

Show that $c K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ is a valid kernel.

Let ϕ_1 be the feature map for K_1 .

$$c K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \langle \sqrt{c} \phi_1(\underline{u}), \sqrt{c} \phi_1(\underline{v}) \rangle$$

(inner product axiom
and using $c > 0$)

$$= \langle \phi_1(\underline{u}), \phi_1(\underline{v}) \rangle$$

Show that $f(\underline{u}) K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) f(\underline{v})$ is a valid kernel.

Let ϕ_1 be the feature map for K_1 . Then

$$f(\underline{u}) f(\underline{v}) K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \langle f(\underline{u}) \phi_1(\underline{u}), f(\underline{v}) \phi_1(\underline{v}) \rangle$$

(inner product
axiom)

$$= \langle \phi_1(\underline{u}), \phi_1(\underline{v}) \rangle$$

Show that $K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) + K_2(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ is a valid kernel.

Proof by Mercer's theorem

Given a set of instances $\{\underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_n\}$, let \mathbb{K}_1 be the $n \times n$ Gram matrix associated with $K_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ (and sim. for $K_2(\cdot, \cdot)$).

The Gram matrix associated with $K = K_1 + K_2$ is $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_1 + \mathbb{K}_2$.

Now \mathbb{K} is PSD since for any $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\underline{x}^T \mathbb{K} \underline{x} = \underline{x}^T \mathbb{K}_1 \underline{x} + \underline{x}^T \mathbb{K}_2 \underline{x} \geq 0$$

$$(\text{since } \underline{x}^T \mathbb{K}_1 \underline{x} \geq 0 \text{ and } \underline{x}^T \mathbb{K}_2 \underline{x} \geq 0)$$

Alternate proof

Assume the implicit feature space is finite-dimensional, and let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be the feature maps for K_1, K_2 respectively.

Consider the feature map ϕ which is the concatenation of ϕ_1, ϕ_2 :

$$\phi(\underline{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(\underline{u}) \\ \phi_2(\underline{u}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Now observe that

$$K(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) := \langle \phi(\underline{u}), \phi(\underline{v}) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi_1(\underline{u}), \phi_1(\underline{v}) \rangle + \langle \phi_2(\underline{u}), \phi_2(\underline{v}) \rangle$$

(here we're defining the inner product on the concatenated feature space - should show that this is a valid inner product)

$$= K_1(\underline{u}) + K_2(\underline{v})$$

Show that $\exp(K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v}))$ is a valid kernel.

We'll need a couple of extra identities:

(i) if K_1, K_2 are valid kernels, so is

$$K(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) K_2(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$$

(ii) if K_1, K_2, \dots is a sequence of valid

kernels and $K(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$

exists for all $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in \mathcal{X}$ then $K(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$

is also valid.

Now we have that

$$\exp(K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n^*(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$$

$$\text{where } K_n^*(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) := \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{j!} [K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v})]^j.$$

K_n^* is a valid kernel by the "scalar
multiplication", "element-wise product" ⁽ⁱ⁾ and
"sum" identities.

Hence by the "limit" identity (ii),

$\exp(K_1(\underline{u}, \underline{v}))$ is a valid kernel.