


Title: Can any wrinkles chime in on this? I commented I was 100% drs in gme and this was their reply. they would like me to get back to them if I could find any information.

Author: joshgray9

Created 2022-11-13 01:34:43 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/ytodsw/can_any_wrinkles_chime_in_on_this_i_commented_i/

Url: <https://www.reddit.com/gallery/ytodsw>



Glad you mentioned that, ironically

I won't do it for the following reasons that no one is willing to respond to with any logic

1. cs uses Merrill lynch as their broker, merril uses Bank of America as their prime broker. (Same as citadel) I can't trust my shares with the enemy.
2. selling will be near impossible during a cataclysmic event such as moass through computershare, not to mention you'd be reliant on the same people your in essence fighting.
3. sell cap. There's a ton of confusion behind this. I can't imagine it being a good thing to have to write a letter to a company to sell my shares for the price I want. No thanks.
4. reviews. Prior to the crowd going in and changing it by pure volume cs had a shit rating via the review section. A bunch of apes jumped in and gave raving reviews without hard core evidence of the most important aspect of it, execution when they want to liquidate shares.
5. the sudden push of drs was and still remains hella sus. The sheer outlandish behavior of the drs crowd and their antics of everything opposite of what an ape was supposed to stand for made it an easy hard

Add a comment





↑ Vote ↓ 20 Sh...

[Redacted]

1. When shares are sold thru computershare it requires a broker. (Merrill Lynch, BOA will be that broker) what do those brokers/pb have in common with citadel? Lots and it'll be a hard pass for me to trust them
2. False. In order to sell at cs (a) refer to (1) and (b) computershare will in no way be able to facilitate the amount of volume that moass will require. (C) you'll need a letter above \$3500
3. sell cap (per who was that again, oh yeah BOA was changed to \$3500.) refer again to bullet 1
4. ok it's the truth
5. there was no slow push homie, I've been in this thing sorting from new from day 1, it was a swarm of vile morons that popped up out of no where. Pushed hard and ran away anyone that asked questions.
6. please refer to the dictionary
7. show me any graph that proves otherwise from the time this narrative was pushed I implore you.

I'm not here for this though, I'm just posting memes

That was buddy up there that wanted

Add a comment





Vote 20 Sh...

of everything opposite of what an ape was supposed to stand for made it an easy hard pass.

6. The slow play to form a narrative of collusion, by having a group of investors, work for a goal in unison makes my ape radar flash. Seemed forced, and seemed coordinated from the initial start of this drs push

7. seemed as if the hedgies were losing control of price movement until drs really caught on, now it seems to be suppressed and illiquid, not sure that's what is wanted for moass

All in all thats why I find it NOT beneficial to do it.



-2



joshgray9 • 6d

Some might sell but other have no intention of selling. I like the stonk. I like that they partnered with immutable. I'm here for the endgame and to party for the week:)

3

Add a comment

