# **Optimization Theory**

Lecture 07

Fudan University

luoluo@fudan.edu.cn

## Outline

1 Lower Complexity Bound

Nonsmooth Convex Optimization

## Outline

1 Lower Complexity Bound

2 Nonsmooth Convex Optimization

### Nesterov's Acceleration

Nesterov's acceleration:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{x}_t + \beta_t(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t-1}), \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{y}_t - \eta_t \nabla f(\mathbf{y}_t). \end{cases}$$

Can we further accelerate Nesterov's acceleration?

### First-Order Methods

Let us check our possibilities in minimizing *L*-smooth convex functions by first-order methods.

### Assumption

An iterative method  $\mathcal M$  generates a sequence of test points  $\{x_t\}$  such that

$$\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbf{x}_0 + \operatorname{span}\{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0), \dots, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})\}.$$

### "Worst Functions" and Zero-Chain

Consider the following functions

$$f_t(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2 + x_t^2 \right) - x_1 \right),$$

for t = 1, ..., d, where  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, ..., x_d]^{\top}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{t,d} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_{t+1} = \dots = x_d = 0 \}$ , that is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , in which only the first t components of the point can differ from zero.

### Lemma

Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ . Then for any sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t\}$  satisfying the condition

$$\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathcal{L}_t = \operatorname{span}\{\nabla f_t(\mathbf{x}_0), \dots, \nabla f_t(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})\},\$$

we have  $\mathcal{L}_t \subset \mathbb{R}^{t,d}$ .

### "Worst Functions"

Consider the following functions

$$f_t(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2 + x_t^2 \right) - x_1 \right),$$

for t = 1, ..., d, where  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, ..., x_d]^{\top}$ . They are *L*-smooth and convex.

We can verify  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L}{4} \mathbf{A}_t$  with

$$\boldsymbol{A}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### "Worst Functions"

The equation  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$  leads to

$$x_i^* = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{i}{t+1}, & i = 1, \dots, t, \\ 0, & i = t+1, \dots, d. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}^*) = -\frac{L}{8} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{t+1} \right).$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2 \leq \frac{t+1}{3}.$$

### "Worst Functions"

#### Lemma

For all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{p,d}$ , we have  $f_k(\mathbf{x}) = f_p(\mathbf{x})$  for  $p = k, k + 1, \dots, d$ .

### Corollary

For any  $\{\mathbf{x}_t\}_{t=1}^p$  with  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathcal{L}_t$ , we have  $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{t,d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{p,d}$  and

$$f_p(\mathbf{x}_t) = f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \geq f_t^*$$

for any p = t, t + 1, ..., d.

# Lower Complexity Bound (Convex)

#### Theorem

For any t such that  $t \in [1, (d-1)/2]$  and any  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists an L-smooth and convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that for any first-order algorithm  $\mathcal{M}$  with

$$\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbf{x}_0 + \operatorname{span}\{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0), \dots, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})\},$$

we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^* \ge \frac{3L \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2}{8(t+1)^2},$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is the minimizer of f and  $f^* = f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ .

## Lower Complexity Bound

- The above theorem is valid when the iteration number is not too large as compared with the dimension the variables.
- Without a direct use of finite dimensional arguments, we cannot justify a better complexity of the corresponding numerical scheme.
- Nesterov's acceleration is optimal for minimizing smooth and convex function by first-order methods.

# Lower Complexity Bound (Strongly Convex)

Consider the d-dimensional regularized "worst functions"

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L - \mu}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} \right) x_d^2 \right) - \beta x_1 \right) + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_2^2,$$

for t = 1, ..., d, where  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, ..., x_d]^\top$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\kappa = L/\mu$ .

- **1** The functions are *L*-smooth and  $\mu$ -strongly convex.
- The zero-chain property still holds.
- **3** The minimizer is  $\mathbf{x}^* = [q, q^2, \dots, q^d]$  with  $q = (\sqrt{\kappa} 1)/(\sqrt{\kappa} + 1)$ .

# Lower Complexity Bound (Strongly Convex)

#### Theorem

For any t and d such that  $t \leq d/2$ ,  $d \geq 2$  and any  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists an L-smooth and  $\mu$ -strongly convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that for any first-order algorithm  $\mathcal{M}$  with

$$\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbf{x}_0 + \operatorname{span}\{\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0), \dots, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})\},$$

we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^* \ge \frac{\mu}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} \right)^{2t}.$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is the minimizer of f and  $f^* = f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ .

# Lower Complexity Bound (Nonconvex)

The lower complexity bound for finding an  $\epsilon$ -stationary point of L-smooth nonconvex function are based on

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2}(x_1 - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^t (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + \mu \sum_{i=1}^T \Gamma_r(x_i),$$

where 
$$\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_{T+1}]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{T+1}$$
 and  $\Gamma_r(x) = 120 \int_1^x \frac{t^2(t-1)}{1+(t/r)^2} dt$ .

- ① Let  $r \ge 1$  and  $\mu \le 1$ . For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{T+1}$  such that  $x_T = x_{T+1} = 0$ , we have  $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|_2 \ge \mu^{3/4}/4$ .
- 2 The lower complexity bound is  $\Omega(L\epsilon^{-2}(f(\mathbf{x}_0)-f^*))$ .
- See details in paper "Yair Carmon, John C. Duchi, Oliver Hinder, Aaron Sidford. Lower bounds for finding stationary points II: first-order methods. Mathematical Programming. 185(1):315–355, 2021."

## Outline

Lower Complexity Bound

2 Nonsmooth Convex Optimization

## Nonsmooth Convex Optimization

We consider optimization with a nonsmooth objective function

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{C}}f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Here we assume that  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is a Lipschitz convex function defined on a convex and closed set  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , but not necessarily smooth.

For constrained optimization, we assume the projection operator

$$\operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{y}) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2}$$

can be efficiently computed for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

## Subgradient Descent Method

Suppose  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have introduced gradient descent

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \eta_t \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$$

converges by taking  $\eta_t = \eta > 0$ .

For nonsmooth case, we can replace the gradient by the subgradient and introduce projection step

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \eta_t \mathbf{g}_t, \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1}) \end{cases}$$

for  $t = 0, 1 \dots$ , where  $\mathbf{g}_t \in \partial f(\mathbf{x}_t)$  and

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\eta_t=0.$$

# Convergence Analysis (Convex)

#### Theorem

A convex function f is G-Lipschitz continuous on  $\operatorname{dom} f$  if

$$\max_{\mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})} \{\|\mathbf{g}\|_2\} \leq \mathcal{G}$$

for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{dom } f$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{z} = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{y})$  for some convex and closed  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then

$$\|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \le \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

# Convergence Analysis (Convex)

We assume the convex function  $f:\mathbb{R}^d o \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\max_{\mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})} \{ \|\mathbf{g}\|_2 \} \le G$$

on domain C. Then for all  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \in C$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \eta_t} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \eta_t f(\mathbf{x}_t) \leq f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \eta_t^2 G^2}{2 \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \eta_t}.$$

1 Taking  $\eta_t = \eta_0/\sqrt{T}$  leads to

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}f(\mathbf{x}_t) \leq f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + \eta_0^2 G^2}{2\eta_0 \sqrt{T}}.$$

2 Taking  $\eta_t = \eta_0/(\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t-1})$  leads to

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(t+1)} + \sqrt{Tt}} \left( f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \right) \leq \frac{\left\| \mathbf{x}_0 - \hat{\mathbf{x}} \right\|_2^2 + \eta_0^2 (\ln(2T-1) + 2)G^2/2}{2\eta_0 \sqrt{T}}.$$