

# Multivariate Statistical Analysis

## Lecture 08

Fudan University

luoluo@fudan.edu.cn

- 1 Asymptotic Normality
- 2 Bayesian Estimation

1 Asymptotic Normality

2 Bayesian Estimation

# Asymptotic Normality

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with the same arbitrary distribution, mean  $\mu$ , and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

Let  $\bar{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ , then the random variable

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\bar{x}_n - \mu}{\sigma} \right)$$

is a standard normal distribution.

What about multivariate case?

# Asymptotic Normality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n$$



# Multivariate Central Limit Theorem

## Theorem

Let  $p$ -component vectors  $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots$  be i.i.d with means  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}_\alpha] = \boldsymbol{\nu}$  and covariance matrices  $\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{y}_\alpha - \boldsymbol{\nu})(\mathbf{y}_\alpha - \boldsymbol{\nu})^\top] = \mathbf{T}$ . Then the limiting distribution of

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\mathbf{y}_\alpha - \boldsymbol{\nu})$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  is  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T})$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\{F_j(\mathbf{x})\}$  be a sequence of cdfs, and let  $\{\phi_j(\mathbf{t})\}$  be the sequence of corresponding characteristic functions. A necessary and sufficient condition for  $F_j(\mathbf{x})$  to converge to a cdf  $F(\mathbf{x})$  is that, for every  $\mathbf{t}$ ,  $\phi_j(\mathbf{t})$  converges to a limit  $\phi(\mathbf{t})$  that is continuous at  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$ . When this condition is satisfied, the limit  $\phi(\mathbf{t})$  is identical with the characteristic function of the limiting distribution  $F(\mathbf{x})$ .*

# Outline

1 Asymptotic Normality

2 Bayesian Estimation



# Revisiting Linear Regression

Given dataset  $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  are the feature and the corresponding label of the  $i$ -th data.

We suppose

$$y_i = \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + \epsilon_i$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , where  $\sigma > 0$ .

# Revisiting Linear Regression

Maximizing the likelihood function leads to optimization problem

$$\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\beta - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2.$$

Suppose  $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}$  is non-singular, then

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y},$$

which has distribution

$$\hat{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\beta, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}).$$

# Revisiting Linear Regression

We define the sample error as

$$\hat{\epsilon} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta},$$

which is uncorrelated to  $\hat{\beta}$ .

# Ridge Regression

In Bayesian statistics, we regard the parameters as a random variable with prior distribution.

For linear regression, we additionally suppose the parameter has a prior distribution

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \tau^2 \mathbf{I}),$$

which leads to optimization problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\tau^2} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2.$$

## Theorem

If  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  are independently distributed and each  $\mathbf{x}_\alpha$  has distribution  $\mathcal{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , and if  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  has an a prior distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\Phi})$ , then the a posterior distribution of  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  given  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$  is normal with mean

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} \left( \boldsymbol{\Phi} + \frac{1}{N} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right)^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{N} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{\Phi} + \frac{1}{N} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}$$

and covariance matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \left( \boldsymbol{\Phi} + \frac{1}{N} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}.$$