Part 2: LU decomposition, Inverse matrix, and Gauss-Seidel method

Introduction to Numerical Problem Solving, Spring 2017
CC BY-NC-SA, Sakari Lukkarinen
Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences

LU decomposition (factorization)

Any square matrix A can be expressed as a product of a lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U:

$$A = LU$$

The process of computing L and U is called LU decomposition or factorisation. After decomposing A, it is easy to solve the equation Ax = b. First the equation is rewritten as LUx = b and then it is solved in parts.

Step 1: Decompose A = LU

Step 2: Solve for y in the equation Ly = b

Step 3: Solve for x in the equation Ux = y

Doolittle's decomposition

Doolittle's decomposition is closely related to Gaussian elimination

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ L_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & U_{13} \\ 0 & U_{22} & U_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & U_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is usual practice to have the multipliers in same matrix

$$[L \backslash U] = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & U_{13} \\ L_{21} & U_{22} & U_{23} \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & U_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hand calculations – Example 2.5

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

row 2 \leftarrow row 2 - 1 \times row 1 (eliminates A_{21}) row 3 \leftarrow row 3 - 2 \times row 1 (eliminates A_{31})

$$\mathbf{A}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A}'' = [\mathbf{L} \setminus \mathbf{U}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -4.5 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Continued

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -4.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -4.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 18 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 13 \\ 2 & -4.5 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y_1 = 7$$

 $y_2 = 13 - y_1 = 13 - 7 = 6$
 $y_3 = 5 - 2y_1 + 4.5y_2 = 5 - 2(7) + 4.5(6) = 18$

$$x_3 = \frac{18}{-9} = -2$$

$$x_2 = \frac{6 + 2x_3}{2} = \frac{6 + 2(-2)}{2} = 1$$

$$x_1 = 7 - 4x_2 - x_3 = 7 - 4(1) - (-2) = 5$$

LU Decomposition in Python and NumPy

By Michael Halls-Moore on January 21st, 2013

```
In [8]: from pprint import pprint
        from scipy.linalg import lu, solve
        A = array([ [7, 3, -1, 2],
                   [3, 8, 1, -4],
                   [-1, 1, 4, -1],
                    [2, -4, -1, 6]])
        b = array([1, 2, 3, 4])
        P. L. U = lu(A)
        print("A:")
        pprint(A)
        print("P:")
        pprint(P)
        print("L:")
        pprint(L)
        print("U:")
        pprint(U)
```

```
A:
array([[7, 3, -1, 2],
     [3, 8, 1, -4],
     [-1, 1, 4, -1],
     [2, -4, -1, 6]])
P:
array([[ 1., 0., 0., 0.],
    [0., 1., 0., 0.],
     [0., 0., 1., 0.],
     [0., 0., 0., 1.]])
L:
array([[ 1. , 0. , 0.
     [ 0.42857143, 1. , 0.
     [-0.14285714, 0.21276596, 1.
     [ 0.28571429, -0.72340426, 0.08982036, 1.
U:
array([[ 7.
              , 3. , -1. , 2.
              , 6.71428571, 1.42857143, -4.85714286],
              , 0. , 3.55319149, 0.31914894],
     [ 0.
               , 0. , 0. , 1.88622754]])
     [ 0.
```

Solving using LU decomposition

```
In [11]: from scipy.linalg import lu, solve
                                                                  Step 1: Decomp.
        A = array([7, 3, -1, 2],
                                                                  A = PLU
                 [3, 8, 1, -4],
                  [-1, 1, 4, -1],
                  [2, -4, -1, 6]])
                                                                  Step 2: Solve y
        b = array([1, 2, 3, 4])
                                                                  PLy = b
        P. L. U = lu(A)
        y = solve(dot(P, L), b)
        x = solve(U, y)
                                                                  Step 3: Solve x
        print("x:")
        pprint(x)
                                                                  Ux = y
        x:
        array([-1.27619048, 1.87619048, 0.57142857, 2.43809524])
```

Gaussian elimination code (review)

array([-1.27619048, 1.87619048, 0.57142857, 2.43809524])

LU decomposition and solver code

```
def LUdecomp(a):
    n = len(a)
    # Elimination and [L\U] matrix composition
    for k in range(0,n-1):
       for i in range(k+1,n):
            if a[i,k] != 0.0:
                lam = a[i,k]/a[k,k]
                a[i,k+1:n] = a[i,k+1:n] - lam*a[k,k+1:n]
                a[i,k] = lam
    return a
def LUsolve(a,b):
    n = len(a)
    # Forward substitution (solve Ly = b)
    for k in range(1,n):
        b[k] = b[k] - dot(a[k,0:k],b[0:k])
    # Back substitution (solve Ux = y)
    for k in range(n-1,-1,-1):
        b[k] = (b[k] - dot(a[k,k+1:n],b[k+1:n]))/a[k,k]
    return b
```

array([-1.27619048, 1.87619048, 0.57142857, 2.43809524])

Comparison of codes

```
def LUdecomp(a):
    n = len(a)
    # Elimination and [L\U] matrix composition
    for k in range(0,n-1):
        for i in range(k+1,n):
            if a[i,k] != 0.0:
                lam = a[i,k]/a[k,k]
                a[i,k+1:n] = a[i,k+1:n] - lam*a[k,k+1:n]
                a[i,k] = lam
    return a
def LUsolve(a,b):
    n = len(a)
    # Forward substitution (solve Ly = b)
    for k in range(1,n):
        b[k] = b[k] - dot(a[k,0:k],b[0:k])
    # Back substitution (solve Ux = y)
    for k in range(n-1,-1,-1):
        b[k] = (b[k] - dot(a[k,k+1:n],b[k+1:n]))/a[k,k]
    return b
```

Exercises 05

• Solve the problems 1-3.

Matrix inversion

"Computing matrix inversion and solving linear equations are related tasks. The most economical way to invert a matrix is to solve

$$AX = I$$

where *I* is the identity matrix.

Inversion of large matrices should be avoided whenever possible because of its high cost. The cost of inversion is considerably more expensive than solving Ax = b, for example, with LU decomposition."

Matrix inversion in Python

```
# What is A^(-1)*A?
dot(inv(A), A)
array([[ 1.00000000e+00,
                          0.00000000e+00,
                                          1.11022302e-16,
         2.22044605e-16],
      1.11022302e-16,
                          1.00000000e+00, -1.11022302e-16,
        -4.44089210e-16].
      [ -4.16333634e-17, -2.77555756e-17,
                                          1.00000000e+00.
         0.00000000e+001,
      0.00000000e+00, -4.44089210e-16, -1.11022302e-16,
         1.00000000e+00]])
     around(dot(inv(A), A), 8)
     array([[ 1., 0., 0., 0.],
```

[0., 1., -0., -0.], [-0., -0., 1., 0.], [0., -0., -0., 1.]])

Matrix inversion using LU decomposition

```
def matInv(a):
    n = len(a[0])
    aInv = identity(n)
    a = LUdecomp(a)
    for i in range(n):
        aInv[:,i] = LUsolve(a,aInv[:,i])
    return aInv
A = array([ [7., 3, -1, 2],
           [3, 8, 1, -4],
           [-1, 1, 4, -1],
           [2, -4, -1, 6]
matInv(A)
array([[ 0.38730159, -0.32063492, 0.0952381 , -0.32698413],
       [-0.32063492, 0.45396825, -0.0952381, 0.39365079],
       [ 0.0952381 , -0.0952381 , 0.28571429, -0.04761905],
       [-0.32698413, 0.39365079, -0.04761905, 0.53015873]])
```

```
## The inverse is found by solving AX = I column by column,
## where b is a column from identity matrix
A = array([ [7., 3, -1, 2],
                  [3, 8, 1, -4],
                  [-1, 1, 4, -1],
                  [2, -4, -1, 6]
b = array([1, 0, 0, 0])
solve(A, b)
array([ 0.38730159, -0.32063492, 0.0952381 , -0.32698413])
  A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 3 & 8 & 1 & -4 \\ 2 & -4 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad I = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
                                                     b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \dots
                   Ax = b
```

Exercises

Solve problems 4-6.

Iterative methods

"Iterative, or indirect methods, start with an initial guess of the solution x and then repeatedly improve the solution until the change in x becomes neglible. Because the required number of iterations can be large, the indirect methods are, in general, slower than direct methods.

Advantages of iterative methods:

- 1. Iterative procedures are *self-correcting*, meaning the round-off errors (or even mistakes) in one iterative cycle are corrected in subsequent cycles.
- 2. You need to store only nonzero elements. This makes it possible to deal very large and sparse matrices efficiently."

Gauss-Seidel method

The equations Ax=b are in scalar notation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij} x_j = b_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Extracting the term containing x_i from the summation sign yields

$$A_{ii}x_i + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n A_{ij}x_j = b_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n$$

Solving for x_i , we get

$$x_i = \frac{1}{A_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n A_{ij} x_j \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

The last equation suggests the following iterative scheme:

$$x_i \leftarrow \frac{1}{A_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n A_{ij} x_j \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Gauss-Seidel with relaxation

Convergence of the Gauss-Seidel method can be improved by a technique known as relaxation. The idea is to take the new value of x_i as a weighted average of its previous value and the value predicted by Eq. (2.34). The corresponding iterative formula is

$$x_i \leftarrow \frac{\omega}{A_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^n A_{ij} x_j \right) + (1 - \omega) x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (2.35)

where the weight ω is called the *relaxation factor*. It can be seen that if $\omega=1$, no relaxation takes place, because Eqs. (2.34) and (2.35) produce the same result. If $\omega<1$, Eq. (2.35) represents interpolation between the old x_i and the value given by Eq. (2.34). This is called *under-relaxation*. In cases where $\omega>1$, we have extrapolation, or *over-relaxation*.

Exercises

Solve problems 8-10.