Proof principles for Operational Semantics

Hanne Riis Nielson

Informatics and Mathematical Modelling
Technical University of Denmark

Natural semantics

Structural operational semantics

```
\langle x := a, s \rangle \Rightarrow s[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[a]s]
\langle \mathtt{skip} \,,\, s \rangle \Rightarrow s
\frac{\langle S_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_1', \mathbf{s'} \rangle}{\langle S_1; S_2, \mathbf{s} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_1'; S_2, \mathbf{s'} \rangle}
\frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'}{\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_2, s' \rangle}
 \langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_1, s \rangle \text{ if } \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!]s = \mathbf{tt}
 \langle \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_2, s \rangle \text{ if } \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!]s = \mathbf{ff}
 \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } S, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{if } b \text{ then } (S; \text{ while } b \text{ do } S) \text{ else skip}, s \rangle
```

Proof principle: structural induction

To prove a property of all the elements of the syntactic category do the following:

- Prove that the property holds for all the basis elements of the syntactic category.
- Prove that the property holds for all the composite elements of the syntactic category: Assume that the property holds for all the immediate constituents of the element — this is called the induction hypothesis and prove that it also holds for the element itself.

Proof principle: induction on shape of derivation trees

To prove a property of all the derivation trees of a natural semantics do the following:

- Prove that the property holds for all the simple derivation trees by showing that it holds for the axioms of the transition system.
- Prove that the property holds for all composite derivation trees: For each rule assume that the property holds for its premises this is called the induction hypothesis and prove that it also holds for the conclusion of the rule provided that the conditions of the rule are satisfied.

Proof principle: induction on length of derivation sequences

To prove a property of all the derivation sequences of a structural operational semantics do the following:

- Prove that the property holds for all derivation sequences of length 0.
- Prove that the property holds for all other derivation sequences: Assume that the property holds for all derivation sequences of length at most k this is called the induction hypothesis and show that it holds for derivation sequences of length k+1.

Theorem

$$\langle S, s \rangle \to s'$$
 if and only if $\langle S, s \rangle \Rightarrow^* s'$

Proof obligations

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{if } \langle S \,,\, \textcolor{red}{s} \rangle \, \rightarrow \, \textcolor{red}{s'} \\ \text{then } \langle S \,,\, \textcolor{red}{s} \rangle \, \Rightarrow^* \, \textcolor{red}{s'} \\ \\ \downarrow \\ \\ \end{array}$$

if
$$\langle S_1, \mathbf{s} \rangle \Rightarrow^k \mathbf{s'}$$

then $\langle S_1; S_2, \mathbf{s} \rangle \Rightarrow^k \mathbf{s'}$

if
$$\langle S, s \rangle \Rightarrow^k s'$$

then $\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$

if
$$\langle S_1; S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow^k s''$$

then $\langle S_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow^{k_1} s'$
 $\langle S_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow^{k_2} s''$
and $k = k_1 + k_2$

if
$$\langle$$
 if b then $(S;$ while b do $S)$ else skip, $s\rangle \rightarrow s'$ then \langle while b do S , $s\rangle \rightarrow s'$

How robust are these results?

Extend the while language with

- abortion: $S := \cdots \mid \texttt{abort}$
- non-determinism: $S ::= \cdots \mid S_1 \text{ or } S_2$
- parallelism: $S ::= \cdots \mid S_1 \text{ par } S_2$

Questions:

- how are the semantics modified?
- are both kinds of semantics "equally powerful"?

Adding abortion

- Configurations: extended to include the abort statement
- Terminal configurations: unchanged
- Transitions:
 - for structural operational semantics: unchanged
 - for natural semantics: unchanged

Adding nondeterminism

- Configurations: extended to include the or statement
- Terminal configurations: unchanged
- Transitions:
 - for structural operational semantics:

$$\langle S_1 \text{ or } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_1, s \rangle$$
 $\langle S_1 \text{ or } S_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_2, s \rangle$

— for natural semantics:

$$\frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \to s'}{\langle S_1 \text{ or } S_2, s \rangle \to s'} \qquad \frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \to s'}{\langle S_1 \text{ or } S_2, s \rangle \to s'}$$

Adding parallelism

- Configurations: extended to include the par statement
- Terminal configurations: unchanged
- Transitions:
 - for structural operational semantics:

– for natural semantics:

$$\frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \to s' \quad \langle S_2, s' \rangle \to s''}{\langle S_1 \text{ par } S_2, s \rangle \to s''}$$

$$\frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \to s' \quad \langle S_1, s' \rangle \to s''}{\langle S_1 \text{ par } S_2, s \rangle \to s''}$$

Summary

Natural semantics

- cannot distinguish between looping and abnormal termination
- non-determinism suppresses looping
- cannot express interleaving of computations

Structural operational semantics

- distinguishes between looping and abnormal termination
- non-determinism does not suppress looping
- can express interleaving of computations