Optimization Theory

Lecture 08

Fudan University

luoluo@fudan.edu.cn

Outline

Subgradient Descent Method

2 Smoothing Technique

Proximal Gradient Methods

Outline

Subgradient Descent Method

2 Smoothing Technique

3 Proximal Gradient Methods

Subgradient Descent Method

We consider optimization with a nonsmooth objective function

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{C}}f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Here we assume that $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is *G*-Lipschitz and convex defined on a convex and closed set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, but not necessarily smooth.

We have introduced the subgradient method

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \eta_t \mathbf{g}_t, \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{C}} (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1}). \end{cases}$$

Let $R = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2$.

- For convex case, it requires $\mathcal{O}(G^2R^2\epsilon^{-2})$ iterations.
- ② For μ -strongly-convex, it requires $\mathcal{O}(G^2\mu^{-1}\epsilon^{-1})$ iterations.

Optimality of Subgradient Descent Method

Theorem

Given G>0, $\mu>0$, $d>t\geq 1$ and $\epsilon>0$, there exists a G-Lipschitz and μ -strongly convex function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ on

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_2 \le \frac{G}{2\mu} \right\},$$

such that a first order optimization algorithm with initial point $\mathbf{x}_0=0$ can only produce solutions that satisfy

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \ge \frac{G^2}{8\mu(t+1)} - \epsilon,$$

where \mathbf{x}^* is the solution of $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}} f(\mathbf{x})$.

Optimality of Subgradient Descent Method

Let $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_d] \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{G}{2} \max_{i=1,2,\dots,t+1} \left(x_i + \frac{\epsilon}{i} \right) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$

- **1** The function f is G-Lipschitz continuous.
- ② Any subgradient $\mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies $\mathbf{g} = \lambda \mathbf{x} + 0.5 G \mathbf{y}$, where

$$\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{conv}\left\{\mathbf{e}_i : x_i = \max_{k=1,2,\dots,t+1} x_i\right\}.$$

We use $\mathrm{conv}(\mathcal{S})$ to present the convex hull of \mathcal{S} , which is defined as

$$\operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{S}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i : \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{S}, \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = 1 \right\}.$$

Check the zero-chain property.

Optimality of Subgradient Descent Method

For convex case, we can show the optimality by considering

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{G}{2} \max_{i=1,2,\dots,t+1} \left(x_i + \frac{\epsilon}{i} \right) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$

with

$$\mu = \frac{G}{2R\sqrt{t+1}}.$$

Outline

Subgradient Descent Method

2 Smoothing Technique

3 Proximal Gradient Methods

Smoothing Technique

Definition

We say the function $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is an (L, ϵ) -smooth approximation of function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ if \tilde{f} is L-smooth and we have

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) \leq \tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon.$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We can find approximate solution $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ for $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})$ such that

$$ilde{f}(ilde{ extbf{x}}) \leq \inf_{ extbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} ilde{f}(extbf{x}) + ilde{\epsilon},$$

then

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq \tilde{f}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \epsilon \leq \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{\epsilon} + \epsilon$$
$$\leq \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{\epsilon} + \epsilon.$$

Smoothing Technique

Theorem

If $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and G-Lipschitz continuous, then

$$\widetilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \right).$$

is convex and it is a $(L, G^2/(2L))$ -smooth approximation of $f(\mathbf{x})$.

Applying AGD to minimize $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})$ with $L = \mathcal{O}(G^2/\epsilon)$ can find $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ suboptimal solution of $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{x})$.

- For convex $f(\mathbf{x})$, we require $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(G/\epsilon)$ iterations.
- ② For μ -strongly convex $f(\mathbf{x})$, we require $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(G/\sqrt{\mu\epsilon})$ iterations.

Outline

Subgradient Descent Method

2 Smoothing Technique

Proximal Gradient Methods

Composite Convex Optimization Problem

We consider the problem of the form

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth convex function and $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex but possibly nonsmooth.

- We focus on the case that g has some simple form.
- Subgradient method leads to slow convergence.
- Mow to obtain the convergence rate like (accelerated) gradient descent?

Proximal Operator

We introduce the proximal operator as follows

$$\operatorname{prox}_h(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x} \right\|_2^2 + h(\mathbf{x}) \right),$$

where $h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex but possible nonsmooth.

Proximal Operator

Recall that optimizing smooth convex function $f(\mathbf{x})$ by gradient descent

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$$

is based on minimizing RHS of

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t), \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_t \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_t\|_2^2.$$

Proximal Gradient Descent

For composite problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}),$$

we can minimize RHS of

$$\phi(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}) + g(\mathbf{y}) \le f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t), \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_t \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_t\|_2^2 + g(\mathbf{y}).$$

That is

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\eta g}(\mathbf{x}_t - \eta \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t))$$
 with $\eta = 1/L$.

Proximal Gradient Descent

It can be computed efficiently for some simple $g(\cdot)$. For example:

• Let $g(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$, then

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\eta g}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{sign}(x_1) \max{\{|x_1| - \eta \lambda, 0\}} \\ \mathsf{sign}(x_2) \max{\{|x_2| - \eta \lambda, 0\}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{sign}(x_d) \max{\{|x_d| - \eta \lambda, 0\}} \end{bmatrix},$$

which can be computed efficiently.

2 Let $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x})$ for some closed convex \mathcal{C} , then

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\eta g}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}),$$

which leads to

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{x}_t - \eta \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)).$$

Gradient Mapping

For function $\phi = f + g$ with convex functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, $g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta > 0$, we define the gradient mapping as follows

$$\mathcal{G}_{\eta oldsymbol{g}, f}(\mathbf{x}) = rac{1}{\eta} (\mathbf{x} - extstyle{prox}_{\eta oldsymbol{g}} (\mathbf{x} - \eta
abla f(\mathbf{x}))),$$

which is a generalization of gradient operator $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$.

The proximal gradient method

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathsf{prox}_{\eta g}(\mathbf{x}_t - \eta
abla f(\mathbf{x}_t))$$

is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \eta \mathcal{G}_{\eta g, f}(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

Gradient Mapping

We consider the composite convex problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is L-smooth and convex and $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex but possibly nonsmooth.

Let $\mathbf{x}^+ = \text{prox}_{\eta \mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{x} - \eta \nabla f(\mathbf{x})).$

- **1** The point \mathbf{x}^* is an optimal solution if and only if $\mathcal{G}_{ng,f}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$.
- ② Suppose g is μ_g -strongly convex and $\eta < 2/(L-\mu)$, then

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{\eta \mathbf{g}, f}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2/\eta}{2 - \eta(L - \mu_{\mathbf{g}})} (\phi(\mathbf{x}) - \phi(\mathbf{x}^+)).$$

3 Suppose ϕ is μ_{ϕ} -strongly convex and $\eta < 1/L$, then

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}^+) \leq \phi(\mathbf{x}^*) + \frac{1}{2\mu_{\phi}} \|\mathcal{G}_{\eta \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2.$$

Convergence Analysis (Convex)

We consider the composite convex problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is L-smooth and convex and $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex.

The proximal gradient method with $\eta=1/L$ holds that

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}_T) \leq \phi(\mathbf{x}^*) + \frac{L}{2T} \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2.$$

Additionally suppose ϕ is $\mu_{\phi}\text{-strongly}$ convex leads to

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}_T) - \phi(\mathbf{x}^*) \le \left(1 - \frac{\mu_\phi}{L + \mu_\phi}\right)^T (\phi(\mathbf{x}_0) - \phi(\mathbf{x}^*)).$$

Convergence Analysis (Nonconvex)

If we only suppose g is convex but allow f be nonconvex, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{G}_{\eta \mathsf{g}, f}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2L(\phi(\mathbf{x}_{0}) - \phi^{*})}{T},$$

where $\phi^* = \inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(\mathbf{x}) > -\infty$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is uniformly sampled from

$$\{\textbf{x}_0,\dots,\textbf{x}_{\mathcal{T}-1}\}.$$

Here, we say $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is an ϵ -stationary point of $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})$ if

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{\eta g,f}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})\|_2 \leq \epsilon.$$

Accelerated Proximal Gradient Descent

We can also apply Nesterov's acceleration to proximal gradient methods

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t + \beta_t(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t-1}), \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &= \mathsf{prox}_{\eta g}(\mathbf{y}_t - \eta_t \nabla f(\mathbf{y}_t)). \end{aligned}$$

For convex case, it holds

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}_T) - \phi(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{T^2}\right).$$

For strongly-convex case, it holds

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}_T) - \phi(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_\phi}{L}}\right)^T\right).$$

Subgradient Method vs. Proximal Gradient Method

Solving the composite convex problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}),$$

by subgradient method are based on

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \eta_t (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_t),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_t \in \partial g(\mathbf{x}_t)$.

The proximal gradient method is more progressive, since it holds that

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \eta_t(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+1}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t+1} \in \partial g(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})$.