

ML for Estimating Theta

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon},$$

where

$$\mathbf{b} \sim N\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

$$\text{and } \mathbf{V} = \text{cov}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{Z}^T + \mathbf{R}$$

ML score equation for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$:

$$U_{\theta_j} = -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\mathbf{V}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j} \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

Disadvantages to using ML for Theta

- The MLE of θ fails to account for the loss of degrees of freedom from estimating β .
- $\hat{\theta}$ is biased for finite samples.

REML for linear regression:

$$Y_i = \mathbf{X}_i^T \beta + \epsilon_i, \text{ where } \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (Y_i - \mathbf{X}_i^T \hat{\beta})^2 = ML$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} \sum_i (Y_i - \mathbf{X}_i^T \hat{\beta})^2 = REML$$

How to Obtain REML Estimates

Objective: Construct an estimator of θ by accounting for the loss of degrees of freedom from estimating β .

How? Inference on θ proceeds by maximizing the log-likelihood of an error contrast whose distribution is free of β .

Approach **Error contrasts**

Note: For variance of normal population and linear regression, we can just calculate the bias and transform \rightarrow doesn't work for LMM.

Error Contrasts

In matrix form:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{Zb} + \epsilon$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\beta, \mathbf{V}(\theta))$$

Define $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Y}$ where $\mathbf{A} = n \times (n - p)$ full rank matrix orthogonal to \mathbf{X} :

$$\mathbf{U} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{V}(\theta) \mathbf{A})$$

which doesn't depend on β . (\mathbf{A} can be $n \times n$ if used generalized inverses)

REML Log-Likelihood

Harville (1974) shows that:

$$L(\theta) = (2\pi)^{-(n-p)/2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i \right|^{1/2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \right|^{-1/2} \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N |\mathbf{V}_i|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \right\}$$

and

$$\ell_R(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}| - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{V}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$$

REML Score and Information

- REML score equation for θ :

$$U_{R\theta_j} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{P} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j} \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})$$

- REML Fisher Information:

$$l_{\theta\theta,jk} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{P} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j} \mathbf{P} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_k})$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{V}^{-1} - \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}^{-1}$$

ML Score and Information

- ML score equation for θ :

$$U_{\theta_j} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{V}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j} \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})$$

- ML Fisher Information:

$$I_{\theta\theta,jk} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{V}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_k})$$

if $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{V}^{-1}$, no need to adjust for the degrees of freedom.

Remarks

- $\ell_R(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rightarrow \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_R$.
- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_R = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_R)^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_R)^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$
- REML Estimator for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ has **smaller bias and larger variance** compared to MLE counterpart
- Error contrasts will work for variance of normal population and linear regression regression.

Estimation is important, but what about **statistical inference**?

Inference and Hypothesis Testing

- **Inference:** process in which one draws conclusions (from data)
- **Statistical Inference:** putting a measure of confidence around our conclusions
- We are often interested in inference on Fixed Effects and Variance components:
 - What is the effect of treatment?
 - Do we need a particular variance component? E.g. is the curvature quadratic?
 - Do we need ANY random effects?

Inference for Fixed Effects

Recall:

$$\hat{\beta}(\hat{\theta}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{y}_i = (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y},$$

$\hat{\theta}$ estimated by either REML or ML.

Objective:

$$H_0 : \mathbf{L}\beta = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{vs} \quad H_A : \mathbf{L}\beta \neq \mathbf{0}$$

Some Tests:

- Wald
- Robust
- Likelihood Ratio

Inference for Fixed Effects - Wald Test

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(\hat{\beta}) &= \text{var}((\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}\mathbf{Y}) \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1} [\text{var}(\mathbf{Y})] \mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} (\text{matrix form}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \right)^{-1} \quad (\text{with summations})\end{aligned}$$

which is from information $(\mathbf{I}_{\beta\beta})$.

$$(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' \mathbf{L}' \left[\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{L}' \right]^{-1} \mathbf{L}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \chi^2_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{L})}.$$

CIs obtained also from normal assumption.

Note: Wald test statistics based on SE which are downward biased (variability of $\hat{\theta}$)

Inference for Fixed Effects - F and robust tests

$$F = \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' \mathbf{L}' [\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{L}']^{-1} \mathbf{L}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{\text{rank}(\mathbf{L})}$$

Numerator df = $\text{rank}(\mathbf{L})$, but denominator df is estimated from the data (and depends on software used!)

Robust Inference

Use of $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ assumes that marginal covariance is correctly specified. Alternative: set $\text{var}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'$ where $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$

- Robust to misspecification of marginal covariance
- Ideal is general: as long as you get mean model, you are good (could even use OLS)
- Missing data is a problem
- Closely related to GEEs

Inference for Fixed Effects - Likelihood Ratio Test

$$H_0 : \beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\beta,0} \quad \text{vs} \quad H_A : \beta \notin \mathcal{B}_{\beta,0}$$

$\mathcal{B}_{\beta,0}$ is a subspace of the parameter space (\mathcal{B}_β) Usual LR statistic:

$$-2 \log \lambda_N = -2 \log \left[\frac{L_{ML}(\hat{\beta}_{ML,0})}{L_{ML}(\hat{\beta}_{ML})} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \chi^2_{df}$$

where df is difference in dimensions of $\mathcal{B}_{\beta,0}$ and \mathcal{B}_β

Note: this is **only valid when using ML!**

- Cannot use REML to fit models: mean structure of the model is different under H_0 and H_A such that they would result in different error contrasts.

Inference for Variance Components - Wald

ML Fisher Information:

$$I_{\theta\theta,jk} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{V}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_k})$$

REML Fisher Information:

$$I_{\theta\theta,jk} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{P} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_j} \mathbf{P} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \theta_k})$$

Can we construct CI and Test via **Normal approximations**?

Sometimes

Inference for Variance Components - Wald

In general

- If θ is far from the boundary of parameter space Θ_θ , then normal approximation OK!
- If θ is on the boundary of parameter space Θ_θ , then **normal approximation fails!**

Distinction between mixed model and marginal model:

- Under hierarchical model $\mathbf{D}(\theta)$ must be PSD $\rightarrow d_{kk} \geq 0$ (0 on boundary)
- Under marginal model, then we assume $\mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Z}_i' + \sigma^2 I_{n_i}$, then $d_{kk} = 0$ is on the interior.

In general, we say that the usual Wald tests fail (for boundary case) in this case since we are interested in having \mathbf{D} have real interpretations. Confidence intervals are OK when truth is far from bounds.

Inference for Variance Components - LRT

LR Statistic

$$-2 \log \lambda_N = -2 \log \left[\frac{L_{ML/REML}(\hat{\theta}_{ML/REML,0})}{L_{ML/REML}(\hat{\theta}_{ML/REML})} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \chi^2_{df}$$

Key Remarks:

- Key regularity condition for this convergence is that we are NOT on the boundary \rightarrow have same problem as Wald test
- We can use either ML or REML in this case

Frequently: testing $\theta = 0$ via LRT converges to mixture of χ^2 distributions. (Homework!)