Optimization Theory

Lecture 11

Fudan University

luoluo@fudan.edu.cn

Outline

Outline

1. Classical Quasi-Newton Methods

2. Limited-Memory Quasi-Newton Methods

3. Greedy and Randomized Quasi-Newton Methods

4. Block Quasi-Newton Methods

Secant Condition

For quadratic function

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x},$$

we have $\nabla Q(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - \nabla Q(\mathbf{x}_t) = \nabla^2 Q(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t)$.

For general $f(\mathbf{x})$ with Lipschitz continuous Hessian, we have

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t) + o(\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t\|_2),$$

which leads to

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) \approx \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t).$$

Classical Quasi-Newton Methods

Motivated by

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) \approx \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t),$$

classical Quasi-Newton methods target to find $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}$ such that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) = \mathbf{G}_{t+1}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t)$$

and update the variable as

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

We typically take $\mathbf{G}_0 = \delta_0 \mathbf{I}$ with some $\delta_0 > 0$.

For given $\mathbf{G}_t$ or $\mathbf{G}_t^{-1}$, we hope

1. $\{\mathbf{x}_t\}$ converges to $\mathbf{x}^*$ efficiently;

2. $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}$ is close to $\mathbf{G}_t$;

3. $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}$ or $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{-1}$ can be constructed/stored efficiently.

Woodbury Matrix Identity

The Woodbury matrix identity is

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{UCV})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{VA}^{-1}\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{VA}^{-1},$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$.

For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{G}_t$, $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{Z}_t$, $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Z}_t^\top$ and $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$, we let

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \mathbf{G}_t + \mathbf{Z}_t\mathbf{Z}_t^\top,$$

then

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} - \mathbf{G}_t^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_t(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Z}_t^\top\mathbf{G}_t^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_t)^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_t^\top\mathbf{G}_t^{-1}$$

can be computed within $\mathcal{O}(kd^2)$ flops for given $\mathbf{G}_t^{-1}$.

Classical SR1 Method

We consider secant condition and the symmetric rank one (SR1) update

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{G}_{t+1}\mathbf{s}_t, \\ \mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \mathbf{G}_t + \mathbf{z}_t\mathbf{z}_t^\top. \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{s}_t = \mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t$ and $\mathbf{y}_t = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$.

It implies

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \mathbf{G}_t + \frac{(\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{G}_t\mathbf{s}_t)(\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{G}_t\mathbf{s}_t)^\top}{(\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{G}_t\mathbf{s}_t)^\top\mathbf{s}_t}.$$

and the corresponding update to inverse of Hessian estimator is

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} + \frac{(\mathbf{s}_t - \mathbf{G}_t^{-1}\mathbf{y}_t)(\mathbf{s}_t - \mathbf{G}_t^{-1}\mathbf{y}_t)^\top}{(\mathbf{s}_t - \mathbf{G}_t^{-1}\mathbf{y}_t)^\top\mathbf{y}_t}.$$

Classical DFP Method

Let $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}$ be the solution of following matrix optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \|\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}_t\|_{\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t^{-1}}$$
$$\text{s.t} \quad \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^\top, \quad \mathbf{G}\mathbf{s}_t = \mathbf{y}_t,$$

where the weighted norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t}$ is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t} = \left\|\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t^{-1/2}\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t^{-1/2}\right\|_F \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{\mathbf{G}}_t = \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t + \tau(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t)) \, \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

It implies DFP update

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_t \mathbf{s}_t^\top}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t}\right) \mathbf{G}_t \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t}\right) + \frac{\mathbf{y}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t}.$$

The corresponding update to inverse of Hessian estimator is

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} - \frac{\mathbf{G}_t^{-1} \mathbf{y}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{G}_t^{-1}}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} \mathbf{y}_t} + \frac{\mathbf{s}_t \mathbf{s}_t^\top}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t}.$$

Classical BFGS Method

This algorithm is named after Charles G. Broyden, Roger Fletcher, Donald Goldfarb and David F. Shanno.

Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb, Shanno

Classical BFGS Method

Let $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{-1}$ be the solution of the following matrix optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_t\|_{\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t}$$
$$\text{s.t} \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^\top, \quad \mathbf{H}\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{s}_t,$$

where $\mathbf{H}_t = \mathbf{G}_t^{-1}$ and the weighted norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t}$ is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t} = \left\|\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t^{1/2}\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{G}}_t^{1/2}\right\|_F \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{\mathbf{G}}_t = \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t + \tau(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t))\, \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

It implies BFGS update

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_t\mathbf{y}_t^\top}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t}\right) \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_t\mathbf{s}_t^\top}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t}\right) + \frac{\mathbf{s}_t\mathbf{s}_t^\top}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t}.$$

The corresponding update to Hessian estimator is

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \mathbf{G}_t - \frac{\mathbf{G}_t\mathbf{s}_t\mathbf{s}_t^\top \mathbf{G}_t}{\mathbf{s}_t^\top \mathbf{G}_t\mathbf{s}_t} + \frac{\mathbf{y}_t\mathbf{y}_t^\top}{\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t}.$$

Asymptotic Superlinear Convergence

The following theorem implies SR1/DFP/BFGS converge superlinearly.

> ## Theorem (Dennis–Moré Condition)
>
> If sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_t\}$ converges to $\mathbf{x}^*$ such that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^*) \succ \mathbf{0}$ and the search direction satisfies
>
> $$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\left\| \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) + \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t)(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t) \right\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t\|_2} = 0.$$
>
> Then $\{\mathbf{x}_t\}$ converges to $\mathbf{x}^*$ superlinearly.

For quasi-Newton iteration $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$, the condition in above theorem can be written as

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\left\| (\mathbf{G}_t - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t))(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t) \right\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t\|_2} = 0,$$

which only requires that $\mathbf{G}_t$ converges to Hessian along with the search direction.

Broyden Family Update

The Broyden family update is

$$
\mathrm{Broyd}_\tau(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}) \triangleq \tau \left[\mathbf{G} - \frac{\mathbf{Auu}^\top \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{Guu}^\top \mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Au}} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Gu}}{\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Au}} + 1 \right) \frac{\mathbf{Auu}^\top \mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Au}} \right]
$$
$$
+ (1 - \tau) \left[\mathbf{G} - \frac{(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{uu}^\top (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})}{\mathbf{u}^\top (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{u}} \right],
$$

where $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\tau \in [0, 1]$.

Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_t$, $\mathbf{A} = \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t + t(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t)) \, \mathrm{d}t$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t$.

- For $\tau = 0$, it is classical SR1 method.
- For $\tau = \dfrac{\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Au}}{\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{Gu}}$, it is classical BFGS method.
- For $\tau = 1$, it is classical DFP method.

Explicit Local Convergence Rate

Suppose the objective is μ-strongly-convex and L-smooth and let

$$\kappa = L/\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_t = \sqrt{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)^\top (\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t))^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)}.$$

1. For classical DFP method, we have

$$\lambda_t \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\kappa^2 d}{t}\right)^{t/2}\right).$$

2. For classical BFGS method, we have

$$\lambda_t \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\kappa d}{t}\right)^{t/2}\right).$$

3. For classical SR1 method, we have

$$\lambda_t \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{d \ln \kappa}{t}\right)^{t/2}\right).$$

Outline

1. Classical Quasi-Newton Methods

2. **Limited-Memory Quasi-Newton Methods**

3. Greedy and Randomized Quasi-Newton Methods

4. Block Quasi-Newton Methods

Quasi-Newton Methods

Classical quasi-Newton methods are too expensive for large d.

1. Each iteration requires $\mathcal{O}(d^2)$ complexity.
2. The space complexity is $\mathcal{O}(d^2)$.

The BFGS update can be written as

$$\mathbf{H}_{t+1} = \mathbf{V}_t^\top \mathbf{H}_t \mathbf{V}_t + \rho_t \mathbf{s}_t \mathbf{s}_t^\top,$$

where $\rho_t = (\mathbf{y}_t^\top \mathbf{s}_t)^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_t = \mathbf{I} - \rho_t \mathbf{y}_t \mathbf{s}_t^\top$.

Limited-memory BFGS method keeps the m most recent vector pairs

$$\{\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i=k-m}^{k-1}$$

and applying BFGS update m times on some initial estimator $\mathbf{H}_{k,0}$.

Limited-Memory BFGS (L-BFGS)

The update of L-BFGS can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}_k =& (\mathbf{V}_{k-1}^\top \ldots \mathbf{V}_{k-m}^\top) \mathbf{H}_{k,0} (\mathbf{V}_{k-m} \ldots \mathbf{V}_{k-1}) \\
& + \rho_{k-m} (\mathbf{V}_{k-1}^\top \ldots \mathbf{V}_{k-m+1}^\top) \mathbf{s}_{k-m} \mathbf{s}_{k-m}^\top (\mathbf{V}_{k-m+1} \ldots \mathbf{V}_{k-1}) \\
& + \rho_{k-m+1} (\mathbf{V}_{k-1}^\top \ldots \mathbf{V}_{k-m+2}^\top) \mathbf{s}_{k-m+1} \mathbf{s}_{k-m+1}^\top (\mathbf{V}_{k-m+2} \ldots \mathbf{V}_{k-1}) \\
& + \ldots \\
& + \rho_{k-1} \mathbf{s}_{k-1} \mathbf{s}_{k-1}^\top .
\end{aligned}
$$

The iteration of L-BFGS is efficient for small m.

1. Computing $\mathbf{H}_k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)$ requires $\mathcal{O}(md)$ flops for given $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)$.
2. The storage of $\{\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\}_{i=k-m}^{k-1}$ requires $\mathcal{O}(md)$ space complexity.
3. The idea also works for SR1 and DFP.

Outline

Broyden Family Update

The Broyden family update is

$$\mathrm{Broyd}_\tau(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}) \triangleq \tau \left[\mathbf{G} - \frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}} + 1 \right) \frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}} \right]$$
$$+ (1-\tau) \left[\mathbf{G} - \frac{(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{A})\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\top(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{A})}{\mathbf{u}^\top(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{A})\mathbf{u}} \right],$$

where $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\tau \in [0,1]$.

Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_t$, $\mathbf{A} = \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t + t(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t))\, \mathrm{d}t$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t$.

- For $\tau = 0$, it is classical SR1 method.
- For $\tau = \dfrac{\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}}$, it is classical BFGS method.
- For $\tau = 1$, it is classical DFP method.

Greedy and Randomized Directions

The update $\mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \mathrm{Broyd}_\tau(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u})$ with $\mathbf{A} = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1}\mathbf{u} = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})\mathbf{u}$$

for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We can construct $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}$ by the following choice of $\mathbf{u}$.

1. Greedy strategy: $\mathbf{u} = \arg\max_{\mathbf{v} \in \{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_d\}} \mathbf{v}^\top (\mathbf{G}_t - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}))\mathbf{v}$;
2. Randomized strategy: $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$.

Greedy and Randomized Quasi-Newton Methods

Algorithm 1 Greedy and Randomized Quasi-Newton Methods

1: **Input:** $\mathbf{G}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $M > 0$

2: **for** $t = 0, 1 \ldots$

3:      $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$

4:      $r_t = \|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t\|_{\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t)}$

5:      $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_t = (1 + M r_t) \mathbf{G}_t$

6:      Construct $\mathbf{u}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by

         (a) randomized strategy: $[\mathbf{u}_t]_i \overset{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

         (b) greedy strategy: $\mathbf{u}_t = \arg\max_{\mathbf{v} \in \{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_d\}} \mathbf{v}^\top (\mathbf{G}_t - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})) \mathbf{v}$

7:      $\mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \mathrm{Broyd}_\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_t, \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}), \mathbf{u}_t)$

8: **end for**

Explicit Local Convergence Rate

Suppose the objective is μ-strongly-convex and L-smooth and let

$$\kappa = L/\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_t = \sqrt{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)^\top (\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t))^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)}.$$

1. For greedy/randomized Broyden family method, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda_t] \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{\kappa d}\right)^{t(t-1)} \right).$$

2. For greedy/randomized SR1 method, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda_t] \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)^{t(t-1)} \right).$$

3. The rate $\mathbb{E}[\lambda_{t+1}/\lambda_t]$ converges to 0 linearly.

Outline

Multiple Directions

Recall that we have used the fact

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1}\mathbf{u} = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})\mathbf{u}$$

of Broyden family update to construct $\mathbf{G}_{t+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

Can we use multiple directions to construct $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}$? Such as

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1}\mathbf{U} = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})\mathbf{U}$$

for some $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$, where $k \ll d$.

Symmetric Rank-k Update

Recall that SR1 update can be written as

$$\mathrm{SR1}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{G} - \frac{(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^\top(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})}{\mathbf{u}^\top(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{u}}.$$

for given $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We generalized SR1 to SR-k as

$$\mathrm{SR}\text{-}k(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{U}) = \mathbf{G} - (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}^\top(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})$$

for given $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and some $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$.

Symmetric Rank-k Method

Algorithm 2 Symmetric Rank-k Method

1: **Input:** $\mathbf{G}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $M \geq 0$ and $k \in [d]$.

2: **for** $t = 0, 1 \ldots$

3:   $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{G}_t^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$

4:   $r_t = \|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_t\|_{\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t)}$

5:   $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_t = (1 + Mr_t)\mathbf{G}_t$

6:   construct $\mathbf{U}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ by $[\mathbf{U}_t]_{ij} \overset{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

7:   $\mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \text{SR-}k(\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_t, \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}), \mathbf{U}_t)$

8: **end for**

---

1. SR-k method has the local convergence rate $\mathbb{E}[\lambda_t] \leq \mathcal{O}\big((1 - k/d)^{t(t-1)}\big)$.

2. For quadratic problems, we set $M = 0$ and it has global linear convergence.

Symmetric Rank-k Update

Lemma

For any positive-definite matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ with

$$\mathbf{A} \preceq \mathbf{G} \preceq \eta \mathbf{A}$$

for some $\eta \geq 1$, we let $\mathbf{G}_+ = \mathrm{SR}\text{-}k(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{U})$ for some full rank matrix $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$. Then it holds that

$$\mathbf{A} \preceq \mathbf{G}_+ \preceq \eta \mathbf{A}.$$

If we can construct $\{\eta_t\}$ such that

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t) \preceq \mathbf{G}_t \preceq \eta_t \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} \eta_t = 1.$$

Then the update $\mathbf{G}_{t+1} = \mathrm{SR}\text{-}k(\mathbf{G}_t, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}), \mathbf{U}_t)$ leads to

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} (\mathbf{G}_t - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t)) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Convergence Analysis

We introduce the quantity

$$\tau_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{G}) \triangleq \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{A})$$

to characterize the difference between $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{G}$.

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{G}_+ = \operatorname{SR}\text{-}k(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{U})$ with $\mathbf{G} \succeq \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and select $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ by drawing each entry of $\mathbf{U}$ according to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ independently. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{G}_+)\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{k}{d}\right) \tau_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{G}).$$

Lemma

Assume $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ is column orthonormal ($k \leq d$) and $\mathbf{p} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}^\top)$ is a d-dimensional multivariate normal distributed vector. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}^\top}{\mathbf{p}^\top \mathbf{p}}\right] = \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}^\top.$$

Lemma

Let $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ be a random matrix and each of its entry is independent and identically distributed according to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, then it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top\right] = \frac{k}{d}\mathbf{I}_d.$$

Lemma

For positive semi-definite matrix $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and full rank matrix $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ with $k \leq d$, it holds that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{B}\mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{B}\right) \geq \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{U})^{-1}\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{B}\right).$$