# Multivariate Statistical Analysis

Lecture 14

Fudan University

luoluo@fudan.edu.cn

### Outline

Multivariate Analysis of Variance

2 Multivariate Linear Regression

### Outline

Multivariate Analysis of Variance

2 Multivariate Linear Regression

We consider testing the equality of means with common covariance.

Let  $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{(g)}$  be an observation from the g-th population  $\mathcal{N}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(g)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  for  $\alpha=1,\ldots,N_g$  and  $g=1,\ldots,q$ . We wish to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_g.$$

The likelihood function is

$$\begin{split} & L(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(g)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \\ &= \prod_{g=1}^q \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{pN_g}{2}} (\det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}))^{\frac{N_g}{2}}} \exp{\bigg(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_g} \big(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{(g)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(g)}\big)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \big(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{(g)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(g)}\big)\bigg)}. \end{split}$$

- **①** We let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(q)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\}$  be the parameters.
- ② The set  $\Omega$  is the space in which  $\Sigma$  is positive definite and each  $\mu^{(g)}$  is any p-dimensional vector.
- **3** The set  $\omega$  is the space in which  $\mu^{(1)} = \cdots = \mu^{(g)}$  (p-dimensional vectors) and  $\Sigma$  is positive definite matrix.

The likelihood ratio criterion is

$$\lambda = \frac{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \omega} L(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Omega} L(\boldsymbol{\theta})} = \frac{(\det(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\Omega}))^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(\det(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\omega}))^{\frac{N}{2}}},$$

where

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{g=1}^{q} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_g} \left( \boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}_{\alpha}^{(g)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}}^{(g)} \right) \left( \boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}_{\alpha}^{(g)} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}}^{(g)} \right)^{\top}$$

and

$$\hat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\omega} = rac{1}{N} \sum_{g=1}^{q} \sum_{lpha=1}^{N_{
m g}} ig( oldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}_{lpha}^{(g)} - ar{oldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}} ig) ig( oldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}_{lpha}^{(g)} - ar{oldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}} ig)^{ op}.$$

We can write

$$N\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\omega} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B},$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = N\hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\Omega} = \sum_{g=1}^{q} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_g} \left(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{(g)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)}\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{(g)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)}\right)^{\top} \sim \mathcal{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, N-q)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \sum_{g=1}^q \mathsf{N}_g (ar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)} - ar{\mathbf{x}}) (ar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)} - ar{\mathbf{x}})^ op \sim \mathcal{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, q-1)$$

are independent.

### Wilks' Lambda distribution

For two independent random matrices  $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$  and  $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, m)$  with  $n \geq p$ , the ratio

$$\frac{\det(\mathbf{A})}{\det(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})}$$

has Wilks' Lambda distribution with degrees of freedom n and m, which is typically written as

$$rac{\det(\mathbf{A})}{\det(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})}\sim \Lambda_{p,n,m}.$$

### Wilks' Lambda distribution

#### **Theorem**

Let  $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$  and  $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, m)$  be two independent Wishart distributed variables, then we can write

$$\frac{\det(\mathbf{A})}{\det(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})} = \prod_{i=1}^{p} u_i \sim \Lambda_{p,n,m},$$

where  $u_1, \ldots, u_p$  are independent distributed as

$$u_i \sim \operatorname{Beta}\left(\frac{n+1-i}{2}, \frac{m}{2}\right).$$

## Properties of Wishart Distribution

Let  $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$  and partition  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  into q and p-q rows and columns as

$$\label{eq:lambda} \boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{A}_{11} & \boldsymbol{A}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{A}_{21} & \boldsymbol{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

then we have

- (a)  $\mathbf{A}_{11} \sim \mathcal{W}_q(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11}, n)$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{22} \sim \mathcal{W}_{p-q}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{22}, n)$ ;
- (b) if q = 1, then

$$\mathbf{a}_{21} \,|\, \mathbf{A}_{22} \sim \mathcal{N}_{p-q} (\mathbf{A}_{22} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{21}, \sigma_{11.2}^2 \mathbf{A}_{22})$$

where 
$$\sigma_{11.2}^2 = \sigma_{11} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{21}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{21}$$
;

(c) if n > p - q, then

$$\mathbf{A}_{11.2} = \mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{21} \sim \mathcal{W}_q(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2}, n-p+q)$$

is independent on  $\mathbf{A}_{22}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{12}$ , where  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11.2} = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{21}$ .

## Quiz

Let  $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{W}_p(\mathbf{\Sigma}, n)$ , we can follow above theorem to show

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{\Sigma}) \prod_{i=1}^p v_i$$

with some independent random variables  $v_1, \ldots, v_p$ ?

### Outline

Multivariate Analysis of Variance

2 Multivariate Linear Regression

# Multivariate Linear Regression

Given dataset  $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^q$  are the feature and the corresponding output of the *i*-th data.

We suppose

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{B}^{ op} \mathbf{x}_i + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \quad ext{with} \quad \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p imes q} \quad ext{ and } \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \overset{ ext{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_q(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$$

for i = 1, ..., N,  $\Sigma \succ 0$  and N > p.

We regard  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$  and  $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$  as parameters, then

$$\epsilon_i = \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathcal{N}_q(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}).$$

# Multivariate Linear Regression

We denote

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_N^\top \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p}, \quad \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_N^\top \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_N^\top \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times q},$$

and suppose X is full rank.

## MLE for Multivariate Linear Regression

We construct the likelihood function for  $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_N$  as follows

$$\begin{split} &L(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) \\ &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{p} \det(\mathbf{\Sigma})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{\alpha} - \mathbf{y}_{\alpha})\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{Np/2} (\det(\mathbf{\Sigma}))^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr} \left( (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{Y})^{\top} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

The maximum likelihood estimators are

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \left(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{Y} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{N}\mathbf{Y}^{\top}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top})\mathbf{Y}.$$

# MLE for Multivariate Linear Regression

We write

$$\mathbf{B} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{eta}_1 & \cdots & oldsymbol{eta}_q \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{q imes p} \quad ext{and} \quad \hat{\mathbf{B}} = egin{bmatrix} \hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_1 & \cdots & \hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_q \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{q imes p}.$$

Then the joint distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_N$  is normal and we have

- $\text{Ov}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_j] = \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1};$
- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \sim \mathcal{W}_q\left(\frac{1}{N}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, N-p\right).$