

Title: reasons why it benefits each individual investor to not just DRS some, but all shares that they can

Author: magnificentmemer

Created 2022-11-27 15:58:11 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/z64p6j/reasons_why_it_benefits_each_individual_investor/

Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/z64p6j/reasons_why_it_benefits_each_individual_investor/

Yesterday I made a post asking what would it take to get people to go 100% DRS. (Link: https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/z54x45/to_those_of_you_who_arent_drds_100what_would_it/) Today, I make a post addressing what many people said about what it would take, or why they aren't 100% DRS'd, as well as why it benefits each individual investor if they DRS as many shares as they possibly can and get as close to 100% as possible.

To clarify my points below-if something is in quotations, I'm directly paraphrasing from one or more (usually more than one) commenter from yesterday's post. If people yesterday were saying this stuff, there's bound to be a lot more that think it.

Some of the reasons are listed below: 1. "Computershare/direct registered shares are the Infinity Pool, shares for selling during the squeeze are in my brokers."

The idea that Computershare is the same as the Infinity Pool just doesn't make sense. The Infinity Pool was a concept that was around long before DRS gained traction in the community of GME investors. It never needed DRS to exist as a concept before and still doesn't. The Infinity Pool simply describes shares that won't be sold during a squeeze due to a range of reasons.

"But we need to prove there's crime by leaving some shares in brokerages!" Trust me, there will be shares left in brokerages once the float is 100% locked. Some people have shares in international retirement accounts that can't be registered. Others can't afford fees to transfer out- hell, I literally just got the money to transfer out my Webull shares from early 2021. Others are just lazy, and others still haven't heard of DRS somehow or paid much attention to it. Assuming the naked shorts thing is true (and there's all the reason in the world to believe it is) there will be plenty of shares left in brokerages to prove there's crime.

"Selling direct registered shares first will hurt the squeeze!" No, it won't, as if it's actually the the squeeze it's highly likely many financial entities will be going insolvent and probably wouldn't be able to go back to naked shorting via locates. And even if it would somehow hurt the the squeeze- people will be direct registering shares throughout this whole thing anyway. As shares are sold out of Computershare and back to the DTCC, other shares will be flowing out of the DTCC via DRS as there's room to DRS them.

Computershare isn't the Infinity Pool, and it's time to acknowledge the fact that DRSing 100% sooner is more important than "not hurting the squeeze." The squeeze will happen just as explosively regardless of what shares get sold first.

1. Shares are held in Etoro or T212 and can't be transferred anywhere. Probably holding CFD's anyway. Unless these shares are in some type of retirement account that can't be withdrawn without taking a tax hit, you're better off selling and rebuying. Do you actually expect these brokers to pay up during the squeeze? I don't.

2. Shares are in an IRA This one's understandable. There are several ways to DRS an IRA, but they're expensive and clunky. If you can DRS your IRA, great, but it won't be for everyone.

3. "I want to be part of the class action lawsuit!" Do you actually trust the justice system to do its job? And even if you did, with what money will you even be paid? It'll all be in the hands of GME shareholders!

4. "Computershare sells are slow." Buying is delayed, but selling is instant. I forget which Superstonk mod tested it for science, but it's been done before.

5. "DRS fees cost in the triple digits!" Transfer to another broker that it's cheaper to DRS from if those fees are more affordable.

6. Computershare would have to mail a check overseas. For international apes, get a Wise account. You should be able to get your money directly deposited there, no physically mailed check involved.

7. "I'll miss the squeeze while my shares are in transit." No, you won't. The squeeze will take a while. Weeks, if not a month or more. You'll have plenty of time if you start now.

8. "They're gonna take down Computershare during the squeeze and we won't be able to sell!" Multiple people said this. I would like everyone who thinks this to think critically and ask themselves why in the world they would want to stop us from selling when that's exactly what they need us to do.

9. "I don't like the \$3500 sell limit." That's a limit imposed by their broker, not by Computershare itself. And that limit will increase dynamically as the price rises anyway.

10. "DRS has done nothing to the price and if anything has dragged it down." Sure. Price HAS been lower. But have you seen the volume? It's very possible that DRS is taking their liquidity- and liquidity is like oil to their machine.

The last thing people will be asking- "why the rush to DRS 100%? There's no rush!" But there is.

What you're about to read isn't FUD, but it's a very real risk. There are existential threats to the squeeze that get taken care of if it gets kicked off sooner. There's a reason Ken and others are stalling for time to buy one more day, and it's because eventually a way out for them might show up.

The FED is deliberately bringing about a recession right now. We're entering a period of stagflation. If it gets bad enough, apes will sell to feed their kids and their families. Some have already had to sell small amounts to make rent, hoping to buy them back later. Most of us will hold to anything, but there are plenty who will prioritize the well being of their family over the squeeze. For now, prioritizing their families means holding. But they may need to sell to eat depending on how bad this gets.

The other reason is because Kenny may very well be making a bid to get into politics. We all know he's chummy with a certain governor who will probably make an attempt to run for office in 2024. What if things actually go Mayo Man's way for this? By making sure all shares are accounted for before this happens, we at the very least expose the crime irrefutably if not kicking off the squeeze. This would make such a circumstance impossible.

To summarize: it benefits each individual investor here to DRS 100%, or as much as they are able to. There are very few reasons to not do this if the individual investor genuinely believes in the squeeze thesis, especially since there are existential threats that very few people on this forum like to acknowledge.