegiance to any country?]

The USA is our project to a large extent.

[What do you call yourselves]

Our name is three.

[Where can I find literature on your organization?]

You won't.

[I'm guessing your organization (or whatever) is invite only? No one can "apply" in a sense?]

We don't have a website to submit your resume, no. Applying yourself is likely to get our attention however.

[How is your group organized/orchestrated/able to affect change?]

We primarily use the power of ideas, but not always. Our organization is primarily

vested in the preservation of knowledge and forum for discussion that might not go over well in public

[Who teaches or reveals or instructs your group's "curriculum"? What is the information you have, and how would we go about learning it?]

Often it is passed through families, but not exclusively by any means.

[And what would one need to become in order to be a serious asset (even a needed asset) to your group?]

We don't lack needed assets in any significant sense.

[Do you have allies? Subordinates? Commanders? How much hire above you does it go? Do you know?]

We make concerted efforts, but not always unanimously. There is no strict command hierarchy.

We use force against others from time to time. Not just a book club by any means.

[You do understand that many people do not see those in your position as benevolent, right? You understand why?]

We are aware of this.

[Do you reach out to these people and attempt to reason with them and find a mutually beneficial compromise regarding their journalism, new invention, or charisma, or is the immediate knee jerk reaction to assassinate them?]

We reach out to some people yes.

Others take pleasure in their own self-destruction, or knowingly doing things that will lead to it.

[I find it overwhelmingly hard to wrap my head around the fact that no one knows a single thing about your organisation "three". Why is that exactly? Supposing you're a real thing to exist, how have you managed to keep it a secret? It is inevitable that somebody in your organisation bursts at some point.]

It isn't true that you know nothing. False premise.

Countless people speculate about our goals and methods on an hourly basis because you see the fingerprints we leave behind.

[How do you communicate with each other in the age of mass surveillance? Who controls the surveillance networks, in terms of your "factions" model?]

No surveillance technology is particularly sophisticated. Have you ever spoken something that meant one thing to a broader group, but another to a particular peer because of an inside communication history?

Highly intelligent individuals are highly adept at this, so we can speak volumes without you even knowing the conversation exists.

[When will women be allowed in your ranks as equals?]

They already are. They tend to deviate from the norm less than men, and we're hardly an ordinary bunch - so they are markedly underrepresented.

[If, for example, I were to apply myself and begin along a path that would change the world in a positive way (from your perspective), but by doing so, I would be a threat to one of your rival factions and they would try to assassinate me, would you save me?]

Probably not. You exhibit a severe lack of judgment in inducing threats you are not capable of dealing with.

[Are people in your circles allowed to have commodities beyond basic living standards?]

Yes

[If someone displays the skills that your organization looks for at say, 10 years old, what are the odds that you:

- 1.) Find out about it
- 2.) Reach out to that person
- 3.) Ensure that they join your organization

Additionally, how does your group find them? Are there agents in schools? Finally, what if the potential candidate chooses not to join you? What will happen to them & their future?]

In general special people are easy to find.

We do a wide variety of things to publish their names: honor lists, spelling bee winners, etc. They ask meaningful questions and are heard, and so on.

We'll try to set up guidance for them and if they take it, continue the process. [Is it possible that a child could be recruited without knowing it?]

It's possible to be influenced without recognizing it until much later, if ever.

[If you truly want to preserve and improve civilization why don't you create an enlightened culture and spread it among the population through education, the media and any other means?

If you use the "emperor without clothes" principle then you'll know it is certainly achievable in many countries, especially in the western world. If you snowball an idea enough...]

We're actively intervening right now.

[How close to the office of the president are you?
Do you work with the WH directly?]

We've issued demands directly in the past.

[Do you have any real allies among scientists and educators or are they just blind followers?]

Most of us have roles like this, and we influence others who do as well.

[How much influence does your faction specifically have to prevent censorship?
Could you prevent the NSA from enabling a totalitarian state? What's the relative strength / ability of the four factions?]

We can issue diktats to some extent and have.

[So you appreciate the cultural benefits of it but don't actually believe in Christ and the lord?]

No, it's more than that. In some sense you might even call us 'christian' but it doesn't have anything to do with popular interpretations.

[If you find someone who has designed a better way, but who is clueless about self-defense in any sense (as the vast majority of intellectual people are), does your organization step in to defend them?]

If not, why not? The stakes seem too high to discard any marginal advantage, especially if, as you said earlier, you have no shortage of assets.]

We probably would, but as you can imagine it is exceedingly unlikely to simultaneously be that sophisticated and vulnerable.

[Feelings and/or notion of the world enables to think from micro to both macro and micro (which also heightens micro). This happens from, say, watching a certain film. Is this sort of the path you are describing? Haven't a certain preset of the world that in a maximum-sense of seeing the world which in then turn increases awareness and intelligence? If so what environmental asset do you use to keep within this set of mind?]

None of us are perfect, but we have a good culture that we keep isolated to continually reinforce these principles.

[Does philosophy matter to you? In general?]

High level mathematics will get you more 'philosophical' value than the works of self-described philosophers.

But you could call us that if you define it sufficiently vaguely or broadly enough.

[Is loretta lynch illuminati?]

She is not a member of our faction.

[Whats the occupational makeup of this organization? Is it the stereotypical shadowy businessmen or something else entirely?]

The most common trait is high intelligence. We apply this in a variety of ways, often as scholars, scientists, or in moderate business enterprises. Some insert themselves into strategic places to gain a useful outpost for observation.

[If my ideas get published, is there a possible chance that your people might contact me?]

Yes

[You sound like a Gnostic. Space=universal mind? There is an obvious order and pattern when one looks at structures of galaxies which are too far apart to be held together by gravity but magnetic field lines line up the axes of rotation in galaxies spread far apart. That is also why electromagnetic and "psi" overlap in many areas, this is overlooked by most. Are these some of the ideas which would fit under

>breaking down your assumptions that don't have any merit?]

There are too many to fully enumerate.

Religion encodes powerful messages, yet so many either ignore it or take it literally.

Overpopulation is considered an inevitability, but history and our knowledge of physical laws don't actually support this.

People are driven by fear rather than possibility.

It's time for a significant reordering of general thought processes.

[where the fuck have y'all been my entire life?

I've been looking for and theorizing to myself that a group such as you represent just had to exist but had not really found any evidence of such other than the fact that we live in a dualistic world and if we have groups that seem to bent on the active enslavement of human ideologies to purposes not originally intended, then there has to be an opposite group that acts as either shepherds (and not in the sheople sense) or at the very least want to prevent such a complete descent into absolute despotism.

I imagine your group (or something similar) has been around since before Sumer was built.

Hell, I may have even encountered agents of your organization at various points in my life but did not quite recognize them for what they were.

You stated before that you have all of the members you "need" but that you will seek out individuals you feel would be a benefit to your goals.

Are these always among the youth, or do you ever approach someone in their adulthood due to not having noticed them before?

And yes, in case it isn't obvious, I'm pitching myself for consideration.]

We've really only about 2800 years old, not quite back to Sumer.

It took some time for the mystery schools to be corrupted with dark agendas, and we are a reaction to that.

[>We've really only about 2800 years old, not quite back to Sumer.

Are there references to your group in historic literature, especially any of that related to the ancient mysteries?

When did the corruption of those schools begin and was there a particular incident which brought about your groups desire to react and come in to being?]

The assassination of Socrates is the oldest well-recorded confrontation our group with others.

[Let's just say I do publish something. What would your people be looking for in it to warrant your people to contracting me?]

If you are writing with the intent of getting our attention, you probably won't.
[Does your group value humanity? Not the existence of the species, but what makes us human.

Namely, the appreciation of beauty, civility, and logic.]

Yes

[Have you or anyone in your super sekret club ever diddled kids?]

Not that I know of, but we've been around a long time and you might find examples from far in the past that would pass certain definitions today.

[This is a pic of GCHQ, is this the symbol of three? Is this your faction?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Government_Communications_Headquarters#/media/File:GCHQ-aerial.jpg]

No

[>We do a wide variety of things to publish their names: honor lists, spelling bee winners, etc. They ask meaningful questions and are heard, and so on.

Are you the only faction that does this? Recruit people in this way?]

When I said we there, I meant society. Gifted people are easy to find. There are plenty of organizations that seek them out, good, sinister, and neither.

[>but not the end all be all explanation.

I'm well read enough to know this innately hopefully won't ever fall into the trap of, "I've got it all figured out."

One of the biggest barriers to learning is "things I know," and so if I approach every situation with the idea that it has something to teach me, then hopefully I can make the most out of whatever opportunities present themselves to me.

Was Hans C Anderson one of you?]

We're responsible for many of your more informative parables.

[There seems to be a change in education, the attempt for people to do things in a collective sense, and identify in such a way, to the point where anything that upsets this collective sense gets attacked.

Is that your doing?]

No, we encourage individual agency. That said, we also believe in robust rational cooperation.

[So something where you have a free independent society with unlimited upward mobility, unlimited opportunity for everyone able or willing to take advantage of it... and some kind of basic energy ration for everyone?

Because that sounds a lot like the original vision of (some of) the people that founded the USA.

How would such a society be governed, and protected from corruption?

How long has your group been around?]

We cultivated the American revolution.

We've been around about 3000 years.

If we had all the answers to get to utopia, we'd already be there.

[why don't you act more overtly?]

Already addressed to some extent (in this thread, I think). Look at the life of Nash as a cautionary tale of what overreaching can get you.

[>cautionary tale of what overreaching can get you

Also JFK.]

Yes, also JFK.

[Does your group estimate that it may have risen prior to that and also been wipe due to some sort of similar occurrence?]

We are splinter group of the mystery schools that is about 3000 years old. Possible that something similar existed before, but there is no unbroken line.

[As mentioned by:

>Understandable. I am curious why you choose to lurk in the shadows instead of exposing yourselves and spreading as much wisdom as you can (outside of obscure Laotian Zoetrope animation boards). This is not a criticism, but a serious inquiry. If there are truly altruistic secret societies, schools and/or factions; why do they refuse to communicate openly with the rest of us. Why should we trust any of you?<

if you are an altruistic society, why work in the shadows? Is there some other organization(s) that are out there that would prevent you in your goals?]

We work in the shadows because there are groups that want to snuff out people like us. We don't have the ability to eliminate them overnight. The best we can do right now is very targeted intervention.

[I understand why malevolent schools or societies would lurk in the shadows while working towards a grand plan through deception and manipulation.

What stops altruistic societies or schools from gaining more exposure, even if indirectly (not including obscure Laotian Zoetrope animation boards)? Would the great collection of insight and wisdom not be of use for good people the world over to take action?]

To the extent we're secretive, it's to protect our own survival.

[My question in this thread:

>I understand why malevolent schools or societies would lurk in the shadows while working towards a grand plan through deception and manipulation.

What stops altruistic societies or schools from gaining more exposure, even if indirectly (not including obscure Laotian Zoetrope animation boards)? Would the great collection of insight and wisdom not be of use for good people the world over to take action?<

was a little more specific. I would assume the answer is because powerful people want people like you dead. Surely there are ample indirect ways of getting the information out though. What stops your organization from pushing as much info out to as many people as possible? I refuse to believe that /pol/ is the best outlet reachable without getting "snuffed out."

I hope you answer this specifically, it makes very little sense to a simple man untrained in the ways of the ancients.]

We work with similar purpose in a wide variety of ways. There isn't anything special about /pol/ other than it is a significant, high traffic environment of people that want to think some things that matter.

[Also how does one typically get into a mystery school? or are recruited by one?]

We recruit highly capable individuals only.

Other groups have much broader membership but most are pawns in a game they don't understand.

[And what of the Federal Reserve? What will the currency/monetary/banking system look like?]

We're basically Hamiltonians. The organizational power of government imbues it with creditworthiness and therefore enables large scale infrastructure that pays for itself.

[What are your thoughts on residing the rule of law within a Constitution? In other words, the system of government America is supposed to have. A constitutional republic.]

We're largely support of the original designs of the US government, although some modifications are needed - mostly in terms of increased clarity. A major problem is the modern ambiguousness of some of the terms such as direct and indirect taxes, which were extraordinarily important to the authors but very blurred today.

[Can anyone become an insider?]

No one is disqualified from our organization for reasons outside their control like gender, but some people simply don't have the genetic potential given current technology, i.e., a person with Down's syndrome.

[Does Three (your group assuming you are same High Level Insider as before) require a blood oath?]

No

[How do you identify people that you want to recruit if they aren't relatives of members of your group? Say they've somehow distinguished themselves or caught your interest. How do you feel them out to find out if they have similar principles to yours?]

Plant breadcrumbs of knowledge and see where they go with them.

[Is there any reference to your group anywhere? Whether it be overt or hidden? Are my efforts to deduce your name utterly in vain?]

Yes & probably so

If we were an easy nut to crack, we'd have been cracked by our opposition already.
[What's the worst thing your organization has done?]

You could argue that we put too much emphasis on our own survival to the detriment of immediately furthering our goals. This is a complicated calculus rather than a black and white issue though.

[So for the organization you're a part of... do people get put on a list for possible indoctrination by doing certain things?]

We make considerable efforts to identify likeminded talented people and prod their development along.

[Presuming your existence to be authentic; your lack of basic control over your own short term causality as well as your overarching need for self-preservation does not engender any confidence in your ability to win this conflict. Perhaps you should devote more time hitting the range and the gym.]

Do you think the ends justifies the means?]

It isn't any issue anymore. Only 100 years ago, any group of individuals was vulnerable to extermination though.

[then in what sense are you "high level"?]

We are more powerful than the freemasons.

[illuminazi then? only other order I can think of that's associated with the number three

are you a globalshit?]

We created the Illuminati as a psy-op to allow the American revolution to be successful.

[does your plan involve removing kebab and/or niggers?]

We're not racist or genocidal, but we are realists.

The west has the deepest and broadest base of educated and capable people. Any effort to dilute this in the short-term with mass immigration can only be regarded as willful evil intent.

Taking what is largely a historical accident to racial superiority ideology is nonsense however.

[are you guys into studying books like in the one pic related?]

Yes, most of us are highly scientifically competent.

[High level insider of what, anon?]

We are three.

[Has "three" something todo with Freud's Id, Ego and Super-Ego?]

No

[Your group Three is not with the Elites?]

No, we oppose the prevailing regime.

[What are you doing with your life in these days?]

We're in the process of putting together something like the federalist papers - about to start a major propaganda effort to radically transform your regime.

[Do you have a back up plan?]

We have a plan

[An insider for what?]

We are three

[so what, exactly, are you an insider to? a conspiracy to overthrow the regime? an ideology? a sect, a cult? you have mentioned that 'you' have a lot of plans for the upcoming years to capture the public's mind's eye.]

We've been around a few thousand years and pop up as agitators towards greater freedom, awareness, progress. We monkey wrench the system when it is needed.

[>We've been around a few thousand years and pop up as agitators towards greater freedom, awareness, progress. We monkey wrench the system when it is needed.

Sounds a bit "League of Shadows"y. How do you expect to make communicate your agenda for prosperity and growth without exposing yourselves in the act? Political plants?]

We will expose ourselves. Some of us, anyway. It use to be the case as little as 50 years ago that this could lead to systemic eradication, but we can't be beat at this point.

[Did you read the supposed Rothschild family member who answered questions the other day?

He seemed pretty adamant that the elites will win with their plans of world government and a population void of specific culture and race. What makes you so sure that your group can thwart them?]

We will do whatever is necessary and can.

[Do you guys have members who are active faculty at major universities like Harvard, MIT, Stanford, etc.? Would they be able to find and recruit sympathetic competent anon graduate student?]

We are not unfamiliar with these institutions and are on the cutting edge of science.
[Was Julius Caesar one of you, or did you have him assassinated?]

No and no
[Also, was John Von Neumann one of you?]

We have a very disproportionate weighting in favor of mathematicians in our ranks.
[You seem to be a materialist and reject all forms of religious mysticism.]

Considering that, what do you think about the universal experience of gnosis?
Direct intuitive knowledge of God that has been experienced by religious people all across the world?

Why do you think your position in the world gives you insights into metaphysical reality?]

You haven't read all of my threads. I've stated before most of us are theists and the beneficiaries of divine intervention.
[Is Kerry one of you?]

Nope. Neither Kissinger nor Kerry.
[Can you name "famous", already known figures that are or have been part of your group?]

I'm not going to give you anyone from recent history. George Washington was one of us and the American revolution was our project.
[Are the people of the old world order the 'bad guys' and are you one of the 'good guys'?]

Whether we are bad or good depends on your own moral evaluation. You can ask what we believe, but we try to stick to objective fact rather than subjective assertion.

[How does your group distribute all of this non-public information among its members? I ask this in every HLI thread and it never gets answered.]

The entire world is separated by only 6 or 7 degrees. You can pass information organization wide (and humanity wide) in short order simply by word of mouth. We have secret languages, symbolic code, encrypted channels, and so on to expedite this process.

[Based on what you were saying before, was Jesus a member of your Group?]

Jesus was one of us, yes.
[Was Arius a member of your group?]

I'm not familiar with him.

[(Arius) I ask this because you said last time that Islam was the creation of your group and was intended to combat the Growing Romanized version of Christianity.

By my research Islam truly begins with the Arian heresy. This would then mean that Arius was most likely a member of your group and that you were actively trying to break apart the empire.

Am I understanding correctly?]

Christianity itself was our primary attack on the empire. We work primarily through ideas that are compelling enough to spread on their own merit, what you might call meme magic.

[>We strive for continual advancement, a more enlightened, wealthy, healthy, happy mankind

So, given you or your organization, what exactly do you feel is the best way forward towards the goal of a better humanity? Any particular course of action governments and individuals should work to do to help speed things along?]

We primarily focus on freeing information and promoting cooperation as rational self-interested behavior. This has far ranging consequences from consciousness itself to the methodology that allowed an emergent majority middle class in America.

[Is the following a medallion that was once used by members of your organization? (<https://ii.yuki.la/2/00/79e4ad9e557bce4de9b9189bf1ed0c3f38a61a06b284de846aff0416666f0002.png>)]

No. The symbology here is quite contrary to our beliefs.

[Also, this was posted as well. Modified version of Chi Rho.

(<https://ii.yuki.la/d/27/f380a7bdbec5270de7c87a43873ff59eddad5653023efefa19396ae9632d627d.png>)]

This one is more in line with our thinking.

[>(<https://ii.yuki.la/2/00/79e4ad9e557bce4de9b9189bf1ed0c3f38a61a06b284de846aff0416666f0002.png>)

Really? Can you expand further upon this? What exactly makes it contrary to your beliefs?

Are the two swords going through the skull intended to highlight a removal of agency? Please help me understand.

The sun and the moon seem pretty straight forward (i.e. Male / female aspects of the brain or [left / right]) with the pineal gland represented at the center by the cross. Is this accurate or did I miss the mark completely?]

The dead surrounded by the living (light) for starters. This is classic master-slave symbology of the old order

[>

<https://ii.yuki.la/d/27/f380a7bdbec5270de7c87a43873ff59eddad5653023efefa1939>

6ae9632d627d.png) This one is more in line with our thinking.

I don't quite understand difference between that and the standard Chi Rho though.
Why split the handlers below?

(<https://ii.yuki.la/9/25/a7707d2359cb165305e49b993b6c89bae53515cd2e1b138f644fcd4a97cb4259.gif>)

You had mentioned that the "sun" allows us to obtain multiple interpretations of the same input / symbol. Is the symbol / letter "P" a representative of this "sun" that lies within us?]

The ring has a more masonic flavor to it than the original, deemphasizing the cross, an inversion of power, and the obvious insertion of pillars.

[How do your colleagues feel about the kek worship that goes on here?]

Again, on the right track in a more significant way than you think. By making a game out of your awareness of "meme magic" it becomes a fun and energetic process. This sort of thing acts as a force multiplier when you are trying to push change via the 100th monkey effect.

[If one were to join your group, would they first be identified and approached by the group? How does it happen?]

Yes, we find you

[>Yes, we find you.

For what purpose would they choose to seek the assistance of an outsider? Would it not be easier to enlist from within their own?]

We have a mission to carry on through the ages which requires the best and the brightest.

[You've spoken of an old world order in a lot of your threads. You also hint to a new world order. Is this the same kind of nwo people see all over youtube and other random conspiracy theory sites? Are there multiple new world orders, like the old world order is bad, some new world orders are also bad but there is an option that is a truly good option?]

Most people are aligned with us on a moral basis. That being said, we did start things like the Illuminati that are widely regarded as the "new world order" and also believed to be bad. We don't run any sort of "illuminati" organization today; it was simply a psy-op we ran on the masonic order to make the American revolution possible. The regime that people oppose is what I refer to as the old world order.

[what then are you and your group? another part of the masonic order, disagreeing with the upper echelons?]

You could think of us as an insurgent group that knows their secrets, but really we are the ones left that hold to the original purpose of carrying on the light of consciousness, seeking to share it as widely as possible.

[Did you have to cut contact with your family when you got involved in all of this, or do you have some sort of cover story?]

No, I am not threatened by the old regime. Many of them perceive us as a threat, but they are incompetent and need to be replaced for their own good. We're less interested in them than the gap between mankind's potential and the pitiful current state of affairs.

[>High level insider

inside wat?]

We are Three

[when i see my dreams i know imperials and jews are working along , who is the third well?]

We are the joker in the night, the wildcard that carries the light

[If anyone of us who were reading these threads were to improve themselves and become an effective person, and we were to refer back to your answers as a source of finding their way along with personal development... Would you or Three possibly reach out to them(i.e. Throw breadcrumbs to see where we go)?]

Yes, but you likely won't know it; we are at a major turning point in history and have significant operations underway to guarantee that it goes well that cannot be compromised

[Will you find more time to talk with us? I feel you're a positive person with a good message in my opinion]

Yes, we will continue to make contact

[>We are the joker in the night, the wildcard that carries the light

I have heard something like this before. Weißhauptians then?

Lucifer/Lightbringer/GodIsTheJudgeTheDevillsThePersecutor? Only just found this thread (or these threads I reckon), so I don't know if you've implied before; but am I on the right track?]

We created the Illuminati. You will find interesting commentary in previous threads along these lines.

[I remember you stating that Andrew Jackson was recruited by Aaron Burr and otherwise a British crown agent.

What presidents were associated with you guys? Theodore Roosevelt?]

Washington, Madison, Kennedy.

[>Washington, Madison, Kennedy.

Not Jefferson? Not Teddy Roosevelt? Not Lincoln? All of those presidents seemed anti-British and anti-corporatist. Madison is surprising at first, but not after reading your other threads]

Jefferson we consider a conscious traitor, Roosevelt a naive puppet of foreign interests, and Lincoln a fairly good president.

[When you refer to yourself as the Three are you at all relating yourself to the Ascended Masters throughout the ages? ... Possible relation to the Triads?

>Impressed]

We have been around a long time, yes.

[When you say that "we" have been around a long time what are you referring to? The concept of Three being a force has been around for a long time or yourself, personally have existed for a long time?]

Our organization is very old.

[Will your organization reveal itself or will any others like yours do so in the near future?]

We are actively working against the old world order and setting into motion the coming transformation, a process that will only continue to accelerate.

[Who is the oldest historical member of Three you are willing to reveal?]

Plato

[Does the name of the "Three" organization you belong to have anything to do with the Christian belief of a Triune God? Three dimensions in our perception of reality?]

Three is significant in more ways than you think. (Self-reference)

[Do you approach influential people or do they come to you through various trials they themselves don't even know about?]

We screen people without their awareness of course.

[what will the role for your organization be after this big reveal?]

As to the organization's future, some will remain in the shadows, some will execute plans in the public. We have an obligation to be persistent no matter what, but also to intervene to aid your future.

[Who or what are the watchers?]

Three

[how close am I with this: >>At one point he did say that FBlanon is right that exposing all the dirt would cause a massive collapse in the country, if there wasn't at least a thin line of order to keep it from sinking.<< There are also some implied ideas behind that person's (High Level Insider) posting.

They are not terribly interested in our definitions of good or evil, they seem to be more interested in making sure there is some sort of order in the world and the current power structure fits that bill regardless of how it is achieved.

They don't seem to be opposed to the idea of us tackling corruption, but I get the sense that they might step in or otherwise dissuade us if we were to embark upon a path which would descend into the kind of chaos that would ensue should *all* CF related dirt make it into the public awareness.

Order must be preserved no matter what method is used. That might seem anathema to the idea of trying to root out corruption, but if you zoom out and take a

longer look, it might be better than the alternative; CF dirt leaks, all holy hell breaks loose across the globe and we descend into another dark age, or worse.

In light of that mentality, one can see how such people as the Sorosians would be allowed to develop as we have come to understand their network and operating principles.]

Your summary is good.

[Have there been any events in the near past that weren't sanctioned/allowed by the regime? What have they been forced to react to, whether here or abroad?]

We issued a stand down order on the Syrian intervention project shortly after Libya which was obeyed. We don't generally intervene in terms of pushing policy, only exercise veto power over some actions from time to time.

[How high does your midichlorian count have to be in order to be accepted into your foundation]

The force must be strong with you, no doubt.

[I bet you're MJ12]

More majestic than twelve.

[Are you Jesuit or allied with them?]

No

~Views~

We do not believe in Pareto philosophy.

[How are your people in the "respect for human life and dignity" area?]

We want to see life flourish.

We are not freedom ideologues, however. Suppose you could build a nuclear bomb at home for little expense - would it be prudent to allow public discussion of the means in a world filled with so many would do so?

We think not.

[Is it necessary for good to exist evil to be and i mean in a it must happen way?]

We don't believe in conducting evil as part of some rationalization along these lines, but there are those who do.

[If you are so confident in the strength of your ideas and the worthiness of your cause, why all the secrecy? Scared much?]

We don't assess society as capable of open rational discussion.

Many have died overreaching like this in the past and dark ages have resulted.

[What opinion does your group have on Evola and his work?]

We have more faith in your potential than he does.

[What's your organizations view on Christianity?]

Symbolically, highly important.

Literally? We lament the silliness.

[Does that include keeping an open mind, respecting all biological life, treating others the way you'd be treated, penalties to those who intentionally sabotage fellow man from advancing mankind as a whole?]

We're not peaceniks that believe if we all just get together and talk long enough everything will be sorted out by any means. Some people probably need to be put down.

[Why you and your organisation are thinking that the agency we would get would use it for the case of the good priests and not for some other fraction?]

There is no doubt that some people are less inclined to do good, but we view bad outcomes as largely a result of squelching dissent involved in more traditional modes of governance, rather than inherent to human nature.

[If you truly are the "good guys".

Why don't you just fucking kill every establishment person that is screwing up the world?]

We don't have any reasonable way of accomplishing that.

[Where do you stand on theory then?]

The big picture is, very roughly, that consciousness was lost in catastrophic events in the past. Evidence of civilization remained, consciousness was regained, people realized that it existed before and was lost. Mystery schools form to try to protect against that happening again but are eventually corrupted by ideas like the need for masters and slaves, therefore justifying an effort to keep most people unenlightened, unconscious, downtrodden. We're a splinter group opposed to that and seek the highest elevation of each individual.

[Do you believe in good and evil, personally?]

We don't think in terms of good and evil as much as simply clearer principles. Most people want similar things and they differ in how to get there or the clarity with which they define what they want.

[>A free society is highly vulnerable to subversion.

But isn't a closed society vulnerable to domination by its ruler? which would you pick?]

Yes. Free society.

[Does your group do any kind of coordinated investing to fund itself? Because something tells me you're not of the size where you get \$2T in black project funding thrown at you.

>Civil War is best viewed as a balkanization attempt to subvert the American revolution.

Was Lincoln on the right side then?]

We favor cohesion of the states, yes. Balkanization or failure of the great experiment isn't a good outcome.

[Will anything stop you guys from becoming the new elite and just continue to subvert us?]

We think it is in our best interests for you to flourish. Rational selfishness.

[do you think of your order as acting in the best interests of humanity or for personal gain?]

We believe in globalism in the long run, but we're not short term globalists. Your regime is attempting to implement it as a sort of race to the bottom, whereas we believe in strengthening the US nationstate rather than destroying it, then using it as a catalyst for robust domestic and then international growth.

[is your group is opposed to the jesuits and the sovereign knights of malta?]

We oppose the concealment of knowledge, which pits us in opposition to them to a large extent.

[How optimistic in general are you about the direction humanity is heading right now?]

We are incredibly pessimistic about the current state of affairs, but very confident in our ability to intervene successfully. The people of the world are awake to the fact that something is very wrong, but they don't know what direction to turn yet. We are here to give that to them.

[How is the improvement of society and individuals reconciled with the destruction of the middle class, and the continuous push towards two species?]

We do not support the destruction of the middle class or a breakaway civilization.

[you are a part of 'the regime', yes?]

No, we oppose the regime. It is full of degenerates, fools, and wickedness.

[Do you believe or know whether God exists?]

Whether yes or no, how do you know?]

Believe, yes. Know may be slightly too strong. It's too difficult to explain certain recurring phenomena otherwise, but perhaps not impossible.

[Are you a Christian? Do you believe that Jesus was the son of God? Jesus affirmed that he was and his entire story is hinges upon that...]

Our interpretation of Christianity does not resemble anything you will find in the churches.

[>certain recurring phenomena

Such as? Be vague if you like, but describe something specific.]

We feel guided by a hidden hand, divinely inspired at times. Plans laid better than our own merits might reasonably have produced on their own, always aware of crucial dangers before they become our undoing, etc.

[It seems your ideas will surely be cast in a big government, redistribution of wealth type rebuttal, which showed it has some base support at least in the US with Sanders. It was however met with pretty fierce opposition from the right, not to mention the hurdles of entrenched interests.]

As to the big government stuff, we think the government should do big things without being big. There is little justification for much of the day to day interference and lording over people, but plenty of reason that the railroads should have been built. This is any easy enough concept for the masses to grasp.

[Has your group ever found evidence of the supernatural? If so, explain.]

Most of us are theists, see old thread

[Is your ideal end-game scenario for society to have every sentient mind merge as one?

Something like this video in 1:45?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uQnmfEka3vw>]

Often people are regarded as unconscious until they can perceive the interconnectedness of minds. It is possible to interpret your statement as desiring for each individual to awake into consciousness. You can also interpret it other ways like destroying the individual and degrading them into worker bees without agency. We favor the former, whereas the regime prefers the latter.

[Why do you hate the middle class?]

We are strong advocates of revitalizing the middle class.

[How does your group feel about extramarital, nonprocreative, casual sexual intercourse between consenting adults? Is it a reasonable means to manage the sexual drive for unmarried people, or is it degenerate?]

Similar to taking cocaine. Can be fun every now and then but has consequences that should be managed in a rational way. The immorality if you want to call it that is more from poor consequence management than the deed itself.

[Is "the betterment of Humankind" on your Agenda?]

We are very much interested in technological advancement and removing economic burdens on the masses, giving them the leisure time necessary to be more ascendant individuals.

[Do you foresee a mass awakening in the next few years? A move away from the mass ignorance we see now?]

Yes, on many levels.

[>enlightened, wealthy, healthy, happy mankind

It sounds like you are describing eudaimonia. Do you have specifics about how you plan to move society in that direction? Is this why universal basic income being

floated in developed economies?]

We believe that the nationstate is an appropriate vehicle for productive, profitable mega-projects and that the fruits of these endeavors belong to the people on an approximately per capita basis.

We would not support universal income by means of taxation however.
[Alright, you sound confident through the threads I've seen you post in.]

But what happens if you guys lose (in a manner of speaking)? Are we fucked?]

We will be successful absent mankind destroying itself.
[Yes or No: Are you our friend?]

We like to think so, although ultimately that is an opinion for you to form yourself.
[How worried is Three on the current obesity problem?]

Not very
[why do you care to answer our questions?]

Because we prefer the idea of kings among kings rather than kings over plebs.
Rational self-interest
[i am genuinely interested in your opinion on genetics - you say they barely matter compared to the environment. so africa's condition is purely environmental? if we just fed the continent, they'd get smarter? i'm not drawing the genetic conclusions to be hateful, i just want to be honest about the situation so it can be addressed properly. you acknowledged your IQ earlier, is IQ determined by nutrition?]

We could go on about this forever, but TLDR we don't see scientific basis for racism. Individual variation far exceeds group variation and comparable environments produce statistically insignificant variation among groups.
[And on who's side are you on?]

We support the establishment of a new world order. Our values are similar, although more complex, to what you conceptualize as the American revolutionary spirit.
[what is your view (or your organization's view) on Christianity? I was a devout Christian for the longest time but lately I've found it very hard to believe]

We believe in what you might call esoteric Christianity. You must interpret many things symbolically rather than literally to get towards the truth.
[Are you with Knights Templar?]

We believe in some of the ideas you associate with them, such as taking up the holy fight, and put much effort towards bringing such a mindset back to western Christendom after the rise of Paul's church.
[Explain why Basic income isn't good. I know you were against it in previous threads but I don't recall seeing a full explanation on it from you. Please enlighten us.]

There is no reason to allocate the earnings of some to others, especially when you

have a competent government. However, we think that the fruits of the commons should be equally distributed to the masses.

[What are your current thoughts on Banks. What are they supposed to be like? What should regular people be doing now in regards to banks? What should we be doing in terms of money spending/saving? Any tips/secrets that you are willing to share or do we have to prove our merit by finding it ourselves?]

The banking system should be approximately what Hamilton set up.

[whats the word on Russia? when we fully integrate? they've endless potential and so much to offer joining forces is the only way forward]

We're definitely pro-Russian. Would go so far as to say the US would be well served by the addition of Russia as states 51-65 or so.

[What does Three believe regarding human homosexuality?]

I'm gay —I don't really want to be, but I just am and I make the best of it. I have a great relationship with long term potential.

Have I been altered or traumatized at birth or is this natural human occurrence I should not feel apologetic over?]

We don't view homosexuality as an inherent bad.

In the past, it was socially condemned as a threat to society which it truly was. Pigs were kept out because they harbored and spread disease, as did anal sex prior to modern science (and still, to some extent, i.e. HIV).

Homosexuality as a moral question is properly viewed in the exact same way as whether or not bacon is evil. It depends on the context and the details of the practice. Pigs are no longer a threat to the biological wellness of a community, so they are fine.

[Matthew 22: 37-40 reads: "Jesus said unto him, Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind. This is the first and great commandment. And the second is like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself. On these two commandments hang all the law and the prophets." I can see that your group honors the second as a core principle. Will you describe your obedience to the first?]

We view Jesus as a heroic figure, not only in deed but in constitution. To reach enlightenment on your own, then to insist on spreading it rather than concealing knowledge, are both separate and individually monumentally heroic deeds. This is the roadmap for the greatness that can emerge from any one of us.

[What are your thoughts on prayer to God?]

Most of us have personal experience with divine intervention. It is worthwhile, if only as a meditation schema though.

[Do you have anything to do with the show "Westworld"? I'm asking because I bought the book "The Origin of Consciousness in the Break Down of the Bicameral Mind", and I'm continuing to watch that shitty show because it references this idea.

The last episode is even called "The Bicameral Mind". Any thoughts on this?]

Many of us are fans and supporters of Michael Crichton's work.
[do you like to play dominoes on cheese pizza?]

We do not condone child abuse.

[Also, does race have any impact? If viewed from a big perspective, and a group collective, separate the races, will they built different cultures?

The only reason i'm racist, is because the media push it so hard, so i have to kick down every door they put up, and look behind it to find out why. Is it that bloodline is important?]

As to your racial inquiries, intragroup heterogeneity is much, much larger than intergroup heterogeneity. In this sense, it's not a particularly useful construct.

If you really want to pursue questions like this, you'll find that intergroup heterogeneity is often driven by intent and ideas moreso than biological accident. For example, think of the selection mechanisms in place during the migration from Europe to America - only particular subsets of the population left: the more adventurous, rebellious, independent, risk-prone people. There's a far more significant difference in early American immigrants as compared to those who remained in Europe than say between whites and asians or blacks.

[What are your thoughts on race i mean do you think black, white, asian, indian people are genetically the same?]

There are some differences but not so much correlated with skin color.

One of the great genetic separations happened as a result of the migration from Europe to America. The US population was highly cultivated in favor of more rebellious, adventurous, enterprising people. The difference between American whites and European whites, at least earlier on in the history of the USA, is much bigger than differences between different skin colors.

[What is your stance on multicultural society?]

Multicultural society in terms of actual multiple cultures isn't something that can really work. In terms of a mix of ethnicities, it's OK as long as you have significant homogenization in terms of good cultural mores. In practice, this doesn't happen and your regimes don't want it to happen - one of their tools to promote strategies of tension.

[Are all races created equal?]

We believe in individuals, not skin colors.

~Goals~

My purpose is to turn you into a more potent person. You express what you lack through your questions and I fill the gap.

It is our sincere wish that your impotent rage becomes potent action.

[At what point can you guys declare "checkmate?"]

It's hard to see such an eventuality at this point.

[Putting yourself in /pol/'s shoes, are you the bad guys, or the good guys?]

We are on your side, but not everyone is.

[Why exactly do you need us, then? If your "group" some high tier secret society shit can't alone win this "war" I question how powerful and capable you guys really are if you're relying on some shitposters for the vanguard.]

We don't need you. We want you.

[Why do you want us?]

Self-interest. It's not a zero sum world.

Consider the tale of the emperor who has no clothes.

When everyone fails to point out that the emperor is naked, new generations arise that can no longer distinguish between the states of being clothed or naked.

You've reached a point where even your leaders don't know what they are doing anymore.

We are here to bring you back to sanity.

[So the "Illuminati's" intellectual concerns primarily have to do with governance?]

We're concerned with permanence, not so much governance. Governance is a derivative concern of permanence.

[How do your goals differ from those of your opponents? Do you have opponents?]

There are a couple of factions in the world that have always believed in preserving a knowledge gap. We are opposed to that.

[Of what import is material wealth to you?]

We don't diminish the value of material enjoyment, and of course you need some minimum material wealth to sustain your life, provide time to think, grow, etc. It matters a lot.

[How would the world differ for the average person if you accomplish everything you want?]

We don't have an "end game" per se.

[Also... When you say "preservation of a knowledge gap" and that you oppose it, how big is the gap? And by "oppose", do you mean simply not getting in the way of people seeking that information, not actively preserving the gap, making the info available to some or all who seek it, or actively disseminating it?]

We try to actively disseminate both specific knowledge and good guidance.

[What would you hope to accomplish by posting here?]

We want you to become us.

[Well if I don't need you once I've gotten power, and you won't help me when I don't, then what good are you to me? Do you just want more powerful people in the world?]

We are pointing you in the right direction.

[So as far as I get it your true goal is to try and preserve (or maybe even advance) civilization, sanity and enlightenment so we can avoid or at least soften the cyclical periods of collapse and literal madness orgies that have repeatedly happen through the true history because we are slowly approaching the point of no return for the next one?]

Very good description

[So how would you describe your ideal society? does it include the concept of democracy?, free market trade? or alternatives?]

We generally try to promote both order and individual agency, although these two are conflicting to some degree. It's a difficult balancing act and prudent action can change over time.

[Why are you here? Do you want us to realize something?]

Yes

[>You need a broad and deep comprehension of the world if you want to change the way it operates.

topkek

Imagine a group of chimps trying to comprehend the purpose and operation of something like an airplane, they have don't even have an understanding of the base principles under which the machine operates.

We're a little further along than the million chimps on a million typewriters though, aren't we?

You're nudging us in specific directions and the reasons for that are so that we can understand what we are up against so that we can better devise strategies to affect the changes we'd like to see.

Close?]

Yes

[>We're both concerned about the potential for material decline in the state of society today as well as assessing significant and novel opportunity for tremendous improvement.

This sounds like your group assesses that humanity as a whole is presently at a cross roads and that the decisions we make and actions we take right now will have significant long term effects on human history.

We can descend into the chaos and miasma that our assumptions about black team revolve around, or we can reverse the downward trend and begin a climb that has

been arrested or diverted by black team and their ilk.

And by using the force multipliers you spoke of in regards to the arsenal of ideas is how a very few number of very interested and intelligent people can throw a monkey wrench into the machinations of black team.

Right track?]

Very good.

[Why dont you use your influence to shift the narrative and public opinion about (((diversity)))?]

As a law student and fellow sculptor how do i get in contact with you guys?]

We're trying to get you outside of the Overton window that allows these types of strategies of tension to be viable.

[Any particular reason you started with the /cfg/ thread for questions?]

We like what you are doing and want to help.

[in the last thread you touched on an aversion to the middle class as a source of potential dissidents]

youve also stated that median incomes could reach 500k/yr within the next 10 years or so

elimination of the middle class isnt in the cards as youve said

is the goal to make everyone so comfy af that they would never dream of dissent?

or is the goal a hyperinflation scenario where yes everyone makes half a mil but uses it to keep the stove warm at night?

people with little to no resources would be less of a threat than an affluent one with time on its hands, no?]

Elimination of the middle class isn't one of our goals.

Your regime would very much like to see this.

[Do you and your opposition have the same or similar ultimate goal, but differ drastically on the processes of achieving it?]

No, we have different goals as well as methods

[Is your organisation charitable? Is it for the well being of the people, or for personal gain and enlightenment?]

What kind of things will catch your organisation's eye towards me?]

We're interested in improving mankind. You should share similar principles and have the capacity to contribute to this effort.

[When you say "improving mankind" do you REALLY mean remove all non-jews in power and replace with glorious master race?]

No

[what's your motive? what seeds do you hope to plant here?]

We'd like to give you a more sound framework for comprehending the world and becoming agents of change within it. Beyond that, your questions determine what seeds get planted.

[Then stop being a pussy and give us something real, even if it could potentially be traced back to you.]

Our goal is to empower you, not give you another source of power to gaze upon. The message is more important than the messenger.

[Alright then, what's your groups end goal?]

We don't have "end goals." We just want a more knowledgeable, productive, enlightened society.

[>We will expand your Overton window on this front by laying out a tangibly better alternative; once this is in the minds of the masses, revolution is inevitable.

Are you able to give an ETA for this?]

Within the year.

[>We will expand your Overton window on this front by laying out a tangibly better alternative; once this is in the minds of the masses, revolution is inevitable.

How are you going to disseminate the info? And any reason you've been waiting to intervene?]

We are going to focus on propagandizing the potential of some major infrastructure projects. You can see how the public imagination is captivated by SpaceX or similar. We'll channel that energy but into productive enterprise rather than nonsense like visiting Mars while hundreds of millions starve.

We have a large set of proposals that will deliver 20% GDP growth for 20+ years which is roughly equivalent to bringing world per capita GDP to \$1 million per year. When people can sense this possibility they will be more effectively convinced of the wickedness of the regime than any amount of talk about 9/11 or equivalent will ever do.

[What is your endgame goal?]

We just want to see society continually and aggressively improve as a whole and people as individuals. Kinder more cooperative people that live longer lives with greater pleasure and purpose.

[If you have insider information why are you posting it on /pol/ of all possible places?]

We are creating the hundredth monkey.

[When you reach your hundredth monkey, how we will know it is your group that has succeeded?]

We are committed to the success of our ideas rather than the installment of a member of ours in a particular position.

[What are some of the goals of your regime? You have said mutual destruction, but I'd also like to know what motivation you have for this and other goals.]

We don't have end goals. We just want to aggressively pursue progress in terms of increasing education, enlightenment, leisure time, pleasure, cooperation, expanding imaginations and pushing scientific progress.

[When are your nouveau Federalist Papers being published?]

It is an ongoing process, for example, right now.

[>It is an ongoing process, for example, right now.

Do you mean that this thread is serving that purpose? Or do you mean they're being written right now and will be published elsewhere?]

Yes and yes.

[Do you want to take down the US government?]

The faction controlling it, yes.

[What's the plan after you remove (((those who've been in power)))?]

We have a wide variety of ambitions that have been stated in past threads. There is no "end point" goal. We strive for continual advancement, a more enlightened, wealthy, healthy, happy mankind. Feel free to ask specifics.

[(Taking the controlling faction of the government) what do you get out of it? You fuck us over and you will be swinging from your neck too]

We believe that the organizing principles and ambitions of the old order are not only wrong but unsustainable. It needs to be overthrown not only for your own good, but ours and theirs as well.

[Are you working towards helping Korea? Possibly implanting one of your own?]

We are active around the world but primarily focused on US regime change

[When are you publishing your book about infrastructure?]

Depends in large part on how events develop over the next few months. If the Trump administration wants to do good we will guide them along the way piecemeal. Otherwise, we will deal a deathblow to the alternative media and the administration by putting forth a clear alternative.

[What are your organizations feelings towards the end end game of a hivemind consisting of all of humanities brains connected. Some work has been done with rats. If the brains are linked in a certain way the rats seem to act as if they are one being.]

There is no length we will not go to defend individual agency.

[Will you be attempting to keep the world in control while the current system fails?]

Yes, we are prepared to intervene.

~2024 Election Candidate~

[You may have answered this already, but are there any plans from the 'movers and shakers' of the world to assist humanity on the path of good governance? Or is it basically up to the individual to get his/her shit together and improve the world one person at a time? Could you enlighten us on some of the paths we could take to get there?]

We're considering inserting a candidate into US politics in the near future. It's useful in that our candidate won't be vulnerable to being JFK'ed but that only gets you so far. We also need to cultivate a more aware and participatory society. It will take a lot of hard work and smart people to turn things around.

[>It's useful in that our candidate won't be vulnerable to being JFK'ed but that only gets you so far

I'll bite, why wouldn't your candidate be waxable?]

We are not victims of the illusion of civil society and accept the law of the jungle.

[What would such a candidate's policy look like? Specifically with regards to firearms, free speech, drugs, taxation and privacy.]

We're in favor individual agency and that includes weaponization, as well as free speech, OK with but not necessarily supports of drug use, like the right to privacy, and will eliminate direct taxes but retain indirect ones.

[Would a more transparent government help or hinder your overall goals, and why?]

We're generally support of transparency in government, but there is legitimate room for secrecy. For example, classification of military strategy or weapons.

[Also, what specific things are you working on to bring forth this kind of progress? Any problems you are trying to solve that you'd like second opinions on? Any specific recommendations of something to start on? I suppose that we all have unique strengths so that may be something we must answer ourselves.]

We're strongly considering inserting a candidate into US presidential elections within 10 years.

The best thing you can do to help is first cultivate your own potential and then share that with others. Think about how to make the world a better place, not just the ways it is bad already.

[Who will be the next president after Shillary gets elected?]

We are most likely going to offer you a candidate in 2024. You won't get any significant change before then.

[If Hillary were to win, how detrimental would this be to your plans to introduce a candidate in 2024, considering the massive influx of immigrants and refugees she

promises?

Also, which one would be more beneficial to both us and your goals, Hillary or Trump?]

It's a wash.

On the one hand, our rhetoric might superficially resemble Trump's and, if elected, he is going to do significant damage to the public's readiness to accept that because a major financial crisis will follow that is to be blamed on him. That's not a major problem because we have no problem branding to fit the political climate and then going about with our agenda regardless of the relationship it bears to the campaign.

On the other hand, we're almost polar opposites of the type of people Hillary represents. Continued migration, identity politics, fracturing of the discourse, etc., are not helpful, but we don't judge another eight years of it to be fatal to our effort. [If your plans go well, how long will it take to achieve relative transparency and honesty in the ruling of the US?]

You'll get a radically transformed (for the better) society within the next 10-15 years.

We can double your GDP every 3-4 years for a few decades. The first doubling cycle will set in motion a wave that can't be stopped, as the Overton window will be shattered for good.

[On a somewhat-related topic, in past threads you (I think it was you -- apologies if not) mentioned something about the elites trying to preserve the legitimacy of the government. I feel that both state and federal governments have lost legitimacy and I'm sure I'm not alone.

But what can be done about it? The elites greatly want to preserve their rule and have the full resources of the state to maintain it. Thanks to our ever watchful Three Letter Agencies, it's impossible to organize any kind of real uprising without being infiltrated and neutralized beforehand. The scale required for a successful revolution is not something that can be organized offline and it, too, would be susceptible to infiltration by our TLA friends, although it would take more effort.]

We're going to insert a candidate into US politics for the 2024 election cycle at the latest that is in no danger of being JFK'd, but might act sooner. Change is coming. [Project Mayhem 2020: real initiative or LARPing bullshit?]

We're targeting the 2024 cycle unless a pressing need to intervene in a more dramatic fashion develops.

[How can I get the chance to work for you (not directly, of course)?]

Do you pay people for sabotage/ assassination/ intimidation, etc...?]

We're pretty closed off in that respect for now, but likely to run a presidential

campaign for the '24 cycle in which case many many people will be brought on board in an indirect fashion.

[What's the outlook of the next president after Trump being Bernie Sanders on steroids? Any setback against the progressive agenda will be fought back against, as events of the last few years have already shown.]

We plan to take the WH in '24. I've spoken extensively about our politics but you can ask more questions if you want.

[In a previous thread you mentioned inserting a candidate into a future election. Is this still the plan and what election cycle do you think this would happen? 2020...mid-terms through Congress for 2024?]

Yes, probably 2024

[Alright, so then how do people who want to help you guys fight the old order send a signal?]

We will be inserting a presidential candidate into the '24 US cycle and bringing many of you on board through that process. Just around the corner.

[>how aggressive and widespread prosecution will be over the next few years.

Every single complicit player must be reviewed, or the rot will continue to fester (though it may take decades for it to re-establish fully)

Every single one.

Yes, I understand what this means.]

We will take care of the rot in 8 years. A few examples being made in the meantime has its merits though.

[If someone felt they wanted to help the world and were willing to do whatever action to do this, how would they try to join your organisation? I know you can't give too much away but could you please provide me some information? This is not for my sake but the world's. Thank you.]

We will have public avenues available well before our presidential campaign for 2024. Our organization is robust, offensively capable, and well-defended already. The current evolving phase is bringing the masses into the fold with a head that cannot be chopped off.

[Are these families aware of you? What are they doing to try and stop you? (Warberg, Marlborough, Rothschild)]

Yes. I'd assess their chances of stopping us at 0%, although that hasn't always been true. We've had plenty of people assassinated in the past among other extreme measures.

[You stated] JFK was part of your organisation and that you will be inserting a candidate 2024 election cycle. Why was your organisation unable to protect him and how are you sure the same fate won't be met by your next candidate?]

I can't comment on our methods in too much detail.

[Do you plan to remove fluoride from water supplies in the future?]

Yes, there is no reason for fluoride to be in the water.

[Have you already chosen this "2024 candidate" and are they aware? Are they someone already in the public eye or still unknown?]

Yes, unknown

[Is your organization considering any methods for truly allowing up free-speech and combatting forum-sliding techniques and infiltration from paid spammers?]

We have the ability to speak freely. Increasingly, others will attempt to imitate this and the Overton window will expand rapidly.

In more concrete terms, we will certainly put an end to a variety of anti-free speech tactics employed by the regime, from astroturfing to false imprisonment, gang stalking, assassination, etc.

[What do you plan to do about the central banks? Who do you want to have control over the contraction & inflation of the money supply? And have you considered bringing back the Talley Stick System or a silver-backed currency to keep power out of the hands of the few?]

It is more important what the central bank does than who does it. The central bank should be used as a credit facility to ensure that productive investment always goes forward. They have a further role in measuring economic output and increasing money supply in a commensurate way to keep the exchange value of the currency relatively flat.

[What does your organisation think about the central banks/Federal Reserve? Will the world ever take off the debt chains from these cabal banksters?]

We are opposed to usury, but not central banks. The debt pyramid schemes will be brought to an end.

[HLI said: "We are inclined towards socialization of novel input resources while leaving most of the existing way things work untouched, including existing ownership claims." Does it mean that the "bad" elites owning vast assets (land, infrastructure etc.) at present will be able / allowed to keep them in future so nothing much will change, there will still be some "royal families" with more power?]

Those who have ill begotten wealth will not be wealthy in short order. We will cut off fraud (national bank largess, etc.) and they will thrive or shrivel on their merits like everyone else. We have no problem with court cases going forward in cases of fraud, theft, etc., but when you can drive well in excess of 20% annual GDP growth the current accumulated wealth rapidly becomes a drop in the bucket compared to what is created every year.

[Why not simply hire a team, and build the space elevator yourselves, three? I am excited to be a part of the energy behind this concept, but it seems redundant to me. Why bring the unwashed into the process? Why not simply show how it can be done, and then build it with failsafes. Elegant failsafes that preclude, constrain and disincentivize corrupting the new tech... If we are taught how to use it properly, and there are negative consequences if we don't, surely we would experience a positive

outcome?

Or will we not appreciate it if it is simply handed to us?]

You will have a US president do it within the decade one way or another.

[Has the player you talked about putting in play for the next (or later) presidential elections - already begun gaining attention?]

It looks like intervention will come sooner rather than later given acceleration of troubles on a variety of fronts.

[How will we have a "nation state" at all with open borders, etc.? Seems like globalism is already here and quite entrenched. This is why we're voting Trump and civil war will follow shortly if anything goes wrong.]

I've already stated our opposition to open borders in the short to medium term.

[What are your thoughts of wiki leaks, dnc leaks, or guccifer leaks?]

We are highly supportive of transparency in government.

~Misc.~

[Power isn't the problem, it's who has it. We don't like (((you))))]

You can have it if you become capable of using it.

Since you're American, is it not possible for you and your fellow Americans to stop buying foreign goods? Do you not have the resources to be a self-sufficient nation? Could you not go back to the set of behaviors that allowed general increasing prosperity in the past?

In theory, yes. In practice, you do not govern yourselves and act against your own self-interest.

This is why you need us.

[Why is it you say chaos and french style revolution isn't your goal when every single post you're making only makes the case for a global reset and the systematic and total removal of (((YOU))) more convincing? I recommend you stop before you activate something you don't want to.]

You are not capable of your fantasy.

If you were a potent actor, you wouldn't need us.

[I have moved towards self-sufficiency all my life. Now that I think about it, it's not even conscious. Efficiency in this time-driven world plays a large part now that we are self-sufficient, but there is still a whole world of skill I should know under the pretense of potential what-if scenarios. So, with that being said, it already is in practice in my head, which mean in theory it works and I know it does. So, the real question would be why doesn't it work in America currently? It used to. Wild West is a prime example of true Anarcho-capitalism working or collectivism or whatever you want to make it.]

The masses do not see beyond their nose, so we do it for them.

[You will not break our love for our country with money. Bankers have necks and are capable of being hanged. You push the plebs too hard and we will simply eliminate you. You'll be rich in your coffin.]

We are not interested in breaking you. You are breaking yourself.

[I'll bet. You guys want to kill us off and the current rules favor you and your security at our expense. For lots of us, this will be the final political effort we will make. If that is unsuccessful, you would do well to flee from this place.]

You pose no threat to us.

If we can make rules, why can't you?

Once you can make rules, you've become one of us.

As to the NAU (North American Union), we don't care about "one world government" so to speak. Multiple governments that can be controlled or one government that can be controlled is functionally equivalent as far as we are concerned.

[What do you stand for? No, that's too vague. Why do you guys think all this secrecy and manipulation is justified? What virtue do you uphold?]

You've partially answered this on your own. Look at the quality of your educational institutions.

If you think the world is a dark place now, imagine if that was the best we actually have.

[Are there mysteries that the "Illuminati" still has not figured out about the nature of reality?]

Yes.

[Wait but you said that once you get big enough they'll blackmail threaten or kill you]

You don't live in the 19th or even 20th century. Power is usually not derived from numbers or size.

[Are you talking about blackmailing people?]

That's an option, but that is how your current regime works. Do you want something better, or new boss meet old boss?

[How do you and your group feel about bitcoin and decentralization?]

The biggest opportunities you have are at large scales, not small ones.

[How highly do you/your organization value going to college/university?]

The most useful thing you will get from formal education is observing its effects on other people.

[All the information you've given so far is incredibly vague, what do you expect us to make of that? You tell us knowledge is important, but you don't even tell us where to start. You say individuals can be actors on the geopolitical stage, but to do

so would firstly require extensive sophistication with information technology, and you could have said so, but you opted not to. Please respond to this but give SPECIFIC information that actually means something.]

Gave you an example of how you might knock out the power grid. We don't consider it prudent to spell this out completely for you. If you can figure out your own techniques, that in itself is a demonstration of your character and personal responsibility, and therefore to a large extent a justification for you possessing such power.

[(Images of the Question Sessions) Are the images some kind of puzzle or out of any context?]

The images contribute to the overall message.

You should learn to say multiple angles at once. Symbolism is an induction into this skill.

[Is Jesus Your Savior?]

Many people have described our philosophy as resembling Christianity significantly, although the terminology isn't well-defined. As a highly symbolic system, there are multiple interpretations and a simple "yes/no" answer can mean many different things. There isn't any real information content to me offering you such an answer in other words.

[Is weed (and its legalization in select states) used to control and suppress the masses easily or does it actually help?]

We don't have any problem with weed. Some people use it as a beneficial medicine or even psychedelic while others are more like addicts running from something or dependent for normal functioning. It's potentially good or bad depending on how you use it.

[Do you see family as an important foundation of a successful and joyful life what are your stances on having children giving your gift to another human being. What is your stance on sexuality? Do you consider all this ballast?]

Obviously you need a number of healthy stable families to produce the next generation. At the same time, it's very consuming of your attention and can inhibit personal growth. Some of us have families, others not.

[Do you consider sexual liberation as a negative subversion to a healthy society? Same with LGBT++++?]

One of the big problems with sexual liberation movement is that very few people bond as individuals. We've largely been dependent on societal mores and biological induction of pair bonding to maintain stable families, but we tossed all that out of the window without compensating and there are serious widespread consequences.

[>No one is disqualified from our organization for reasons outside their control like gender, but some people simply don't have the genetic potential given current technology, i.e., a person with Down's syndrome.

What about autism? Current technology make it an advantage, but a new middle age could make it an inconvenience.]

Social phenomena are highly important to properly comprehending the world. You wouldn't simultaneously think of someone as functionally autistic and also very aware.

Slightly weaker than normal social intuition that is more than compensated by keen intellect can work.

[>US regime wants Mexico to remain as a failed state so that it can use it to exert pressure on US domestic population. No reason for this until our regime is ousted.

I suppose it would be naive to think a regime change couldn't happen here.

How soon? What would the circumstances be?]

All you need is a presidential candidate that can survive assassination.

[>We're in the process of putting together something like the federalist papers - about to start a major propaganda effort to radically transform your regime.

Can you elaborate on this?]

If I could wave a magic wand and give you dictatorial powers, what would you do with them to make the world a better place?

This sort of question (even posed much moderately than the opening) will only illicit blank stares from the masses. They have no idea how to do better than what they are given, so no impetus to challenge the regime even though they are dissatisfied with it.

We will expand your Overton window on this front by laying out a tangibly better alternative; once this is in the minds of the masses, revolution is inevitable.

[What is the biggest risk to you reaching your goals?]

The regime opts for mutual destruction rather than us improving the state of affairs.

[you behind any of this alt right shit going mainstream?]

We put an end to lolbertardianism and persuaded the "alt right" to switch to economic nationalism instead which gave it potency that didn't exist before.

[Idk if you already answered this already but what is the "regime" that you oppose? Who does it consist of?]

Those who wish to rule from the shadows, conceal knowledge for their own enrichment.

[but if trump wins, will your association still approach him with ur goals?]

We already have. He has indicted possible receptiveness, but we remain unconvinced of the sincerity.

[Are you aware that you're playing your part under Divine Law or does your group have plans and goals "of your own"?]

You are just making stuff up / going with faery tales now. Divine law (must disclose

before acting) is a myth promulgated by cointelpro people as one of those ways of explaining their mysterious ability to persist despite "telling the truth."

[I'm curious, and I don't know to what capacity you could answer this, but how do you have access to this kind of information, and what do you get out of sharing it on a japanese image board? Personal satisfaction, altruism?]

What you guys refer to as meme magic, I have always thought of as the 100th monkey effect. Truth resonates and grabs a foothold, solidifies, then spreads like wildfire. This is one of a few great forums for that.

[>most of us are theists and the beneficiaries of divine intervention

Can you be more specific about how you know you are the beneficiaries of divine intervention? Does it take the form of knowledge or miraculous occurrences in the physical world? How do you know it's not just confirmation bias? Is it available to all or to a select few?]

Better insight than even a very broad appreciation of the power of the mind would produce. Similar to how you dream of a person's death before it happens, but with more significance. The possibility exists that it is confirmation bias but often this is taken as more likely by younger folks while less so as you age and see more and more.

[To gauge your intentions: What is truth?]

Agency will never be extinguished

[I've asked twice now, once here and once in a much earlier thread, whether or not "BLIND, DEAD, GOD'S ENEMY" means anything to you. This is something I came across while researching things you had said in your first threads. I'd really appreciate an answer, but if you pass over my question a third time I will assume that it must be intentional and I will not ask again.]

Yes. I've spoken about this topic in previous threads. For example, freemasons consider the blind to be dead and therefore abuse of them not sinful; we don't share this philosophy. Rather, we think of those who employ lampshades as god's enemy.

[Has there been anything that has caught your attention since your 9/11 Question Session?]

Yes. We appreciate the appreciation of our open question sessions and encourage more direct participation, especially by those who have expressed such and perceive problems. We have more answers than they expect, and these are always on offer.

[Is Three planning to get the public pumped up about possible ventures to force Trump to make better decisions in your favor?]

We think he needs to learn from his mistakes a bit before he'll be ready to do big things, but we have the capability to turn around a faltering presidency and will provide it when he is ready to accept.

[When will we see Three's Federalist papers? Could you give us an estimate of the earliest and latest time that it will be done so that can view it?]

We are going to give the new administration some time to develop and go from

there. You can expect an even more major influence on the dialogue by the next election and a dominating influence on the subsequent one.

[do you think racial identity is irrelevant in general? the way you talk it sounds like you can envision a utopia where people really wouldn't see color. but to me right now it does feel like jews tend to be sinister (or at least work more actively against the interests of humanity), africans are retarded, muslims are inbred, etc. is this important or am i just being an ignorant cynical fag?]

There are vast differences around the world in realized potential, but very minimal in terms of genetic potential.

For example, you wouldn't expect the billion African babies with protein deficient diets and therefore sharply reduced IQs to produce many of the future great minds of tomorrow.

However, almost the entirety of difference is environmental. Unsophisticated analysis of the situation could easily lead one to incorrect racial assumptions, but these conclusions are the domain of limited minds seeking to imagine even more limited minds to feel make themselves feel better.

In this vein, we support temporary anti-immigration policies because something Trump says is right: the best are not the ones flooding over. You are dealing with desperate, uneducated, impoverished, poorly educated people in an environment where the existing public is barely treading water if that.

[Why are you doing this? Doesn't sharing information freely and without care for consequences go against the culture and mores of the upper class?]

Most of them, yes. We don't agree with their worldview.

[Into what are you an insider of, and im curious, who is part of the as you call it old world order, and who is part of the new world order?]

The new world order is basically the ambitions embodied in the American revolution. The old world order is comprised of bankers, nobility, secret societies which seek to conceal information and feed upon the downtrodden masses.

[Did your organization seed Kek on /pol/ and has your organization done anything to imageboards outside of these threads?

are there any secrets about imageboards that even the oldest and autistic of oldfags wouldn't know?]

Meme magic is real. If you want to explore it in a more rigorous way, look up the 100th monkey effect or read The Origins of Consciousness by Jaynes.

[>Meme magic is real. If you want to explore it in a more rigorous way, look up the 100th monkey effect or read The Origins of Consciousness by Jaynes.

I know it's real i'm asking if your organization revealed it to us. Seeing as you guys specialize in seeding ideas of merit that naturally propagate it fits your MO to a tee.]

Yes

[I noticed that Julian Jayne's work is used in the plot of the HBO show Westworld. Are Christopher Nolan and Lisa Joy familiar with your order (I believe you referred to it as "Three?") or is it simply a coincidence that they are introducing his theory of the bicameral mind to a new audience?]

I'm not going to answer questions of type one, at least as far as living people goes. [Why do you use "your government"? Is that a sign of attaining agency (i.e. you don't feel control by them hence they are not "my" government?)]

Yes

[Is Three like the families running the world (Warberg, Marlborough, Rothschild) - except you are good?]

I don't know in what sense you are inferring likeness.

[Why not target them directly? Getting consciousness rising in population is great, but can't you just cut off the head of the snake? Target them at their private British schools? Direct cull of their offspring... Kill their perceived "royal" lineage.]

We're not really anti-them, but rather pro-other things that happen to not be compatible with the way they are running the world, but that doesn't mean what we believe in is incompatible with them being in the world.

[so, would you have preferred Hillary Clinton win the presidency? Was Trump's victory beneficial or harmful to your cause?]

Doesn't affect us either way really.

[>This is all backwards. The national banks were hated by the old world order. Andrew Jackson, Burr, Jefferson, and the like were all traitors.

That's definitely an unorthodox position. So you think central banking isn't necessarily corrupt/malevolent?]

The issue here is the nature of the central banking. The national banks were basically infrastructure banks. They invested in roads, canals, farmers. The reserve bank is a banker's bank, which doesn't do anyone any good. The national bank made bankers less relevant, whereas the reserve bank preserves bankers at the expense of those who actually produce. Entirely different conceptions.

[Do you think Trump would oppose you or your organizations goals in any way?]

Yes, but he would be better off asking for our help.

He would like a legacy as an economic powerhouse with 4-5% GDP growth, but he knows that we are coming soon and going to deliver 20%+ growth.

Absent our existence and rise to power, he would have a legacy as a champion of the middle class. Put into the context of our much greater success, he might seem like a charlatan of little note.

However, we'd be happy to implement robust growth today. It is a conundrum of his choosing.

[Why are you hanging out on /pol/ telling us all this stuff?]

We are changing your expectations and therefore your future.

We are not concerned about individuals within our organization seizing power. Instead, we are concerned with the ideas seizing power.

If Trump wants to follow our suggestions to deliver 20%+ growth every year, great, go ahead, bask in the praise it will receive. That is still a victory for mankind, which is what we seek.

[I want to believe in a good guys globalist group]

We certainly like to think we are "good" but really that is up to you to decide.

[Is there anything you would recommend as literature for anyone looking for information as to your organization (please, you don't need to name it at all) or any other groups in power to better understand your and their cause and purpose?]

You should be less interested in who we are and more interested in what we say.

[Why did you say 'your future'? Are you not one of us?]

Changing your future changes our future. We are all in this together.

[If all knowledge should be free, what is the Three's opinion on patent laws?]

Nothing wrong with patent laws. They were invented to encourage the placement of knowledge in the public domain (in exchange for a period of exclusive rights). There are problems with copyright being extended indefinitely (Disney famously buys this privilege from Congress on a regular basis) but in principle it is fine.

[Was Shakespeare related to the activities of Three?]

This line of questioning is not fruitful. Focus on the message, not the messengers.

[Did Three have any influence in The Kybalion?]

The questions asking to identify are not going to go anywhere.

[HLI- you must understand how difficult it is for those of us who are cynical enough to disputed the accepted narrative, to fully trust an individual making the claims you do. We've heard iterations of it before and found the source wanting every time. As concisely as your position permits, please explain why this time is any different?

A lifelong dreamer turned Cynic.]

If you think back on all the nonsense you have ever read, none of it ever offered you a way out.

You have one in the space elevator alone. You have seen the rise of the middle class in one nation threaten the global order to such an extreme degree that the CIA went on a killing spree across America, rounding up others into institutions, restricting job access, and so on. They are on the brink of failure from only modest economic success. It isn't so hard to see that unleashing decades of unused technological progress would not only end them but leave you with a radically better world.

And, all of this is verifiable. Crack open some textbooks, learn the physical principles, and see for yourself.

[information is power. you have access to much more information than we. why have you thus been unable to affect discernible change?]

We are already causing change. You see a discussion of a way forward, which did not exist before. This in itself is extraordinarily disruptive and has your regime trembling.

[Are you responsible for any of the Mandela effects?]

No, but such things are very powerful indicators that reality is stranger than fiction, right? There is much to be learned with respect to the interconnectedness of minds and the working of consciousness of world altering significance.

[Are you related to the Underground Church]

We are much like an underground church.

[Does Three communicate via coherence and what if I hear that channel? Do I join the conversation?]

Yes, but not exclusively.

[Are you, for lack of a better term, a race realist? Do you agree with the majority here on racial IQ and the 'ceilings' that different cultures have in terms of advancement, and does that affect your plans for the future?]

If you actually had any basis for these claims, you wouldn't feel the need to try to slip in the (fake) appeal to authority: 'agree with the majority here.'

That being said, there are vast differences in realized potential largely due to variations in malnutrition, educational access, and even culture as well as cohort differences, albeit far, far smaller than individual variation

[Does achieving conscious coherence and agency automatically make you a member of THREE?]

No, there is a formal organization

[You're a Marxist. You only wish to strengthen America in order to accelerate and solidify opposition to her.

I can see right through this shit.]

No, we are most definitely not Marxists. Marx and his ideology are products of British intelligence, one of the most evil modern influences.

[Do you feel like they are going to be able to retain control, or does it look more likely that they are going to do something rash and lose it?]

They have already lost. The open questions are things like how much violence will be involved in their surrender.

[Are all the big brand universities under regime control or are some more sympathetic to the goals of Three?]

Our tentacles reach far but we don't control the financial system or the government or its institutions like major universities.

[Are you or your organization related to this BadSelfEater shit?]

Nope, we didn't do that

[>Nope, we didn't do that

Do you know who or what is driving it?]

It is a response to our recent outreach programs. Read Matthew 24 and the last Question Session.

[Is the BadSelfEater tied to Cicada?

Is BSE tied to the recent NSA hack and auction?]

Read my last question session and then Matthew 24

[I didn't see any info on badselfeater on your old threads...]

It is a response to the last question session towards the end of August. Read them and you will see.

[>Germany has the potential to be crucial to great changes going forward if the fire can be brought back into their lives in a good way, i.e., Leibniz conspiring with the Scottish and Irish against the British Crown and in support of the American Enterprise.

Suppose I were to go about trying just that - how would I know Three approve(s)?]

We are not here to control you, you do not need our approval to do good.

[Is Pope Francis an "Illuminati" plant?]

He is not one of us.

.....
.....
.....

High Level Insider (Writer)

~HLI Misc.~

[What is your race/ religion?]

Caucasian.

[What is your IQ?]

Sufficiently far from the mean that the number no longer means anything - several standard deviations out.

[Are you doing the right thing in your opinion?]

Honestly not certain. But doubt is probably a good thing.

[How many miles deep is the location where you work?]

I prefer high elevation environments.

[What are your most important values?]

Caution, Imagination, Achievement

[In your opinion, what is the ideal role of the artist in society? Do you think artists play an important role in society right now?]

A cry in the night.(book)

[How old were you when you were approached by this secret society?]

Young child

[How old are you now?]

Significantly into adulthood

[Is the life you live dangerous?]

Sort of, but measured risk

[Do you risk being tortured or having your loved ones killed?]

Sort of, compensating mechanisms are in place

[How physically fit are you?]

Very healthy

[Were you instructed, or self-taught in your methods?]

Both

[How did you become a member of your group, or must you be born into it?]

Recruited.

[What's a mistake that you've made in life, that changed how you behave?]

Giving more credibility to the idea of pervasive evil than reality warrants.

[Have we made contact before ?]

No

[What is one thing that every person in your threads has been unaware of?]

Themselves

[Do you have any questions you wanna ask us? I mean, obviously you know a fuckin' lot, but I'm certain there's some sort of armor-piercing, soul-crushing question you'd like to throw to us.]

Sometimes when I hear people complain about the world, I ask them if I could give you absolute power (in the sense of policy and things like this, not turn gravity off) what would you change?

The response has always been stuttering.

[Are you the insider from GLP?

Know anything about the mysteries/occult?]

Know a lot about those topics. Ask questions if they interest you.

[I followed your threads yesterday before they got pruned. You may cant tell us blatantly the truht but you may can give us some literature which lead us in the right direction?]

We don't have a problem telling the truth. Ask away.

[why are you doing so many amas so lately?

Is something bad going to happen?]

Very many of you are ready to ascend, and the world needs that.

[Do you know anything that you wish you didn't?]

Do I know things I wish I didn't? It's hard to say the ignorant life is better not living it, but certainly a lot of knowledge is very burdensome.

[Btw you are human yes? Not some IBM watson AI? :D]

Do I pass the Turing test?

[What is your profession? You seem to have some advanced knowledge in math, history, philosophy and poltics. Did you study any of them? Ivy league? What is your intention to share any of your knowledge?]

I do a variety of things professionally and went through formal education at very elite universities.

[Sometimes i feel that my law study in some way is more negative and some kind of anti knowledge sometimes i am disgusted by studying it except the historical and philosophical part of it. It consumes a lot of time and at the end of the day it is always the same simple scholasticism as logical construct. But threads like this give me this itching that there is more. They revive me in some kind of way...thank you for that!]

It's become quite the dark art, hasn't it?

[I've been reading through the back log of these threads and wanted to ask

>why /pol/?

>do you browse any other boards?

>has the progress that has been made with /cfg/ seemed enough and is there enough time to show that the election is rigged?

>If we are successful with the /cfg/ project, what would the desired result be?

on a nother note, you seem to be pushing for a diffrent mode of thinking per se with the mental bicameralism, but what would have an active subconscious being more wide spread provide for the way of precieving the world as well as how does it impact your goals?

does Three even have an overaching goal?

These have been very intresting though, thank you.]

It's a popular forum. I do similar things other places from time to time, yes. It's not good to constrain yourself in the ways you suggest, like we must convince 80% the elections are rigged by November 1st. Incrementalism is worthwhile on its own, and the lack of perfection is not the opposite of progress.

[One of the problems I have with learning is when to act and use what I have learned. How to know when you know enough? The light of curiosity seems to keep me from doing anything, but I just keep reading and reading. A part of me romanticizes a simple life owning a mechanic shop (I'm an apprentice mechanic, have 2/3 of a music degree). Is it important to 'get to the top' or so to speak if you have very high intelligence or is it okay to live simply? What would you say to someone who has felt for a long time that they have not come anywhere close to achieving their potential? I feel like the balance of my knowledge is way off -- like I know a lot, but haven't done anything with it. Perhaps this is why you are the high-level insider and I'm the peon writing this?]

I'm still actively learning and so is everyone I hold in high esteem. Some sort of end of this process doesn't seem like a useful construct to me.

[If you had a son, 20 years old, studying mathematics and v. interested in political philosophy and computer science, what would you advise him to do at this very moment]

Make sure you are much better than mediocre, otherwise your job will an outsourced one.

[That's my feeling too; I think that at the current rate, when my domain of expertise will mature (Computer science) there will be an overflow of "technicians" (i.e not very skilled, cheap, information workers) and a very wide gap between that numerous workforce and a small group of experts (specialized and prob. holding PhDs).

What about the other questions? the books?]

Hmm. As a mathematician / CS guy, check out Wolfram's tome. Quite dense, but

deals with very, very hard problems that have large potential payoff: systems analysis, complexity, emergent phenomena, generalization of ideas.

[Are you the same person as the last 8 threads? Is it your official task to help us to ascend?]

Yes, yes.

[Average IQ of those you would consider "ascended"?

Or is IQ not a limiting factor to a degree?]

High enough that the test isn't really meaningful anymore, i.e., ~4 standard deviations above the norm.

[If the standard deviation is 15, that would require an IQ of 160+. I doubt that many of those people even exist, but perhaps I am wrong?]

You'll find about 1 in 20,000 that are hard to distinguish from the greatest minds ever, except by circumstance, work ethic, etc.

[Do you occasionally drop hints in the /cfg/ thread to provide clues for the anons to follow up on when they're close or at a seeming impasse?]

No, I just offer tips in these threads.

[whats your picture about? what are scalar fields? (whats your picture about? what are scalar fields?)]

Dampened oscillation related to the expansion of the universe - what you'd expect if it was a quantum fluctuation obeying the uncertainty principle with net energy ~0.

[What are the chances of you being whacked]

Very, very low.

[so you know your enemies/opponents in person?]

Yes, some of them.

[>Yes, some of them.

so you meet every few years, drink lafite rothschild and exchange insults?]

No

[Do you feel fulfilled by what you do?

Do you act in opposition to powerful agents because of you want progress for mankind or is it a case of "The Dangerous Game" for you?]

A little bit of both. I'm predisposed to a rebellious nature no doubt.

[Are you doing some propaganda warfare right now?]

I'm trying to change the way you think, sure.

[Do you struggle with depression?

Are you lonely?]

No, no.

[How do you emotionally/spiritually/psychologically deal with knowing the things you do?]

It can be draining

[What wont you tell us?

Besides information that could ID you or your group, are there things too sensitive or secret you wont answer?]

There are some things I won't say.

[How much more do you have to learn?]

There is much left to learn.

[Are there any secrets you'll keep that don't involve specific plans, your identity, or your group's identity?]

Yes

[I got the origin of consciousness book, interesting stuff. I'm about half way through... anything else you recommend?]

Depends on what you know already and what you would like to learn.

[Could you tell us a little bit of your lifestyle?

Exercise, food you eat and food you avoid and the reason why you avoid them?]

No health problems whatsoever, most of my food comes from small producers (although not necessarily "organic"), and I am in excellent shape.

[Do you have any insights how to improve a thyroid condition it is obvious that pharmacy wants you to swallow as much pills as they can sell`?]

I'm not in a position to give you specific medical advice without a lot of details. Find a good doctor or do the work of learning what the available related science yourself.

[Are you just another "underling" of even more enlightened or powerful people?]

I've had mentors, but don't have a boss.

[What's the relevance of the various OP pictures? Thanks]

Varies. Specific question?

[Also what is your preferred drink. Alcoholic or none or both!]

Water, white wine.

[Are there any rules that you follow in dealing with people? Other than the golden rule?]

Yes. For example, it is important not to overwhelm someone with what you know. Going too far too fast can be very damaging.

[Why should anyone believe what you are saying?]

Would be a failure on my part to have you believing rather than inquiring.

[Do you like to roleplay on other boards too?]

/pol/ is the only board I visit here

[What are some of you favorite books/movies/music?]

I mostly read science journals, not a big movie fan, and prefer music with psychedelic inspiration.

[If you could create an obstacle to occur in the next two months, what would it be?]

The purpose of these threads is creating obstacles. We teach you to be more aware of the world and become another obstacle to your regime.

[No proof no care.

i'm sick of roleplaying.

you are just less important than fbianon.

being in Freemason of 33rd degree isn't exactly high level insider.]

I was voted into the order, but I am not really a member. Never asked to join, never attended lodge.

[what do you do as work?]

I have a wide range of income sources from writing, to investing, playing poker, and my more formal work. I don't have any need for further income - everything is done for pleasure rather than necessity at this point.

[it's curious, you have friends at CERN but not Los Alamos.]

I didn't say that anon. I said I have visited and have friends at CERN, but have not visited Los Alamos.

[lucifer, star wars rebels, luminous beings.

have you had a protege?]

Yep, several.

[How many languages do you speak? Not necess. fluently but casually.]

Three

[(<https://ii.yuki.la/b/a6/09e9ddcd9921c76603a3d869cb57a400efd114e8825d03841202cebdf55a8a6b.jpg>) i feel like this is what you're getting at with all these talks]

It definitely isn't an accident that I posted that picture before.

[Insider for whom?

&

Why are you here?]

Three

To answer your questions, inspire you, resolve confusions, give clarity to the state of the world

[>three

so you're a mason?

are you the guy that posted that certificate a while back?]

Depends on your definition.

They attempted to recruit me and voted me into the order but I regard it as corrupt and have never been to lodge.

[also would you be killed if it was discovered you were posting here?]

No, but not for lack of desire.

[Can you give us concrete evidence, not educated prediction and study of history?

None of these things you've said prove anything.]

Ask concrete questions and you will get concrete answers.

[Is the Trilateral Comssion what you are a party to?]

No, I am not a Trilateral member.

[Do you believe in / follow the teachings of a higher power or deity?]

Yes

[Do you like fried chicken op

And if so, is Popeyes superior to kfc?]

Boiled is better

[Oh for fuck sakes. Not this roleplay again.

You haven't provided anything of any substance.

Is this thread like one of those porch lamps that kill bugs, but for autistic folks?

If so, thanks for keeping the place clean.]

This is demonstrably false. I told you about the Turkey coup ahead of time, told you who did it and why, and that it was leading to a big push into Syria by Turkey for starters - all of which has proven true.

You can get much more info from me if you ask the right questions.

[Do you do this for free?]

No one is paying me to post here

[You are probably posting here for a reason, is there something you want to address that hasn't been asked or is not obvious?]

Yes

[What information do you specialize in exactly?]

I have broad and deep competency in a variety of fields. History, math and hard physical sciences in particular though.

[How do you know you're not yourself a pawn for a different cause?]

I don't take orders from anyone, so at worst I can be a pawn of my own folly.

[Which family are you from?]

My family financed the American revolution, but is not a banking or state house.

[whats the most fulfilling thing you have accomplished in your life]

Agency

[>We just want to see society continually and aggressively improve as a whole and people as individuals. Kinder more cooperative people that live longer lives with greater pleasure and purpose.

this confirms it, still thinks he's on the good side, the side of progress. i'm sorry buddy the masons are lying to you, that isn't their endgame]

I'm not a freemason. They tried to recruit me and I turned it down. There is much that we disagree about.

[Why are you doing this on /pol/?]

4chan has a nice structure structure that is conducive to good conversation. Idiots are ignored and comments stand on their merits to you, not the community.

[Why won't you use a tripcode?]

Because your discernment is more important than my identity.

[What do you like to do for fun?]

Individual sports (skiing, rock climbing), mental martial arts (chess, poker)

[What scares you the most, right now?]

I don't really fear anything. I'm concerned that the regime will not go quietly but they are so incompetent that humanity will destroy itself under their leadership anyway, so there is nothing to be lost by taking them on.

[Do you personally have leverage over people we may know?]

Yes

[Are you sure you're not unknowingly working for someone else?]

I am certain that I am not working for someone else.

[Also, were you born wealthy and into some sort of corrupt family system/masons type group or were you a "normal" , regular guy who was put in your current situation by career choice?]

My family is in the top 1% but not major banking house or royalty type deal. Many masons and influential people including historical names you would know as well as highly influential but not widely known names.

[Wait you're not gone?]

I thought you left in September.]

Question sessions will continue for many years to come as we move forward with leading mankind out of darkness.

[Did you enjoy your vacation away from /pol/?]

Yes

[what would happen to you if caught?]

Discovery of my identity by any particular party doesn't concern me.

[How deep are you and why do you consistently endanger yourself on a forum as public as 4chan?]

Better informed than any media source you have, alternative or otherwise as well as actively involved in planning our seizure of the White House and remaking of the world. I'm not endangering myself.

[Do you take any supplements or nootropics? Got any recommendations?]

I supplement my vitamin / mineral intake. Nootropics, not so much.

[Did you feel closer to you mother or to your father as a young child?]

About the same

[Which one are you?]

I'm not aware of anyone using the High Level Insider monicker other than me. Are there copycats now?

[Did you have to grind to reach the level you are at? Or did you just pay money to some chinese fuckers who reached that level for you?]

Yes. No.

[Why should we trust you?]

You should never do that blindly.

[How 'High Level' are you? Is anyone above you?]

I don't have a boss, although I do have peers.

[Can you have anyone you want killed if you feel like it?]

Yes

[Can you tell me what that language is or is there no word for it?]

Just an old language with personal touches - grammar rule changes, inversions of meanings, and so on, to make it counterintuitive to the uninitiated.

[Favorite desert?]

Coconut

[Do you consider yourself white?]

Not important

[can you predict when your next thread will be, roughly at least?]

Probably a couple more this week (11-22-16)

[Can you speak/understand multiple languages?]

Yes

[What kind of music do you enjoy?]

Ensembles that ride full waves rather than brief pop. Broad range here from classical composure to a jam band or coherent albums

[What is your IQ?]

High enough that the particular number isn't meaningful due to being several standard deviations out

[How did you find /pol/?]

Can't recall - I have been aware of it for many years

[Will you give us some information about something which hasn't been asked yet, but you think is important?]

Yes

[Do people in your organization know you answer questions on a Cambodian cave painting forum?]

Yes

[Not sure if anyone asked, but do you mind explaining the OP image from yesterday and today's image?]

The images are a combination of general thought provokers, particular publicly accessible meaning, and sometimes privately assessable meaning to colleagues. They are worth thinking about, but not lingering over.

[Have you laughed at anything in these question sessions?]

Sure, especially the derailing efforts. They are comically ineffective. There is a lesson to be learned there for all of you who seek to shape the narrative going forward.

[are you able to reveal important information beyond precept connotation?]

Yes

I'll try to return relatively soon. I know it has been quite some time since the last one. To those who asked many, many questions feel free to re-ask what was skipped. Tried to distribute my time / attention to many.

[Since you don't use tripcode how do we know you're the real HLI]

You should be concerned with what is said, not who is saying it.

[Why should I believe you?]

Don't believe me. Think about what is said and form your own beliefs.

[What's your reason to live?]

Nothing overly complicated - I enjoy it.

[Are our questions showing signs of improvement?]

I think so, but it can be a bit hard to tell quickly going through them. Lots of repeats / variations and stuff like that makes the progress seem slow to me, but a lot of that is because new people are always joining.

[How do I know you're not evil?]

That's up to you to decide.

[Finally - in your previous posts, I've noticed you don't typically just ramble, only answer questions - is that intentional? Something to do with free will?]

There is no need to ramble except to conceal your agenda. People talk around a point when they don't really have a point, or don't want you to know their real point.

[To clarify, on my last point - I mean I've been researching and noticed that in other (much older) Q&A's done by other supposed "high level" people, they seemed to use language similarly. IE, they wouldn't just reveal a point, have an agenda, etc. They mentioned this having to do with a spiritual free will paradigm. I meant, are you governed by only being able to answer questions or do you do it because it's only through asking and answering some anonymous mass of /pol/acks will respect you?]

The question-answer format isn't some requirement imposed on me or self-imposed. It's largely a practical thing. All of you have a variety of backgrounds, comprehensions, points of view and it is most productive to address you in terms of where you are coming from rather than where I am.

[Have decided to contact /pol/ because of FBlanon whistle blowing and telling us to look into the foundation?

Or is it just coincidence?]

Coincidence. Your society is entering an especially tumultuous period and there is widespread dissent.

[Have you ever seen or heard on Ad-Dajjal? I know for a fact that many people of high status are working for the "one eyed pyramid" and the "illuminati".]

yes.

[>>No. Much of the old testament is written by the mystery schools and they use god as a metaphor for themselves.

>are you perhaps retarded?

this doesnt seem like such a stretch compared to some things we see on 4chan right? a bunch of super smart dudes relative to the population make a story about....themselves.... to give the relatively dumb population something else to worship which just so happens to be....themselves. the mystery schools?
Am I right HLI?]

No. If I wanted to be the end all be all, a tripcode would be a good place to start.

Anyone can post with the moniker and be received or not on the merits of what they say, which is exactly how you should be treating me and everyone else.

[When is your task completed here?

How long do you stay here?]

There are a lot of changes being put into place in the world. You've seen that with the release of the 28 pages and shift in policy in the ME already. The last week has been an important time to cultivate awareness of what is going on. My activity level will drop off soon, but I'll probably return from time to time.

[Does your organization express views that you personally don't agree with?]

I tend to be more optimistic and forgiving than average

I have not seen even the slightest hint of how to change things for the better from anyone in this thread.

[Thoughts on the Fermi Paradox?]

Bad input assumptions.

[Are you bored by these questions?]

Some are good, some not so much. It's a worthwhile process.

[What do you say insider.

God, Creator or The universal mind?]

Yes.

[views on homosexuality?]

Mostly a biological disorder. Fraternal birth order produces most male homosexuality and coherence of consciousness takes care of the rest. Not immoral or anything, but probably not going to last either.

[hey man i just read through your responses in this thread, not sure what you're going for but in any case you sound kinda like a snobby retarded faggot. care to comment? it's your punctuation and haughtiness and overall BS i guess.

>we give trump a D-

>nothing particularly important is going on in antarctica but there is a secret nazi base

what does that even mean? am i just being an asshole?]

Perhaps it is a problem with not quoting questions before answering them? For example, I said there were Nazi bases but not anymore, yet you seemed to miss the second part. Understandable since all of that was in the form of "yes no" to two questions.

[no its just you use words like 'perhaps' and say 'a couple orders of magnitude' you talk like a fag or at least use superfluous language, perhaps it's to make yourself sound smarter by a couple orders of magnitude? not that you aren't smart, clearly you have kike-tier vocab

won't fully answer inconvenient questions, favoring ambiguity, quick to talk about

trump or global warming but won't elaborate on shit that would actually be interesting. are you just a kike running a slide thread?]

I don't find Trump or global warming particularly interesting. The direction of the thread has more to do with what you guys ask than anything.

.....

.....

.....

QS Misc.

~Misc.~

The paintings at BoA are more telling than Denver.

[Is allowing limited bouts of disorder necessary as a catharsis?]

There are orders that believe this, but we do not.

[also is this correct?

(<https://ii.yuki.la/3/69/8c4c27a5c3cbe32a42f81bd36331f77c6188ff178f0964455753b5b79c044693.jpg>)]

Clever

[Is blood important in the school one should pursue?

Someone of Germanic/Nordic descent should read Serrano and dive into Esoteric Hitlerism?]

We don't condone this type of thinking about the world.

[Do you support international interdependence and cohesion or independent nation states or something else?]

Interdependence shouldn't ever be your goal, but there is nothing wrong with cooperation.

[Oh and have you read books from René Girard? What do you think of his work on mimetic desire, the origins of culture and myths etc. Isn't it fascinating?]

Interesting, but carried too far. Not necessarily a fault - it's worth carrying an idea too far, especially as an originator, to expose the boundaries of failure.

[what the hell is socotra, yemen? looks like fucking morrowind
how are the anomalies explained? is it (((the landing site)))?]

<http://geology-articles.blogspot.md/2013/12/water-depth-anomaly-in-gulf-of-aden.html>]

There is no landing site.

[What's the deal with paradoxes?]

They are generated by bad assumptions.

[So you're saying paradoxes can be resolved if the underlying assumptions are corrected?]

Yes

[I know where I stand, only because I can't really trust much. Plus, the fool gets the last laugh and he is frequently a pawn. Consider these messages a cry for help.]

The fool that gets the last laugh is a jester who is playing a game at a higher level than others perceive.

The foolish, not so much.

[FUCK...OFF. You do not provide any of that shit. Free Markets and Businesses that are capable to innovate at a faster rate than the government provide those goods and services. Fuck off. You have proved yourself to be nothing more than a hoax. MODS ban the IP of this faggot.]

I am certain that in another breath you have lamented the lack of free markets. In that instance, you would have been correct.

Yet now you believe in a ghost. Time to grow up.

[End globalized trade with poor countries and bring back the American School of Economics by keeping Hillary Clinton out of office. FUCK OFF NOW. We have work to do, exposing CF and distributing the findings.]

Don't you have the power to do this yourself?

Stop buying foreign goods.

Oh, wait, you want us to impose that on you. You want a parent after all, right?

[Keep thinking that. We all bleed. We can't make the rules because you bully the stage on which the rules are made.]

>I'm powerless because I am powerless<

What will become of the world without such esteemed intellectual thought controlling our future?

[If you're not catholic you are a disinformation agent. Event then if you are supposedly catholic renounce masons and the masonic order or you again are there for an implanted mason in the church out to ruin the one true faith of God in Christ as yet anot her disinformation agent. OP image is prince William the true antichrist. Out of my way produstant herattics and vile new age witches. A warrior of God is crusading and nothing can stop him that is flesh.]

Perhaps you should formulate related questions. Your concerns are not unimportant, but are somewhat misguided.

[A bit off topic but what is your explanation of our existence]

It seems to be spontaneous given certain symmetries are imposed. Why these symmetries? No one can say for certain.

[jesus is here right? do they know this? what is his name and why's it start with a T?]

It's well known that extraordinary times produce extraordinarily figures. It's a worrisome or hopeful observation depending on where you stand.

[If you're a high level insider ive got some intel for you:

- * I own the giant ass brick ship in orbit. Its about the size of long island.
 - * Even the other biospheres are afraid of it despite being much smaller.
 - * Because its like the fucking deathstar from star wars. Except without being a 1 HP
-

wonder.

* We're kicking the motherfucking shit out of the mars and ganymede forces. Mars jump rooms broken, tunnels destroyed, all that shit wiped out.

* We kicked the motherfucking shit out of the nazis in antarctica shortly before that. Only lost 4 bots in the attack, pathetic resistance.

* Yellowstone is going off, we guarantee it, and others agree with us. Mother Earth needs it, she dont care whether it hurts you.]

If you enjoy writing fiction, try doing to commercially.

[Are you familiar with Stavros Tassos' work regarding the earth being a stress engine rather than a heat engine?]

I'm not familiar with Stavros Tassos. I'll take a look at his work and get back to you on that in the future.

[What do you think of Max Tegmark's Mathematical Universe Hypothesis?]

This is more like an analogy than a theory - very limited usefulness.

[Top 3 greatest mathematicians (excluding Srinivasa Ramanujan).]

Leibniz, Nash, and Godel.

[Am I to take being skipped as an answer itself or was the question not worth responding to considering my cattle status?]

You shouldn't want to be a pawn.

[How close to the truth is gnosticism?]

Some people have called me a gnostic in these threads. These labels are not well-defined though. It's better to stick to tangible specifics.

[What are you an insider of?]

Some want to keep their secrets to themselves, others not.

[Thoughts about Milo Yiannopoulos? Is he an agent?]

I'm only vaguely familiar with Milo. No strong opinion.

[What do you think of this book? (Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in our time)]

It's largely accurate in the details but has a misleading narrative. The book presents the regime as having good intentions and making unfortunate but necessary compromises, but that is very much a white washing of what is really going on.

[do you believe there are creators out there that can manifest reality as they will?]

There are no humans with this ability.

[TELL ME ABOUT LOS ALAMOS]

If you have questions I can probably get you the answers or have them, but I'm not a nuclear scientist and have not visited the facility personally.

[Hey, CIA posters, look at this guy. He's pretending to be in the know but somehow doesn't know about the coming consciousness shift the brotherhood of the snake has been pushing for over two thousand years..and the merging of technology and

the mind, and silicon valley dosing en masse...

Disappointed in you anon, not only are you not an insider, you're not even well read]

You can view my past threads and see extensive discussion of our efforts to promote consciousness. It's in the title of one of the few books I actually recommended you people read. Nevertheless, I retain my assertion that you can't define what you are talking about.

[how can I get grounded in reality when Miles Mathis is proving all of physics and math to be incorrect?]

I am not familiar with Miles Mathis.
[is everything permitted?]

For those who can find no truth.
[You don't answer me, why do you fear the children of light?

At best you're a watcher, more likely an /x/phile.]

We would like to see many more come to the light.
[Does true happiness stem from having a big dick and if so how do I get one?]

It certainly helps if you are a male.
[There were claims made that you are maybe Christopher Nemelka with connections to "The Human Party"

Are these claims true?
If not do you know "The Human Party" and what do you think of it and their plans?

Actually what was quite remarkable - beside Nemelka is a really interesting person. That the party operated the same way you explained agency, enlightenment and global consciousness.

Like Buddha, Jesus, Mohammed they highjacked the movement of Anonymous to create a certain coherence effect and have free publicity.

They embodied something known to the global consciousness to profit from it but it backfired though my conclusion was that the people behind this are aware of certain techniques you are also trying to make us aware of for a better understanding of politics and how the world goes or the movement has direct ties to your organisation?]

No. Never heard of him or his party before these threads and I haven't looked into either.
[What did he mean by this?
(<https://ii.yuki.la/7/b0/ab9f1a4eba655762d38bc83b2134762119e60f62fb77d7755c2fd2f5ed458b07.png>)]

That poster is referencing the fulfillment of all the major plot points in Revelation.

[What do you think of the picture in question?
(<https://ii.yuki.la/8/f6/77a1733b2d4e8c7362ca6f3c2a0a721b8e8ddb1224f9a8e7fbb57a02bb30af68.png>)]

Fairly reasonable.

[Opinions on cowboy bebop]

Not familiar with Cowboy Bebop.

[Tierra del Fuego and the Type XXI. Anything to that or am I just seeing what I want to see?]

Seeing what you want to see.

[this is not a politics related question but.. did ayrton senna's willams really had a steering column failure? or was he killed by a sniper as some like to say?]

Don't know.

[Thoughts on string theory?]

Too complicated to be correct.

[Any idea who or what "white spiritual boy" is, in terms of the world bank?]

It's amazing that people believe in stuff like that.

[Was Rubicon pulled from the shelves/airwaves b/ it's too close to the truth (esp 9/11)?]

Never watched it, don't know

[Is Esperanto going to be the new language of that world?]

No

[Wilhelm Reich was opportunistic nonsense, right?]

I'm not impressed by any of Wilhelm Reich's work.

[tell us about the square mile?]

The actual center of world government.

[Is Walker Atkinson's work true / useful?

I'm not implying he was a gifted prophet, but was he a gifted communicator?]

There are underlying truths, but not a very direct presentation of them.

[What makes you think I want to see more shots across the bows at this time?
We're in an appropriate ebb building for the next flow.]

Everyone does, even you

[What's with the hexagon on Saturn?]

Don't know.

[Does your group protect specific knowledge about the dimensions beyond that of Time?]

No

[Do you have a mathematically precise definition of Good and Evil?]

No

[Are you familiar with a guy called Donald Hoffman? He is a professor of Cognitive Science at University of California who is taking the unique approach of thinking about consciousnesses as being fundamental to everything.]

I am not familiar with his work.

[(F)Blanon) Are you this anon?]

Not me

[Are you all Children of the Covenant? blessyahowah.com stuff]

Not signed onto the compact

[Are you familiar with Mary Sparrowhawks works? "Love song of the Universe."]

No

[Is your organization behind this video?

<https://vimeo.com/44583147>]

No

[Are you familiar with theconservativehouse.com blog? He espouses an economic view that I think is compatible with yours:
<https://theconservativetreehouse.com/2016/11/10/the-return-of-americanism-the-titans-of-industry/>]

Not familiar with the blog.

[Will the 20 year plan continue as scheduled?]

No

[Did you read any of those Anonymous5 Knowledge Bomb threads I linked yesterday?]

Not yet

[Gold, silver, or guns?]

Yes

[Is a Vegan diet more moral? Healthy?]

No

[Why doesn't teh NWO have a youtube channel or something where they tell us their plans and reasonings and philosophies?g
instead yall just go about your business, letting us think we're chickens and the foxes just got past the fence.]

We are letting you know now and in other ways; feel free to inquire.

[What are your thoughts on Anon5?]

I'm not familiar with Anon5.

[I esoterically cast firebolt on OP. Last two digits is the amount of damage I do and crits if repeating.]

It seems that kek does not smile on our opponents.

[Can you comment on Hopi prophecy: moon origin and the Earth core ejection, and planetary migration to other orbits? Is the Earth going to transfer to the orbit of Venus and become the Morning Star?]

No

[is the Kola Superdeep Borehole just a hole or did the ruskies try to do some /x/ tier shit]

Just a hole.

[Can planets eject their cores? If yes, can ejected cores become moons?]

No

[Do planets migrate towards the Sun and eventually enter it?]

No

[Is the planet Mercury actually a moon (an ejected core)?]

No

[Is "The Urantia Book" legit?]

Have not read it

[What are your views on psychic phenomena? ESP? Telekinesis?]

ESP, yes. Telekinesis, no.

[Also have you heard of Spiritism (The Spirits Book), and if so, what is your opinion on that doctrine? It's served me well and I would appreciate your input as well.]

I am not familiar with that book.

[You're saying a bunch of people thinking of the same thing and having the same consciousness moved japan up north?]

No

[Is it possible Anon5 is just a junior initiate in your organization?]

Not familiar with Anon5

[Is it true that Jim Carrey caused a riot at the Vatican because he climbed on top of a birdbath and started burping?]

I don't know anything about that.

[Are you familiar with the work of Ray Peat?]

Nope.

[is the moon made of water?]

No.

[Is there a monolith on one of Mars' moons like Buzz Aldrin said or is he senile and rambling nonsense.]

As to the monolith on Mar's moon making transmissions and all sorts of questions like this, everyone really needs to start thinking for themselves.

If a real interviewer was interviewing a real whistle blower and that came up, there would be natural questions like oh what frequency are the broadcasts on? How strong is the signal? Things that would allow you to go about verifying the claim in other words. You can tell that none of the people talking about nonsense like the monolith transmissions are serious because they don't actually ever get serious about the topic.

Cointelpro is pretty transparent about revealing themselves if you stop to think for a moment.

[In the past, you've mentioned telepathy a bit. Would I be on the right path in thinking that if two people shared the exact same mental images/meanings/interpretations of words, that those two people could engage in telepathy easier?]

Yes

[Is there a group of humans living in caves? How extensive is the cave system under our feet? Are there societies living near water aquifers miles below the surface? Why don't we study the ocean more? Would an ocean elevator be worth the investment? Why don't we drill to the core of the earth?]

You can't operate anything worthwhile at extreme depths both because of pressure and temperature. Some near-surface underground bases exist.

[Is this spookier/more down the rabbit hole then we think (i.e aliens, demons, illuminati assassins, etc) or at the end of the day is the power being held by regular, corrupt, rich yuppies who want to hold on to their power? Please answer, the question is bothering me.]

No aliens. Demons only of the mind. Assassins are real and often used.

[Have you seen any of the Anonymous5 Knowledge Bomb threads? He's annoying and spews a lot of shit. His threads get attacked so hard and so fast that it makes me wonder if it's an actual 3rd party effort.]

No - I haven't been on /pol/ since the last question session except once or twice when I thought I had time for another but something came up.

[Anon5 threads]

I will review them and comment in next question session.

[Have you heard about a documentary called "Zeitgeist"?]

Yes. Not impressed.

[(Trump) >he is ultimately nearly powerless.

What's your opinion on Anonymous5? He disagrees with you on this point.]

I'm not familiar with Anonymous5, but he is wrong where he disagrees.

[Finders/keepers?]

Both

.....

.....

.....